

Quantitative biokinetics of titanium dioxide nanoparticles after intravenous injection in rats (Part 1)

Taylor & Francis

Journal:	Nanotoxicology
Manuscript ID	TNAN-2016-0176.R2
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Kreyling, Wolfgang; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health, Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Institute of Epidemiology 2 Holzwarth, Uwe; Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection Haberl, Nadine; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Kozempel, Ján; Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection Hirn, Stephanie; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease, Wenk, Alexander; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Schleh, Carsten; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Schleh, Carsten; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health, Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Schleh, Carsten; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health, Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Schäffler, Martin; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health, Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Lipka, Jens; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease

of 34	Nanotoxicology
	Semmler-Behnke, Manuela; Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health , Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease Gibson, Neil; Joint Research Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer Protection
Keywords:	Size-selected, radiolabeled titanium dioxide nanoparticles, intravenous injection, accumulation in organs and tissues, translocation across organ membranes, Hepato biliary nanoparticle clearance
Abstract:	Submicrometer TiO2 particles, including nanoparticulate fractions, are used in an increasing variety of consumer products, as food additives and drug delivery applications are envisaged. Beyond exposure of occupational groups this entails an exposure risk to the public. However, nanoparticle translocation from the organ of intake and potential accumulation in secondary organs is poorly understood and in many investigations excessive doses are applied. The present study investigates the biokinetics and clearance of a low single dose (typically 40-400 µg/kg BW) of 48V-radiolabeled, pure TiO2 anatase nanoparticles ([48V]TiO2NP) with a median aggregate/agglomerate size of 70 nm in aqueous suspension after intravenous injection into female Wistar rats. Biokinetics and clearance were followed from 1-hour to 4-weeks. The use of radiolabeled nanoparticles allowed a quantitative [48V]TiO2NP balancing of all organs, tissues, carcass and excretions of each rat without having to account for chemical background levels possibly caused by dietary or environmental titanium exposure. Highest [48V]TiO2NP accumulations were found in liver (95.5%ID on day- 1), followed by spleen (2.5%), carcass (1%), skeleton (0.7%) and blood (0.4%). Detectable nanoparticle levels were found in all other organs. The [48V]TiO2NP content in blood decreased rapidly after 24h while the distribution in other organs and tissues remained rather constant until day-28. The present biokinetics study is part 1 of a series of studies comparing biokinetics after three classical routes of intake (intravenous (IV) injection (part 1), ingestion (part 2), intratracheal instillation (part 3)) under identical laboratory conditions, in order to verify the common hypothesis that IV-injection is a suitable predictor for the biokinetics fate of nanoparticles administered by different routes. This hypothesis is disproved by this series of studies.
	SCHOLARONE [™] Manuscripts

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
a	
10	
10	
10	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
22	
32 22	
აა ე₄	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	
48	
49	
50	
51	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
00 57	
Э/ БО	
58	
59	
60	

2

Quantitative biokinetics of titanium dioxide nanoparticles after intravenous injection in rats (Part 1)

Wolfgang G. Kreyling* ^{#§} , Uwe Holzwarth ⁺ , Nadine Haberl*, Ján Kozempel ⁺¹ ,
Stephanie Hirn*, Alexander Wenk* ² , Carsten Schleh* ³ , Martin Schäffler*, Jens Lipka*,
Manuela Semmler-Behnke* ⁴ and Neil Gibson ⁺
* Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health
Comprehensive Pneumology Center, Institute of Lung Biology and Disease, Ingolstaedter
Landstrasse 1,D-85764 Neuherberg / Munich, Germany
[#] Helmholtz Center Munich – German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of
Epidemiology 2, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, D-85764 Neuherberg / Munich, Germany
⁺ European Commission, Joint Research Centre, Directorate F – Health, Consumers and Reference Materials, Via E. Fermi 2749, I-21027 Ispra (VA), Italy
[§] Corresponding author:
Wolfgang G. Kreyling
Institute of Epidemiology 2
Helmholtz Center Munich - German Research Center for Environmental Health
Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1
D-85764 Neuherberg / Munich
Germany
phone: +49 89 2351 4817;
E-mail address: Kreyling@helmholtz-muenchen.de
 ¹ Current address: Czech Technical University in Prague, Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Břehová 7, CZ-11519 Prague 1, Czech Republic ² Current address: Dept. Infrastructure, Safety, Occupational Protection, German Research Center for Environmental Health, D-85764 Neuherberg / Munich, Germany ³ Current address: Abteilung Gesundheitsschutz, Berufsgenossenschaft Holz und Metall, D- 81241 München, Germany ⁴ Current address: Bavarian Health and Food Safety Authority, D-85764 Oberschleissheim, Germany

