Dietary vitamin K intake in relation to cancer incidence and mortality: results from the Heidelberg cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Heidelberg)^{1–3}

Katharina Nimptsch, Sabine Rohrmann, Rudolf Kaaks, and Jakob Linseisen

ABSTRACT

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

Background: Anticarcinogenic activities of vitamin K have been observed in animal and cell studies.

Objective: On the basis of the growth inhibitory effects of vitamin K as observed in a variety of cancer cell lines, we hypothesized that dietary intake of phylloquinone (vitamin K_1) and menaquinones (vitamin K_2) may be associated with overall cancer incidence and mortality.

Design: In the prospective EPIC-Heidelberg (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition–Heidelberg) cohort study, 24,340 participants aged 35–64 y and free of cancer at enrollment (1994–1998) were actively followed up for cancer incidence and mortality through 2008. Dietary vitamin K intake was estimated from food-frequency questionnaires completed at baseline by using HPLC-based food-composition data. Multivariate-adjusted hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs were estimated by using Cox proportional hazards models.

Results: During a median follow-up time of >10 y, 1755 incident cancer cases occurred, of which 458 were fatal. Dietary intake of menaquinones was nonsignificantly inversely associated with overall cancer incidence (HR for the highest compared with the lowest quartile: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.73, 1.01; *P* for trend = 0.08), and the association was stronger for cancer mortality (HR: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.53, 0.98; *P* for trend = 0.03). Cancer risk reduction with increasing intake of menaquinones was more pronounced in men than in women, mainly driven by significant inverse associations with prostate (*P* for trend = 0.03) and lung (*P* for trend = 0.002) cancer. We found no association with phylloquinone intake.

Conclusion: These findings suggest that dietary intake of menaquinones, which is highly determined by the consumption of cheese, is associated with a reduced risk of incident and fatal cancer. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2010;91:1348–58.

INTRODUCTION

Vitamin K is a fat-soluble vitamin that physiologically acts as a cofactor for the posttranslational γ -carboxylation of vitamin K-dependent proteins (1). Apart from this well-known physiologic function, evidence from in vitro and in vivo studies indicate that vitamin K exerts inhibitory effects on cell growth in several cancer cell lines (2). Phylloquinone (vitamin K₁) and menaquinones (vitamin K₂, MK-n) naturally occur in human food, whereas menadione (vitamin K₃) is a synthetic form of vitamin K (3). Phylloquinone occurs abundantly in green leafy vegetables, whereas cheese and meat are the main food sources

of menaquinones. Numerous cell studies (4-18) and a few in vivo studies (19, 20) have shown anticarcinogenic activities of vitamin K. Most such experimental studies were conducted with menadione, which shows strong growth inhibitory effects via oxidative stress in a variety of cancer cell lines (9, 10, 13-15). Anticancer activities via induction of proto-oncogenes such as c-myc or c-fos, which foster cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (5, 12), have been observed for phylloquinone and menaquinones. The antiproliferative potential of menaquinones is ≈ 5 times stronger than the effects mediated by phylloquinone (12, 16). Anticarcinogenic activities of menaquinones have been observed in a variety of cancer cell lines, including liver (5, 6, 11), lung (17, 18), colorectum (20), stomach (12), and breast (16). Fewer studies explicitly investigated the growth-inhibitory effects of phylloquinone (5, 8, 16, 21), and distinct effects were observed for liver (16) and colorectal cancer (8, 16). In addition to these experimental studies, the anticarcinogenic potential of menaquinones has also been shown in a randomized trial in 43 women with viral cirrhosis of the liver, in which the treatment group received mega-doses of menaquinone-4 (45 mg/d) for 2 y. After follow-up of >6 y, the risk ratio of hepatocellular carcinoma was 0.20 (95% CI: 0.04, 0.91) in a comparison of the treatment group with the control group (22).

Epidemiologic studies investigating the association of dietary vitamin K with the risk of malignant diseases are scarce. One reason for the lack of such studies may be that, until recently, no comprehensive vitamin K food-composition data, especially data comprising the whole variety of menaquinones that are relevant for human nutrition, were available (23). We previously in-

¹ From the Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center, Heidelberg, Germany (KN, SR, RK, and JL); the Department of Nutrition, Harvard School of Public Health, Boston, MA (KN); and the Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany (JL).

² Supported by ECNIS (Environmental Cancer Risk, Nutrition and Individual Susceptibility), a network of excellence operating within the European Union 6th Framework Program, Priority 5: "Food Quality and Safety" (contract no 513943).

³ Address correspondence to J Linseisen, Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Centre for Environmental Health, Ingolstädter Landstr. 1, D-85746 Neuherberg, Germany. E-mail: j.linseisen@ helmholtz-muenchen.de.

Received September 17, 2009. Accepted for publication February 28, 2010. First published online March 24, 2010; doi: 10.3945/ajcn.2009.28691.

vestigated the association between dietary intake of phylloquinone and menaquinones and prostate cancer in the Heidelberg cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Heidelberg) (24). Whereas no effect was observed for phylloquinone intake, dietary intake of menaquinones was inversely associated with prostate cancer, especially advanced prostate cancer. On the basis of the anticancer activities of vitamin K, especially menaquinones, as observed in a variety of cancer cell lines, we hypothesized that dietary intake of vitamin K may be associated with overall cancer incidence and mortality. In addition, we present the association between dietary intake of vitamin K and the most frequently diagnosed specific cancer sites, ie, cancers of the lung, colorectum, breast, and prostate.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Study population

The EPIC-Heidelberg cohort study is contributing to the multicenter cohort study EPIC. EPIC-Heidelberg comprises a total of 25,540 participants aged 40–64 y (men) and 35–64 y (women) at recruitment, which took place between 1994 and 1998. The study was approved by the local ethics committee, and all study participants gave their written informed consent at the beginning of the study.

Assessment of habitual dietary intake and other variables at baseline

Habitual dietary intake during the 12 mo preceding recruitment was assessed by using a validated semiquantitative food-frequency questionnaire (FFQ) (25, 26) including 148 food and beverage items. Participants were asked to specify the typical portion size and consumption frequency for each food item. In addition to the self-administered FFQ, information on characteristics and lifestyle factors, including smoking habits, physical activity, and reproductive variables in women, was assessed by self-administered questionnaires and a personal interview as described elsewhere (27, 28).

Calculation of vitamin K intake

Dietary intakes of phylloquinone and menaquinones were calculated by using HPLC-based food-composition data. In the case of phylloquinone, published and unpublished (Bolton-Smith et al, personal communication, 2005) data from a UK database for the phylloquinone content of foods was used (29). The menaquinone content of relevant foods was derived from a Dutch publication (23) and supplemented with data by Hirauchi et al (30). The average daily intake of phylloquinone and menaquinones was calculated by multiplying the portion size, the daily frequency of intake, and the content of phylloquinone and menaquinones of each food item.

Follow-up and outcome assessment

Active follow-up has been conducted since 1998 by means of follow-up questionnaires that are mailed to the study participants at regular time intervals. The response rates for all follow-up intervals were >91%. All self-reported incident cancer cases are being verified by pathology reports, medical records, and/or

death certificates on the basis of the second revision of the *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology* (ICD-O-2). Death certificates were obtained from the mortality registries for all deceased participants. The underlying cause of death was coded according to the ICD-10.

The primary outcome of the present analysis was incidence (ICD-O-2 codes C00-C77 and C80, excluding nonmelanoma skin cancer) and mortality (ICD-10 codes C00-C97 and B21) of total invasive cancer. Information on cancer stage at diagnosis was extracted from medical records, and incident cancer cases were classified as regional/localized and metastatic cancer following the staging guidelines of the Surveillance, Epidemiology and End Results Program (31). In addition, the following frequent cancer sites were analyzed separately: lung cancer incidence and mortality (C34), colorectal cancer incidence (C18-C20), female invasive breast cancer incidence (C50), and prostate cancer incidence (C61).

Follow-up data ascertained up to August 2008 was included. In analyses of cancer incidence, person-years of follow-up were calculated from the date of recruitment until the date of diagnosis of cancer, date of death, or date of last known contact, whichever came first. For the separate analysis of individual cancer sites, subjects with a cancer diagnosis other than the cancer of interest (except nonmelanoma skin cancer) were censored at the date of diagnosis of that cancer. When cancer mortality was modeled as the outcome variable, follow-up time was calculated from the date of recruitment until the date of death from cancer, date of death from any other cause, or date of last known contact, whichever was earliest. Median follow-up time was 10.2 y for the analyses of cancer incidence and 10.7 y for the analyses of cancer mortality.

