

1 **Quantification of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 in wheat roots**
2 **by strain-specific qPCR**

3 Maria Isabel Stets^{a,b}, Sylvia Alqueres^b, Emanuel Maltempi de Souza^a, Fábio
4 de Oliveira Pedrosa^a, Michael Schmid^b, Anton Hartmann^b, and Leonardo
5 Magalhães Cruz^{a#}

6 Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Federal University of
7 Parana (UFPR), Curitiba, PR, Brazil^a; Helmholtz Zentrum München, German
8 Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH), Department for
9 Environmental Sciences, Research Unit Microbe-Plant Interactions,
10 Neuherberg, Germany^b

11 Running title: qPCR *Azospirillum brasilense* quantification in roots

12 #Adress correspondence to Leonardo M. Cruz, Department of Biochemistry
13 and Molecular Biology, Federal University of Paraná (UFPR), P. O. BOX
14 19.046, 81.531-990, Curitiba, PR, Brazil; phone +55 041 3361 1657; e-mail
15 leonardo@ufpr.br

16 **Abstract**

17 *Azospirillum* is a rhizobacterial genus containing plant growth-promoting
18 species associated with different crops worldwide. *Azospirillum brasilense*
19 strains exhibit a growth-promoting effect by means of phytohormone
20 production and possibly by N₂ fixation. However, one of the most important
21 factors for increase in crop yield by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria is
22 the survival of the inoculant in the rhizosphere, which is not always achieved.
23 The objective of this study was to develop quantitative PCR protocols for

24 strain-specific quantification of *A. brasilense* FP2. A novel approach was
25 applied to identify strain-specific DNA sequences based on comparison of
26 genomic sequences within the same species. The draft-genome sequence of
27 *A. brasilense* FP2 and Sp245 were aligned, FP2-specific regions were filtered
28 and checked for other possible matches in public databases, Strain-specific
29 regions were then selected to design and evaluate strain-specific primer pairs.
30 The primer pairs AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2, AzoR5.1, AzoR5.2, and AzoR5.3 were
31 strain-specific for *A. brasilense* FP2. These primer pairs were used to monitor
32 quantitatively the population of *A. brasilense* in wheat roots under sterile and
33 non-sterile growth conditions. In addition, co-inoculations with other plant-
34 growth promoting bacteria in wheat were performed under non-sterile
35 conditions. Results showed that *A. brasilense* FP2 inoculated to wheat roots
36 is highly competitive and achieve high cell numbers ($\sim 10^7$ CFU/g of fresh
37 weight root) in the rhizosphere even under non-sterile conditions and when
38 co-inoculated with other rhizobacteria, maintaining the population rather
39 stable for at least up to 13 days after inoculation. The strategy used here can
40 be applied for other organisms whose genome sequences are available.

41 **Introduction**

42 *Azospirillum* is one of the most important genus of plant growth-promoting
43 rhizobacteria found worldwide under a variety of environmental and soil
44 conditions (1) (Bashan, 2004). The diazotroph *Azospirillum brasilense* is the
45 best studied species of the genus and is found in close association with many
46 agriculturally important crops and exert beneficial effect on plant growth and
47 productivity (2–4) (Fibach-Paldi, 2012; Dobbelaere, 2003; Okon, 1994).

48 Nitrogen fixation(5, 6) (Sant'Anna 2011; Eckert 2001) and production of the
49 auxin 3-indoleacetic acid (IAA) by many representatives of the genus
50 *Azospirillum* is related to growth promotion effects observed in inoculated
51 plants such as increase in root length, number of root hairs and lateral roots
52 (3) (Dobbelaere 2003).

53 Biotechnological use of *A. brasilense* inoculants in Latin American – and in
54 Brazil, in particular, has increased in recent years (7) (Castro-Sowinski 2007).
55 The strain FP2 is a spontaneous mutant of *A. brasilense* Sp7 (8) (Pedrosa
56 and Yates, 1984). Strain Sp7 has been shown to be capable of stimulating
57 growth of several Poaceae and increasing productivity capable of wheat and
58 maize crops (2) (Fibach-Paldi 2012). Strain FP2 can also promote growth of
59 wheat (9) (Camilios et al.,2014) enhance maize and wheat productivity under
60 field conditions (unpublished). Most of the *A. brasilense* inoculants in Brazil
61 contains strains Ab-V5 and Ab-V6, also a derivative of Sp7 strain, which were
62 shown to increase productivity of maize and wheat under field conditions (10)
63 (Hungria 2010) and were officially authorized for use as inoculants in these
64 crops (10) (Hungria 2010).

65 However, a major problem related to *A. brasilense* inoculants is the survival of
66 inoculated strains in the rhizosphere soil (11, 12) (Bashan 1999; Bashan
67 1995), which affects the inoculant performance since the effective colonization
68 of roots is necessary for successful plant growth stimulation by *Azospirillum*
69 (13) (Dobbelaere 2002).

70 To assess the diversity and taxonomy of crop plant-associated bacteria, many
71 cultivation dependent and independent methods are currently in use (14–16)
72 (Magnani 2013; Magnani 2010; Pisa, 2011). However, most of these methods

73 are not quantitative and based on the evaluation of the 16S rRNA coding
74 gene sequences which is highly confident only at genus and species level and
75 not specific enough to study the bacterial population dynamics at strain level,
76 necessary for inoculant monitoring. Thus, in many cases, it is not possible to
77 associate plant-growth promotion failure or success to the inoculated bacterial
78 population quantitatively at strain-specific resolution, leaving the outcome of
79 the inoculation unexplained (17) (Couillerot 2010). Furthermore, crop
80 response to inoculation under field conditions depends heavily on a
81 combination of the plant genotype and the bacterial strain (18–20) (Sánchez
82 2014; Araújo 2013; Sasaki 2010) stressing the need of methodologies to
83 evaluate the success of plant colonization accurately at high resolution.
84 Previously, we used whole cell matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization
85 time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) analysis to differentiate
86 species of *Azospirillum* including several closely related *A. brasilense* strains
87 (21) (Stets 2013). However, this method is not quantitative, requires growth
88 on a culture medium and is time and labor intensive.

