ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Stefan Kääb · Andreas S. Barth · Daniel Margerie · Martin Dugas · Mathias Gebauer · Ludwig Zwermann · Sylvia Merk · Arne Pfeufer · Klaus Steinmeyer · Markus Bleich · Eckart Kreuzer · Gerhard Steinbeck · Michael Näbauer

Global gene expression in human myocardium oligonucleotide microarray analysis of regional diversity and transcriptional regulation in heart failure

Received: 14 February 2003 / Accepted: 9 December 2003 / Published online: 23 April 2004 © Springer-Verlag 2004

Abstract To obtain region- and disease-specific transcription profiles of human myocardial tissue, we explored mRNA expression from all four chambers of eight explanted failing [idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM), n=5; ischemic cardiomyopathy (ICM), n=3], and five non-failing hearts using high-density oligonucleotide arrays (Affymetrix U95Av2). We performed pair-wise comparisons of gene expression in the categories (1) atria versus ventricles, (2) disease-regulated genes in atria and (3) disease-regulated genes in ventricles. In the 51 heart samples examined, 549 genes showed divergent distri-

S. Kääb and A.S. Barth contributed equally

S. Kääb () A. S. Barth () L. Zwermann · G. Steinbeck · M. Näbauer
Medizinische Klinik und Poliklinik I,
Klinikum der Universität München, Grosshadern,
Marchioninistrasse 15, 81377 Munich, Germany
e-mail: Stefan.Kaab@med.uni-muenchen.de
Tel.: +49-89-70953049, Fax: +49-89-70956076
e-mail: Andreas.Barth@med.uni-muenchen.de
Tel.: +49-89-70953162
Fax: +49-89-70956076

D. Margerie · M. Gebauer · K. Steinmeyer · M. Bleich Aventis Pharma, Frankfurt a. Main, Germany

M. Dugas · S. Merk Institut für Medizinische Informationsverarbeitung, Biometrie und Epidemiologie (IBE), Klinikum der Universität München, LMU Munich, Grosshadern, Germany

A. Pfeufer Institut für Humangenetik, Technische Universität München und GSF Forschungszentrum, Neuherberg, Germany

E. Kreuzer Herzchirurgische Klinik und Poliklinik, Klinikum der Universität München, LMU Munich, Grosshadern, Germany bution between atria and ventricles (272 genes with higher expression in atria, 277 genes with higher expression in ventricles). Two hundred and eighty-eight



STEFAN KÄÄB (back) completed his postdoctoral training in cellular electrophysiology and molecular biology of ion channels at Johns Hopkins University (E. Marban, G. Tomaselli). He is presently coordinating the Arrhythmia Section of the Cardiovascular Disease Network within the German National Genome Research Network (NGFN). His research interests (NGFN). are the functional genetics of cardiac arrhythmias. ANDREAS BARTH (front) completed his doctoral thesis on the role of potassium channel heterogeneity on ventricular repolarization in the human heart. His research interests include regulatory pathways and region-specific ion-channel expression in cardiac diseases.

MICHAEL NÄBAUER heads the research group on cardiac cellular and molecular electrophysiology in Munich. His research interest is the cellular, molecular and genetic basis of atrial and ventricular arrhythmias. He is a project leader on the genetics of atrial and ventricular arrhythmias within the German National Genome Research Network (NGFN). genes were differentially expressed in failing myocardium compared to non-failing hearts (19 genes regulated in atria and ventricles, 172 regulated in atria only, 97 genes regulated in ventricles only). For disease-regulated genes, down-regulation was 4.5-times more common than upregulation. Functional classification according to Gene Ontology identified specific biological patterns for differentially expressed genes. Eleven genes were validated by RT-PCR showing a good correlation with the microarray data. Our goal was to determine a gene expression fingerprint of the heart, accounting for region- and diseasespecific aspects. Recognizing common gene expression patterns in heart failure will significantly contribute to the understanding of heart failure and may eventually lead to the development of pathway-specific therapies.

Keywords Microarray · Cardiomyopathy · Gene expression · Myocardium · Ion channels · Signal transduction

Abbreviations CMP: Cardiomyopathy ·

DCM: Idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy · ICM: Ischemic cardiomyopathy \cdot LA: Left atrium \cdot LV: Left ventricle \cdot *NF*: Non-failing $\cdot RA$: Right atrium $\cdot RV$: Right ventricle

Electronic Supplementary Material Supplementary material is available in the online version of this article at http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00109-004-0527-2

Introduction

Transcript profiling has emerged as a powerful tool for delineating complex patterns of tissue- and disease-specific gene expression. In cardiac physiology, this method has been employed to generate hypotheses about the molecular mechanisms underlying observed phenotypes (e.g. myocardial infarction [1], dilated or hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], congenital heart disease [8]) and to identify new therapeutic targets. We explored cardiac mRNA expression from left and right atria and ventricles of failing and non-failing hearts using the

Table 1 Patient demographics of non-failing and failing hearts (ICH intracerebral hemorrhage, SAH subarachnoidal hemorrhage, EF left ventricular ejection fraction, as determined by left ventriculography, A ACEinhibitor, B beta-blocker, C calcium-antagonist, D digitalis, L loop-diuretic, S spironolactone, T thiazide-diuretic, St statin, So sotalol, Am amiodarone)

