Stimulating soil microorganisms for mineralizing the herbicide isoproturon by means of microbial electroremediating cells

Jose Rodrigo Queijgo,^{1,2} Ulrike Dörfler,² Reiner Schroll² and Abraham Esteve-Núñez^{1,3,}*

¹ University of Alcalá, Alcalá de Henares, Madrid, Spain. ²Helmholtz Zentrum München, Múnich, Germany. ³IMDEA-WATER Parque Tecnológico de Alcalá, Madrid, Spain.

Summary

The absence of suitable terminal electron acceptors (TEA) in soil might limit the oxidative metabolism of environmental microbial populations. Microbial electroremediating cells (MERCs) consist in a variety of bioelectrochemical devices that aim to overcome electron acceptor limitation and maximize metabolic oxidation with the purpose of enhancing the biodegradation of a pollutant in the environment. The objective of this work was to use MERCs principles for stimulating soil bacteria to achieve the complete biodegradation of the herbicide 14C-isoproturon (IPU) to $14CO₂$ in soils. Our study concludes that using electrodes at a positive potential [+600 mV (versus Ag/AgCl)] enhanced the mineralization by 20-fold respect the electrode-free control. We also report an overall profile of the 14 C-IPU metabolites and a ¹⁴C mass balance in response to the different treatments. The remarkable impact of electrodes on the microbial activity of natural communities suggests a promising future for this emerging environmental technology that we propose to name bioelectroventing.

Introduction

Biodegradation based on metabolic activities of microorganisms is the primary mechanism for pollutant removal in the environment. However, the process is highly dependent on the existence of indigenous degrading

Received 21 August, 2015; revised 8 January, 2016; accepted 10 January, 2016. *For correspondence. E-mail abraham.esteve@uah.es; Tel. + 34-918854950; Fax 34-91-8855088. Microbial Biotechnology (2016) 0(0), 000–000. doi:10.1111/1751-7915.12351

species as well as several abiotic factors (Vogt and Richnow, 2014). The absence of terminal electron acceptors (TEA) in soil might be responsible of the limited biodegradation of organic pollutants under anaerobic and strong reductive conditions (Megharaj et al., 2011) limiting the in situ microbial respiration. The supply of additional electron acceptors like oxygen (bioventing) (Kabelitz et al., 2009; García et al., 2010), humic acids (Lovley, 2000) or nitrates (Yu et al., 2013) to stimulate the microbial metabolism has been a common practice to remove organic pollutants, but this incurs in extra cost and specially in the case of nitrates causes secondary pollution concerns (Pandey and Fulekar, 2012).

Such a TEA limitation could be overcome using solid conductive electron acceptors like the electrodes used in microbial electroremediating cells (MERCs). MERCs are indeed variants of sediment microbial fuel cells (sMFCs). These bioelectrochemical devices use sediment-buried electrodes (anodes) acting as electron sink coupled to the microbial oxidation of organic matter. The anode is connected through an external resistance to a cathode where electrons are finally consumed by an electron acceptor as oxygen (Tender et al., 2002; Venkata Mohan et al., 2009; Domínguez-Garay et al., 2013). Both MERCs and sMFCs are bioelectrochemical devices but they differ in the operation mode. While sMFCs aim to maximize the power generation (Watts), MERCs aim to reach maximum current production (Amperes) through maximizing metabolic oxidation of organic/inorganic soil compounds (Rodrigo et al., 2014). In an experimental modus operandi, this is achieved configuring the MERCs with external resistances close to the short circuit or setting potentials electrode that favour the current production. So, regarding to the power curve of a bioelectrochemical device, the sMFCs work under an external resistance that allows performing at maximum power and MERCs work close to short circuit where the power is almost zero, offering an alternative to solve the constraints of electron acceptors and favouring the degradation of contaminants under soil-flooded conditions.

Electroactive microorganisms have been shown for almost a decade to interchange electrons with conductive materials (electrodes) buried in the soil and sediments (Reimers et al., 2001; Tender et al., 2008;

ª 2016 The Authors. Microbial Biotechnology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd and Society for Applied Microbiology. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Domínguez-Garay et al., 2013; Li and Yu, 2015). Although energy harvesting was the primary target, we have now explored additional scenarios where oxidative metabolism, e.g. mineralization of 14° C-isoproturon (¹⁴C-IPU), can be enhanced and subsequently used as new tools for stimulating environmental bacteria. Zhang et al. (2010) demonstrated for the first time that graphite electrodes could serve as an electron acceptor for the degradation of toluene and benzene in polluted slurries. Since then, enhanced biodegradation of PAHs (Morris and Jin, 2012; Yan et al., 2012; Rodrigo et al., 2014; Sherafatmand and Ng, 2015), phenol (Huang et al., 2011), pesticides (Cao et al., 2015) and chlorinated organics (Chun et al., 2013) has been reported. It is important to point out that pollutant removal by bioelectrochemical-assisted tools as MERCs involves biodegradation and not just the migration of contaminants (e.g. organochlorines) as classical physicochemical soil electroremediation does (Gomes et al., 2012).

The redox gradient in MERCs is established spontaneously across the soil–water interphase as a result of spatially segmented reduction–oxidation reactions (Li and Yu, 2015) establishing an electron transport route between electrodes. However, the soil-buried electrode potential is typically negative as a response to the biochemical environment around it so it can be inappropriate to drive the transformation for many recalcitrant organics (Zhao et al., 2006). Alternatively, an external voltage can be applied between anode and cathode for stimulating bacteria activity and consequently pollutant removal (Aulenta et al., 2007; Chun et al., 2013). However, those studies just set a constant voltage value between electrodes, but no control over the anode (the electron sink electrode) was performed.

A more advanced strategy for coping with the electron redox unbalance would be to set up electrodes at a positive potential so microorganisms may have a more redox favourable TEA for performing the oxidative reactions. Actually, it has been reported an increase in the oxidative metabolism of Geobacter sulfurreducens by increasing electrode potentials to values as high as 600 mV (versus Ag/AgCl) (Busalmen et al., 2008). Then the electrodes not only overcome the TEA limitation but also allow the controllability of the bioremediation processes that can be monitored and regulated by tuning electrochemical parameters.