89 Quantitative PCR (qPCR) has been the method of choice to quantify
90 rhizosphere populations because it allows high specificity, sensitivity and
91 speed (17, 22, 23) (Couillerot and Bouffaud 2010; Couillerot and Poirier 2010;
92 Sorensen 2009). This technique has been successfully used to quantify
93 several bacteria associated with plants. It was successfully used for the
94 quantification of a functionally specific subgroup of Pseudomonads in the
95 rhizosphere (24) (Mavrodi 2007). The pathogen *Xylella fastidiosa* was
96 quantified in citrus plants (25) (Pinheiro 2002), while the endophytic bacterium
97 *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* was monitored by qPCR during the

98 *Catharanthus roseus* colonization (26) (Lacava 2006). In *Brassica oleracea*,
99 the plant growth promoting *Enterobacter radicincitans* population was
100 monitored by qPCR associated with fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH)
101 (27) (Ruppel 2006), determining not only the amount of bacteria in the
102 colonized plants but also their location in the plant. Although these reports
103 showed qPCR as a valuable technique to quantitatively monitor populations of
104 unlabeled bacteria in green-house experiments, none had used strain-specific
105 primers. The application of strain-specific primers is difficult in field
106 experiments, where closely related indigenous bacteria may interfere in the
107 amplification and quantification. For a strain-specific molecular monitoring,
108 sequence characterized amplified region (SCAR) markers obtained from
109 BOX-, ERIC-, and RAPD-PCR fragments were recently applied to design
110 primers for a qPCR quantification of *A. brasilense* and *A. lipoferum* at strain-
111 specific level(17, 22) (Couillerot and Bouffaud 2010; Couillerot and Poirier
112 2010).

113 The objective of this study was to develop qPCR protocols for a strain-specific
114 quantification of the plant-growth promoting bacterium *A. brasilense* FP2
115 based on whole genome comparison with the closely related strain Sp245.
116 The designed strain-specific primers were then applied for quantification to
117 monitor the FP2-population in inoculated wheat plants under sterile and non-
118 sterile conditions.

119 **Material and Methods**

120 **Bacterial strains**

121 All *Azospirillum* strains (Table 1) were routinely grown in NFbHPN medium
122 (28) (Machado 1991) at 30 °C under aeration by shaking at 120 rpm. Strains
123 from other genera were grown in DYGS medium (29) (Rodrigues 1986)
124 containing, per 1,000 mL: 0.10% glucose, 0.20% yeast extract, 0.15%
125 peptone, 0.50% MgSO₄·7H₂O, and 0.15% L-glutamic acid at pH 6.0-6.5;
126 cultures were incubated at 30 °C under aeration by shaking at 120 rpm.
127 Colony counts of all strains were performed after spreading dilutions on the
128 respective medium plates and incubation for 72 h at 30 °C.

129 **Primer design**

130 To design *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 strain-specific primer pairs, the general
131 strategy was used: (i) the Whole Genome Sequence (WGS) of *A. brasilense*
132 FP2 was fragmented *in silico* from the FASTA genome sequence using in
133 house scripts, producing 500 bp non-overlapping fragments; (ii) the genome
134 sequence of *A. brasilense* Sp245 was used to build a local BLAST database
135 and *A. brasilense* FP2 fragment sequences were used as queries for a
136 BLASTn similarity search, with default parameters; (iii) fragments with no hits
137 found were subjected to a second BLASTn (30) (Altschul 1997) search
138 against NCBI-nt database (performed at July, 2012; GenBank release 190),
139 using default parameters; (iv) putative strain-specific sequences, i.e.
140 sequences without any match in the two BLAST sequence analyses, were
141 used to design primer pair sets for *A. brasilense* FP2. In order to inspect the
142 selected regions, the draft genome sequence of *A. brasilense* FP2 was

143 annotated and visually analyzed using RAST, version 2.0 (31, 32) (Overbeek
144 2014; Aziz 2008) and Unipro UGENE, version 1.14 (33) (Okonechnikov
145 2012).

146 The WGS of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 is publicly available at NCBI
147 database under accession number APHV000000000 and assembly
148 GCA_000404045.1, with total sequence length of 6,885,108 bp, 413 contigs
149 (N50 of 29,432 bp), GC content of 68.1 %, and genome coverage of 25X. The
150 WGS of *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp245 is available at NCBI database under
151 accession numbers from HE577327 to HE577333 (1 chromosome and 6
152 plasmids) and assembly GCA_000237365.1, with total sequence length
153 7,530,241 bp (total assembly gap length of 6,000 bp), 67 contigs (N50
154 186,382 bp), and GC content of 68.6 %.

155 Primer design was performed using Primer Express 3.0 (Applied Biosystems,
156 Foster City, CA) based on (i) an amplicon size inferior to 200 bp and primers
157 lengths ranging from 18 to 22 bp; (ii) high melting temperature of primers (T_m
158 approximately 60 °C) and low T_m difference between primers ($\Delta T_m < 2$ °C);
159 and (iii) lack of predicted hairpin loops, duplexes and primer-dimer formation.

160 **Primer selection and evaluation**

161 The designed primer pairs were synthesized by Eurofins (Ebersberg,
162 Germany) and qualitatively analyzed by conventional PCR with about 30 ng of
163 genomic DNA, 10 pmol of each primer, 1 U Taq DNA polymerase (Taq Dream
164 Invitrogen Inc.), Taq DNA polymerase buffer, 200 mmol/μL of
165 desoxyribonucleotide and sterile ultra-pure water to a final volume of 10 μL.
166 The cycling programme included a 10 min initial denaturation, incubation at 95

167 °C, followed by 25 cycles consisting of denaturation at 95 °C for 15 s,
168 annealing at 60 °C for 60 s followed by 72 °C for 30 s and final elongation of
169 10 min at 70 °C. A primer pair was considered strain-specific if: (i) successful
170 amplification occurred using DNA of the target strain as template; (ii) the
171 absence of cross-amplification with non-target strains; and (iii) the absence of
172 amplification in the control tube reaction, where no DNA was added. Genomic
173 DNA from 14 strains of 10 species and 4 genera (Table 1) were used as
174 templates for PCR reactions. A second step was performed under quantitative
175 PCR conditions to check primer specificity (melting curves) and amplification
176 efficiency, as described below.

177 **Quantitative PCR conditions**

178 Quantitative PCR (qPCR) was performed in a total reaction volume of 25 µL
179 containing 12.5 µL Power SYBR® Green PCR Master Mix (Applied
180 Biosystems), 6.25 µL of a primer mix (final concentration of 1 µmol) and 6.25
181 µL of 2.5 ng/µL diluted template DNA. MicroAmp Optical 96-Well Reaction
182 Plate (Applied Biosystems) and an ABI Prism 7500 (Applied Biosystems)
183 were used. The cycling programme included a 10 min incubation at 95 °C
184 followed by 40 cycles consisting of 95 °C for 15 s, 60 °C for 60 s followed by
185 72 °C for 30 s and additional 72 °C for 10 min. Amplification specificity was
186 verified by melting curve analysis of the PCR products performed using the
187 ABI Prism 7500 Sequence Detection version 1.2.3 software (Applied
188 Biosystems).

189 **Primer efficiency determination**

190 Genomic DNA from *A. brasilense* FP2 was used to prepare ten-fold dilution
191 series (in triplicates). Sterile water was used as negative control. The cycle
192 threshold (Ct), was automatically determined for each sample by the ABI
193 Prism 7500 Sequence Detection version 1.2.3 (Applied Biosystems) software.
194 A standard curve was generated by plotting the Ct number against the
195 logarithm of bacterial DNA concentration (data not shown) and used to
196 calculate the amplification efficiency (E) (Table 2).