- <text><text><text>

38 Abstract

Submicrometer TiO₂ particles, including nanoparticulate fractions, are used in an increasing variety of consumer products, as food additives and drug delivery applications are envisaged. Beyond exposure of occupational groups this entails an exposure risk to the public. However, nanoparticle translocation from the organ of intake and potential accumulation in secondary organs is poorly understood and in many investigations excessive doses are applied.

The present study investigates the biokinetics and clearance of a low single dose (typically 40-400 μg/kg BW) of ⁴⁸V-radiolabeled, pure TiO₂ anatase nanoparticles ([⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP) with a median aggregate/agglomerate size of 70 nm in aqueous suspension after intravenous injection into female Wistar rats. Biokinetics and clearance were followed from 1-hour to 4-weeks. The use of radiolabeled nanoparticles allowed a quantitative [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP balancing of all organs, tissues, carcass and excretions of each rat without having to account for chemical background levels possibly caused by dietary or environmental titanium exposure.

51 Highest $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP accumulations were found in liver (95.5%ID on day-1), followed by 52 spleen (2.5%), carcass (1%), skeleton (0.7%) and blood (0.4%). Detectable nanoparticle levels 53 were found in all other organs. The $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP content in blood decreased rapidly after 24h 54 while the distribution in other organs and tissues remained rather constant until day-28.

The present biokinetics study is part 1 of a series of studies comparing biokinetics after three classical routes of intake (intravenous (IV) injection (part 1), ingestion (part 2), intratracheal instillation (part 3)) under identical laboratory conditions, in order to verify the common hypothesis that IV-injection is a suitable predictor for the biokinetics fate of nanoparticles administered by different routes. This hypothesis is disproved by this series of studies.

Nanotoxicology

61 Introduction

Submicron titanium dioxide particles are increasingly used in food additives, in cosmetics and personal care products such as tooth paste, as UV-absorbers in sunscreen (Jia, 2008), and in other products such as pigments or fillers in paints, inks, and ceramics (Christensen, 2011). Their antimicrobial and even antiviral effects make them advantageous in water and air disinfection (Li, 2008). An analysis of (Weir, 2012) showed that approximately one third of the number of TiO_2 particles in common food products are nano-sized (<100 nm). (Peters, 2014) recently confirmed in 27 food items and personal care products that between 10% and 25% of the TiO₂ particles exhibit dimensions below 100 nm. Despite the extraordinary growth of use and applications of titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO₂NP) it is still unclear whether this entails health risks, especially for subjects occupationally exposed to inhalation of TiO₂NP during manufacturing and handling (Christensen, 2011) and when considering their high biopersistence and long-term retention known for 2-3 decades as reviewed by Shi and co-workers (Shi, 2013).

Recently medical applications of TiO_2NP for drug delivery have also been envisaged (Carlander, 2016), e.g., for restenosis treatment (Gu, 2013), making use of their physical properties for light-controlled drug release (Wang, 2015) or ultrasound cancer treatment (Ninomiya, 2014). On the other hand the release and fate of nanosized fractions of wear corrosion debris from orthopedic and dental titanium implants has become a concern (Matusiewicz, 2014).