Affymetrix U95Av2 GeneChip, representing a total of 12,625 probe sets. Our goal was twofold. First, we sought to perform a large-scale screening of the expression profile of atrial and ventricular tissue. Although knowledge of differences in the atrioventricular gene expression profile in human myocardium exists for selected genes, such as ion channels, structural muscle proteins and signal transduction molecules [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15], microarray analysis might offer a broader approach to identify sets of new genes exclusively or predominantly expressed in atrium or ventricle. These genes might ultimately become important when regionally specific drug therapy is considered, e.g. targeting exclusively the atrial myocardium in atrial fibrillation. Second, we sought to gain new insights into the pathophysiological mechanism leading to or accompanying cardiomyopathy by comparing gene expression profiles of non-failing and failing atrial and ventricular myocardium. Heart failure is characterized by a remodeling process at the cellular level, which involves many changes in e.g. signaling cascades, cytoskeletal proteins and calcium handling. By examining thousands of genes simultaneously, microarrays offer a unique possibility of unraveling complex regulatory networks. Although a few studies have examined global gene expression changes in heart failure previously [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8], novel information is expected from our experiments, as this study presents the first results of myocardial transcript profiling analysis using high-density oligonucleotide arrays accounting for both, region- and disease-specific gene expression patterns in cardiomyopathic hearts.

Materials and methods

Patients

Tissue was prepared from eight hearts of patients with end-stage heart failure (DCM, n=5; ICM, n=3) and from five non-failing donor hearts that were not transplanted due to palpable coronary calcifications. However, none of the patients in the latter group were known to have a history of heart disease or to take any medication for cardiovascular diseases. Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. Only one female DCM patient had a positive

Patient identifier	Diagnosis	EF[%]	Age	Sex	Treatment	
Non-failing hearts						
1	ICH		62	female		
2	SAH		60	female		
3	SAH		51	female		
4	ICH		57	male		
5	SAH		54	male		
Failing hearts						
6	DCM	22	37	female	A, B, L	
7	DCM	10	54	female	A, C, L, D, Am	
8	DCM	25	22	female	A, S, L	
9	DCM	25	53	male	A, L, D, So	
10	DCM	17	56	male	A, C, D, L, T,	
11	ICM	15	60	male	A, B, C, D, L, S, Am	
12	ICM	20	60	male	A, B, D, L, S, St	
13	ICM	29	64	male	A; D, L	

Fig. 1 Distribution of the level of expression of the probe sets represented on the U95Av2 chip after normalization by variance stabilization. The number of probe sets (*y*-*axis*) is plotted against the expression level (*x*-*axis*; arbitrary units)



expression level after variance stabilization normalization [arbitrary units]

family history suggesting that she was a carrier of Duchenne's disease, as a son had died at the age of 18 (patient identifier no. 7; Table 1). However, screening for disease mutations was not performed for DCM patients. Informed consent was obtained before organ explanation. The Ethical Review Board of the University of Munich approved the protocol.

Tissue selection, mRNA preparation and hybridization to oligonucleotide microarrays

Tissue was collected from all four heart chambers from all but one patient, for whom a left atrial sample could not be obtained due to technical reasons. In total, 51 tissue samples were collected from 13 patients. For ventricular tissue, a 1×1 cm segment of myocardium, approximately midway between the apex and the base of the anterior free wall was used, while in the atria the appendages as well as the sinus node region in the right atrium and the ostia of the pulmonary veins in the left atrium were avoided. Tissue was frozen in liquid nitrogen immediately after explantation.

Total RNA was purified from homogenized deep-frozen tissue samples following the TRIZOL standard protocol as described by the manufacturer (GibcoBRL, Eggenstein, Germany). Total RNA was quantified by spectrophotometry and 150 ng total RNA was used for quality control using the RNA 6,000 Nano LabChip kit and Agilent Bioanalyzer 2,100 (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, Calif., USA).

Double-stranded cDNA was synthesized from 10 μ g total RNA using the Superscript double stranded cDNA synthesis kit (Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) with an HPLC-purified oligo(dT) primer containing a T7 RNA polymerase promoter (GENSET, La Jolla, Calif., USA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Biotinylated cRNA probes were synthesized by in vitro transcription using the ENZO BioArray RNA transcript labeling kit (ENZO Diagnostics, Farmingdale, N.Y., USA).

For gene expression profiling, the Human Genome GeneChip U95Av2 System (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, Calif., USA) representing 12,625 probe sets was used. The sequences are derived from sequence clusters in Build 95 of the UniGene database (sequences in UniGene Build 95 are from GenBank 113 and dbEST/ 10-02-99). Further information about the Gene Chip System can be obtained at http://www.affymetrix.com [16].

Approximately 7% of genes are represented by multiple probe sets on the U95Av2 array; therefore, the total number of genes is estimated to be ~11,500. One GeneChip was used for each human heart sample. Fragmentation of 10 μ g biotinylated cRNA, as well as the subsequent steps of hybridization, washing, and staining followed the instructions provided by Affymetrix.