197 **Generation of standard curves for qPCR quantification of *A.***
198 ***brasilense* FP2 in wheat roots**

199 The standard curves for quantification of *A. brasilense* FP2 in wheat was
200 constructed according to (22) (Couillerot and Poirier 2010), with the following
201 modifications. Wheat plantlets were grown under axenic condition as
202 described below for 7 days, roots were collected and crushed using mortar
203 and pestle in liquid nitrogen. A volume of 100 μ L of *A. brasilense* FP2 culture
204 (dilutions from 10^2 to 10^9 CFU) was added to 100 mg of crushed roots, mixed
205 and left 1 h of incubation at room temperature. The whole mixture was used
206 for DNA extraction with the Fast DNA Spin kit (MPbio, USA) according to
207 manufacturer's instructions; qPCR was performed as described above. The
208 standard curve was generated by plotting Ct number versus CFU added per
209 tube. No bacteria were added to the negative control.

210 **DNA preparation**

211 Genomic DNA from bacterial cultures and wheat roots was extracted using
212 Fast DNA Spin kit (MPbio, USA) according to manufacturer's instructions.
213 DNA concentrations were assessed by O.D. measurements at 260 nm with a
214 NanoDrop device (Nanodrop technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA).

215 **qPCR quantification of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 on the**
216 **wheat roots**

217 For the sterile experiments, seeds of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), cultivar
218 Schöndorfer, were surface sterilized using a protocol described by (25)
219 (Pinheiro 2002). Afterward, seeds were germinated on nutrient agar plates
220 (Analytical Fluka) for 3 days, transferred to glass tubes containing 16 mL of
221 Hoagland solution and quartz beads of approximately 3 mm of diameter and
222 then incubated in a greenhouse with 14 h light / 10 h dark cycle at 23 °C and
223 humidity above 50%.

224 For the experiments under non-sterile conditions, seeds were germinated as
225 previously described without surface sterilization, Commercial gardener soil
226 (type ED-73, Bayerische Gärtnereigenossenschaft) was suspended in
227 Hoagland medium at a final concentration of 1% (w/v), filtered and this
228 suspension was used as inoculum in glass tubes containing quartz beads.
229 The negative control consisted of non-inoculated plants. Different experiments
230 were conducted to evaluate plants inoculated with *A. brasilense* FP2 or co-
231 inoculated in the presence of other wheat-associated diazotrophs (in the
232 same amount), namely *A. brasilense* NH, *H. seropedicae* Z67, *G.*
233 *diazotrophicus* DSM 5601 and *A. lipoferum* DSM 1691. The control consisted

234 of *A. brasilense* FP2 inoculated plants. All microorganisms were grown until
235 about 10^9 CFU/mL and the cells were washed once with 1X PBS buffer
236 (Applichem, Denmark). In all experiments, approximately 10^7 CFU/plant were
237 inoculated in the plant growing medium and incubated for 14 days. The
238 experiments were performed in biological and technical triplicates and
239 samples were collected every 2 days.

240 **Determination of Colony Forming Units (CFU)**

241 To determine the number of Colony Forming Units (CFU), the roots were
242 crushed using a mortar, serially diluted (10^{-1} to 10^{-7}) in saline (NaCl 0.9%),
243 plated on NFbHPN medium and the colonies were counted.

244 **Experimental design and statistical analysis**

245 Experiments in a growth chamber followed a randomized block design.
246 Colony counts were expressed as CFU per g of fresh root, and qPCR
247 quantification data was converted to CFU equivalents per g of fresh root. The
248 data were subjected to analysis of variance and means compared by Tukey
249 test at 1% error probability, using The SAEG 8.0 program (Sistema para
250 Análise Estatísticas, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, Viçosa, Brazil).

251 **Results**

252 **Primer design and evaluation of amplification efficiency**

253 For the strain-specific primer design, strain-specific genomic regions were
254 selected from the comparison between Whole Genome Sequence (WGS) of
255 *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 and *A. brasilense* Sp245, the closest strain with

256 genome sequence available so far, using the procedures detailed in Material
257 and Methods section. The genome sequence comparison was based on
258 BLAST analysis of 500bp sequence fragments of *A. brasilense* FP2 against
259 genome sequence of *A. brasilense* Sp245 local database, in a first round, and
260 against NCBInt database, in a second round. Although this analysis is
261 database-dependent and do not guarantee to select strain-specific genomic
262 regions, in practice, the genome comparison between two very closely related
263 strains (i. e., with very high genomic synteny) allows for the selection of
264 genomic regions not likely to match sequences of more distantly related
265 organism, as shown by BLAST results against a comprehensive database.
266 Sequences with no hits found in BLAST analysis against Sp245 genome
267 sequence did not show significant hits against NCBInt database too. Using
268 this methodology, six coding and intergenic regions from *A. brasilense* FP2
269 genome were selected and a total of 10 primer pairs were designed and
270 tested for cross-amplification against 13 different bacterial DNAs, including
271 four *A. brasilense* strains, six other *Azospirillum* spp. and two *Roseomonas*
272 spp. strains (Figure 1 shows the most relevant primer pairs).

273 Five out of ten primer pairs were strain-specific for *A. brasilense* FP2, namely
274 AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2, AzoR5.1, AzoR5.2, and AzoR5.3 (Table 2). For one of the
275 primer pairs, Azo-2, amplicons were generated for all four strains of
276 *Azospirillum brasilense* tested (FP2, NH, Sp245, and Sp7), but no
277 amplification was observed for *Roseomonas* genomospecies 6 CCUG 33010,
278 *Roseomonas fauriae* KACC 11694, *Burkholderia tropica* Ppe5, and
279 *Burkholderia brasilense* M171 (data not shown).

280 Genome sequences from strains FP2 and Sp245 of *A. brasilense* share high
281 degree of synteny, however strain-specific primer pairs were designed from
282 two FP2 contig sequences that did not align along the chromosome or any
283 plasmid sequences from Sp245 strain (Supplementary data 1A). On the
284 contrary, the primer pair Azo-2 was designed from a contig sequence of FP2
285 that aligns to Sp245 chromosome sequence (Supplementary data 1B),
286 although the alignment in the region of primer binding did not show perfect
287 match (data not shown). Automatic annotation of *A. brasilense* FP2 draft
288 genome sequence revealed that the amplicon from the primer pair Azo-2 was
289 predicted to be located at the end of a CDS (coding sequence) for a
290 hypothetical protein. On the other hand, amplicons from strain-specific primer
291 pairs were predicted to be located in a non-coding region (AzoR2.1 and
292 AzoR2.2) or fall into a CDS for TniQ domain containing protein (AzoR5.1,
293 AzoR5.2, and AzoR5.3; Supplementary data 2). Interestingly, the regions
294 surrounding amplicons for strain-specific primers contain some CDSs related
295 to phage and mobile elements.