Statistical analysis

Subjects with prevalent cancer, except for nonmelanoma skin cancer (n = 955), and with the sex-specific top and bottom 0.5 percentile of total energy intake (<888 kcal/≥5585 kcal for men and <705 kcal/≥4316 kcal for women) were excluded from the present analysis, which left a total of 24,340 participants (11,438 men and 12,902 women). Cox proportional hazards regression models were fitted to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and corresponding 95% CIs of cancer incidence or mortality. Age was used as the underlying time variable, with subjects contributing person-time from their age at recruitment to their age at cancer diagnosis/death or censoring, respectively. In addition, all models were stratified by sex and age at recruitment (1-y categories) to avoid violations of the proportional hazards assumption. Dietary intakes of phylloquinone and menaquinones were entered simultaneously into the models categorized into sexspecific quartiles based on the distribution of the whole study population, with the lowest quartile being the reference category. Tests for linear trend were performed by modeling the median values of phylloquinone or menaquinone quartiles, respectively, as continuous variables. In addition, continuous models were calculated per $10-\mu g$ increment in intake of phylloquinone or menaquinones. Multivariate analyses of cancer incidence and mortality were adjusted for total energy intake (kcal/d), alcohol intake (<1, 1 to <5, 5 to <15, 15 to <30, or \geq 30 g/d), BMI (kg/m²), waist circumference (cm), smoking category (never; former, quit ≥ 10 y ago; former, quit < 10 y

	Sex	c-specific quartiles ¹ c	of phylloquinone int	ake		Se	x-specific quartiles ¹	of menaquinone in	take	
	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q1}\\ (n=6084) \end{array}$	Q2 $(n = 6086)$	Q3 $(n = 6086)$	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q4} \\ (n=6084) \end{array}$	P for trend ²	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q1} \\ (n=6084) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q2}\\ (n=6086) \end{array}$	Q3 $(n = 6086)$	$\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{Q4} \\ (n=6084) \end{array}$	P for trend ²
Men (%)	47	47	47	47	I	47	47	47	47	I
Never smokers (%)	40	40	39	40		40	40	39	39	
Former smokers,	10	10	6	10	I	10	10	6	10	
quit ≥ 10 y ago (%)										
Former smokers,	20	22	22	22	I	22	21	23	21	
quit <10 y ago (%)										
Current smokers,	6	6	9	6		6	8	6	10	
<15 cigarettes/d (%)										
Current smokers,	15	12	13	12	0.90	12	14	12	13	0.03
\geq 15 cigarettes/d (%)										
Physically active (%)	22	25	26	28	< 0.0001	24	24	26	27	< 0.0001
University degree (%)	26	29	30	35	< 0.0001	23	28	33	36	< 0.0001
Current postmenopausal	51	49	50	48	0.08	45	51	51	52	0.0002
hormone use in										
postmenopausai women (%)										
Age (y)	49.9 ± 7.9^3	50.3 ± 8.1	50.4 ± 8.1	50.5 ± 8.2	0.001	51.8 ± 8.0	50.5 ± 8.1	50.0 ± 8.0	48.8 ± 8.0	< 0.0001
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.2 ± 4.2	26.2 ± 4.2	26.2 ± 4.3	26.0 ± 4.5	0.17	26.3 ± 4.1	26.2 ± 4.2	26.1 ± 4.3	26.0 ± 4.5	< 0.0001
Total energy intake	1613 (1327-1952) ⁴	1806 (1502-2192)	1962 (1602-2366)	2091 (1695-2616)	< 0.0001	1462 (1215-1748)	1769 (1502-2083)	1963 (1662–2346)	2309 (1939–2820)	< 0.0001
(kcal/d)										
Alcohol intake	9.6 (2.8–24.0)	10.3 (3.3–23.9)	10.3 (3.0–24.1)	10.1 (3.0–24.4)	0.16	8.8 (2.2–22.9)	10.1 (3.1–24.2)	10.8 (3.6–24.3)	10.7 (3.5–24.8)	0.0002
(g ethanol/d)										
Vegetable intake (g/d) ²	75.1 (57.7–93.7)	99.7 (82.8–120.7)	116.5 (94.9–142.3)	153.5 (119.4–192.8)	<0.0001	101.8 (77.1–134.1)	104.5 (81.1–135.3)	108.7 (83.7–142.6)	111.4 (84.8–149.2)	<0.0001
Fruit intake (g/d)	80.2 (44.1–107.5)	95.0 (63.1–148.5)	98.7 (69.2–164.4)	107.6 (80.1–185.2)	<0.0001	97.1 (59.1–151.3)	94.8 (62.3–149.5)	95.8 (64.9–154.8)	96.7 (66.0–163.2)	<0.001
Meat intake (g/d)	91.8 (61.3–124.5)	89.5 (61.0-121.6)	84.7 (56.1–117.2)	82.2 (50.5–118.4)	<0.0001	86.8 (56.0–119.7)	87.6 (59.8–119.8)	87.1 (58.0–119.9)	87.8 (54.6–122.0)	0.83
Ked meat intake (g/d) ⁵	(5.011-6.10) 6.8/	(4.001-5.00) 0.0/	/1.1 (46.1–100.6)	08.8 (39.0–100.9)	<0.001	(4.01-8.04) 8.6/	14.2 (49.2–103.4)	(0.401–6.04) C.27	(6.001–2.44) 2.4/	90.0
Processed meat intake (g/d) ⁵	48.7 (29.6–71.5)	46.3 (28.2–66.9)	42.3 (25.6–62.7)	39.6 (21.1–60.6)	<0.0001	42.1 (24.4–63.0)	43.5 (27.1–63.2)	45.4 (26.3–66.2)	46.4 (26.1–69.3)	<0.0001
Dairy intake $(g/d)^5$	188.6 (103.6-296.8)	187.7 (109.3–294.4)	197.4 (116.5–309.4)	199.1 (119.8–317.2)	< 0.0001	163.0 (81.9–277.2)	183.9 (106.7–295.7)	199.7 (120.8–308.5)218.1 (141.1-330.4)	< 0.0001
Cheese intake (g/d) ⁵	22.9 (14.0–38.3)	25.6 (15.3–38.5)	26.1 (15.6–37.8)	27.9 (16.5–39.4)	< 0.0001	13.8 (8.0–19.3)	21.2 (14.8–29.9)	32.3 (21.9–40.3)	40.9 (32.1–61.2)	<0.0001
¹ Quartile ranges w	ere <26 , 26 to <3 ;	5, 35 to <46 , and \geq	46 $\mu g/d$ in men and	<23, 23 to <32, 32	2 to <42, a	nd $\geq 42 \ \mu g/d$ in we	omen.			
² Likelihood-ratio t	est or Jonkheere-Te	rpstra test.))				
³ Mean ± SD (all	such values).									
⁴ Median; 25th–75t	h quartiles in parent	theses (all such valu	es).							
^z Energy-adjusted t	by using the residual	l method.								

Ċ

TABLE 1

Downloaded from ajcn.nutrition.org at HELMHOLTZ ZENT MUENCHEN DEUTSCH on March 4, 2016

1350

NIMPTSCH ET AL

彮

Distribution of incident and fatal cancer cases, by most frequent cancer sites, in 24,340 participants of the EPIC-Heidelberg (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition–Heidelberg) cohort study $(n = 11,438 \text{ men and } 12,902 \text{ women})^{I}$

		Can	cer incidence		Can	cer mortality	
Cancer site	ICD-O-2 codes	Total no. of subjects	No. of men	No. of women	Total no. of subjects	No. of men	No. of women
Breast	C50	361	2	359	28	1	27
Prostate	C61	328	328	_	15	15	_
Colorectum	C18-21	180	114	66	52	31	21
Skin (melanoma)	C44	138	89	49	_		_
Lung	C34	128	97	31	88	70	18
Kidney	C64	62	43	19	6	3	3
Hematopoietic system	C42	56	37	19	28	23	5
Bladder	C67	47	38	9	11	10	1
Stomach	C16	45	35	10	26	22	4
Pancreas	C25	39	26	13	38	25	13
Lymph nodes	C77	37	23	14	_		_
Liver	C22	35	26	9	30	24	6
Corpus uteri	C54	29		29	4		4
Ovary	C56	27		27	17		17
Thyroid gland	C73	15	2	13	_		_
Esophagus	C15	14	11	3	9	7	2
Cervix uteri	C53	9		9	3		3
Other	_	205	126	79	103	71	32
Total		1755	997	758	458	302	156

¹ ICD-O-2, International Classification of Diseases for Oncology.

ago; or current, 1–4, 5–14, 15–24, 25–34, or \geq 35 cigarettes/d), smoking duration (<10, 10–19, 20–29, 30–39, or \geq 40 y), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, or active), and education level (none/primary, technical, secondary, or university).

The primary analyses of cancer incidence and mortality were conducted in all study participants as well as separately for men and women. Primary analyses of cancer incidence were repeated after exclusion of incident cancer cases that had occurred during the first 2 y of follow-up. Referring to our earlier findings for prostate cancer (24), in which we observed stronger associations of menaquinones with advanced than with nonadvanced prostate cancer, we divided overall cancer incidence into regional/localized and metastatic cancer and analyzed these as separate outcomes. Site-specific analyses were conducted for lung cancer incidence and mortality as well as for colorectal, breast cancer (separate analyses for pre- and postmenopausal women), and prostate cancer incidence, for which multivariate-adjusted HRs were calculated. In addition to the potentially confounding variables adjusted for in the overall cancer incidence and mortality models, site-specific adjustment variables were included in the models for specific cancer sites. For colorectal cancer, additional adjustment included red and processed meat intake (g/d), fiber intake (mg/d), folate intake (μ g/d), calcium intake (mg/d), and family history of colorectal cancer. Breast cancer analyses were conducted separately for pre- and postmenopausal breast cancer and were additionally adjusted for parity (nulliparous; age at first pregnancy ≤ 19 , 19–29, or ≥ 30 y; or missing), age at menarche (≤ 12 , 12–13, ≥ 14 y, or missing), oral contraceptive use (never, ever, current, or missing), and family history of breast cancer. In postmenopausal breast cancer models, age at menopause (<45 y, ≥ 45 y, or missing) and hormone replacement therapy use (never, former, current, or missing) were additionally included as covariates. Models for prostate cancer were additionally adjusted for tomato sauce intake (g/d), calcium (mg/d), and vitamin D intake (μ g/d) as well as family history of prostate cancer.