Data extraction and statistical analysis

The 51 U95Av2 GeneChips passed quality control to eliminate scans with abnormal characteristics, i.e. abnormal low or high dynamic range, high perfect match saturation, high pixel noise, grid misalignment problems, and low mean signal to noise ratio. Statistical analysis was done using R 1.7.0 (http://www.r-project.org) [17]. Reading-in and normalization of the probe level data (.cel files) was done using the affy and vsn packages from Bioconductor (http://www.bioconductor.org) [18, 19]. "Mas" was chosen as background correction, probe specific correction and summary method to convert probe-level data to expression values. In order to enable comparison between different microarray experiments, normalization by variance stabilization was performed [20]. A plot of all signal intensities above background after performing the variance stabilization procedure is given in Fig. 1. Data was further analyzed by two-way ANOVA. Differences were considered statistically significant if a nominal significance of P<0.05 was achieved after adjusting the cutoff P value for multiple comparisons by the Bonferroni method and subsequently ranked according to their F value. Clustering was performed using an average linkage algorithm contained in the Genesis Software package (http:// genome.tugraz.at) [23].

Functional classification was based on the "biological process" of Gene Ontology [24] using the "GO tool" incorporated in the Netaffx software (http://www.affymetrix.com). Biological processes were annotated for 68% of atrial and 73% of ventricular genes. Relationships between two different gene lists (i.e. regionand disease-specific genes) were checked by means of the "MatchMiner" software tool (http://discover.nci.nih.gov/gd/deploy/ MatchMiner/html/index.jsp) [25]. Equally, "MatchMiner" was used to eliminate duplicate probe sets within one gene list.

Validation by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR

Expression patterns of seven genes were confirmed by real-time PCR using the SYBR-Green method [26]. Four additional genes were examined using TaqMan probes. Special emphasis was laid on genes displaying expression changes at the atrioventricular level and in diseased hearts (six of 35 genes in this group were randomly chosen). A complete list of the genes examined with RT-PCR, including the design of the primers and probes is listed in the Electronic Supplementary Material (Table S1).

Before PCR amplification, contaminating genomic DNA was removed from the isolated RNA using the DNA free kit from Ambion (Austin, Tex., USA). Total RNA content was then quantified by spectrophotometry. For in vitro reverse transcription, 200 ng total RNA was preincubated at 70°C for 10 min with random hexamer primer. Then, 1 μ l RNAse-inhibitor (1.5 U/ μ l; RNAsin; from Promega, Heidelberg, Germany), 1 μ l dNTP-mix (containing each deoxyribonucleotide at a concentration of 10 mM), 2 μ l 0.1 M dithiothreitol, 4 μ l 5× reaction buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.4, 375 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl₂; from Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany) and 1 μ l Superscript II RNAse H reverse transcriptase were added to obtain a total volume of 20 μ l and subsequently incubated at 42°C for 60 min. Finally, the enzyme was inactivated at 70°C for 15 min.

Gene-specific primers and probes were designed using Primer 3 software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, Calif., USA) in order to amplify fragments of 70-150 base pairs in length close to the 3'-end of the transcript. Real-time PCR oligonucleotide hybridization primers were purchased from Thermo Hybaid (Ulm, Germany). Gel electrophoresis and the configuration of the dissociation curves were used to assess the specificity of the amplicon. Real-time PCR was performed in triplicate for each sample with 10 µl aliquots of diluted cDNA (1:3) in the Mx4,000 Detection System using the Brilliant SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix (containing SureStart Taq, dNTPs with dUTPs, optimized buffer and passive reference dye) according to the recommendations of Stratagene (La Jolla, Calif., USA). For the genes examined with TaqMan probes, a 2× Universal PCR Master-Mix from Perkin Elmer (containing AmpliTaq Gold DNA polymerase, AmpErase UNG, dNTPs with dUTPs, passive reference dye and optimized buffer including MgCl₂), 900 nM primer and 200 nM probe were used. 18S RNA served as an internal control in all RT-PCR experiments.

PCR amplification of cDNA started with a "hot start" activation of the SureStart *Taq* polymerase at 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s denaturation at 95°C, annealing for 60 s at 58°C, and 10 s elongation at 72°C. All experimental results for the samples with a coefficient of variation >10% were retested. To evaluate differences in gene expression, a relative quantification method based on the REST program developed by Pfaff1 and colleagues was used [27].

Results

Regional gene expression

Five hundred and forty-nine genes were identified to be differentially expressed between atria and ventricles (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S2). Two hundred and seventy-two and 277 genes showed higher expression values in atria and ventricles, respectively. According to Gene Ontology, distinct functional classes could be identified for specific biological processes (Fig. 2). Compared to the ventricles and to all genes present on the U95Av2 chip, genes involved in cell proliferation, development, cell communication and signal transduction showed relatively higher expression in the atria, while expression of genes encoding for metabolic processes was more abundant in the ventricles compared to the atria (Fig. 2).

Of note, we were able to reproducibly find different expression values for the two isoforms of the myosin alkali light chain, known to be differentially expressed between atria and ventricles [12], thus confirming the regional specificity of our dataset [*MYL3* and *MYL4* with higher expression in ventricles and atria, respectively (Table S2)].

Figure S1 (Electronic Supplementary Material) shows an unsupervised clustering of the 549 genes with different atrioventricular localizations. As expected, atrial and ventricular samples formed different clusters. However, atrial as well as ventricular non-failing samples clustered separately from DCM/ICM samples, suggesting that for some genes, the atrioventricular gradient of expression is altered by heart failure.