296 The efficiency of all strain-specific primer pairs obtained in this study was
297 tested by constructing a standard curve with increasing concentrations of *A.*
298 *brasilense* FP2 DNA (Table 2). The primers AzoR5.1 and AzoR5.2 were
299 discarded for further analysis based in the lowest efficiency rate and primers
300 AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2 and AzoR5.3 were used to quantify *A. brasilense* FP2.

301 **qPCR quantification of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 on wheat**

302 **roots**

303 In order to test the ability of the strain-specific primer pairs to quantify *A.*
304 *brasilense* FP2 in the rhizosphere, a growth chamber experiment was
305 conducted with wheat plants inoculated with *A. brasilense* FP2 under sterile
306 and non-sterile conditions.

307 To monitor the population of *A. brasilense* FP2 in wheat roots, three strain-
308 specific primer pairs with the highest amplification efficiency (AzoR2.1,
309 AzoR2.2 and AzoR5.3) were selected. The primer pair Azo-2, was used to
310 quantify the total *A. brasilense* population and a universal 16S rRNA coding
311 gene targeted primer pair (Doumit Camilios Neto, personal communication)
312 was used for total bacteria quantification (Table 2).

313 Initially, a standard curve was constructed from a fixed amount of crushed
314 plant-root tissues mixed with each serially diluted total DNA of *A. brasilense*
315 FP2 (see Material and Methods). The inclusion of plant material in standard
316 curve was based on the observation of Couillerot et al. (17) (Couillerot and
317 Bouffaud 2010) that the presence of root extract decreased the detection limit
318 for quantification of *A. lipoferum* CRT1 on maize. The inclusion of root extract
319 allow for integration of plant background DNA into the technical sensitivity limit
320 of the final standard curve, thereby making quantification closer to reality. The
321 equation for the qPCR-quantification standard curve was used to estimate the
322 amount of bacteria in wheat roots inoculated with *A. brasilense* FP2. The
323 detection limit of the technique was 10^4 CFU/g of wheat root (Supplementary
324 data 3). In the first attempt to monitor population of *A. brasilense* FP2, wheat

325 was inoculated and cultivated under sterile conditions. In non-inoculated
326 plants, strain *A. brasilense* FP2 or any other bacteria were not detected by
327 qPCR or plate counting techniques. Figure 2A shows the results of bacteria
328 number in wheat inoculated under sterile conditions using primer pair Azo-2
329 (specific for *Azospirillum* spp.) and the primer pairs AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2 and
330 AzoR5.3 (specific for strain FP2). There was no statistical difference between
331 the measurements using the three strain-specific primer pairs or between
332 species and strain-specific primer pairs. A large number of bacteria was
333 observed in the first days after inoculation (roughly 10^7 to 10^8 CFU/g of wheat
334 root; Figure 2B). The *A. brasilense* FP2 quantification was also analyzed by
335 plate counting method in NFbHPN medium. Higher variability was observed
336 for plate counting method in the first days. However, no statistical differences
337 were observed in sampling points by the cell quantification using either, qPCR
338 or plate counting methods, from day 7 (Figure 2B). The results also revealed
339 an increase in fresh weight of roots and shoots of plants inoculated with *A.*
340 *brasilense* FP2 (Figures 2C-D). This stimulation due to inoculation was most
341 evident in the roots. In the second attempt, wheat was inoculated and
342 cultivated under non-sterile conditions. The results showed no statistical
343 difference for quantification of *A. brasilense* FP2 by qPCR methodology using
344 three different strain-specific primer pairs (AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2 and AzoR5.3).
345 Similar number of bacteria was observed when the strain-specific primer pairs
346 or species-specific primer pair Azo-2 were used. As expected, the universal
347 primer pair for 16S rRNA coding genes (estimate the total number of bacteria)
348 showed higher numbers of cells per gram of wheat roots, although statistical
349 differences were not achieved for all sampling points. Except at day 1, the

350 differences in cell numbers comparing universal primer pair for 16S rRNA
351 coding genes and species-/strains-specific primer pairs were 2-5 fold (Figure
352 3A). No statistical differences were also observed for the most sampling
353 points when comparing cell counting techniques, although plate counting has
354 shown higher variability (Figure 3B). These results suggests the population of
355 the inoculated bacteria is high and stable for at least 13 days after inoculation
356 and that the diversity of total bacteria and *Azospirillum* genus is limited in the
357 rhizosphere of wheat plants and reflects at rather low diversity of bacteria in
358 the soil used for cultivation. The number of CFU in the soil was evaluated by
359 plate counting using DYGS media, reaching values of 10^3 to 10^4 CFU and
360 confirmed the occurrence of a low diversity in the soil used for cultivation of
361 wheat and inoculation experiments.

362 The population of *A. brasilense* FP2 was stable, even when the rhizobacteria
363 *Azospirillum brasilense* NH, *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* Z67,
364 *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* DSM 5601 and *Azospirillum lipoferum*
365 DSM 1691 were co-inoculated in wheat plants in non-sterile conditions,
366 leaving the FP2 counts above 10^7 CFU/g of root fresh weight. No statistical
367 difference in the *A. brasilense* FP2 numbers were achieved for qPCR
368 quantification comparing strain-specific primer pairs and the primer pair Azo-2
369 (Figure 4A) or for the most sampling points comparing results from qPCR and
370 plate count techniques (Figure 4B). The differences in cell numbers
371 comparing universal primer pair for 16S rRNA coding genes and species-
372 specific primer pairs ranged from 2.2×10^9 (3 days after inoculation) to 3.9×10^7
373 (13 days after inoculation; Figure 4A). However, the number of total bacteria,
374 including the other inoculants was significantly higher until 7 days after

375 inoculation, but roughly dropped to a similar level of *A. brasilense* and strain
376 FP2 after that sampling point. This results reinforce the finding that *A.*
377 *brasilense* FP2 maintain a stable population in the rhizosphere/roots of the
378 plants during the period of colonization and further indicate that strain FP2 is
379 highly competitive, a desirable characteristic for inoculant production. When
380 strain-specific primer pairs for strain FP2 (AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2 and AzoR5.3),
381 developed in this work, were used with DNA from inoculated plants with other
382 rhizobacteria strains under non-sterile conditions, there were no amplification
383 product, confirming the primer specificity for the detection of *A. brasilense*
384 FP2 (data no shown).

385 Taken together, results from all inoculation experiments shows that the
386 number of *A. brasilense* FP2 cells was stable and not below 10^7 CFU/g of
387 fresh weight root, indicating that this bacterium is competitive, maintaining its
388 population at high level, even in the presence of competing rhizobacteria
389 (Supplementary data 4).