We tested for the heterogeneity of effects of vitamin K on different types of cancer by application of the data augmentation approach on competing risks as described by Lunn and McNeil (32).

Analyses were repeated stratified by sex, smoking status, age at baseline ($<50 \text{ or } \ge 50 \text{ y}$), and BMI groups (in kg/m²; <25, 25 to <30, or ≥ 30) to assess potential interaction by these factors. Tests for interaction were performed by using cross-product terms comparing multivariate models with and without interaction terms according to the log-likelihood statistic to obtain the *P* for interaction. All statistical tests were 2-sided, and *P* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed by using SAS software (version 9.1; SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

RESULTS

The distributions of potential confounders across quartiles of dietary intake of phylloquinone and menaquinones among the 23,340 male and female subjects included in the analysis are shown in **Table 1**. Subjects with higher intakes of phylloquinone or menaquinones were more likely to be physically active and to have a university degree. Postmenopausal hormone use was more likely among postmenopausal women with higher menaquinone intakes. Mean consumption of vegetables, the major food source of phylloquinone (60% contribution), in the upper quartile of phylloquinone intake, was more than twice as high as in the first quartile. Dietary intake of dairy and cheese increased with growing phylloquinone quartiles. With increasing quartiles of menaquinone intake, consumption of the main food source cheese (45% contribution) increased clearly, whereas

彮

TABLE 3

Association between dietary intake quartile (Q) of vitamin K and risk of incident and fatal cancer in 23,340 participants of the EPIC-Heidelberg (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition–Heidelberg) cohort study¹

		Sex-specific quarti	le of vitamin K inta	ake		
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	P for trend	Continuous HR ²
Cancer incidence						
Phylloquinone						
Cases/noncases	447/5637	423/5663	455/5631	430/5654		
HR ³	1	0.95	1.02	0.96		1.00
95% CI		(0.83, 1.09)	(0.89, 1.16)	(0.83, 1.10)	0.71	(0.99, 1.01)
Menaquinones						
Cases/noncases	507/5577	435/5651	427/5659	386/5698		
HR	1	0.91	0.91	0.86		0.97
95% Cl		(0.80, 1.04)	(0.79, 1.04)	(0.73, 1.01)	0.08	(0.93, 1.00)
Cancer incidence ⁴						
Phylloquinone		0.14/5440	20115121	00000		
Cases/noncases	3/3/5637	346/5663	386/5631	3/1/5654		1.00
HR	1	0.93	1.03	0.98	0.02	1.00
95% CI		(0.80, 1.08)	(0.89, 1.19)	(0.84, 1.14)	0.93	(0.99, 1.01)
Menaquinones	414/5577	271/5/51	250/5/50	22215(00		
Cases/noncases	414/55//	3/1/5651	358/5659	333/3698		0.07
	1	0.95	0.90	(0.72, 1.04)	0.11	(0.04, 1.01)
95% CI		(0.81, 1.08)	(0.78, 1.03)	(0.75, 1.04)	0.11	(0.94, 1.01)
Dhulloquinona						
Casas/papasas	240/5627	258/5662	261/5621	25115651		
LID ³	249/3037	238/3003	201/3031	1.02		1.00
ПК 05% СІ	1	(0.86, 1.22)	(0.87, 1.25)	(0.85, 1.23)	0.85	(0.00, 1.01)
Menaguinones		(0.80, 1.22)	(0.87, 1.23)	(0.85, 1.25)	0.85	(0.33, 1.01)
Cases/noncases	202/5577	271/5651	251/5659	208/5698		
HR ³	1	1	0.95	0.86		0.97
95% CI	1	(0.84 1.19)	$(0.79 \ 1.14)$	(0.70, 1.07)	0.14	(0.92 ± 1.02)
Cancer incidence metastatic		(0.04, 1.17)	(0.7), 1.14)	(0.70, 1.07)	0.14	(0.92, 1.02)
Phylloquinone						
Cases/noncases	71/5637	43/5663	51/5631	56/5654		
HR ³	1	0.64	0.76	0.80		1.00
95% CI	-	(0.44, 0.95)	(0.53, 1.11)	(0.55, 1.18)	0.49	(0.97, 1.03)
Menaquinones		((,	((,
Cases/noncases	74/5577	50/5651	50/5659	47/5698		
HR^3	1	0.73	0.78	0.75		0.96
95% CI		(0.50, 1.05)	(0.52, 1.15)	(0.48, 1.17)	0.23	(0.87, 1.06)
Cancer mortality			,	,		,
Phylloquinone						
Cases/noncases	128/5956	104/5982	111/5975	115/5969		
HR^3	1	0.88	0.89	0.93		1.01
95% CI		(0.68, 1.14)	(0.69, 1.16)	(0.71, 1.22)	0.70	(0.99, 1.02)
Menaquinones						
Cases/noncases	156/5928	114/5972	90/5996	98/5986		
HR ³	1	0.77	0.64	0.72		0.92
95% CI		(0.60, 0.99)	(0.49, 0.85)	(0.53, 0.98)	0.03	(0.86, 1.00)

¹ HR, hazard ratio.

² Per 10- μ g/d increment.

³ Age- and sex-stratified and adjusted for total energy, alcohol intake (<1, 1 to <5, 5 to <15, 15 to <30, or \ge 30 g/d), BMI (continuous; in kg/m²), waist-to-hip ratio (continuous), smoking (never; former, quit \ge 10 y ago; former, quit <10 y ago; current: 1–4, 5–14, 15–24, 25–34, or \ge 35 cigarettes/d; or other smoking), smoking duration (<10, 10–19, 20–29, 30–39, or \ge 40 y), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, or active), and educational level (none/primary, technical, secondary, or university).

⁴ Cases occurring in the first 2 y of follow-up were excluded.

meat intake (18% contribution) increased marginally. Intake of cheese explained 71% of the between-subject variation in menaquinone intake, whereas meat explained 11%.

The distribution of cancer sites among incident and fatal cancer cases in the whole study cohort as well as separately for men and women are shown in **Table 2**. Overall, during the follow-up time of >10 y, 1755 incident cancer cases were identified. The most frequent cancer sites were prostate in men and breast in women. Cancer as the underlying cause of death was documented in 458 study participants, with lung cancer

TABLE 4

Association between dietary intake quartile (Q) of vitamin K and risk of incident cancer in 23,340 participants of the EPIC-Heidelberg (European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition-Heidelberg) cohort study by sex¹

			Men ((n = 11, 438)					Women	(n = 12,902)			
	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	P for trend	Continuous HR ²	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	P for trend	Continuous HR ²	P for interaction
Cancer incidence													
Phylloquinone Cases/noncases	751/7608	73517675	761/7500	250/2609			196/3079	188/3038	104/3032	180/3045			
HR ³	1	0.96	1.04	1.00		1.00	1	0.960	1.00	0.91		1.00	
95% CI		(0.80, 1.15)	(0.87, 1.24)	(0.83, 1.21)	0.83	(0.98, 1.01)		(0.78, 1.17)	(0.82, 1.23)	(0.74, 1.13)	0.46	(0.99, 1.02)	0.90
Menaquinones													
Cases/noncases	309/2550	245/2615	229/2631	214/2645			198/3027	190/3036	198/3028	172/3053			
HR^{3}	1	0.83	0.79	0.78		0.95	1	1.04	1.10	1.01		1.00	
95% CI		(0.70, 0.99)	(0.66, 0.95)	(0.63, 0.96)	0.03	(0.91, 1.00)		(0.85, 1.28)	(0.89, 1.36)	(0.79, 1.30)	0.86	(0.94, 1.05)	0.05
Cancer incidence ⁴													
Phylloquinone													
Cases/noncases	213/2608	196/2625	225/2599	217/2609			160/3029	150/3038	161/3032	154/3045			
HR^{3}	1	0.95	1.07	1.02		1.00	1	0.93	1.00	0.93		1.01	
95% CI		(0.78, 1.15)	(0.88, 1.30)	(0.83, 1.26)	0.63	(0.98, 1.01)		(0.74, 1.16)	(0.80, 1.25)	(0.74, 1.18)	0.71	(0.99, 1.02)	0.96
Menaquinones													
Cases/noncases	259/2550	212/2615	188/2631	192/2645			155/3027	159/3036	170/3028	141/3053			
HR^{3}	1	0.84	0.76	0.81		0.96	1	1.08	1.14	0.98		0.99	
95% CI		(0.70, 1.02)	(0.62, 0.93)	(0.64, 1.01)	0.06	(0.91, 1.01)		(0.86, 1.36)	(0.90, 1.45)	(0.74, 1.29)	0.89	(0.93, 1.05)	0.02
¹ HR, hazard ra	tio.												
² Per 10- μ g/d ir.	crement.								•	ć	-		•
	the fit and a second	d a dimeter of the set	Actor and and a contract of	a a la	1	7 1 2 1 2 1 V V		- / INAG (F)-		1		and a second sec	a farmer farmer and

² Age- and sex-stratified and adjusted for total energy, alcohol intake (<1,1 to <5,5 to <15,15 to <30, or ≥30 g/d), BMI (continuous; in kg/m²), waist-to-hip ratio (continuous), smoking (never; formet, quit ≥10 y ago; formet, quit <10 y ago; current: 1–4, 5–14, 15–24, 25–34, or ≥35 cigarettes/d; or other smoking, smoking duration (<10, 10-19, 20-29, 30-39, or ≥40 y), physical activity (inactive, moderately inactive, moderately active, or active), and educational level (none/primary, technical, secondary, or university).