Disease-specific gene expression in the ventricles



Atria and ventricles have different developmental, structural, hemodynamic and physiological properties. Addi-

Fig. 2 Functional classification for genes displaying an atrioventricular gradient in mRNA expression. Six major categories of biological processes are shown as percentage of total genes for the respective functional class. For 272 atrial-specific genes, 184 functional annotations were available (*gray columns*), while 202 annotations were available for 277 genes with a predominant ventricular localization (*white columns*). All genes represented on the U95Av2 chip are indicated by the *black column*. Elements of cell proliferation, development, cell communication, signal transduction are more abundant in the atria, while metabolic genes appear more prominently regulated in ventricles **Fig. 3** Region- and diseasespecific expression of pro-BNP. Expression values (arbitrary units) from RT-PCR experiments are given for non-failing (*NF*, gray columns) and failing myocardium (*CMP*, black columns). It is evident that pro-BNP transcripts are more abundant in the atria compared to the ventricles. In heart failure up-regulation of pro-BNP occurs in ventricles and atria



tionally, a differential response to pathologic stress of atria and ventricles is suggested as only 19 disease-regulated genes (less than 10% of all disease-regulated genes identified) were found to be regulated by heart failure concomitantly in ventricles and atria (Electronic Supplementary Material, Tables S3a and S3b). Moreover, pro-BNP, an established marker for heart failure, was differentially expressed between atria and ventricles, highlighting the importance of accounting for atrioventricular differences in gene expression when examining diseaseregulated genes (Fig. 3).

In the ventricles, a total of 116 genes was regulated by disease, with 13 and 103 genes found to be up- and downregulated, respectively (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S3a). Thirteen genes displaying an atrioventricular expression gradient with higher expression in the ventricle also displayed expression changes in response to heart failure in the ventricle. Interestingly, five genes with a modest but statistically significant atrial predominance were found to be regulated by disease in ventricles only (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S3a).

Disease-specific gene expression in the atria

A total of 191 genes were regulated by disease in atria (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S3b). Similar to genes regulated in ventricles, down-regulation was more common than up-regulation (148 versus 43 genes, respectively).

Fourteen genes displaying an atrioventricular expression gradient with higher expression in the atria also displayed atrial expression changes in response to heart failure. Six additional genes with a predominant ventricular localization were found to be regulated by disease only in the atria (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S3b). Among the genes up-regulated in failing hearts, many elements of signal transduction pathways and transcription factors were found [transcription factor AP-2 beta; transcription factor AR1; Spi-B transcription factor (Spi-1/PU.1 related); POU domain, class 2, transcription factor 2; hepatic transcription factor 2; variant hepatic nuclear factor (LF-B3)].

Validation by real-time quantitative PCR

The changes in expression levels revealed by the microarray experiments were validated for selected genes using semiquantitative real-time PCR assays. From the genes regulated differentially between atria and ventricles, nine were randomly selected with confirmation of the expression changes for all of these genes (Table 2). For disease-regulated genes, expression changes were confirmed for all but one gene (*AMD1*). As the variance stabilization transformation introduced a non-linear (logarithmic) correction, only qualitative differences were available for microarray data, instead of the traditional "-fold changes" [20].

Discussion

Using an unbiased approach of microarray gene expression analysis, we were able to identify genes expressed in a unique region- and disease-specific pattern. To our knowledge, this is the first study to account for regionand disease-specific human myocardial gene expression using Affymetrix oligonucleotide technology. The reliability of these findings is supported by confirmation of the results by semiquantitative RT-PCR and recognition of known atrial and ventricular genes. Table 2 Validation of expression changes observed in microarray experiments with RT-PCR. Mean values for -fold changes were compared between non-failing and failing hearts for all 51 tissue samples. Please note that comparison of the fold changes for the microarray data was limited by a non-linear correction introduced by the variance stabilization transformation; therefore, only qualitative differences are given for the microarray results (A atria, V ventricles, CMP cardiomyopathy, NF non-failing)

Group	Microarray	Fold-change	Validation	
	U95Av2	RT-PCR	status	
atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) atria vs. ventricles (NF) NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles (NF)	V >A NF >CMP A >V NF >CMP V >A NF >CMP V >A CMP >NF A >V NF >CMP V >A CMP >NF A >V NF >CMP NF >CMP NF >CMP A >V NF >CMP A >V	$\begin{array}{c} 0.6\\ 1.8\\ 1.2\\ 1.4\\ 0.6\\ 1.2\\ 0.4\\ 0.7\\ 1.5\\ 1.3\\ 0.5\\ 1.3\\ 0.5\\ 14.0\\ 1.4\\ 7.1\\ 7.3\\ 8.3\\ 138\end{array}$	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
NF vs. CMP (ventricles)	NF >CMP	13.9	Yes	
	Group atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) atria vs. ventricles NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) atria vs. ventricles (NF) NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles (NF) NF vs. CMP (ventricles) atria vs. ventricles (NF) NF vs. CMP (ventricles)	$\begin{array}{c c} Group & \underbrace{Microarray}{U95Av2} \\ \hline \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A \\ NF vs. CMP (atria) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (atria) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (atria) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A \\ NF vs. CMP (atria) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & CMP > NF \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A$	$ \begin{array}{c c} Group & \underline{Microarray} & Fold-change \\ \hline Wicroarray & U95Av2 & RT-PCR \\ \hline atria vs. ventricles & V > A & 0.6 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria) & NF > CMP & 1.8 \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V & 1.2 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A & 0.6 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.2 \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A & 0.6 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.2 \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A & 0.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & CMP > NF & 0.7 \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V & 1.5 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria) & NF > CMP & 1.3 \\ atria vs. ventricles & V > A & 0.5 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & CMP > NF & 0.5 \\ atria vs. ventricles & A > V & 14.0 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (atria + ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.4 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 1.3 \\ atria vs. ventricles (NF) & A > V & 138 \\ NF vs. CMP (ventricles) & NF > CMP & 13.9 \\ \end{array}$	