The absence of suitable TEAs in anaerobic and strong reductive environments like flooded soils might be responsible of the limited biodegradation of a phenylurea herbicide as isoproturon (IPU) (Larsen and Aamand, 2001). As a consequence, the presence of IPU in groundwater may exceed the approved critical value for drinking water (0.1 μ g l⁻¹) set by the European Community Drinking Water Directive (Folberth et al., 2009).

The aim of our work was to use the mineralization of ¹⁴C-IPU in soil as a proof of concept to demonstrate how setting electrodes at positive potentials can have a high impact in the microbial oxidation activity. We have proved that the presence of electrodes artificially polarized at potentials as high as 600 mV (versus Ag/AgCl) stimulate the biodegradation in flooded environments. A similar effect is achieved when oxygen (800 mV) is artificially supplied in bioventing treatments. So thus, we propose the term bioelectroventing for referring to the process of enhancing bioremediation under soil-flooded conditions by using electrodes as microbial electron sink.

Results and discussion

Enhanced isoproturon mineralization by using positive electrode potential

The first series of experiments started by investigating the influence of a positive potential electrode on the 14C-IPU mineralization in soil. After the incubation period (25 days), the cumulative mineralization reached the value of 21.3% (average value of four experimental replicates), whereas 14C-IPU mineralization in the electrodefree control was below 1% (Fig. 1A). Regarding the low proportion of radiochemical impurities of the 14C-IPU applied in this series (98% purity), most of the ${}^{14}CO_2$ derives from the radiochemical pollutant. Sterile pol-MERCs were assayed in order to prove that polarized electrodes by themselves were not performing abiotic electro-oxidation of 14C-IPU. Actually, the sterilized system (1.49 \pm 0.05% mineralization after 25 days of incubation) confirms the mineralization of 14 C-IPU by means of the native microbial population in our soil assays. Interestingly, the cumulative mineralization under both, electrode-free control and sterile pol-MERCs were lower than the impurities fraction in our 14 C-IPU (2%).

So, the enhancing effect of the anode in the microbial metabolic processes raised the mineralization over 20 fold in comparison with the electrode-free control under flooded conditions (1%). Moreover, the anodic enhancement outperformed the aerobic mineralization (8%) previously reported by Folberth et al., 2009 using the same soil Aric Anthrosol. So thus, this fact underlines the doubtful implication of oxygen as a possible mechanism to enhance the mineralization of IPU under the presence of a polarized electrode, which is consistent with the strong reductive scenario-taking place under soil-flooded conditions.

Our pol-MERCs assays achieved a high cumulative mineralization together with a high mineralization rate (Fig. 1B), both common features present in biodegradation when the pollutant acts as a source of energy for the microbial degrading community (Grundmann et al., 2011). In consequence, our electrode-assisted treatment

Fig. 1. A. Cumulative mineralization of ¹⁴C-IPU within 25 days in pol-MERCs ($n = 4$, SD) compared with mineralization capability of the soil under the electrode-free conditions ($n = 3$, SD).

B. Cumulative mineralization and rate of ${}^{14}CO_2$ production from ${}^{14}C$ -IPU under long-term MERCs treatment. During the first phase, the polarized anode acted as TEA at 0.6 V versus Ag/AgCl [+197 mV versus normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)]. This phase ended with the anode potential reversed to 300 mV versus Ag/AgCl for 12 h. During the second phase, the anode potential was achieved by connecting the electrodes through a 56 ohm resistor.

offers a malleable TEA capable to adapt to different redox-dependent processes and perform in situ bioremediation by avoiding chemicals consumption or soil manipulation with negative environmental consequences.

To the best of our knowledge, it is the first time that a significantly ¹⁴C-IPU mineralization has been reported under soil-flooded conditions. Most of the research regarding biodegradation of IPU has been almost fully devoted to the aerobic metabolism, and just a few reports are available for degradation of this substance under strong reductive conditions, where significant mineralization of IPU has not being reported elsewhere. Larsen et al. (2000) found no mineralization of IPU anaerobically in the presence of nitrate in microcosm experiments with a sandy aquifer sediment and in a subsequent study they detected no mineralization of IPU in different aquifer sediments under denitrifying, sulfatereducing or methanogenic conditions following incubation for 312 days at 10°C (Larsen and Aamand, 2001). The same results were obtained examining subsurface limestone samples after 250 days (Janniche et al., 2010).

The neutral pH of our soil (6.8) and the flooding soil conditions of our assays allow $CO₂$ to dissolve in water and establish an equilibrium with carbonic acid leading to negative-charged species as $\mathsf{HCO_3}^-$ and $\mathsf{CO_3}^{2-}$ that could be adsorbed on the surface of positive polarized electrodes. In order to evaluate this hypothesis, just after 25 days of assay, the electrode potential was shifted from positive potential (+600 mV versus a Ag/AgCl) to negative one $(-300 \text{ mV}$ versus a Ag/AgCl) in both pol-MERCs and sterile pol-MERCs (Fig. 1B). The negative potential was kept for 12 h to release and monitor the $14CO₂$ electro-adsorbed in the anode. The mineralization rate increased in pol-MERCs from 0.01 to 0.05 μ g day⁻¹ g⁻¹ (dry soil) of ¹⁴C-IPU mineralized but not increased was registered in sterile pol-MERCs.

The transitory assay of reversing the electrode potential was followed by a long-term assay for evaluating the response of the electroactive microbial community to a different electrode potential. So thus, two of the four pol-MERCs replicates were kept running for 12 additional weeks after converting the pol-MERCs into MERCs by

substituting the potentiostat by a low external resistor (Fig. 1B). The new configuration led to a drop off in the redox anode potential from +600 mV (versus Ag/AgCl) to a negative anode potential $[-200 \text{ mV}$ (versus Ag/ AgCl)] for the rest of the assay. The effect was evident from the shift in the slope for the cumulative $14C$ -IPU mineralization, decreasing from three- to nine-fold over the course of the second phase. This strongly supports the key role of setting a positive anode potential to achieve an optimal in situ biodegradation of IPU.