⁴ Cases occurring in the first 2 y of follow-up were excluded.

QI Q2 Q3 Lung cuncer incidence Phylloquinone 31/6053 27/6059 35/6051 File 1 0 0.62 1.81 0.77, 22 Meradinoteness 1 0.62 0.43 34/605 1.06 Meradinoteness 31/6057 1 0.62 0.43 0.43 S% CI Meradinoteness 47/6057 33/6053 24/606 0.75, 0.7 Meradinoteness 1 0.62 0.43 0.43 0.76 0.43 S% CI 0.85 1 0.62 0.43 0.76 0.75 0.76 0.75 0.5 0.76 0.75 0.5 0.76 0.95 0.45 1.1604 1.1604 0.45 1.1604 1.1604 0.76 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.76 0.75 0.76 0.76 0.76<				
Lung cancer incidence 11063 $27/6059$ $35/60511$ $35/6051$ $35/60511$ <th>Q3</th> <th>Q4</th> <th>P for trend</th> <th>Continuous HR²</th>	Q3	Q4	P for trend	Continuous HR ²
Phylloquinone $1/663$ $3/603$ $2/606$ 1.06 $0.77, 22$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 07$ $0.25, 013$ $0.26, 1.1$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.76, 1.1$ $0.76, 1.23$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.76, 1.1$ $0.76, 1.23$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.76, 1.13$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.72, 25$ $0.76, 1.103$ 0.7				
HC S1/003 1.0033 2.0009 3.0033 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0055 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0053 3.0055 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7 2.5 0.7				
95% CI 1 $(0.2, 1.81)$ $(0.77, 2.2)$ Menaquinones 1 0.62 1.81 $(0.25, 0.7)$ Lug cases/loncases 1 0.62 0.430 0.4065 Plylloquinone 0.62 0.76 $0.25, 0.7$ $0.25, 0.7$ $0.25, 0.7$ Plylloquinone Cases/loncases 1 0.62 0.256061 $0.25, 0.7$ Plylloquinone Cases/loncases 1 $0.65, 2.33$ $0.72, 2.5$ $0.72, 2.5$ HR ² 1 $0.65, 2.33$ 0.756 $0.72, 2.5$ $0.72, 2.5$ Plylloquinone Cases/loncases 1 $0.65, 2.33$ $0.72, 2.5$ $0.72, 2.5$ PlyR ² 1 $0.65, 2.33$ 0.756 $0.72, 2.5$ 0.756 Colorectal cancer incidence PlyR ⁴ $1.00, 3.96047$ $41/604, 4.5$ 0.756 Plylloquinone Cases/noncases $1.00, 3.96047$ $41/604, 4.5$ $0.95, 1.4$ PlyR ⁴ Remaquinones 5.96047 $0.9607, 1.5$	35/6051	34/6050		000
Menaquinous 47/6037 33/6653 24/665 0.43 $Reachinocases$ 1 0.62 0.43 0.43 RR^2 0.62 0.62 0.43 0.43 $Plylloquinone$ 0.62 0.62 0.45 0.72 0.75 $Plylloquinone$ 0.65 0.72 0.75 0.72 0.75 <	(0.77, 2.20)	(0.63, 2.21)	0.54	(0.93, 1.03)
Cases/noncases 47/6037 33/6053 24/6063 0.43 0.62 0.43 0.62 0.43 0.62 0.43 0.62 0.63 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.43 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.25 , 0.7 0.29 , 0.7 <			-	
HR ³ 1 0.62 0.43 0.62 0.43 9% CI Lung cancer mortality 1 $(0.39, 0.98)$ $(0.25, 0.7)$ $0.25, 0.7$ HR^3 1 $(0.39, 0.98)$ $(0.25, 0.7)$ $25/6061$ 11.36 HR^3 1 $(0.65, 2.33)$ $(0.72, 2.5)$ 0.55 0.57 $9.\%$ CI Manaquinones $30/6054$ $21/6065$ $25/6061$ $19/606^2$ HR^3 1 $0.65, 2.33$ $(0.72, 2.5)$ 0.55 0.55 $9.\%$ CI Manaquinones $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/606^2$ 1000^2 $Phylloquinone 0.960 1 0.960 1000^2 0.95 RR^3 0.76 0.900 0.900 0.900 0.95^2 RR^4 0.860.71 10000 1000 1000^4 1000^4 RR^4 0.860.38 11/604^2 100^4 1000^4 1000^4 RR^6 0.866.1 10000^2 1000^2 $	24/6062	23/6061		
95% CI 0.39, 0.98) 0.025, 0.7 Lung career mortality Phylloquinone 23/6061 23/6061 23/6061 Phylloquinone 200654 21/6065 23/6061 23/6061 23/6061 RN 95% CI 0.55, 2.33) 0.72, 2.5 0.75, 2.53 0.72, 2.5 Menaquinones 39/607 1 0.66, 1.33 0.760 1.9/6061 HR ³ 1 0.55 1 0.76 0.59, 1.6 Menaquinones 39/6047 21/6061 19/6067 0.56, 1.6 Phylloquinone 1 0.76 0.59, 1.6 0.56, 1.1 Menaquinones 39/6047 41/6041 0.99 0.95 FR ⁴ 1 0.90 39/6047 41/604.1 Menaquinone 5.661 1.076 0.59, 1.6 Menaquinones 5.6603 1.07 0.50, 1.4 Menaquinones 1 0.94 1.061 Case/noncases 1 0.76 0.76 Menaquinones 2.1/134	0.43	0.38		0.87
Phylochinone 20/6064 $21/6065$ $25/6061$ $21/6065$ $25/6061$ 1.23 1.36 Phylochinones $20/6064$ $21/6065$ $23/6061$ $19/6065$ 1.36 Phylochinones $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/6065$ 1.36 Menaquinones $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/6065$ 1.36 Phylochinones $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/6065$ 1.36 Phylochinone $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/6061$ $10/600$ Phylochinone 1 0.36 $0.39/6047$ $41/6040$ 0.36047 $41/6040$ Phylochinone 1 0.30 $0.39/6047$ $41/6040$ $0.39/6047$ $41/6040$ Phylochinone 1 0.30 $0.39/6047$ $41/6040$ $0.39/6047$ $41/6040$ Phylochinone Phylochinone $0.39/6047$ $41/6040$ $0.36/614$ $10/6041$ Phylochinone Phylochinone $0.36/614$ $0.30/6144$ $10/6041$ Phylochinone	(0.25, 0.75)	(0.20, 0.71)	0.002	(0.75, 1.01)
Phyloquinone 20/6064 $21/6065$ $25/6061$ $21/6065$ $25/6061$ 1.23 1.36 RR 95% CI 0.65, 2.33) $0.72, 2.53$ $0.72, 2.55$ $0.765, 2.33$ $0.702, 1.56$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.702, 1.56$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.702, 1.56$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.702, 1.50$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.702, 1.50$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.702, 1.50$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.702, 1.50$ $0.55, 1.33$ $0.75, 1.33$ $0.29, 1.41$ $0.96, 1.50$ $0.55, 1.100$ $0.95, 1.41$ $0.95, 1.41$ $0.94, 1.50, 1.50, 1.50, 1.50, 1.50, 1.50$ $0.95, 1.41$ $0.94, 1.50,$				
Cases/noncases $20/6064$ $21/6065$ $25/6061$ $25/6061$ $25/6061$ 1.23 1.36 0.57 2.5 Menaquinores $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/606^{\circ}$ 1.36 0.55 $0.72, 2.5$ Menaquinores Cases/noncases $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/606^{\circ}$ $1.60, 1.5$ Phyloquinore Cases/noncases $44/6040$ $39/6047$ $41/604$ 0.90 0.95 Phyloquinore Cases/noncases 1 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 Phyloquinore Cases/noncases $44/6040$ $39/6047$ $41/604$ 0.95 FIR* 1 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 0.36 Prove Cases/noncases 1 0.38 0.34 $1/604$ 0.96 0.36 Prove Cases/noncases 1 0.38 1.07 0.39 0.34 1.060 0.36 Prove Prove 0.58 1 $0.70, 1.61$ <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
HR* 1 1.23 1.23 1.26 95% CI $0.65, 2.33$ $0.72, 2.5$ $0.75, 2.5$ $0.72, 1.5$ Menaquinones Cases/noncases 3.06054 $2.5/6061$ $19/606$ HR* 0.76 0.76 0.55 $0.25, 1.6$ Syste CI 0.76 0.55 $0.29, 1.6$ Pylloquinone 0.76 $0.29, 1.6$ $0.29, 1.6$ Pylloquinone 0.90 $3.9/6047$ $41/604$ Pylloquinone 0.90 0.90 0.94 Pylloquinone 0.76 $0.76, 1.4$ 0.90 Syste CI 0.90 0.93 0.947 $41/604$ Menaquinones $0.76, 1.4$ $0.70, 1.61$ 0.94 Syste CI $0.76, 1.4$ $0.70, 1.61$ 0.94 Menaquinones $0.76, 1.4$ $0.70, 1.61$ 0.94 Syste CI $0.70, 1.61$ $0.70, 1.61$ 0.94 $0.76, 1.7$ $0.78, 2.47$ 0.71134 0.71134 9	25/6061	22/6062		
95% CI 0.55 CI 0.75 CI 0.55 CI 0.55 CI 0.55 CI 0.55 CI 0.55 CI 0.29 LCO COrrectal cancer incidence 0.76 CI 0.29 CI 0.26 CI <th< td=""><td>1.36</td><td>1.08</td><td></td><td>0.99</td></th<>	1.36	1.08		0.99
Menaquinones $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/6067$ HR ² 0.76 0.55 1 0.76 0.55 95% CI Colorectal cancer incidence $44/6040$ $39/6047$ $41/6042$ 0.50 Phylloquinone Colorectal cancer incidence $44/6040$ $39/6047$ $41/6042$ 0.59 Phylloquinone Cases/noncases 1 0.90 0.95 0.60 0.50 0.59 95% CI Menaquinones $50/6034$ $48/6038$ $41/604.15$ $0.59, 1.4$ Seckoncases 1 0.90 0.95 0.944 $41/604.15$ Prenenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone $0.70, 1.611$ 0.90 0.94 Prenenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone $0.70, 1.611$ $0.70, 1.611$ $0.95, 1.4$ Prenenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone $0.76, 1.617$ $0.76, 1.611$ $0.75, 1.8$ Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence 1 $0.70, 1.611$ $0.75, 2.477$ $0.55, 1.8$ Po	(0.72, 2.57)	(0.50, 2.32)	0.90	(0.94, 1.05)