Regional gene expression

We identified a whole set of genes with divergent atrioventricular distribution (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S2), including several genes known to show differential distribution (*KCNA5* [9], *AGTR1* [10], *TBX5* [11], *MYL3* and *MYL4* [12], *KCNJ3* [13], *KCNK1* and *KCNK3* [14], *ADM* [15]). *MYL3* and *MYL4* are two transcripts of the myosin alkali light chain showing 78% sequence homology at the level of the coding sequence [12]. The Affymetrix probe sets 40062_s_at (*MLY4*, atrial isoform) and 41730_at (*MYL3*, ventricular isoform) can accurately discriminate between both highly similar transcripts in atria and ventricles, thus confirming the regional specificity of our data set.

Differential expression of genes between atria and ventricles may contribute to the functional and structural differences of these chambers. In agreement with a recent study examining atrioventricular differences in gene expression [8], metabolic genes belonged to a functional class with higher expression levels in ventricles than in atria (Fig. 2). For instance, the gene for lipoprotein lipase was found among the genes with a dominant ventricular distribution pattern. As fatty acids released by the catalytic activity of lipoprotein lipase from triacyl-glycerol are used by cardiomyocytes as oxidative fuel, the higher expression levels of *LPL* observed in ventricles might reflect the higher metabolic needs of ventricular myocytes due to greater force generation compared to atrial myocardium [28].

Moreover, 28 ion channels and their associated subunits were found to be differentially expressed between atria and ventricles (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S2), reflecting their electrophysiological differences. For example, *KCNJ3* encodes for a subunit of the atrial-specific acetylcholine-activated K^+ channel which transmits vagal input to the myocardium and thereby causes a decrease in heart rate and myocardial contractility [13]. Similarly, *KCNA5*, another ion channel gene with atrial predominance, has been identified as the molecular substrate for the ultrarapid delayed rectifier current, found in human atrial myocytes [9]. *KCNK1* and *KCNK3* encode for two-pore domain K⁺ channels, giving rise to background currents, also found predominantly in the atria [14].

Understanding the physiological distribution of genes and their regulation by pathophysiological states might significantly contribute to the targeted development of therapeutic interventions. For instance, drug therapy for atrial arrhythmias is often limited by proarrhythmia at the ventricular level. Therefore, determining unique electrical properties of atrial cardiomyocytes might give rise to new therapeutic strategies targeting atrial-specific ion channels like KCNA5 [29].

We were able to identify a whole set of genes with a previously unknown region-specific distribution. The advent of gene therapy, which necessitates a very localized transfection of selected regions of the myocardium (e.g. modulation of AV-conduction in atrial fibrillation [30] or creation of a biological pacemaker [31]), emphasizes the need for identifying region-specific gene expression in order to make use of region-specific promoters.

Disease-specific gene expression

Given the profound structural and functional differences between atria and ventricles, we have attempted a genomic comparison of the transcriptional profile of 51 myocardial samples from cardiomyopathic and non-failing atria and ventricles. To our knowledge, this is the first study to address regional differences in gene expression in patients with dilated and ischemic cardiomyopathy. The importance of accounting for regional differences when examining disease-regulated genes is highlighted by the fact that only 19 out of 288 genes regulated in cardiomyopathies, i.e. less than 10%, were found to be co-regulated in atria and ventricles. Consequently, we were able to show for the first time a different genomic response of atrial and ventricular genes to heart failure, with nearly twice as many genes regulated in the atria in response to heart failure compared to the ventricles (172 versus 97, respectively). Presently, the reason for this is unclear. Still, it is interesting to note that in a recent microarray study of murine heart failure, Zhao and colleagues found that atrial-predominant genes as a class were more responsive to adrenergic stimuli [32]. In their study, seven of 14 atrial-predominant genes showed >1.8-fold upregulation in the atria of isoproterenol-treated mice, while only one of the seven genes examined with neutral or ventricular predominance was up-regulated. Using a comparative bioinformatic approach to search for evolutionarily conserved noncoding sequences, they found that atrial-expressed genes have more predicted myocyte enhancer factor-2 (MEF2) binding sites than ventricle-predominant genes. The higher sensitivity of atrial genes to hypertrophic stimuli, like isoproterenol, might in part be conferred through an evolutionary conserved transcription factor binding site for MEF2 which responds to isoproterenol stimulation, leading to the regulation of many atrial-predominant genes [32]. Additionally, a "transcriptional field" defined by a chamber-specific mix of transcription factors, that are enriched or more active in the atrium has been suggested to explain the regulation of genes independent of MEF2 [32]. This seems to be supported by our finding of signal transduction elements being more abundant in atria compared to ventricles (Fig. 2). Although this might serve as a first hypothesis for the differences in the genomic response observed between atria and ventricles, further studies are definitively needed to elucidate region-specific differences in gene expression in response to heart failure.