It is an accepted practice in traditional bioremediation techniques like bioventing (Kabelitz *et al.*, 2009; García et al., 2010) to support microbial respiration by supplying a TEA with a positive redox potential like oxygen (+800 mV). One disadvantage of these strategies is the necessity to supply continuously the oxidant, which spread out to the environment and negatively affect the native microbial community. In contrast pol-MERCs provide an endless, low priced and sustainable terminal electron sink showing minimal environmental disturbance (Logan et al., 2006). So thus, we propose the term bioelectroventing for referring to the process of enhancing bioremediation by using electrodes as microbial electron sink.

Electrochemical performance of pol-MERCs

In order to evaluate the electrochemical performance the current production was continuously registered in pol-MERCs (Fig. 2A). Soil under this treatment showed just 1 day of lag phase, reaching a maximum current density of 35 mA m^{-2} before entering into steady-state (ca. 15 mA m^{-2}).

To give insight into the nature of this response, we performed cyclic voltammetries (CV) of the anodes from pol-MERCs. A different bioelectrochemical profile was observed after 25 days of incubation. In fact, Fig. 2B shows an inflexion peak centred at +0.300 V (versus Ag/ AgCl reference) in comparison with initial time anodes and with the CV of the sterile pol-MERCs. So thus, the intensity of the signals provides an indication of an enrichment of microbial communities with electron transfer capabilities.

In addition, CV analysis did not show any peak corresponding to the oxidation of water, even after performing the analysis under a wide voltage interval (from +1 V to -1 V). This issue confirmed that the electrode potential applied was not enough to drive oxygen production at the anode.

Fig. 2. A. Chronoamperometry of pol-MERCs polarized at 0.6 V (versus Ag/AgCl). Geometrical anode surface was used for calculating the current density.

B. Cyclic voltammetry tests (scan rate: 1 mV s⁻¹) carried out at initial experimental time and after 25 days under bioelectrochemical-assisted soil (pol-MERCs and sterile pol-MERCs).

Characterization of the 14 C-IPU mass balance

In addition to the cumulative $14CO₂$ mineralization, the soil- and electrode-associated radioactivity should be measured in order to conform the ¹⁴C mass balance established in our experimental system. So thus, methanol extractable residue (ER) and non-extractable residues (NER) of 14 C-IPU that remained in the soil and electrodes during the course of the assays were shown in Fig. 3A. Interestingly, these mass balances ranged between 91.2% and 98.4% of the initially supplied ¹⁴C-IPU under pol-MERCs and electrode-free control respectively. This parameter was therefore an indicator of the effectiveness of our experimental design and underlines the presence of potential losses of $14CO₂$, especially under conditions of high mineralization rates (pol-MERCs treatment). The extractable ¹⁴C-residues in the soil samples varied considerably between the different experimental conditions after 25 days of incubation. The ER did reach 53.9% of the applied radioactivity for the electrode-free control but it did just 15% in soil under the pol-MERCs treatment. On the contrary, a similar distribution was observed for NER regardless the treatment: 43.6% for electrode-free control and 40.4% for pol-MERCs. Examining the 14C mass balance of the long-term assay (110 days), we observed an increase in the NER in comparison with the standard pol-MERCs. The formation of NER are often explained by binding of a xenobiotic to the soil matrix, specially to the soil organic matter and has been reported that IPU metabolites are mostly adsorbed onto organic matter in soils (Ertli et al., 2004). Another possible pathway for the formation of NER is the 14 C-IPU-degradation by microorganisms that can use it as an energy source and for microbial growth; as a result, $14C$ -residues are incorporated into biomolecules and e.g. subsequently bound to soil ('apparent NER') when microbes die (Grundmann et al., 2011). Positive correlations between NER formation and IPU aerobic mineralization have been previously reported (Alletto et al., 2006), which could explain the similar NER fraction under electrode-free control and pol-MERCs despite the broad 14C-IPU cumulative mineralization.

Adsorption-desorption assays were conducted to exam the affinity of ¹⁴C-IPU and different electro conductive materials. Carbon felt showed a convenient physicmechanical properties and it was established as the electrode material for our assays due to the lack of IPU adsorption (Figs. S1 and S2). Nevertheless, to calculate the total recoveries of $14C$ -radioactivity at the end of the experiments we extracted by accelerated solvent extraction (ASE) and combusted the carbon felt electrodes, both the anode and the cathode separately (Fig. 3B). The total amount of ${}^{14}C$ radioactivity, extractable and

Fig. 3. A. Distribution and mass balance of different soil treatments regarding initial radioactivity of ¹⁴C-IPU. B. Extractable and non-extractable 14C residues in carbon felt electrodes used under different treatments. Bars represent standard deviation.

non-extractable, in the electrodes reached 13.7% in pol-MERCs. In the long-term assay where pol-MERCs treatment was followed by MERC treatment, the percentage of total ¹⁴C-residues in the electrodes was reduced to 7.8%. Interestingly, the amount of ER (0.2%) was extremely low in those anodes that were used for such a long incubation period. A similar result was previously reported by Zhang et al. (2010) using polluted slurries, although they reported a higher adsorption for benzene and toluene in the electrodes (70%) and also confirmed that toluene adsorbed on the graphite could be metabolized.