Cases/noncases $30/6054$ $25/6061$ $19/6067$ $10,76$ 0.55 HR^3 0.76 0.56 $0.29,1.0$ $0.29,1.0$ Colorectal cancer incidence $0.43,1.33$ $(0.29,1.0)$ $0.29,1.0$ Phylloquinone 0.41604 $39/6047$ $41/604$ 0.90 0.95 Cases/noncases 1 0.90 0.97 $41/604$ 0.90 0.95 95% CI Menaquinones $50/6034$ $48/6038$ $41/604$ 0.90 0.94 0.76 0.58 1 0.76 0.94 0.94 0.76 0.76 0.161 0.90 0.94 0.94 0.76 0.78 1 0.74 0.94 0.94 HR^4 1 0.74 1.07 0.93 0.94 $Phylloquinone 21/1357 1.07 0.94 0.94 RR^6 1 0.74 0.74 0.94 Phylloquinone 1$				
HR* 1 0.76 0.55 $0.29, 10$ Colorectal cancer incidence Phylloquinone $0.43, 1.33$ $0.29, 10$ $0.29, 10$ Phylloquinone Phylloquinone $0.44, 0.40$ $39/6047$ $41/6043$ $41/6043$ Phylloquinone Cases/noncases 1 0.90 0.95 0.55 95% CI Menaquinones 0.6034 $48/6038$ $41/6043$ 0.90 HR^4 1 $0.70, 1.61$ 0.90 0.94 0.94 HR^4 1 $0.70, 1.61$ 0.94 0.94 0.94 HR^4 1 $0.70, 1.61$ $0.25, 1.4$ $0.25, 1.4$ Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $21/134^2$ 0.134 Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $0.76, 1.5$ $0.56^2, 1.6$ Phylloquinone 1 $0.76, 2.47$ $0.56^2, 1.6$ $0.55, 1.6$ Phylloquinone 1 $0.56, 1.6$ $0.56, 1.6$ $0.56, 1.6$ $0.56, 1.6$ Phylloq	19/6067	14/6070		
95% CI $(0.43, 1.33)$ $(0.29, 1.0)$ Colorectal cancer incidence $Phylloquinone$ $(0.43, 1.33)$ $(0.29, 1.0)$ Phylloquinone 0.90 0.90 0.95 0.1 Cases/noncases 1 0.90 0.95 0.141 $(0.60, 1.5)$ HR ⁴ 1 0.90 0.93 0.90 0.95 $0.60, 1.5$ Menaquinones $50/6034$ $48/6038$ $41/604$; $0.50, 1.4$ $0.60, 1.5$ Menaquinones $50/6034$ 1.07 $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ Premenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $21/134$ $21/134$ Premenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $21/134$ 0.98 Phylloquinone $23/1104$ 1.00 $0.56, 1.6$ 0.98 $30/141$: $0.51, 1.6$ Phylloquinone $23/1104$ $16/1309$ $30/141$ $0.55, 1.6$ $0.53, 1.17$ $0.55, 1.6$ Presconcases	0.55	0.41		0.88
	(0.29, 1.04)	(0.19, 0.92)	0.02	(0.74, 1.06)
Phylloquinone Phylloquinone $44/6040$ $39/6047$ $41/604$ 0.90 0.95 0.05 1 0.90 0.95 0.90 0.95 0.56 1 0.90 0.95 0.90 0.95 0.56 1 0.90 0.95 0.94 0.95 0.94 0.94				
Cases/noncases $44/6040$ $39/6047$ $41/604$ $39/6047$ $41/604$ HR^{4} 0.90 0.90 0.90 0.95 0.56 0.51 1.5 0.56, 1.5 0.15 0.160, 1.5 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.94 0.94 0.95 0.94 0.94 0.95 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.95 0.94 0.95 0.94 0.94 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 0.95 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
Instructure 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 0.50 1.07 0.04 0.60 1.5 0.58 1.60 0.50 1.60 0.50 1.60 0.50 1.60 0.50 1.60 0.50 1.60 0.59 1.60 0.59 1.60 0.59 1.60 0.59 1.60 0.59 1.61 0.59 1.61 0.59 1.61 0.59 1.137 0.51 1.6 0.58 0.71 0.51 1.6 0.56 1.102 0.56 1.103 0.56 1.00 0.56 1.00 0.55 1.6 0.55 1.6 0.55 1.6 0.55 1.103 5.71124 5.71246 5.71246 5.71246 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 5.71236 $5.$	41/6045	49/6035		000
y_{276} CJ (0.30, 1.41) (0.30, 1.41) (0.30, 1.41) Menaquinones 50/6034 $48/6038$ $41/604$; Cases/noncases 1 0.70 0.94 HR ⁴ 1 $0.70, 1.61$ 0.94 95% CI $0.70, 1.61$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ Premenopausal breast cancer incidence 1 $0.70, 1.61$ $0.59, 1.4$ Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $21/134^{\circ}$ $21/134^{\circ}$ Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $21/134^{\circ}$ 0.98° P5% CI Menaquinones $21/1357$ $30/141^{\circ}$ $0.51, 1.8^{\circ}$ P5% CI Menaquinones $23/1104$ $16/1309$ $30/141^{\circ}$ P5% CI 0.56° CI 0.61 $0.051, 1.8^{\circ}$ 0.55° 1.8 Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone $0.37/146^{\circ}$ $53/746^{\circ}$ $53/746^{\circ}$ $53/7346^{\circ}$ $53/73246^{\circ}$ $53/71246^{\circ}$	0.6.0 1.51 02 00	(1.1)	0 20	0.70
menaquinones $50/6034$ $48/6038$ $41/604$; Cases/noncases 1 1.07 0.94 95% CI $0.70, 1.61$ $0.59, 1.4$ Premenopausal breast cancer incidence $0.70, 1.61$ $0.59, 1.4$ Phylloquinone $21/1357$ $30/1344$ $21/134^{\circ}$ Process/noncases 1 $0.78, 2.47$ $0.51, 1.8$ Process/noncases 1 $0.78, 2.47$ $0.51, 1.8$ Process/noncases 1 0.61 0.061 0.061 Process/noncases 1 0.61 0.61 $0.05, 1.8$ Process/noncases 1 0.61 0.61 $0.05, 1.8$ Process/noncases 1 0.61 0.61 $0.05, 1.8$ <t< td=""><td>(10.00)</td><td>(CK.I ,80.N)</td><td>00.0</td><td>(0.94, 1.03)</td></t<>	(10.00)	(CK.I ,80.N)	00.0	(0.94, 1.03)
HR ⁴ 0.0034 $4.800.38$ 410045 0.94 BK^4 0.70 1.07 0.94 0.94 0.95 0.94 95% CI 0.70 1.61 0.59 1.61 0.59 1.61 0.59 1.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.94 0.98 <		0107050		
Instant 1.07 0.74 0.74 0.74 0.74 $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.59, 1.4$ $0.134, 2.1/134;$ $21/134;$ $21/134;$ $0.134, 2.47$ $0.08, 0.98$ 0.98 0.98 $0.78, 2.47$ $0.031, 1.8$ $0.78, 2.47$ $0.031, 1.1, 1.8$ $0.78, 2.47$ $0.051, 1.8$ $0.78, 2.47$ $0.0141; 1.1, 1.2$ $0.78, 2.47$ $0.0141; 1.1, 1.2$ $0.78, 2.47$ $0.0141; 1.1, 1.2$ $0.58, 1.1, 1.2$ $0.58, 1.1, 1.2$ 0.61 1.00 $0.58, 1.2$ 0.61 0.061 $0.05, 1.1, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.56, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.56, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$ $0.55, 1.2$	41/6045	34/6U5U		0.0.0
Premopausal breast cancer incidence 0.00, 1.01 0.00, 1.01 Phylloquinone 21/1357 $30/1344$ $21/134$ Phylloquinone $28e8/noncases$ 1 1.39 0.98 P5% CI 1 1.39 0.61 $0.61/1.18$ Menaquinones $23/1104$ $16/1309$ $30/141$: Cases/noncases 1 0.61 1.00 P7% CI 0.56 0.61 1.00 PR 1 0.61 1.00 PR 1 0.61 1.00 PS% CI $0.55, 1.5$ $0.55, 1.5$ $0.55, 1.2$ Phylloquinone 0.71103 $53/1246$ $51/133$	0.34	0.02	0.57	0.20 (70 1 08 0)
$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \label{eq:constraint} Phylloquinone \\ Phylloquinone \\ Cases/noncases \\ HR^5 & 1 \\ RR^5 & 1 \\ S\% CI \\ Menaquinones \\ Cases/noncases \\ Cases/noncases \\ RR^5 & 23/1104 \\ RR^5 & 1 \\ RR^5 & 0.61 \\ RR^1 & 0.61 \\ RR^2 & 1 \\ RR^5 & 1 \\ $		(10.1,00.0)	10.0	(0.00, 1.01)
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				
HR ⁵ 1 1.39 0.98 95% CI 0.78, 2.47 (0.51, 1.8 Menaquinones (0.78, 2.47) (0.51, 1.8 Menaquinones 23/1104 16/1309 30/141: Menaquinones 1 0.61 1.00 Oses/noncases 1 0.61 1.00 PR 1 0.61 1.00 PS% CI 0.32, 1.17 (0.55, 1.8 Phylloquinone 61/1193 53/1246 51/133	21/1347	22/1369		
95% CI $(0.78, 2.47)$ $(0.51, 1.8)$ Menaquinones $(0.78, 2.47)$ $(0.51, 1.8)$ Menaquinones 2371104 $16/1309$ 307141° Cases/noncases 1 0.61 1.00 HR ⁵ 1 0.61 1.00 PS% CI $0.32, 1.17$ $(0.55, 1.5)$ Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence $1/1193$ $537/1246$ $537/1246$	0.98	0.00		0.98
Menaquinones 23/1104 16/1309 30/1415 Cases/noncases 23/1104 16/1309 30/1415 HR ⁵ 1 0.61 1.00 95% CI 0.32, 1.17) (0.55, 1.5 Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence 1/1193 53/1246 51/133	(0.51, 1.87)	(0.43, 1.88)	0.58	(0.92, 1.04)
Cases/noncases 23/1104 16/1309 30/1415 HR ⁵ 1 0.61 1.00 95% CI 0.32, 1.17) (0.55, 1.8 Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence 1/1193 53/1246 51/133				
HR ⁵ 1 0.61 1.00 95% CI 0.32, 1.17) (0.55, 1.8 Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence (0.55, 1.8 (0.55, 1.8 Phylloquinone 53/1246 51/133	30/1415	25/1589		
95% CI (0.55, 1.8) Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone 53/1246 51/133	1.00	0.72		0.00
Postmenopausal breast cancer incidence Phylloquinone Casechnomesee	(0.55, 1.82)	(0.35, 1.48)	0.70	(0.76, 1.07)
Phylloquinone Casechnomosee 61/1193 53/1246 51/133				
Casee/noncases 61/1103 53/1046 51/1032				
	51/1237	35/1263		
HR ^o 1 0.90 0.85	0.85	0.61		0.99
95% CI (0.61, 1.32) (0.56, 1.5	(0.56, 1.31)	(0.35, 1.07)	0.51	(0.95, 1.04)