390 **Discussion**

391 Inoculants containing *Azospirillum* have been tested under field conditions
392 with important crops and *A. brasilense* strains, including strain FP2, were
393 recognized as very effective in promoting plant growth and some of them
394 being authorized for the production of commercial inoculants in Brazil (10)
395 (Hungria 2010). Despite the importance of these plant-growth promoting
396 bacteria (PGPB), no rapid method was available to monitor this strain during
397 the experiments.

398 A nested PCR method was proposed for the detection of *Azospirillum*
399 *lipoferum* CRT1 in the rhizosphere by Baudoin et al. (34) (Baudoin and
400 Couillerot 2010). However, the primers, designed from 16S and 23S rRNA
401 intergenic region coding genes fragments, proved not to be specific enough to
402 develop strain-specific qPCR quantification. Several optimizations regarding
403 specificity and efficiency were then applied to design strain-specific qPCR-
404 primers to detect bacterial strains based on sequence characterized amplified
405 regions (SCAR) markers (17, 34) (Couillerot and Bouffaud 2010; Baudoin and
406 Couillerot 2010). In this study, we developed a strain-specific qPCR protocol
407 to quantify the PGPB *Azospirillum brasilense* strain FP2 inoculated to roots of
408 wheat plants based on comparative genome analysis. To achieve this goal,
409 we designed strain-specific primers by *in silico* comparison of 500 pb
410 fragments of a draft *A. brasilense* FP2 genome with the *A. brasilense* Sp245
411 genome. Unique FP2 strain fragments were also used to search NCBI non-
412 redundant database for similarity. The strain-specific fragments identified so
413 far were used for the primer design. Many authors have reported different
414 methods to design taxon-specific primers, usually based on experimental
415 approaches. Konstantinov et al. (35) (Konstantinov 2005) isolated specific
416 genomic fragments from the type strain and related strains by digesting the
417 genomic DNA with restriction enzyme and then make a subtractive
418 hybridization with closest strains to eliminate shared DNA fragments. The
419 unique fragments were extracted from the gel, cloned, sequenced and used to
420 design specific primers to detect *Lactobacillus sobrius* 001T. Fujimoto et al.
421 (36) (Fujimoto 2008) and Maruo et al. (37) (Mauro 2006) developed a PCR-
422 based method for the identification and quantification of *Lactobacillus casei*

423 strain Shirota and *Lactobacillus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* FC, respectively, using
424 strain-specific primers derived from RAPD analysis. The authors evaluated
425 the survival of these strains through the gastrointestinal tract to monitor the
426 cell numbers before and after the administration of fermented milk containing
427 this strain by qPCR with the strain-specific primers. Pereira et al. (38) (Pereira
428 2014) developed a qPCR method for quantification of PGPB *H. seropedicae*
429 in the rhizosphere of maize seedlings. Primer pairs were designed from
430 genome sequence of *H. seropedicae* SmR1 (39) (Pedrosa and Monteiro
431 2011) and tested against 12 different species. Although the selected genome
432 regions did not match with any other sequences in NCBI database, the
433 primers were not evaluated against other *H. seropedicae* strains, not allowing
434 any conclusion about their strain-specificity. In the last decade, whole-genome
435 sequencing has become a rapid and cost effective way to provide
436 comprehensive information about an organism (40) (Havlak 2004). Although
437 the achievement of a complete genome is a demanding process, the draft
438 genome sequencing can be obtained with a high breadth of coverage. In the
439 present work, we have shown that the direct comparison of genomic
440 sequence of closely related organisms is a rapid and reliable approach to
441 detect specific DNA regions to be used as strain-specific genetic markers for
442 the use of strain-specific quantitative detection of bacteria colonizing roots
443 and the rhizosphere. The rationale of this approach relies on three facts: (i)
444 genome sequence provides the genetic information to a highest resolution; (ii)
445 divergent regions between two genome sequences of very close organisms (i.
446 e., strains of the same species) are most likely to diverge from more distant
447 *taxa*; and (iii) the absence of sequence similarity between the possible

448 genomic strain-specific regions and sequences in large public databases
449 covering most of the taxa from different environments can be broadly
450 accepted as the absence of these regions in other organisms.

451 The use of strain-specific primers developed in this work to monitor population
452 of *A. brasilense* FP2 inoculated in wheat showed that the bacteria colonize
453 the roots of the plant at 10^7 to 10^8 CFU/g of root in the first days after
454 inoculation, maintaining the population relatively stable until 13 days after
455 inoculation and exerting plant-growth promotion effect. Although, for some
456 experiments, the plant-growth promotion effect was not evident during the
457 period analyzed, this effect is frequently observed in later development stages
458 of the plants (41) (Kloepper 1980). Couillerot et al. (17) (Couillerot and
459 Bouffaud 2010) also observed a high number of bacteria (10^5 to 10^7 CFU/g of
460 maize root), either by qPCR or plate counting, 1 to 3 days after inoculation of
461 *A. brasilense* UAP-154 and CFN-535 inoculated in maize.

462 In conclusion, five strain-specific primer pairs, AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2, AzoR5.1,
463 AzoR5.2 and AzoR5.3, for *A. brasilense* strain FP2 were successfully
464 designed and tested to monitor the population fluctuation of this strain after
465 inoculation to wheat roots under sterile and non-sterile conditions. We
466 demonstrated, that *A. brasilense* FP2 maintained a high number of cells in
467 association with plant roots within 2 weeks after inoculation. Thus, in our work
468 we showed that the strain-specific primer pairs designed by using available
469 genome sequence information could be effectively applied to quantitatively
470 monitor population of a PGPB in the rhizosphere of the inoculated plants. The
471 described design strategy of strain-specific primers is theoretically possible for
472 any microorganism with available whole genome sequence in the database.

473 The qPCR methodology developed in this work is a generally applicable tool
474 to monitor the population dynamics of inoculated bacteria in crop plants with
475 potential application in field experiments. Furthermore, this technique could
476 also be applied for the quality control of commercially available inoculants,
477 where rigid controls of contamination and number of inoculant cells have to
478 guarantee the efficiency of the final product.

479 **Acknowledgments**

480 We are grateful to Roseli Prado, Valter Baura and Marilza Lamour for
481 technical assistance. This work was supported by CNPq, INCT da Fixação de
482 Nitrogênio/MCT, Fundação Araucária and CAPES.

483 **References**

- 484 1. **Bashan Y, Holguin G, de-Bashan LE.** 2004. Azospirillum-plant
485 relationships: physiological, molecular, agricultural, and environmental
486 advances (1997-2003). *Can J Microbiol* **50**:521–577.
- 487 2. **Fibach-Paldi S, Burdman S, Okon Y.** 2012. Key physiological
488 properties contributing to rhizosphere adaptation and plant growth
489 promotion abilities of *Azospirillum brasilense*. *FEMS Microbiol Lett*
490 **326**:99–108.
- 491 3. **Dobbelaere S, Vanderleyden J, Okon Y.** 2003. Plant Growth-Promoting
492 Effects of Diazotrophs in the Rhizosphere. *Crit Rev Plant Sci* **22**:107–
493 149.