Despite chamber-specific differences in heart disease, several genes were found to be regulated in both, the atria and the ventricles. For instance, a lower expression of STAT3 (signal transducer and activators of transcription, member 3) was observed in all failing tissue samples examined. Next to glycoprotein 130, STAT3 is one of the main downstream effectors of cardiotrophin-1, a member of the interleukin-6 family with potent hypertrophic but also cardioprotective properties. It has been proposed that preserved or even enhanced glycoprotein130/STAT3 signaling might delay the onset of cardiac failure [33]. In an animal model of doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy, cardiac-specific overexpression of STAT3 provided protection against heart failure and resulted in improved survival [34]. It is therefore tempting to speculate that the lower expression of STAT3 in failing myocardium attenuates cardioprotective pathways and favors the development of heart failure.

Moreover, down-regulation of the proteinase inhibitor alpha1-antichymotrypsin (*SERPINA3*), previously shown for failing ventricular myocardium only [2], was observed in atria and ventricles (Electronic Supplementary Material, Tables S3a and S3b) and might therefore reflect a

general shift towards increased myocardial degradation in heart failure. However, additional chamber-specific differences in tissue degradation and remodeling may be operative, since SERPINB1, another member of this proteinase inhibitor family, TIMP3 (tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase, member 3), and ADAM23 (a disintegrin and metalloproteinase domain, member 23) were found to be down-regulated only in the ventricles, while MMP15 (matrix metalloproteinase, member 15) was up-regulated in the atria only (Electronic Supplementary Material, Tables S3a and S3b). A reduction in the levels of *TIMP3*, corresponding with adverse extracellular matrix remodeling in a cardiomyopathic hamster model and in the failing human heart was observed in a recent study, suggesting a possible role of TIMP3 in heart disease [35]. Extracellular matrix remodeling with increased fibrosis and myocardial scarring is also thought to contribute to electrical instability of the failing heart by providing a substrate for reentrant ventricular tachycardias. The propensity to ventricular arrhythmias is a characteristic feature of the failing heart, accounting for up to 50% of deaths [36, 37]. Amongst other things, such as abnormal intracellular calcium handling and stretch-induced mechanisms due to altered ventricular loading conditions, substantial evidence from recent years indicates a crucial role of altered expression of ion channels for the electrical instability of failing myocardium [38]. In this respect, KCNJ8, part of the mitochondrial ATP-gated potassium channel mediating ischemic preconditioning, was found to undergo transcriptional changes in ventricular myocardium in heart failure (Electronic Supplementary Material, Table S3a). Differences in the behavior of the mitochondrial I_{KATP} in failing hearts may have profound implications for susceptibility to arrhythmias induced by myocardial ischemia.

It is interesting to note that in heart failure more genes were down-regulated than up-regulated in both, the atria and the ventricles. This differs from the majority of studies so far [4, 5, 6, 7], even though down-regulation has also been reported for DCM in one study [3].

To address these divergent findings, several aspects need to be considered: First, the choice of tissue for "nonfailing controls" is a critical point. Given the extremely limited availability of human non-failing tissue, we used specimens from donor hearts which could not be transplanted due to palpable coronary calcifications. No left ventricular hypertrophy or scars indicative of prior myocardial infarctions were evident. At the molecular level, pro-BNP was uniformly low in the non-failing LV samples compared to the LV from cardiomyopathic hearts, supporting the fact that the prominent down-regulation observed was not due to inadequate control tissue (Fig. 3).

The second possibility might be that the "down-regulation" observed is related to a change in cellular composition of the myocardium in heart disease, such as replacement of myocytes by non-cellular connective tissue. As laser microdissection has not been performed, we cannot ultimately exclude this possibility. However, the expression of several genes, generally assumed to be restricted to cardiomyocytes, such as cardiac myosin heavy polypeptide 7 (*MYH7*), cardiac myosin light polypeptide 2 (*MYL2*), cardiac troponin T2 (*TNNT2*) and calsequestrin (*CASQ2*) [6, 21] was not significantly different between failing and non-failing tissue in the 51 samples examined (data not shown). Therefore, it is unlikely that the down-regulation observed is due to a quantitative change of cardiomyocytes.

Third, normalization has a profound influence on the statistical analysis [39]. Therefore, great care was taken to ensure that the small number of genes found to be upregulated was not caused by normalization artifacts: performing a different method of normalization (global scaling) confirmed the same overall result of down-regulation being more common than up-regulation (data not shown).

Fourth, gender-specific differences were corrected for by performing a neighborhood analysis [22] to eliminate genes regulated by sex but not by heart failure, as it has been shown that some heart-failure responsive genes demonstrated significant changes in expression as a function of sex [21]. However, only one gene was identified in our regional- and disease-specific results and excluded from subsequent analysis (*RPSY4*; ribosomal protein S4, Y-linked, probe set 41214_at).

Still, one has to consider that a number of pathophysiologically relevant genes were probably missed by our combined analysis of left and right myocardial samples, as a differential regulation between right and left chambers may occur. It is well known that congestive heart failure can present clinically as predominant left, right or biventricular failure. Thus, depending on the clinical presentation, specific heart chambers might be affected to a different degree. A separate analysis of left and right ventricular samples to account for left or right ventricular involvement might have overcome this limitation, however, would have reduced sample sizes, resulting in inadequate statistical power. Hence, this approach was discarded at the expense of potentially missing some regulated genes.