14 C-IPU biodegradation pathway

Since the discovery and marketing of phenylurea herbicides shortly after the Second World War, this group of chemicals has grown to be one of the most important classes of herbicides for agricultural use (Sørensen et al., 2003). The removal of IPU from the environment has received considerable attention, mainly under aerobic conditions and despite many anoxic environments like groundwater aquifers, subsurface soil and seasonally flooded agricultural soils, biodegradation of IPU under anaerobic and strong reductive conditions is largely unknown (Larsen and Aamand, 2001). The absence of suitable TEA might be responsible of the limited biodegradation of IPU under strong reductive scenarios (Larsen and Aamand, 2001; Megharaj et al., 2011). Interestingly, this is the first time that IPU is reported to be mineralized under soil-flooded conditions so we can conclude that the use of polarized electrodes have accelerated the finding of new biodegradation pathways that were not found for decades.

Typical mineralization pathway of IPU by bacterial strains includes two successive N-demethylations, cleavage of both the urea side-chain and the aromatic ring, and a final mineralization to $CO₂$ and production of biomass (Sørensen and Aamand, 2001). Two alternative metabolic pathways were reported involving initial hydroxylation of the isopropyl side-chain, resulting in either 1-OH-IPU, a dead-end metabolite just detected in bacterial cultures derived from soil, or 2-OH-IPU, described in agricultural soils (Lehr et al., 1996; Scheunert and Reuter, 2000). These metabolites were reported just under aerobic pathways. Just a few reports about the anaerobic degradation of this substance are available and they do not included information about either the microbial reactions or their metabolites accumulated under flooded conditions (Larsen et al., 2000; Larsen and Aamand, 2001; Janniche et al., 2010).

Exhaustive analysis of our treated soil revealed six radioactive IPU metabolites that were compared with authentic analytical standards. In addition to the parent compound IPU, we have successfully identified three metabolites and detected two unidentified metabolites among the degradation products (Table 1): monodemethyl-isoproturon (MDIPU), (3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-1-methylurea), didemethyl-isoproturon (DD-IPU) (3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-urea) and 2-OH-mono-demethylisoproturon (2-OH-MIPU) 3-(4-(2-hydroxyisopropylphenyl))1-methylurea. IPU as well as any other phenylurea is not subjected to chemical degradation within the pH range of 4–10. Consequently, chemical degradation of IPU in soils is of minor importance (Sørensen et al., 2003) making it a recalcitrant xenobiotic. So thus, the metabolites observed in this study therefore resulted from microbial attack of the parent compound.

Table 1. Profile composition of the methanol extractable residues (Peaks areas appear as % of extract-¹⁴C and metabolite concentrations as μ g g⁻¹ dry soil) for either, electrode-free control and polarized-MERCs.

Isoproturon and metabolites	RT (min)	Electrode-free control		pol-MERC	
		Area %	μ g g ⁻¹ dry soil	Area %	dry soil μ g g ⁻ '
2-OH-MIPU ^a	$11.90 + 0.11$	$0.72 + 0.16$	$0.024 + 0.008$	3.87	0.035
Unidentified	$13.01 + 0.05$	$2.75 + 0.51$	$0.081 + 0.014$	6.53	0.058
Unidentified	$13.94 + 0.05$	$1.82 + 0.04$	$0.040 + 0.009$	2.76	0.025
DD-IPU ^b	$17.60 + 0.06$	$1.39 + 0.17$	$0.029 + 0.005$	6.52	0.058
MDIPU^c	18.70 ± 0.02	16.82 ± 0.35	$0.421 + 0.006$	60.77	0.543
IPU^d	$19.95 + 0.02$	76.5 ± 0.19	1.913 ± 0.006	19.98	0.179
4 -IPA e	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d	n.d

a. 3-(4-(2-hydroxyisopropylphenyl)) 1-methylurea.

b. [3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-urea].

c. [3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-1-methylurea].

d. [3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea].

 $n = 3 \pm SD$ except pol-MERC which analysis was conducted with pooled samples replicates to exceed detection limit, therefore no standard deviation can be given.

n.d: not detectable.

e. 4-isopropyl-aniline.

The two most abundant metabolites were obtained after demethylation of the N,N-dimethylurea side-chain to generate MDIPU and DD-IPU (Fig. 4, steps 1 and 2). MDIPU has been previously reported to be the most abundant metabolite after IPU biotransformation in agricultural soils, as well as in pure cultures assays by soil fungi and bacteria (Sørensen et al., 2003). Moreover, the abundance levels for MDIPU in pol-MERCs extracts were ca. five-fold higher than in environments under negative redox potential like the electrode-free control. On the contrary, the abundance of IPU in pol-MERCs extracts was ca. fivefold lower, going down the concentration from the initial 5 (\pm 0.1) μ g g⁻¹ dry soil to 0.179 μ g g⁻¹ dry soil, while under electrode-free control, the decrease reached only 1.9 (\pm 0.006) μ g g⁻¹ dry soil. These concentrations were calculated based on the ¹⁴C chromatograms from the HPLC (coupled to a radioactivity detector) and the specific radioactivity of our standard applied in the soil (radioactivity per unit mass of the stated compound).

Monodemethyl-isoproturon has been reported to generate 4-IA before cleavage of the aromatic ring and final mineralization to $CO₂$ (Sørensen and Aamand, 2001). So thus, low concentrations of 4-IA have been detected in IPU-treated agricultural soils (Lehr et al., 1996) and during the mineralization of IPU by Sphingomonas sp. strain SRS2 (Sørensen and Aamand, 2001). On the contrary, none of our bioremediation treatments showed 4-IA among the radioactive metabolites from ¹⁴C-IPU (Fig. 4). It has being reported the irreversible bound of this metabolite to soil organic matter, increasing the NER and subsequently decreasing the availability of the compound to the degrading microbial communities (Scheunert and Reuter, 2000; Johannesen et al., 2003). The hydroxyl derivative 2-OH-MIPU in our experiments has been detected at levels ca. fivefold higher in pol-MERCs extracts than under electrode-free control (Fig. 4, step 4). This intermediate is part of an alternative metabolic path initiated with the hydroxylation of the isopropyl side-chain. Regarding the unidentified metabolites, they were only observed in low levels and just slight changes were observed when the electrode was set at positive potential, suggesting that they are due to biological reactions from the soil.