- 494 4. **Okon Y, Labandera-Gonzalez CA.** 1994. Agronomic applications of
495 azospirillum: An evaluation of 20 years worldwide field inoculation. *Soil*
496 *Biol Biochem* **26**:1591–1601.
- 497 5. **Sant’Anna FH, Almeida LG, Cecagno R, Reolon LA, Siqueira FM,**
498 **Machado MR, Vasconcelos AT, Schrank IS.** 2011. Genomic insights
499 into the versatility of the plant growth-promoting bacterium *Azospirillum*
500 *amazonense*. *BMC Genomics* **12**:409.
- 501 6. **Eckert B, Weber OB, Kirchhof G, Halbritter A, Stoffels M, Hartmann**
502 **A.** 2001. *Azospirillum doebereineriae* sp. nov., a nitrogen-fixing bacterium
503 associated with the C4-grass *Miscanthus*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
504 **51**:17–26.
- 505 7. **Castro-Sowinski S, Herschkovitz Y, Okon Y, Jurkevitch E.** 2007.
506 Effects of inoculation with plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on
507 resident rhizosphere microorganisms. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* **276**:1–11.
- 508 8. **Pedrosa FO, Yates MG.** 1984. Regulation of nitrogen fixation (*nif*) genes
509 of *Azospirillum brasilense* by *nifA* and *ntr (gln)* type gene products. *FEMS*
510 *Microbiol Lett* **23**:95–101.
- 511 9. **Camilios-Neto D, Bonato P, Wasseem R, Tadra-Sfeir MZ,**
512 **Brusamarello-Santos LC, Valdameri G, Donatti L, Faoro H, Weiss**
513 **VA, Chubatsu LS, Pedrosa FO, Souza EM.** 2014. Dual RNA-seq
514 transcriptional analysis of wheat roots colonized by *Azospirillum*
515 *brasilense* reveals up-regulation of nutrient acquisition and cell cycle
516 genes. *BMC Genomics* **15**:378.

- 517 10. **Hungria M, Campo RJ, Souza EM, Pedrosa FO.** 2010. Inoculation with
518 selected strains of *Azospirillum brasilense* and *A. lipoferum* improves
519 yields of maize and wheat in Brazil. *Plant Soil* **331**:413–425.
- 520 11. **Bashan Y.** 1999. Interactions of *Azospirillum* spp. in soils: a review. *Biol*
521 *Fertil Soils* **29**:246–256.
- 522 12. **Bashan Y, Puente ME, Rodriguez-Mendoza MN, Toledo G, Holguin**
523 **G, Ferrera-Cerrato R, Pedrin S.** 1995. Survival of *Azospirillum*
524 *brasilense* in the Bulk Soil and Rhizosphere of 23 Soil Types. *Appl*
525 *Environ Microbiol* **61**:1938–1945.
- 526 13. **Dobbelaere S, Croonenborghs A, Thys A, Ptacek D, Okon Y,**
527 **Vanderleyden J.** 2002. Effect of inoculation with wild type *Azospirillum*
528 *brasilense* and *A. irakense* strains on development and nitrogen uptake
529 of spring wheat and grain maize. *Biol Fertil Soils* **36**:284–297.
- 530 14. **Magnani GS, Cruz LM, Weber H, Bessalho JC, Daros E, Baura V,**
531 **Yates MG, Monteiro RA, Faoro H, Pedrosa FO, Souza EM.** 2013.
532 Culture-independent analysis of endophytic bacterial communities
533 associated with Brazilian sugarcane. *Genet Mol Res* **12**:4549–4558.
- 534 15. **Magnani GS, Didonet CM, Cruz LM, Picheth CF, Pedrosa FO, Souza**
535 **EM.** 2010. Diversity of endophytic bacteria in Brazilian sugarcane. *Genet*
536 *Mol Res GMR* **9**:250–258.
- 537 16. **Pisa G, Magnani GS, Weber H, Souza EM, Faoro H, Monteiro RA,**
538 **Daros E, Baura V, Bessalho JP, Pedrosa FO, Cruz LM.** 2011.

- 539 Diversity of 16S rRNA genes from bacteria of sugarcane rhizosphere soil.
540 Braz J Med Biol Res **44**:1215–1221.
- 541 17. **Couillerot O, Bouffaud M-L, Baudoin E, Muller D, Caballero-Mellado**
542 **J, Moënne-Loccoz Y.** 2010. Development of a real-time PCR method to
543 quantify the PGPR strain *Azospirillum lipoferum* CRT1 on maize
544 seedlings. *Soil Biol Biochem* **42**:2298–2305.
- 545 18. **Sánchez AC, Gutiérrez RT, Santana RC, Urrutia AR, Fauvart M,**
546 **Michiels J, Vanderleyden J.** 2014. Effects of co-inoculation of native
547 *Rhizobium* and *Pseudomonas* strains on growth parameters and yield of
548 two contrasting *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. genotypes under Cuban soil
549 conditions. *Eur J Soil Biol* **62**:105–112.
- 550 19. **Araújo AE da S, Baldani VLD, Galisa P de S, Pereira JA, Baldani JI.**
551 2013. Response of traditional upland rice varieties to inoculation with
552 selected diazotrophic bacteria isolated from rice cropped at the Northeast
553 region of Brazil. *Appl Soil Ecol* **64**:49–55.
- 554 20. **Sasaki K, Ikeda S, Eda S, Mitsui H, Hanzawa E, Kisara C, Kazama Y,**
555 **Kushida A, Shinano T, Minamisawa K, Sato T.** 2010. Impact of plant
556 genotype and nitrogen level on rice growth response to inoculation with
557 *Azospirillum* sp. strain B510 under paddy field conditions. *Soil Sci Plant*
558 *Nutr* **56**:636–644.
- 559 21. **Stets MI, Pinto AS Jr, Huergo LF, de Souza EM, Guimarães VF,**
560 **Alves AC, Steffens MBR, Monteiro RA, Pedrosa F de O, Cruz LM.**
561 2013. Rapid identification of bacterial isolates from wheat roots by high