Numerous *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies describe adverse effects in the mammalian organism but the results are not yet conclusive. One important issue is the dose of intravenously administered TiO₂NP when studying the behaviour of nanoparticles that reach systemic circulation. Doses of 10 mg/kg body weight (BW) and more have been reported. However, the question arises as to whether the results achieved with such high doses are still representative for the biodistribution that can be expected for much smaller quantities that

may reach systemic circulation following realistic exposure scenarios. Given our concern
about excessive doses we refer to several studies which report biodistribution data (Fabian,
2008) (Patri, 2009) (Xie, 2011) (Geraets, 2014) and a likelihood of pro-inflammatory (Fabian,
2008) (Setyawati, 2013), genotoxic (Louro, 2014), immunotoxic (Auttachoat, 2013) as well as
fetotoxic (Yamashita, 2011) responses to IV-injected TiO₂NP at high doses, with and without
measurements during a recovery time.

Interestingly, an electron-microscopic study on the micro-biokinetics of 40 nm gold nanoparticles in the liver of mice after administration of 1.4 mg/kg BW (Sadauskas, 2009) found most of the nanoparticles in lysosomal / endosomal vacuoles of Kupffer cells, but the number of Kupffer cells containing nanoparticles decreased over time, while the nanoparticle load in the vacuoles increased since the overall nanoparticle clearance out of the liver was very low (Sadauskas, 2009). In our low-dose IV-injection study of monodisperse 18 nm gold nanoparticles (30 µg/kg BW) we confirmed the nanoparticle presence in Kupffer cells together with additional nanoparticles in sinusoidal endothelial cells and hepatocytes (Hirn, 2011). A recent comprehensive review addressed these issues in more detail (Shi, 2013). Furthermore, biokinetics data obtained from IV-injected engineered TiO₂NP are controversial since some reports note accumulation in organs after IV-injection, whereas others only note liver retention, depending very much on the sensitivity of the detection methodology used (Shi, 2013).

In the present series of three biokinetics studies we performed quantitative biokinetics studies in female rats by applying radiolabeled, engineered, commercially available TiO_2 anatase agglomerated/aggregated nanoparticles. After size selection of a true nano-fraction with a hydrodynamic diameter of about 70nm single doses of aqueous [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP suspensions were applied by three routes of intake: intratracheal instillation (Kreyling, submitted-a), intraoesophageal instillation (gavage) (Kreyling, submitted-b), and in the present first part by intravenous injection. By using nanoparticles radiolabeled with the gamma-emitting

radionuclide ⁴⁸V, a high sensitivity is achieved over five orders of magnitude which is not affected by chemical background levels that might be caused by dietary and environmental titanium exposure of the animals. Moreover, no chemical processing of the biological specimens is required for subsequent γ -spectrometry and a complete [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP balancing of all organs, tissues, carcass and excretions can be performed even for low administered doses. However, using the ⁴⁸V radiolabel, which is chemically different from the element Ti, requires stable integration into the NP matrix and careful control of labeling stability in vivo. Therefore, we conducted additional auxiliary biokinetics studies to quantify any release of the label for each organ and corrected the biokinetics data accordingly.

For each of these routes of intake quantitative biokinetics studies were performed by serial biodistribution analyses at five different retention time points between one hour and 28 days after application in order to determine the accumulated and retained nanoparticle doses in different organs of interest, selected tissues and body fluids, and also to provide a complete overview of the fate of the applied $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ in the entire organism by additional evaluations of the carcass and the entire fecal and urinary excretion of each animal. The entire nanoparticle distribution is balanced in each animal and not normalized to a nominally administered nanoparticle dose loaded in a syringe, which (as reported below) may differ appreciably from the dose effectively delivered to an animal. Only such a quantitative approach can provide a detailed overview of the nanoparticle biokinetics and fate, whereas biokinetics studies focusing on a few organs of interest cannot provide sufficient information for a comprehensive understanding of the nanoparticle transport and accumulation processes within the organism.