Although oxygen cannot be generated under our conditions, our assays revealed common metabolite patterns with aerobic conditions. Interestingly burying a positive potential electrode in flooded soil may remodel the redox

Fig. 4. Proposed biodegradation pathways for IPU under polarized-MERCs conditions.

scenario and as a consequence may influence the dominant energy metabolic pathways leading to common metabolite patterns with aerobic conditions. This new redox context under the influence of the electric field of the polarized electrode may change the permeability of cell membrane, leading to the excessive absorbance of extracellular substances and further change the microbial metabolism (Rittmann and McCarty, 2001). In addition, predominance of anode respiration over fermentation (Hunt et al., 2010; Pinchuk et al., 2011) and a suppression of several anaerobic species, such as archaea and sulfide-producing populations at high redox potentials have been recently reported (Lu et al., 2014a; Ueno and Kitajima, 2014). Interestingly, bioenergetics and therefore the profile of metabolites during IPU biodegradation may change by shifting from substratelevel phosphorylation (i.e. anaerobic fermentation) to oxidative phosphorylation (i.e. electrode respiration).

Further research is currently being performed to unveil whether these processes or some others as electro osmotic flow inside the saturated soil could be affected by the polarized electrode presence. Moreover, it is still unclear how a polarized electrode affects the microbial community activity to enhance in situ bioremediation.

Experimental procedures

Chemicals

Uniformly ¹⁴C ring-labelled isoproturon [3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-1,1-dimethylurea] $(^{14}C$ -IPU) with a specific radioactivity of 9.96 kBq μ g⁻¹ and radiochemical purity of 98% according to the producer was purchased from GE Healthcare (Little Chalfont, UK) and used as a representative pesticide for phenylurea herbicides. The 14C-IPU was mixed with unlabelled IPU to provide a final concentration of 1.75 mg ml^{-1} and a specific radioactivity of 154 Bq μ g⁻¹. The new mix was denominated ' 14C-IPU standard mix'. Non-labelled IPU, MDIPU [3-(4 isopropylphenyl)-1-methylurea], 2-OH-MIPU 3-(4-(2 hydroxyisopropylphenyl))1-methylurea, DD-IPU [3-(4-isopropylphenyl)-urea] and 4-isopropyl-aniline (4-IPA) were purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Augsburg, Germany; purity 99.5%). Scintillation cocktails (Ultima Gold XR and Ultima Flo AF) were obtained from Packard (Dreieich, Germany). All other chemicals and solvents were of analytical grade and were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany).

Soil

The soil material was an Aric Anthrosol from an agricultural field (Hohenwart; latitude: 48.250, longitude: 11.567, elevation 472 m) in Germany without IPU history and with an organic matter content of 0.99%. A complete physical–chemical analysis of this soil was previously reported by Grundmann et al. (2011). Soil samples were taken from 0 to 20 cm and were stored in plastic bags at -20°C according to guidelines of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (Organisation for Economic Cooperationand Development, 1995). The soil samples were unfrozen 2 weeks before the start of the experiment following the next incubation protocol: first they were kept at $+4^{\circ}$ C for 7 days, and then another 7 days at room temperature (20 \pm 1°C). The first day at room temperature the soil was moistened to a water potential close but below -15 kPa and compacted to a density of 1.3 g cm^{-3} to equilibrate all microbial processes and ensure comparable conditions at the start of the experiments. Previous studies have shown that the microbial activity is at its optimum at these soil conditions (Schroll et al., 2006). The conductivity, 0.247 mS cm^{-1} , was measured just before the set up of the experiment with the commercially available ECa sensors UMP-1 (Umwelt-Geräte-Technik, Freising/Weihenstephan, Germany). This tool can be used for in situ EC measurements with minimal disruption because the sole requirement consists in burying the probe needs in the soil. The measurements were conducted under the same conditions (water content and temperature) than for the biodegradation assay.

Spiking of the soil samples

Exactly 100 μ l of ¹⁴C-IPU standard mix was applied to an aliquot of 3 g dried-and-ground soil and homogeneously mixed. After evaporation of the organic solvent (methanol), the soil aliquot was mixed with 32 g (dry weight equivalent) of equilibrated soil with the goal to distribute the pollutant homogenously resulting in a concentration of 5 (\pm 0.1) µg g⁻¹ soil (dry weight) and a radioactivity of 154 Bq μ g⁻¹. The spiked soil sample was transferred to the opaque glass flask of the laboratory system described below, compacted to a soil density of 1.3 g cm^{-3} and adjusted to flooded conditions (water holding capacity $+35$ ml extra deionized water). As a result 2 cm water body was maintained above the soil in order to ensure flooded conditions. Water evaporation was compensated three times per week by the addition of deionized water.

Laboratory system

The mineralization experiment was conducted in a laboratory system built in approximation to the OECD guideline for testing of chemicals 304A (Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development, 1981). It consisted of opaque glass flasks (250 ml volume; neoLab, Heidelberg, Germany), which were closed with a rubber

stopper (neoLab); at the bottom of the stopper a plastic beaker of 25 ml volume (VWR International, Darmstadt, Germany) was attached. The plastic beaker was filled with 10 ml of 0.1 N NaOH (Merck) to trap the $^{14}CO₂$ resulting from the mineralization of ¹⁴C-IPU. The NaOH solution was exchanged three times per week and from the collected NaOH solution an aliquot of 2 ml was taken, mixed with 3 ml Ultima FLO AF (PerkinElmer, Rodgau, Germany) and the radioactivity was measured in a liquid scintillation counter (Tricarb 1900 TR; Packard). A hollow needle (neoLab) conducted through the rubber stopper allowed a constant supply of $O₂$. To prevent saturation of the NaOH solution with atmospheric CO2, a plastic reservoir (neoLab) filled with soda lime (Merck) was connected to the needle.