- 562 resolution whole cell MALDI-TOF MS analysis. *J Biotechnol* **165**:167–
563 174.
- 564 22. **Couillerot O, Poirier M-A, Prigent-Combaret C, Mavingui P,**
565 **Caballero-Mellado J, Moënne-Loccoz Y.** 2010. Assessment of SCAR
566 markers to design real-time PCR primers for rhizosphere quantification of
567 *Azospirillum brasilense* phytostimulatory inoculants of maize. *J Appl*
568 *Microbiol* **109**:528–538.
- 569 23. **Sørensen J, Haubjerg Nicolaisen M, Ron E, Simonet P.** 2009.
570 Molecular tools in rhizosphere microbiology—from single-cell to whole-
571 community analysis. *Plant Soil* **321**:483–512.
- 572 24. **Mavrodi OV, Mavrodi DV, Thomashow LS, Weller DM.** 2007.
573 Quantification of 2,4-Diacetylphloroglucinol-Producing *Pseudomonas*
574 *fluorescens* Strains in the Plant Rhizosphere by Real-Time PCR. *Appl*
575 *Environ Microbiol* **73**:5531–5538.
- 576 25. **Pinheiro R de O, Boddey LH, James EK, Sprent JI, Boddey RM.**
577 2002. Adsorption and anchoring of *Azospirillum* strains to roots of wheat
578 seedlings. *Plant Soil* **246**:151–166.
- 579 26. **Lacava PT, Li WB, Araújo WL, Azevedo JL, Hartung JS.** 2006. Rapid,
580 specific and quantitative assays for the detection of the endophytic
581 bacterium *Methylobacterium mesophilicum* in plants. *J Microbiol Methods*
582 **65**:535–541.

- 583 27. **Ruppel S, Rühlmann J, Merbach W.** 2006. Quantification and
584 Localization of Bacteria in Plant Tissues Using Quantitative Real-Time
585 PCR and Online Emission Fingerprinting. *Plant Soil* **286**:21–35.
- 586 28. **Machado HB, Funayama S, Rigo LU, Pedrosa FO.** 1991. Excretion of
587 ammonium by *Azospirillum brasilense* mutants resistant to
588 ethylenediamine. *Can J Microbiol* **37**:549–553.
- 589 29. **Rodrigues Neto J, Malavolta Jr VA, Victor O.** 1986. Meio simples para
590 o isolamento e cultivo de *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *citri* tipo B.
591 *Summa Phytopathol* **12**:32.
- 592 30. **Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W,**
593 **Lipman DJ.** 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of
594 protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res* **25**:3389–3402.
- 595 31. **Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ, Disz T,**
596 **Edwards RA, Gerdes S, Parrello B, Shukla M, Vonstein V, Wattam**
597 **AR, Xia F, Stevens R.** 2014. The SEED and the Rapid Annotation of
598 microbial genomes using Subsystems Technology (RAST). *Nucleic Acids*
599 *Res* **42**:D206–D214.
- 600 32. **Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T, Edwards RA,**
601 **Formsma K, Gerdes S, Glass EM, Kubal M, Meyer F, Olsen GJ,**
602 **Olson R, Osterman AL, Overbeek RA, McNeil LK, Paarmann D,**
603 **Paczian T, Parrello B, Pusch GD, Reich C, Stevens R, Vassieva O,**
604 **Vonstein V, Wilke A, Zagnitko O.** 2008. The RAST Server: Rapid
605 Annotations using Subsystems Technology. *BMC Genomics* **9**:75.

- 606 33. **Okonechnikov K, Golosova O, Fursov M, the UGENE team.** 2012.
607 Unipro UGENE: a unified bioinformatics toolkit. *Bioinformatics* **28**:1166–
608 1167.
- 609 34. **Baudoin E, Couillerot O, Spaepen S, Moëgne-Loccoz Y, Nazaret S.**
610 2010. Applicability of the 16S-23S rDNA internal spacer for PCR
611 detection of the phyto stimulatory PGPR inoculant *Azospirillum lipoferum*
612 CRT1 in field soil. *J Appl Microbiol* **108**:25–38.
- 613 35. **Konstantinov SR, Smidt H, de Vos WM.** 2005. Representational
614 difference analysis and real-time PCR for strain-specific quantification of
615 *Lactobacillus sobrius* sp. nov. *Appl Environ Microbiol* **71**:7578–7581.
- 616 36. **Fujimoto J, Matsuki T, Sasamoto M, Tomii Y, Watanabe K.** 2008.
617 Identification and quantification of *Lactobacillus casei* strain Shirota in
618 human feces with strain-specific primers derived from randomly amplified
619 polymorphic DNA. *Int J Food Microbiol* **126**:210–215.
- 620 37. **Maruo T, Sakamoto M, Toda T, Benno Y.** 2006. Monitoring the cell
621 number of *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *cremoris* FC in human feces by
622 real-time PCR with strain-specific primers designed using the RAPD
623 technique. *Int J Food Microbiol* **110**:69–76.
- 624 38. **Pereira TP, do Amaral FP, Dall'Asta P, Brod FCA, Arisi ACM.** 2014.
625 Real-time PCR quantification of the plant growth promoting bacteria
626 *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* strain SmR1 in maize roots. *Mol Biotechnol*
627 **56**:660–670.

- 628 39. **Pedrosa FO, Monteiro RA, Wassem R, Cruz LM, Ayub RA, Colauto**
629 **NB, Fernandez MA, Fungaro MHP, Grisard EC, Hungria M, Madeira**
630 **HMF, Nodari RO, Osaku CA, Petzl-Erler ML, Terenzi H, Vieira LGE,**
631 **Steffens MBR, Weiss VA, Pereira LFP, Almeida MIM, Alves LR, Marin**
632 **A, Araujo LM, Balsanelli E, Baura VA, Chubatsu LS, Faoro H, Favetti**
633 **A, Friedermann G, Glienke C, Karp S, Kava-Cordeiro V, Raittz RT,**
634 **Ramos HJO, Ribeiro EMSF, Rigo LU, Rocha SN, Schwab S, Silva**
635 **AG, Souza EM, Tadra-Sfeir MZ, Torres RA, Dabul ANG, Soares MAM,**
636 **Gasques LS, Gimenes CCT, Valle JS, Ciferri RR, Correa LC, Murace**
637 **NK, Pamphile JA, Patussi EV, Prioli AJ, Prioli SMA, Rocha CLMSC,**
638 **Arantes OMN, Furlaneto MC, Godoy LP, Oliveira CEC, Satori D,**
639 **Vilas-Boas LA, Watanabe MAE, Dambros BP, Guerra MP, Mathioni**
640 **SM, Santos KL, Steindel M, Vernal J, Barcellos FG, Campo RJ,**
641 **Chueire LMO, Nicolás MF, Pereira-Ferrari L, da Conceição Silva JL,**
642 **Gioppo NMR, Margarido VP, Menck-Soares MA, Pinto FGS, Simão R**
643 **de CG, Takahashi EK, Yates MG, Souza EM.** 2011. Genome of
644 *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* Strain SmR1, a Specialized Diazotrophic
645 Endophyte of Tropical Grasses. *PLoS Genet* **7**:e1002064.
- 646 40. **Havlak P, Chen R, Durbin KJ, Egan A, Ren Y, Song X-Z, Weinstock**
647 **GM, Gibbs RA.** 2004. The Atlas genome assembly system. *Genome*
648 *Res* **14**:721–732.
- 649 41. **Kloepper JW, Schroth MN, Miller TD.** 1980. Effects of rhizosphere
650 colonization by plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria on potato plant
651 development and yield. *Phytopathology* **70**:1078–1082.