1354

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

×

NIMPTSCH ET AL

Downloaded from ajcn.nutrition.org at HELMHOLTZ ZENT MUENCHEN DEUTSCH on March 4, 2016

TABLE 5 (Continued)

		Sex-specific quartile	es of vitamin K intake			
	QI	Q2	Q3	Q4	P for trend	Continuous HR ²
Menaquinones						
Cases/noncases	56/1458	57/1266	50/1191	37/1024		
HR^{δ}	1	1.13	0.99	0.80		0.98
95% CI		(0.76, 1.66)	(0.64, 1.52)	(0.47, 1.36)	0.57	(0.87, 1.11)
Prostate cancer incidence						
Phylloquinone						
Cases/noncases	83/6001	76/6010	85/6001	84/6000		
HR^7	1	0.89	1.00	1.00		1.00
95% CI		(0.64, 1.23)	(0.72, 1.39)	(0.67, 1.48)	0.84	(0.97, 1.04)
Menaquinones						
Cases/noncases	111/5973	83/6003	71/6015	63/6021		
HR^7	1	0.79	0.67	0.65		0.92
95% CI		(0.59, 1.06)	(0.48, 0.93)	(0.44, 0.97)	0.03	(0.84, 1.01)
¹ HR, hazard ratio.						
² Per 10- μ g/d increment.						
³ Age- and sex-stratified and adjuste	d for total energy, alcohol intake	(<1, 1 to <5, 5 to <15, 15	to <30 , or ≥ 30 g/d), BMI	(continuous; in kg/m ²), wais	t-to-hip ratio (continuous),	smoking (never; former,
quit ≥ 10 y ago; former, quit < 10 y ago	o; current: 1-4, 5-14, 15-24, 25	-34 , or ≥ 35 cigarettes/d; c	or other smoking), smoking	duration (<10, 10–19, 20-	-29, $30-39$, or ≥ 40 y), ph	sical activity (inactive,

⁴ Additionally adjusted for family history of colorectal cancer and intakes of red and processed meat, fiber, folate, and calcium. moderately inactive, moderately active, or active), and educational level (none/primary, technical, secondary, or university).

⁵ Additionally adjusted for fat intake, parity (nulliparous; age at first pregnancy <19, 19–29, or \geq 30 y; or missing), age at menarche (<12, 12–13, or \geq 14 y or missing), pill use (never, ever, current, or missing), and family history of breast cancer.

⁶ Additionally adjusted for fat intake, parity (nulliparous; age at first pregnancy <19, 19–29, or ≥30 y; or missing), age at menarche (<12, 12–13, or ≥14 y or missing), pill use (never, ever, current, or missing), and family history of breast cancer and age at menopause (<45 y, \geq 45 y, or missing), hormone replacement therapy use (never, ever, current, or missing).

⁷ Additionally adjusted for family history of prostate cancer and intakes of tomato, calcium, and vitamin D.

VITAMIN K INTAKE, CANCER INCIDENCE, AND MORTALITY

being the most frequent cause of cancer death (70 fatal lung cancer cases in men and 18 in women).

Multivariate-adjusted HRs of overall cancer incidence and mortality by sex-specific quartiles of phylloquinone and menaquinone intakes are presented in Table 3. Dietary phylloquinone intake was unrelated to overall cancer incidence (HR for the highest compared with the lowest quartile: 0.96; 95% CI: 0.83, 1.10; P for trend = 0.71), whereas dietary intake of menaquinones was nonsignificantly associated with a decreased risk of overall cancer incidence in a comparison of the highest with the lowest quartile (HR: 0.86; 95% CI: 0.73, 1.01; P for trend = 0.08). Risk estimates changed only slightly when cancer cases that were diagnosed within the first 2 y after recruitment were excluded. Dietary intake of menaquinones was significantly inversely associated with cancer mortality, both across quartiles of intake (HR for the highest compared with the lowest quartile: 0.72; 95% CI: 0.53, 0.98; P for trend = 0.03) and in the continuous model. Phylloquinone intake was not associated with cancer mortality.

Sex-specific analyses (**Table 4**) showed that dietary intake of menaquinones was significantly inversely associated with cancer incidence in men but not in women. The interaction between dietary menaquinones and sex was of borderline significance for total cancer incidence (P = 0.05) and significant when cases occurring in the first 2 y of follow-up were excluded (P = 0.02). There was no indication of effect modification by sex for localized, metastatic, or fatal cancer (P for interaction >0.1 for all; data not shown).

The inverse association between dietary intake of menaquinones and cancer mortality in the entire study population persisted when models were additionally adjusted for the main food sources of phylloquinones and menaquinones, ie, vegetables, dairy products, and meat (data not shown). Both MK-4 and the sum of MK-5 to MK-9 were significantly inversely associated with total cancer mortality when modeled separately (data not shown). There was no indication of statistical interaction by age, smoking status, or BMI category (data not shown; P > 0.05 for all).

Associations between dietary intake of phylloquinone and menaquinones and cancer at specific sites are presented in Table 5. Whereas phylloquinone intake was not associated with lung cancer incidence, we observed an inverse association for dietary intake of menaquinones. Subjects in the fourth quartile of menaquinone intake had a 62% reduced risk of lung cancer. The significant inverse association between dietary intake of menaquinones and lung cancer mortality was of similar magnitude. Neither the phylloquinone nor menaquinone intakes was statistically significantly associated with risk of colorectal cancer. Dietary intakes of phylloquinone and menaquinones were unrelated to pre- and postmenopausal breast cancer. As reported at an earlier stage of follow-up (mean follow-up time: 8.6 y; 268 prostate cancer cases) (22), dietary intake of menaquinones but not of phylloquinone was significantly inversely associated with the risk of prostate cancer. Despite the virtually stronger effects for lung and prostate cancer than for colorectal and breast cancer, there was no indication of significant heterogeneity when different types of cancer were modeled as competing risks, which was not entirely unexpected because of limited power.