MERCs: Operating conditions

Microbial electroremediating cells were assembled in the laboratory system (Fig. 5). The electrode used in this experiment was carbon felt (Sofacel, Barcelona, Spain), as it showed no IPU adsorption (Figs. S1 and S2) and very adequate mechanical properties to conform the system. Although a diversity of electrode materials can serve as an electron acceptor for microbial respiration, carbon felt as graphite can provide a low-cost, low-maintenance, continuous sink for electrons as it does not corrode or otherwise degrade during long-term deployments (Reimers et al., 2006; Tender et al., 2008) so it is easy to remove from the soil after the treatment, showing a low impact for the environment. The electrodes were allocated at the bottom of the soil layer (anode) and

Fig. 5. Scheme of a pol-MERC. The anode was polarized at 0.6 V versus Ag/AgCl [+197 mV versus normal hydrogen electrode (NHE)]. $^{14}CO₂$ was trapped in a 0.1 N NaOH solution for allowing the measurement of ¹⁴C-IPU.

above the water body (cathode). The geometrical area of the electrodes was 39 cm^2 (surface area: $0.7 \,$ m² g⁻¹).

Microbial electroremediating cells were continuously operated at a poised anode potential of +600 mV versus Ag/AgCl reference electrode (RE-5B; BASi, West Lafayette, USA) (+199 mV versus SHE) by using a potentiostat (NEV2 nanoelectra). Henceforth, these MERCs will be designated as pol-MERCs.

Abiotic electrochemical reactions on ¹⁴C-IPU were evaluated by using sterile pol-MERCs. The soil was sterilized with γ -irradiation. The use of gamma γ -irradiation as a method for soil sterilization for laboratory experiments has been recommended over other sterilization techniques (McNamara et al., 2003) with advantages as highly effectiveness at sterilization while avoiding chemical contamination. Moreover, small doses of γ -irradiation are capable of sterilizing large soil samples without increasing soil temperature or pressure. Gammacell 220 cobalt-60 irradiation unit was used to sterilize samples contained in vented polypropylene tubes for 96 h at a rate of 8.33 Gy min⁻¹ for a total γ -ray dosage of 60 KGy. Biologic activity was tested by dish-plate inoculation. Eluates of soil were prepared using phosphate buffer (pH 8) in 1:10 soil–water ratio. 1:10 and 1:100 dilutions were carried out and incubated at 30°C in LB agar plates during 72 h. Colonies formation was detected only in plates seeding with eluates of non-sterilized soil.

Electrode-free controls were assembled in the laboratory system without the presence of the electrodes and under the same water content, temperature and ¹⁴C-IPU concentrations than pol-MERCs.

Mineralization assays

The mineralization of 14 C-IPU to 14 CO₂ was studied in a closed aerated laboratory system, as described before. The soil samples were incubated at $30 \pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C for 25 days in the dark. The first sampling of the NaOH trap was performed 24 h after 14 C-IPU additions. Then sampling was performed three times per week.

The ${}^{14}CO_2$ production rate was expressed as μ g day⁻¹ g⁻¹ of ¹⁴C-IPU and the cumulative ¹⁴CO₂ as percentage of the applied 14C-IPU. After 25 days of incubation, two of the four replicates of the pol-MERCs treatment were sacrificed for soil analysis. The other two replicates were shifted into MERCs conditions where anode and cathode were connected by a copper wire using a 56 Ω external resistor (R), where the redox potential of the anode in MERCs was set by the redox potential differences across sediment/water. 14C-IPU mineralization was monitored for another 12 weeks in the absence of artificial anode poisoning.

14C-IPU residue analysis

At the end of the incubation periods, all soil samples were extracted, and the extracts were cleaned up and analysed by HPLC. The 14 C NERs were quantified by combustion.

Soil aliquots and carbon felt were separately extracted with methanol in an accelerated solvent extractor (ASE 200; Dionex, Idstein, Germany) at 90°C, with a pressure of 10 MPa. One hundred microlitre aliquots of each extract were mixed with 5 ml Ultima Gold XR and measured by liquid scintillation counting. The ASE extracts were concentrated on a rotary evaporator to remove the organic solvent. The concentrated extracts were adjusted to 250 ml with distilled water and subjected to solid phase extraction (SPE; Lichrolut ENV 200 mg; Varian, Darmstadt, Germany). After extraction, the SPE columns were dried under a gentle nitrogen stream and eluted with 10 ml methanol. The eluate was concentrated to a volume of 1 ml with a rotary evaporator and further concentrated under a gentle nitrogen stream to volumes between 40 and 380 µl, depending on the total ¹⁴C-radioactivity of the samples. The samples were immediately analysed by HPLC. Twenty microlitre of each of these samples were injected to a HPLC system, consisting of a L-6200 Intelligent Pump (Merck-Hitachi, Darmstadt, Germany) a UV/VIS detector (240 nm; Merck) and a radioactivity detector LB 506 C1 (Berthold, Wildbad, Germany). The column used was a Lichrospher 100 RP-18, 5 μ m, 4 \times 250 mm (Merck). The mobile phase consisted of $A =$ acetonitrile (HPLC grade) and $B =$ water (Lichrosolv water for chromatography; Merck) at a flow rate of 1 ml min $^{-1}$. The gradient programme was as follows: T0 min 95% A, T15 min 40% A, T20 min 40% A, T25 min 95% A, T30 min 95% A. Parent compound and metabolites were identified by comparison of their retention times with reference substances.

After performing ASE, in order to achieve the quantification of 14 C-labelled NER, soil material was homogenized intensively before combusting. Three aliquots (each approximately 250 mg) of each soil sample were filled into combustion cups and mixed with 7–8 drops of saturated aqueous sugar solution to guarantee a complete oxidation of the ¹⁴C. Carbon felt electrodes from each assay were cut in pieces and placed into combustion cups. Combustion was conducted with an automatic sample-oxidizer 306 (Packard). ${}^{14}CO_2$ was trapped in Carbo- Sorb E (Packard) and mixed with Permafluor E (Packard) prior to scintillation counting.