652

653 **Figure 1.** Specificity of primer pairs designed to amplify *Azospirillum*
654 *brasilense* FP2. Lanes correspond to: (L) DNA Ladder, (1) *A. brasilense* FP2,
655 (2) *A. brasilense* NH, (3) *A. brasilense* SP7, (4) *A. brasilense* Sp245, (5) *A.*
656 *lipoferum* DSM 1691, (6) *A. rugosum* DSM 19657, (7) *A. canadense* LMG
657 23617, (8) *A. amazonense* DSM 2787, (9) *A. irakense* DSM 1158a, (10)
658 *Roseomonas* genomospecies 6 CCUG 33010, (11) *Roseomonas fauriae*
659 KACC 11694, and (12) negative control (no template DNA). The 16S rRNA
660 coding gene primer pair was used as positive amplification control. Primer
661 pairs AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2, AzoR5.1, AzoR5.2, and AzoR5.3 produced
662 amplicons only when *A. brasilense* FP2 DNA was used as template and were
663 considered strain-specific primers; primer pair AzoR6.1 produced cross-
664 species amplicons and was not able to amplify all *A. brasilense* strains tested
665 (i. e., no amplification for strain Sp7 was observed), being discarded for
666 further analyses.

667 **Figure 2.** Enumeration *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 in inoculated wheat roots
668 under sterile conditions. **(A)** The species-specific primer pair Azo-2 was used
669 for *A. brasilense* enumeration and strain-specific primer pairs AzoR2.1,
670 AzoR2.2, and AzoR5.3 were used for *A. brasilense* FP2 enumeration. No
671 statistical difference was observed. **(B)** Comparison of *A. brasilense* FP2
672 enumeration by qPCR and plate counting methods. Values for qPCR are
673 mean of three experiments using strain-specific primer pairs. Asterisks
674 indicate statistical difference ($p < 0.01$). **(C-D)** *A. brasilense* FP2 plant growth
675 promotion effect observed for root fresh weight and shoots, respectively.
676 Asterisks indicate statistical difference ($p < 0.01$).

677 **Figure 3.** Enumeration of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 associated with wheat
678 roots under non-sterile conditions by qPCR. **(A)** The species-specific primer
679 pair Azo-2 was used for *A. brasilense*; strain-specific primer pairs AzoR2.1,
680 AzoR2.2, and AzoR5.3 were used for the *A. brasilense* FP2; and primer pair
681 for 16S rRNA coding gene was used for total bacteria. No statistical difference
682 was observed. **(B)** Quantification of *A. brasilense* FP2 associated with wheat
683 roots by qPCR and plate counting methods. Values for qPCR are mean of
684 three strain-specific primer pairs. Asterisks indicate statistical difference
685 ($p < 0.01$).

686 **Figure 4.** Quantification of *Azospirillum brasilense* FP2 associated with wheat
687 roots under non-sterile conditions co-inoculated with *Azospirillum brasilense*
688 NH, *Herbaspirillum seropedicae* Z67, *Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus* DSM
689 5601 and *Azospirillum lipoferum* DSM 1691 by qPCR method. **(A)** The
690 species-specific primer pair Azo-2 was used for *A. brasilense* quantification;
691 strain-specific primer pairs AzoR2.1, AzoR2.2, and AzoR5.3 were used for *A.*
692 *brasilense* FP2 quantification; and primer pair for 16S rRNA coding gene was
693 used for the total bacteria quantification. For each day, different letters
694 indicate statistical difference at $p < 0.01$. **(B)** Quantification of *Azospirillum*
695 *brasilense* FP2 associated with wheat roots by qPCR and plate counts
696 methods. Values for qPCR are mean of three strain-specific primer pairs.
697 Asterisks indicate statistical difference ($p < 0.01$).

698 Table 1 Bacteria strains used in this study.

Microorganism	Reference
<i>Azospirillum amazonense</i> DSM 2787	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> FP2	Pedrosa and Yates, 1984
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> NH	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> Sp245	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum brasilense</i> Sp7	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum canadense</i> LMG 23617	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum irakense</i> DSM 11586a	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum lipoferum</i> DSM 1691	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Azospirillum rugosum</i> DSM 19657	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Burkholderia brasiliensis</i> M171	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Burkholderia tropica</i> PPe5	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Gluconacetobacter diazotrophicus</i> DSM 5601	Helmholtz Zentrum München,

<i>Roseomonas</i> genomospecies 6 CCUG 33010	strain collection Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection
<i>Roseomonas fauriae</i> KACC 11694	Helmholtz Zentrum München, strain collection

699 Table 2 Primer characteristics and qPCR evaluated parameters.

Primer	Sequence ^a	Length (mers)	GC%	R ²	Slope	Efficiency ^b	
						(E)	(%E)
16S rRNA gene ^c	F: TCGCTAGTAATCGCGGATCA	20	50%	0.9995	3.3	2.01	101.3
	R: TGTGACGGGCGGTGTGTA	18	61%				
Azo-2	F: GCGCGGGAAGTCCTGAAT	18	61%	0.9934	3.4	1.97	96.8
	R: CCCTTCACCATCCAGTCGAT	20	55%				
AzoR2.1	F: CGCCACCATGCGATCAA	17	59%	0.9980	3.3	2.01	101.3
	R: GCATGCCAGTACTGCAAGTC	21	57%				
AzoR2.2	F: CCTTCACCTGGACGGTTCAG	20	60%	0.9982	3.5	1.94	94.0
	R: CGCGGCCAGCAGACTT	16	69%				
AzoR5.1	F: GATCACTGGACTCGGCTGTCA	21	57%	0.9977	3.7	1.88	87.6
	R: ATCGACCGTTCTCAGCGTCTA	21	52%				
AzoR5.2	F: TCACTGGACTCGGCTGTCAA	20	55%	0.9996	3.6	1.89	88.8
	R: ATATCGACCGTTCTCAGCGTCTA	23	48%				
AzoR5.3	F: AATTCTTTCCGTTGGCTTTCAA	22	36%	0.9995	3.4	1.97	96.8
	R: GCTTGCCGACCGGAGTATC	19	63%				

^aF, forward primer; R, reverse primer; ^bthe efficiency was calculated using the equation $E = 10^{-1/\text{slope}} - 1$ and $\%E = (L - 1) * 100$;
^cPrimer "F" bind region from 1,267 to 1,286 bp and primer "R" bind region from 1,319 to 1,336 bp of 16S rRNA gene sequence
from *Azospirillum brasilense* Sp7 (GenBank accession number X79739).

700

