When total cancer incidence was modeled excluding prostate and lung cancer, multivariate-adjusted HRs (95% CI) of the

second, third, and fourth quartiles compared with the first quartile of menaquinone intake were 0.97 (0.83, 1.14), 1.03 (0.88, 1.21), and 0.98 (0.81, 1.18), respectively (*P* for trend = 0.97). An inverse, but not statistically significant, association was still observed between menaquinone intake and metastatic incident cancer after the exclusion of prostate and lung cancer. Multivariate-adjusted HRs (95% CI) were 0.75 (0.48, 1.16), 0.87 (0.55, 1.39), and 0.80 (0.47, 1.37), respectively (*P* for trend = 0.47) in a comparison of the second, third, and fourth quartiles with the first quartile.

DISCUSSION

In this prospective analysis of the EPIC-Heidelberg cohort, cancer incidence and cancer mortality decreased with higher intakes of menaquinones, whereas phylloquinone was not associated with a decreased cancer risk. Cancer risk reduction with increasing intakes of menaquinones was more distinguished in men than in women. Investigating the most frequent cancer sites individually, significant inverse associations of menaquinones could be established for lung and prostate cancer, the third and first most frequent cancer sites, respectively, in men. To the best of our knowledge, this was the first study to address the association between dietary vitamin K and overall cancer incidence and mortality; it is a follow-up project to our investigation on prostate cancer incidence (24).

The inverse association between intake of menaquinones and overall cancer incidence is in line with the observation of anticarcinogenic effects of vitamin K in a variety of cancer cell lines (4-18). However, sex-specific analyses showed that an inverse association was only seen in male participants, which might be related to the different distribution of cancer sites in men as compared with women. In male participants, the most frequent cancer sites were prostate, colorectum, and lung, of which prostate and lung cancer were individually influenced by dietary intake of menaquinones. In contrast, almost 50% of all cancer cases in women were breast cancer, which was not significantly associated with intake of menaquinones. The effects that menaquinones exert on cancer cells involve cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (5, 12), which are likely to play a role in any form of solid cancer. Nevertheless, differences with respect to cancer site might be expected, for instance, because of the differential tissue distribution of menaquinones. To date, the data on tissue distribution of menaquinones originating from dietary intake, which might give insight to this speculative hypothesis, is quite limited (33). In addition, because of the tissue-specific conversion of MK-4 from phylloquinone (34), it is not known to which extent menaquinones found in extrahepatic tissues are attributable to dietary intake of menaquinones.

Dietary intake of menaquinones was more strongly inversely associated with fatal cancer than with cancer incidence. This observation is consistent with the assumption that factors affecting apoptosis and cell cycle arrest are likely to play a role later in carcinogenesis. In addition, experimental studies suggest an inhibitory role of menaquinones in angiogenesis (35, 36), which is tightly linked to the development of metastasis (37). Dietary intake of phylloquinone was largely unrelated to cancer incidence. This seems plausible considering that the anticancer activities exerted by phylloquinone in cell studies were much lower than those of menaquinones (5, 8, 16, 21). However, it is conceivable that dietary phylloquinone exerts growth inhibitory effects after endogenous conversion to MK-4 (34) or menadione (vitamin K_3), which shows the strongest anticancer activities of all forms of vitamin K (16) and has been identified as a metabolite of both phylloquinone and menaquinones formed during absorption from the gastrointestinal tract (38). Differences in the biological actions between dietary phylloquinone and menaquinones are also to be expected due to differential transport by lipoproteins (liver as the main target organ of phylloquinone, whereas menaquinones are redistributed by the liver to extrahepatic organs) (39) and poor bioavailability of phylloquinone from vegetables (23).

As a nutritional epidemiologic study without biomarker measurement, this study had several limitations. Of major concern was the relative validity in estimating dietary intake of vitamin K by means of FFQs. The main food sources of phylloquinone are vegetables; those of menaquinones are dairy products and meat. In an earlier validation study, Spearman correlation coefficients between twelve 24-h dietary recalls and the FFQ were reasonable for the main food sources of menaquinones (0.58 for cheese, 0.67 for meat, and 0.65 for processed meat), albeit moderate for vegetables (0.42) (40). A validation study investigating the validity of estimated vitamin K intake has not been conducted in our cohort. However, a comparable validation study has been conducted for the Dutch EPIC FFQ, which showed reasonable relative validity of intakes of menaguinones estimated by using the same data source (23) as in the present study (correlation coefficients between 0.51 and 0.72) (41). In a cross-sectional study, dietary intakes of both phylloquinone and menaquinones (calculated from 24-h dietary recalls by using the same nutrient databases as used herein) were significantly associated with undercarboxylated osteocalcin, a functional biomarker of vitamin K status (42). Furthermore, it has been shown that dietary intakes of phylloquinone estimated with FFQs are significantly associated with plasma phylloquinone (43, 44).

The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition

慾

The vitamin K content of foods may vary according to growth and production conditions (23, 45). We used HPLC-based data from the United Kingdom (phylloquinone) and from the Netherlands (menaquinones) for calculation of vitamin K intake. Both databases have been used to estimate vitamin K intakes in previous epidemiologic studies (41, 43, 46, 47). Because growth and production practices as well as the types of foods consumed (ie, types of cheese) are comparable across European countries, the application of the UK/Dutch databases for a German cohort study seems reasonable.

A crucial point in this study is whether the obtained results really show a vitamin K effect or not. Dietary intake of menaquinones is strongly determined by the consumption of cheese, which contributes 45% to the total intake and explains 71% of the between-person variability. Thus, with the use of dietary questionnaire data only, it is difficult to determine whether what has been observed herein is an effect of menaquinones in cheese or an effect of cheese in general. In fact, cheese consumption was independently inversely associated with cancer incidence and mortality in this cohort. The fact that both intakes of MK-4, which is equally derived from meat and dairy products, and intakes of the menaquinones MK-5 to MK-9, which almost exclusively occur in cheese, were independently inversely associated with cancer , argues that the observed effect of menaquinones was an effect beyond a mere cheese effect. Nevertheless, it is possible that the inverse association between menaquinones and incident and fatal cancer was due to constituents of cheese beyond those considered in this analysis. To rule this out, studies involving biomarker measurements are warranted. A first confirmatory backup was provided by a nested case-control study, which showed an increased risk of advanced stage prostate cancer in participants with poor vitamin K status as reflected by serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin (48).

In the present study, the estimation of dietary intake was based on FFQs administered at baseline by the study participants, assuming that dietary habits remain stable over time. This assumption seems justified because the long-term reproducibility of dietary intake of dairy products and meat—the 2 most important sources of menaquinones—is fairly high in our study population (Spearman rank correlations between repeated FFQ measurements at baseline and 5.8 y later of 0.55 for dairy products and 0.62 for meat) (49).

The strengths of this study included its prospective design and the substantial follow-up period. In addition, the comprehensive assessment of potential confounders at baseline allowed for adjustment for a wide range of potentially confounding factors.

In conclusion, this study showed inverse associations between the dietary intake of menaquinones and both overall cancer incidence and mortality. Considering menaquinones in the context of daily food intake, the findings of our study imply that the consumption of cheese may have a beneficial effect with respect to cancer, particularly prostate and lung cancer in men. The hypothesis that habitual dietary vitamin K intake may affect the risk of cancer is rather new and mostly based on evidence from experimental cell studies. Certainly, more studies in humans are warranted before dietary recommendations for cancer prevention can be postulated. Because of the limited accuracy of questionnaire-based calculations of phylloquinone and menaquinone intakes, assessments of vitamin K status via biomarker measurement are desirable.

The authors' responsibilities were as follows—KN: statistical analysis, interpretation of the data, and drafting of the manuscript; SR and RK: critical revision of the manuscript; and JL: study concept and design, acquisition of data, critical revision of the manuscript, and acquisition of funding for the study. None of the authors had any personal or financial conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Furie B, Bouchard BA, Furie BC. Vitamin K-dependent biosynthesis of gamma-carboxyglutamic acid. Blood 1999;93:1798–808.
- Lamson DW, Plaza SM. The anticancer effects of vitamin K. Altern Med Rev 2003;8:303–18.
- Shearer MJ, Bach A, Kohlmeier M. Chemistry, nutritional sources, tissue distribution and metabolism of vitamin K with special reference to bone health. J Nutr 1996;126:1181S–6S.
- Ajisaka H, Shimizu K, Miwa K. Immunohistochemical study of protein induced by vitamin K absence or antagonist II in hepatocellular carcinoma. J Surg Oncol 2003;84:89–93.
- Bouzahzah B, Nishikawa Y, Simon D, Carr BI. Growth control and gene expression in a new hepatocellular carcinoma cell line, Hep40: inhibitory actions of vitamin K. J Cell Physiol 1995;165:459–67.
- Hitomi M, Yokoyama F, Kita Y, et al. Antitumor effects of vitamins K1, K2 and K3 on hepatocellular carcinoma in vitro and in vivo. Int J Oncol 2005;26:713–20.
- Matsumoto K, Okano J, Nagahara T, Murawaki Y. Apoptosis of liver cancer cells by vitamin K2 and enhancement by MEK inhibition. Int J Oncol 2006;29:1501–8.