Electrochemical analysis

The anode potential in pol-MERCs was continuously poised at +600 mV versus Ag/AgCl (+197 mV versus normal hydrogen electrode) reference electrode (RE-5B; BASi) using a potentiostat (NEV1-3 Nanoelectra, Madrid, Spain) and the resulting current was recorded every 60 s.

Cyclic voltammetry was recorded to qualitatively characterize the electrochemical activity of the soil by imposing at a scan rate of 1 mV s^{-1} from the open circuit voltage potential by a potentiostat (NEV3 Nanoelectra).

References

- Alletto, L., Coquet, Y., Benoit, P., and Bergheaud, V. (2006) Effects of temperature and water content on degradation of isoproturon in three soil profiles. Chemosphere, 64: 1053–1061.
- Aulenta, F., Catervi, A., Majone, M., Panero, S., Reale, P., and Rossetti, S. (2007) Electron transfer from a solidstate electrode assisted by methyl viologen sustains efficient microbial reductive dechlorination of TCE. Environ Sci Technol, 41: 2554–2559.
- Busalmen, J.P., Esteve-Nuñez, A., and Feliu, J.M. (2008) Whole cell electrochemistry of electricity-producing microorganisms evidence and adaptation for optimal exocellular electron transport. Environ Sci Technol, 42: 2445–2450.
- Cao, X., Song, H.-L., Yu, C.-Y., and Li, X.-N. (2015) Simultaneous degradation of toxic refractory organic pesticide and bioelectricity generation using a soil microbial fuel cell. Bioresour Technol, 189: 87-93.
- Chun, C.L., Payne, R.B., Sowers, K.R., and May, H.D. (2013) Electrical stimulation of microbial PCB degradation in sediment. Water Res, 47: 141–152.
- Domínguez-Garay, A., Berná, A., Ortiz-Bernad, I., and Esteve-Núñez, A. (2013) Colloid formation for outperforming sediment microbial fuel cells in a low conductivity soil. Environ Sci Technol, 47: 2117–2122.
- Ertli, T., Marton, A., and Földényi, R. (2004) Effect of pH and the role of organic matter inthe adsorption of isoproturon on soils. Chemosphere, 57: 771-779.
- Folberth, C., Scherb, H., Suhadolc, M., Munch, J.C., and Schroll, R. (2009) In situ mass distribution quotient (iMDQ) – a new factor to compare bioavailability of chemicals in soils? Chemosphere, 75: 707-713.
- García, F.J., Escolano, O., García, S., Babín, M., and Fernández, M.D. (2010) Bioventing remediation and ecotoxicity evaluation of phenanthrene-contaminated soil. J Hazard Mater, 183: 806–813.
- Gomes, H.I., Dias-Ferreira, C., and Ribeiro, A.B. (2012) Electrokinetic remediation of organochlorines in soil: enhancement techniques and integration with other remediation technologies. Chemosphere, 87: 1077–1090.
- Grundmann, S., Doerfler, U., Munch, J.M., Ruth, B., and Schroll, R. (2011) Impact of soil water regime on degradation and plant uptake behaviour of the herbicide isoproturon in different soil types. Chemosphere, 82: 1461–1467.
- Huang, D.-Y., Zhou, S.-C., Chen, Q., Zhao, B., Yuan, Y., and Zhuang, L. (2011) Enhanced anaerobic degradation of organic pollutants in a soil microbial fuel cell. Chem Eng J, 172: 647–653.