Limitations of microarray analysis

While the technique of microarray data analysis has reached widespread use, reproducibility of microarray data continues to be a critical issue. Analysis of human myocardial tissue is complicated by biological variability, which is expected to be greater than in well-controlled animal models of heart failure or in cell-based systems. Different etiologies of heart failure, medication, age, sex, individual course of the disease and clinical conditions at the time of transplantation might contribute considerably to the variability of microarray data in different studies. Moreover, comparison between microarray studies is complicated by different study designs (cDNA versus oligonucleotide technology, different statistical methods, pooled tissue samples versus samples from single patients, endomyocardial biopsies versus transmural tissue slices) which might also explain the conflicting results of different studies.

Moreover, transcriptome data may not accurately reflect protein levels, since translational control and posttranslation processing occur. Still, identification of candidate genes on the basis of mRNA quantification and correlation of these results with possible disease genes will help to develop the "genes-to-mechanisms" paradigm further. Understanding the region- and diseasespecific regulation of myocardial genes might ultimately help to develop new rationales for therapeutic interventions.

Acknowledgements This work was funded by a grant of the Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF-grant 01GS0109; S.K., M.N.) supporting the German National Genome Research Network (NGFN) and an institutional grant (FöFoLe; A.S.B.). The data presented here were generated and analyzed in the framework of a research collaboration with Aventis Pharma Deutschland GmbH.

References

- Stanton LW, Garrard LJ, Damm D, Garrick BL, Lam A, Kapoun AM, Zheng Q, Protter AA, Schreiner GF, White RT (2000) Altered patterns of gene expression in response to myocardial infarction. Circ Res 86:939–945
- Yang J, Moravec CS, Sussman MA, DiPaola NR, Fu D, Hawthorn L, Mitchell CA, Young JB, Francis GS, McCarthy PM, Bond M (2000) Decreased SLIM1 expression and increased gelsolin expression in failing human hearts measured by high-density oligonucleotide arrays. Circulation 102:3046– 3052
- Barrans JD, Allen PD, Stamatiou D, Dzau VJ, Liew CC (2002) Global gene expression profiling of end-stage human dilated cardiomyopathy using a human cardiovascular-based cDNA microarray. Am J Pathol 160:2035–2043
- Tan FL, Moravec CS, Li J, Apperson-Hansen C, McCarthy PM, Young JB, Bond M (2002) The gene expression fingerprint of human heart failure. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 99:11387–11392
- Hwang JJ, Allen PD, Tseng GC, Lam CW, Fananapazir L, Dzau VJ, Liew CC (2002) Microarray gene expression profiles in dilated and hypertrophic cardiomyopathic end-stage heart failure. Physiol Genomics 10:31–44
- Grzeskowiak R, Witt H, Drungowski M, Thermann R, Henning S, Perrot A, Osterziel KJ, Klingbiel D, Scheid S, Spang R, Lehrach H, Ruiz P (2003) Expression profiling of human idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Cardiovasc Res 59:400–411
- Steenman M, Chen YW, Le Cunff M, Lamirault G, Varró A, Hoffman E, Léger JJ (2003) Transcriptomal analysis of failing and non-failing human hearts. Physiol Genomics 12:97–112
- Kaynak B, von Heydebreck A, Mebus S, Seelow D, Henning S, Vogel J, Sperling HP, Pregla R, Alexi-Meskishvili V, Hetzer R, Lange PE, Vingron M, Lehrach H, Sperling S (2003) Genomewide array analysis of normal and malformed human hearts. Circulation 107:2467–2474
- Feng J, Wible B, Li GR, Wang Z, Nattel S (1997) Antisense oligodeoxynucleotides directed against Kv1.5 mRNA specifically inhibit ultrarapid delayed rectifier K+ current in cultured adult human atrial myocytes. Circ Res 80:572–579
- Holubarsch C, Schmidt-Schweda S, Knorr A, Duis J, Pieske B, Ruf T, Fasol R, Hasenfuss G, Just H (1994) Functional significance of angiotensin receptors in human myocardium. Significant differences between atrial and ventricular myocardium. Eur Heart J [Suppl D]:88–91
- Bruneau BG, Logan M, Davis N, Levi T, Tabin CJ, Seidman JG, Seidman CE (1999) Chamber-specific cardiac expression of