- Nishikawa Y, Carr BI, Wang M, et al. Growth inhibition of hepatoma cells induced by vitamin K and its analogs. J Biol Chem 1995;270: 28304–10.
- Nutter LM, Cheng AL, Hung HL, Hsieh RK, Ngo EO, Liu TW. Menadione: spectrum of anticancer activity and effects on nucleotide metabolism in human neoplastic cell lines. Biochem Pharmacol 1991;41:1283–92.
- Osada S, Saji S, Osada K. Critical role of extracellular signal-regulated kinase phosphorylation on menadione (vitamin K3) induced growth inhibition. Cancer 2001;91:1156–65.
- Otsuka M, Kato N, Shao RX, et al. Vitamin K-2 inhibits the growth and invasiveness of hepatocellular carcinoma cells via protein kinase A activation. Hepatology 2004;40:243–51.
- Tokita H, Tsuchida A, Miyazawa K, et al. Vitamin K2-induced antitumor effects via cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis in gastric cancer cell lines. Int J Mol Med 2006;17:235–43.
- 13. Verrax J, Cadrobbi J, Delvaux M, et al. The association of vitamins C and K3 kills cancer cells mainly by autoschizis, a novel form of cell death. Basis for their potential use as coadjuvants in anticancer therapy. Eur J Med Chem 2003;38:451–7.
- von Gruenigen VE, Jamison JM, Gilloteaux J, et al. The in vitro antitumor activity of vitamins C and K3 against ovarian carcinoma. Anticancer Res 2003;23:3279–87.
- Wu FY, Chang NT, Chen WJ, Juan CC. Vitamin K3-induced cell cycle arrest and apoptotic cell death are accompanied by altered expression of c-fos and c-myc in nasopharyngeal carcinoma cells. Oncogene 1993;8: 2237–44.
- Wu FY, Liao WC, Chang HM. Comparison of antitumor activity of vitamins K1, K2 and K3 on human tumor cells by two (MTT and SRB) cell viability assays. Life Sci 1993;52:1797–804.
- Yokoyama T, Miyazawa K, Yoshida T, Ohyashiki K. Combination of vitamin K2 plus imatinib mesylate enhances induction of apoptosis in small cell lung cancer cell lines. Int J Oncol 2005;26:33–40.
- Yoshida T, Miyazawa K, Kasuga I, et al. Apoptosis induction of vitamin K2 in lung carcinoma cell lines: the possibility of vitamin K2 therapy for lung cancer. Int J Oncol 2003;23:627–32.
- Jamison JM, Gilloteaux J, Taper HS, Summers JL. Evaluation of the in vitro and in vivo antitumor activities of vitamin C and K-3 combinations against human prostate cancer. J Nutr 2001;131:158S–60S.
- Ogawa M, Nakai S, Deguchi A, et al. Vitamins K2, K3 and K5 exert antitumor effects on established colorectal cancer in mice by inducing apoptotic death of tumor cells. Int J Oncol 2007;31:323–31.
- Wang Z, Wang M, Finn F, Carr BI. The growth inhibitory effects of vitamins K and their actions on gene expression. Hepatology 1995;22: 876–82.
- Habu D, Shiomi S, Tamori A, et al. Role of vitamin K2 in the development of hepatocellular carcinoma in women with viral cirrhosis of the liver. JAMA 2004;292:358–61.
- Schurgers LJ, Vermeer C. Determination of phylloquinone and menaquinones in food. Effect of food matrix on circulating vitamin K concentrations. Haemostasis 2000;30:298–307.
- Nimptsch K, Rohrmann S, Linseisen J. Dietary intake of vitamin K and risk of prostate cancer in the Heidelberg cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Heidelberg). Am J Clin Nutr 2008;87:985–92.
- 25. Bohlscheid-Thomas S, Hoting I, Boeing H, Wahrendorf J. Reproducibility and relative validity of energy and macronutrient intake of a food frequency questionnaire developed for the German part of the EPIC project. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Int J Epidemiol 1997;26(suppl 1):S71–81.
- 26. Brandstetter BR, Korfmann A, Kroke A, Becker N, Schulze MB, Boeing H. Dietary habits in the German EPIC cohorts: food group intake estimated with the food frequency questionnaire. European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Ann Nutr Metab 1999;43:246–57.
- Riboli E, Hunt KJ, Slimani N, et al. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC): study populations and data collection. Public Health Nutr 2002;5:1113–24.
- Boeing H, Wahrendorf J, Becker N. EPIC-Germany—a source for studies into diet and risk of chronic diseases. European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Ann Nutr Metab 1999;43:195–204.

- Bolton-Smith C, Price RJ, Fenton ST, Harrington DJ, Shearer MJ. Compilation of a provisional UK database for the phylloquinone (vitamin K1) content of foods. Br J Nutr 2000;83:389–99.
- Hirauchi K, Sakano T, Notsumoto S, et al. Measurement of K vitamins in animal tissues by high-performance liquid chromatography with fluorimetric detection. J.Chromatogr Biomed Appl 1989;497:131–7.
- Young JLRS, Ries LAG, Fritz AG, Hurlbut AA. SEER summary staging manual—2000: codes and coding instructions. Bethesda, MD: National Cancer Institute, 2001 (NIH publication 01-4969.).
- Lunn M, McNeil D. Applying Cox regression to competing risks. Biometrics 1995;51:524–32.
- Thijssen HH, Drittij-Reijnders MJ. Vitamin K status in human tissues: tissue-specific accumulation of phylloquinone and menaquinone-4. Br J Nutr 1996;75:121–7.
- Thijssen HH, Drittij-Reijnders MJ, Fischer MA. Phylloquinone and menaquinone-4 distribution in rats: synthesis rather than uptake determines menaquinone-4 organ concentrations. J Nutr 1996;126:537–43.
- 35. Yoshiji H, Kuriyama S, Noguchi R, et al. Combination of vitamin K2 and the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, perindopril, attenuates the liver enzyme-altered preneoplastic lesions in rats via angiogenesis suppression. J Hepatol 2005;42:687–93.
- Matsubara K, Kayashima T, Mori M, Yoshida H, Mizushina Y. Inhibitory effects of vitamin K3 on DNA polymerase and angiogenesis. Int J Mol Med 2008;22:381–7.
- Kirsch M, Schackert G, Black PM. Angiogenesis, metastasis, and endogenous inhibition. J Neurooncol 2000;50:173–80.
- Thijssen HH, Vervoort LM, Schurgers LJ, Shearer MJ. Menadione is a metabolite of oral vitamin K. Br J Nutr 2006;95:260–6.
- Schurgers LJ, Vermeer C. Differential lipoprotein transport pathways of K-vitamins in healthy subjects. Biochim Biophys Acta 2002;1570: 27–32.
- 40. Bohlscheid-Thomas S, Hoting I, Boeing H, Wahrendorf J. Reproducibility and relative validity of food group intake in a food frequency questionnaire developed for the German part of the EPIC project. European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Int J Epidemiol 1997;26(suppl 1):S59–70.
- Beulens JW, Bots ML, Atsma F, et al. High dietary menaquinone intake is associated with reduced coronary calcification. Atherosclerosis 2009; 203:489–93
- Nimptsch K, Nieters A, Hailer S, Wolfram G, Linseisen J. The association between dietary vitamin K intake and serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin is modulated by vitamin K epoxide reductase genotype. Br J Nutr 2009;101:1812–20.
- 43. Thane CW, Bates CJ, Shearer MJ, et al. Plasma phylloquinone (vitamin K1) concentration and its relationship to intake in a national sample of British elderly people. Br J Nutr 2002;87:615–22.
- 44. McKeown NM, Rasmussen HM, Charnley JM, Wood RJ, Booth SL. Accuracy of phylloquinone (vitamin K-1) data in 2 nutrient databases as determined by direct laboratory analysis of diets. J Am Diet Assoc 2000; 100:1201–4.
- Thane CW, Paul AA, Bates CJ, Bolton-Smith C, Prentice A, Shearer MJ. Intake and sources of phylloquinone (vitamin K1): variation with sociodemographic and lifestyle factors in a national sample of British elderly people. Br J Nutr 2002;87:605–13.
- Collins A, Cashman KD, Kiely M. Phylloquinone (vitamin K1) intakes and serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin levels in Irish postmenopausal women. Br J Nutr 2006;95:982–8.
- Gast GC, de Roos NM, Sluijs I, et al. A high menaquinone intake reduces the incidence of coronary heart disease. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2009;19:504–10.
- 48. Nimptsch K, Rohrmann S, Nieters A, Linseisen J. Serum undercarboxylated osteocalcin as biomarker of vitamin K intake and risk of prostate cancer: a nested case-control study in the Heidelberg cohort of the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2009;18:49–56.
- 49. Nagel G, Zoller D, Ruf T, Rohrmann S, Linseisen J. Long-term reproducibility of a food-frequency questionnaire and dietary changes in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)-Heidelberg cohort. Br J Nutr 2007;98:194–200.

犵