- Hunt, K.A., Flynn, J.M., Naranjo, B., Shikhare, I.D., and Gralnick, J.A. (2010) Substrate-level phosphorylation is the primary source of energy conservation during anaerobic respiration of Shewanella oneidensis strain MR-1. J Bacteriol, 192: 3345–3351.
- Janniche, G., Lindberg, E., Mouvet, C., and Albrechtsen, H.J. (2010) Mineralization of isoproturon, mecoprop and acetochlor in a deep unsaturated limestone and Sandy aquifer. Chemosphere, 81: 823–984.
- Johannesen, H., Sørensen, S.R., and Aamand, J. (2003) Mineralization of soil-aged isoproturon and isoproturon metabolites by Sphingomonas sp. strain SRS2. J Environ Qual, 32: 1250–1257.
- Kabelitz, N., Machackova, J., Imfeld, G., Brennerova, M., Pieper, D.H., Heipieper, H.J., and Junca, H. (2009) Enhancement of the microbial community biomass and diversity during air sparging bioremediation of a soil highly contaminated with kerosene and BTEX. Appl Microbiol Biotechnol, 82: 565–577.
- Larsen, L., and Aamand, J. (2001) Degradation of herbicides in two sandy aquifers under different redox conditions. Chemosphere, 44: 231–236.
- Larsen, L., Sørensen, S.R., and Aamand, J. (2000) Mecoprop, isoproturon, and atrazine in and above a sandy aquifer: vertical distribution of mineralization potential. Environ Sci Technol, 34: 2426–2430.
- Lehr, S., Glassgen, W.E., Sandermann, H., Beese, F., Jr, and Scheunert, I. (1996) Metabolism of isoproturon in soils originating from diferent agricultural management systems and in cultures of isolated soil bacteria. Int J Environ Anal Chem, 65: 231–243.
- Li, W.W., and Yu, H.Q. (2015) Stimulating sediment bioremedation with benthic microbial fuel cells. Biotechnol Adv, 33: 1–12.
- Logan, B.E., Hamelers, B., Rozendal, R., Schroder, U., Keller, J., Freguia, S., et al. (2006) Microbial fuel cells: methodology and technology. Environ Sci Technol, 40: 5181–5192.
- Lovley, D.R. (2000) Anaerobic benzene degradation. Biodegradation, 11: 107–116.
- Lu, L., Huggins, T., Jin, S., Zuo, Y., and Ren, Z.J. (2014a) Microbial metabolism and community structure in response to bioelectrochemically enhanced remediation of petroleum hydrocarboncontaminated soil. Environ Sci Technol, 48: 4021–4029.
- McNamara, N., Black, H., Beresford, N., and Parekh, N. (2003) Effects of acute gamma irradiation on chemical, physical and biological properties of soils. Appl Soil Ecol, 24: 117–132.
- Megharaj, M., Ramakrishnan, B., Venkateswarlu, K., Sethunathan, N., and Naidu, R. (2011) Bioremediation approaches for organic pollutants: a critical perspective. Environ Int, 37: 1362–1375.
- Morris, J.M., and Jin, S. (2012) Enhanced biodegradation of hydrocarbon-contaminated sediments using microbial fuel cells. J Hazard Mater, 213–214: 474–477.
- Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development. OECD guideline for testing chemicals (1981) Inherent Biodegradability in Soil. Test N° 304 A. Paris, France, 11 pp.
- Organisation for Economic Cooperationand Development (1995) Final report of the OECD workshop on selection of soils/sediments. Belgirate, Italy, 55 pp.
- Pandey, B., and Fulekar, M.H. (2012) Bioremediation technology: a new horizon for environmental clean-up. Biol Med, 4: 51–59.
- Pinchuk, G.E., Geydebrekht, O.V., Hill, E.A., Reed, J.L., Konopka, A.E., Beliaev, A.S., et al. (2011) Pyruvate and lactate metabolism by Shewanella oneidensis MR-1 under fermentation, oxygen limitation, and fumarate respiration conditions. Appl Environ Microbiol, 77: 8234–8240.
- Reimers, C.E., Tender, L.M., Fertig, S., and Wang, W. (2001) Harvesting energy from the marine sediment-water interface. Environ Sci Technol, 35: 192–195.
- Reimers, C.E., Girguis, P., Stecher, H.A., Tender, L.M., Ryckelynck, N., and Whaling, R. (2006) Microbial fuel cell energy from an ocean cold seep. Geobiology, 4: 123–136.
- Rittmann, B.E., and McCarty, P.L. (eds) (2001) Environmental Biotechnology: Principles and Applications. New York, USA: McGraw-Hill.
- Rodrigo, J., Boltes, K., and Esteve-Nunez, A. (2014) Microbial-electrochemical bioremediation and detoxification of dibenzothiophene-polluted soil. Chemosphere, 101: 61– 65.
- Scheunert, I., and Reuter, S. (2000) Formation and release of residues of the ¹⁴C-labelled herbicide isoproturon and its metabolites bound in model polymers and in soil. Environ Pollut, 108: 61–68.
- Schroll, R., Becher, H., Dorfler, U., Gayler, S., Grundmann, S., Hartmann, H.P., and Ruoss, J. (2006) Quantifying the effect of soil moisture on the aerobic microbial mineralization of selected pesticides in different soils. Environ Sci Technol, 40: 3305–3312.
- Sherafatmand, M., and Ng, H.Y. (2015) Using sediment microbial fuel cells (SMFCs) for bioremediation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs). Bioresour Technol, 195: 122–130.
- Sørensen, S.R., and Aamand, J. (2001) Biodegradation of the phenylurea herbicide isoproturon and its metabolites in agricultural soils. Biodegradation, 12: 69–77.
- Sørensen, S.R., Bending, G.D., Jacobsen, C.S., Walker, A., and Aamand, J. (2003) Microbial degradation of isoproturon and related phenylurea herbicides in and below agricultural fields. FEMS Microbial Ecol, 45: 1–11.
- Tender, L.M., Reimers, C.E., Stecher, H.A., Holmes, D.E., Bond, D.R., Lowy, D.A., et al. (2002) Harnessing microbially generated power on the seafloor. Nat Biotechnol, 20: 821–825.
- Tender, L., Gray, S., Groveman, E., Lowy, D., Kauffma, P., Melhado, R., et al. (2008) The first demonstration of a microbial fuel cell as a viable power supply: powering a meteorological buoy. J Power Sourc, 179: 571–575.
- Ueno, Y., and Kitajima, Y. (2014) Suppression of methane gas emissions and analysis of the electrode microbial community in a sediment-based bio-electrochemical system. Adv Microb, 4: 252–266.
- Venkata Mohan, S., Srikanth, S., Veer Raghuvulu, S., Mohanakrishna, G., Kiran Kumar, A., and Sarma, P.N. (2009) Evaluation of the potential of various aquatic ecosystems in harnessing bioelectricity through benthic fuel

cell: effect of electrode assembly and wáter characteristics. Bioresour Technol, 100: 2240–2246.

- Vogt, C., and Richnow, H.H. (2014) Bioremediation via in situ microbial degradation of organic pollutants. Adv Biochem Eng Biotechnol, 142: 123–146.
- Yan, Z., Song, N., Cai, H., Tay, J.H., and Jiang, H. (2012) Enhanced degradation of phenanthrene and pyrene in freshwater sediments by combined employment of sediment microbial fuel cell and amorphous ferric hydroxide. J Hazard Mater, 199–200: 217–225.
- Yu, H.Y., Wang, Y.K., Chen, P.C., Li, F.B., Chen, M.J., Hu, M., and Ouyang, X. (2013) Effect of nitrate addition on reductive transformation of pentachlorophenol in paddy soil in relation to iron(III) reduction. J Environ Manage, 132C: 42–48.
- Zhang, T., Gannon, S.M., Nevin, K.P., Franks, A.E., and Lovley, D.R. (2010) Stimulating the anaerobic degradation

of aromatic hydrocarbons in contaminated sediments by providing an electrode as the electron acceptor. Environ Microbiol, 12: 1011–1020.

Zhao, F., Harnisch, F., Schröder, U., Scholz, F., Bogdanoff, P., and Herrmann, I. (2006) Challenges and constraints of using oxygen cathodes in microbial fuel cells. Environ Sci Technol, 40: 5193–5199.

Supporting information

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article at the publisher's web-site:

Fig. S1. ¹⁴C-IPU adsorption in different electro-conductive materials.

Fig. S2. ¹⁴C-IPU desorption in graphite paper.