TBX5 and heart defects in Holt-Oram syndrome. Dev Biol $211{:}100{-}108$

- Kurabayashi M, Komuro I, Tsuchimochi H, Takaku F, Yazaki Y (1988) Molecular cloning and characterization of human atrial and ventricular myosin alkali light chain cDNA clones. J Biol Chem 263:13930–13936
- 13. Wang Z, Yue L, White M, Pelletier G, Nattel S (1998) Differential distribution of inward rectifier potassium channel transcripts in human atrium versus ventricle. Circulation 98: 2422–2428
- Lesage F, Lazdunski M (2000) Molecular and functional properties of two-pore-domain potassium channels. Am J Physiol 279:F793–F801
- Baumer AT, Schumann C, Cremers B, Itter G, Linz W, Jockenhovel F, Bohm M (2002) Gene expression of adrenomedullin in failing myocardium: comparison to atrial natriuretic peptide. J Appl Physiol 92:1058–1063
- Liu G, Loraine AE, Shigeta R, Cline M, Cheng J, Valmeekam V, Sun S, Kulp D, Siani-Rose MA (2003) NetAffx: Affymetrix probesets and annotations. Nucleic Acids Res 31:82–86
- 17. Ihaka R, Gentleman R (1996) R: a language for data analysis and graphics. J Comput Graphic Stat 5:299–314
- 18. Irizarry R, Gautier L, Cope L (2003) An r package for analyses of affymetrix oligonucleotide arrays. In: Parmigiani G, Garrett ES, Irizarry RA, Zeger SL (eds) The analysis of gene expression data: methods and software. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Gentleman R, Carey VJ (2003) Visualization and annotation of genomic experiments. In: Parmigiani G, Garrett ES, Irizarry RA, Zeger SL (eds) The analysis of gene expression data: methods and software. Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York
- Huber W, von Heydebreck A, Sültmann H, Pustka A, Vingron M (2002) Variance stabilization applied to microarray data calibration and to the quantification of differential expression. Bioinformatics 18 [Suppl 1]:S96–S104
- Boheler KR, Volkova M, Morrell C, Garg R, Zhu Y, Margulies K, Seymour AM, Lakatta EG (2003) Sex- and age-dependent human transcriptome variability: Implications for chronic heart failure. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 100:2754–2759
- 22. Golub TR, Slonim DK, Tamayo P, Huard C, Gaasenbeek M, Mesirov JP, Coller H, Loh ML, Downing JR, Caligiuri MA, Bloomfield CD, Lander ES (1999) Molecular classification of cancer: class discovery and class prediction by gene expression monitoring. Science 286:531–537
- Sturn A, Quackenbush J, Trajanoski Z (2002) Genesis: cluster analysis of microarray data. Bioinformatics 18:207–208
- 24. The Gene Ontology Consortium (2000) Gene ontology: tools for the unification of biology. Nature 25:25–29
- 25. Bussey KJ, Kane D, Sunshine M, Narasimhan S, Nishizuka S, Reinhold WC, Zeeberg B, Weinstein A, Weinstein JN (2003) MatchMiner: a tool for batch navigation among gene and gene product identifiers. Genome Biol 4:R27

- Rajeevan MS, Vernon SD, Taysavang N, Unger ER (2001) Validation of array-based gene expression profiles by real-time (kinetic) RT-PCR. J Mol Diagn 3:26–31
- Pfaffl MW, Horgan GW, Dempfle L (2002) Relative expression software tool (REST) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. Nucleic Acids Res 30:e36
- Ewart HS, Carroll R, Severson DL (1997) Lipoprotein lipase activity in rat cardiomyocytes is stimulated by insulin and dexamethasone. Biochem J 327:439–442
- 29. Knobloch K, Brendel J, Peukert S, Rosenstein B, Busch AE, Wirth KJ (2002) Electrophysiological and antiarrhythmic effects of the novel I(Kur) channel blockers, S9947 and S20951, on left vs. right pig atrium in vivo in comparison with the I(Kr) blockers dofetilide, azimilide, d,l-sotalol and ibutilide. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 366:482–487
- Donahue JK, Heldman AW, Fraser H, McDonald AD, Miller JM, Rade JJ, Eschenhagen T, Marban E (2000) Focal modification of electrical conduction in the heart by viral gene transfer. Nature Med 6:1395–1398
- Miake J, Marban E, Nuss HB (2002) Gene therapy: Biological pacemaker created by gene transfer. Nature 419:132–133
 Zhao XS, Gallardo TD, Lin L, Schageman JJ, Shohet RV
- 32. Zhao XS, Gallardo TD, Lin L, Schageman JJ, Shohet RV (2002) Transcriptional mapping and genomic analysis of cardiac atria and ventricles. Physiol Genomics 12:53–60
- 33. Zolk O, Ng LL, O'Brian RJ, Weyand M, Eschenhagen T (2002) Augmented expression of cardiotrophin-1 in failing human hearts is accompanied by diminished glycoprotein 130 receptor protein abundance. Circulation 106:1442–1446
- 34. Kunisada K, Negoro S, Tone E, Funamoto M, Osugi T, Yamada S, Okabe M, Kishimoto T, Yamauchi-Takihara K (2000) Signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 in the heart transduces not only a hypertrophic signal but a protective signal against doxorubicin-induced cardiomyopathy. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:315–319
- 35. Fedak PW, Altamentova SM, Weisel RD, Nili N, Ohno N, Verma S, Lee TY, Kiani C, Mickle DA, Strauss BH, Li RK (2003) Matrix remodeling in experimental and human heart failure: a possible regulatory role for TIMP-3. Am J Physiol 284:H626–H634
- Cohn JN, Johnson G, Ziesche S, et al. (1991) A comparison of enalapril with hydralazine isosorbide dinitrate in the treatment of chronic congestive heart failure. N Engl J Med 325:303–310
- 37. CONSENSUS study trial group (1991) Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. The solvd investigators. N Engl J Med 325:293–302
- Marban E (2002) Cardiac channelopathies. Nature 415:213– 218
- Hoffmann R, Seidl T, Dugas M (2002) Profound effect of normalization on detection of differentially expressed genes in oligonucleotide microarray data analysis. Genome Biol 3:1–11