The IV-injection study was carried out firstly to check the hypothesis that IV-injection may be a suitable surrogate approach for the biokinetics after oral or respiratory delivery of nanoparticles, and secondly to provide a quantitative biokinetics assay for a better understanding of targeted delivery of TiO₂NP-based drugs via the circulation. Since we knew

from previous biokinetics studies on a suite of monodisperse gold nanoparticles (AuNP) administered via the same three routes (Kreyling, 2011, Hirn, 2011, Kreyling, 2014, Schleh, 2012) and after inhalation of 20 nm iridium nanoparticles (IrNP) (Kreyling, 2002, Semmler, 2004. Semmler-Behnke, 2007) or 20 nm elemental carbon nanoparticles (ECNP) (Kreyling et al., 2011), that the accumulation dynamics occurs rather rapidly during the first 24-hours we chose three time points of investigations -1h, 4h, 24h - in order to study the rapid accumulation dynamics observed previously, followed by two time points at 7d and 28d in order to assess possible slower processes of accumulation, redistribution and clearance.

148Materials and Methods

149 Radiolabeling and size selection of TiO₂NP

Two batches of 20 mg ST-01 TiO₂NP were irradiated with a protons at a beam current of 5 μ A. One, with an activity concentration of 1.0 MBq/mg (⁴⁸V-activity per TiO₂ mass), was used for the 1h, 4h and 24h retention experiments. The second one was irradiated on five consecutive days, yielded an activity concentration of 2.35 MBq/mg and was used for the 7d and 28d retention experiments. At these radioactivity concentrations the atomic ratio of ⁴⁸V:Ti in the nanoparticles is about 2.6×10^{-7} and 6.2×10^{-7} , respectively. Since proton bombardment and the chemical difference of the radiolabel, may result in a non-perfect integration of the 48 V in the TiO₂ matrix the $[{}^{48}$ V]TiO₂NP were repeatedly washed to remove released ⁴⁸V-ions.

Size selection was performed in a repeated sequence of nanoparticle suspension, ultrasound homogenization, washing by centrifugation and re-suspension in order to remove excess sodium pyrophosphate, to eliminate larger aggregates/agglomerates and to minimize the content of free, ionic ⁴⁸V (see Supplementary Materials (SM-IV)). The final size selected and

Nanotoxicology

radiolabeled, nano-sized aggregates or agglomerates of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP were suspended and
 dispersed in water.

For each of the retention time points to be studied a new batch of size-selected [48 V]TiO₂NP was prepared, characterized and immediately applied intravenously, by gavage or intratracheal instillation to groups of four rats each, which improves the comparability between the exposure routes as the studies were started with the same nanoparticle properties.

170 Characterization of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP

171 The hydrodynamic diameter of the size-selected [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP and the zeta potential were 172 measured in triplicates several times during the size selection process for control purposes and 173 prior to IV-injection using a Malvern Zetasizer (Malvern, Herrenberg, Germany). Samples for 174 transmission electron microscopy were prepared from the aqueous suspension ready for 175 administration on glow discharged 300 mesh Formvar[®]-coated copper grids and investigated 176 with a Philips 300 TEM at 60 kV acceleration voltage.

178 Study design – Main study with [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP and auxiliary study with soluble ⁴⁸V

179 After a single IV-injection dose of typically 10-20 μ g (1h, 4h, 24h) nano-sized [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP

suspended in 60 μ L water into the tail vein over 20-30 seconds, the biokinetics was followed in five groups of four rats each up to five time points (1h, 4h, 24h, 7d and 28d) as sketched:

182	Study	IV-injection, 0h	di	ssect	ion time	e-points for	biodistribution analyses
183	MAIN	[⁴⁸ V]TiO ₂ NP	1h	4h	24h	7d	28d
184	AUX	⁴⁸ V ions			24h	7d	

The time points at 7d and 28d were studied with higher doses (see Table 1) in order to ensure sufficient sensitivity in spite of radioactive decay and to detect also minor redistribution and clearing processes.

In addition to the study with [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP, an auxiliary study was performed to investigate the absorption and biodistribution of soluble, ionic ⁴⁸V at 24h and 7d after IV-injection. These data were used for correction of ⁴⁸V release from the [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP. In order to mimic ⁴⁸V released by [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP we added 0.33 μ g/ μ L ionic Ti(NO₃)₄ to the carrier-free, ionic ⁴⁸V isotope, thus obtaining a nitrate solution of sufficient ionic strength to stably maintain the ions in solution, and adjusted the pH value to 5. For the experiments 60 μ L of solution containing 27 kBq ionic ⁴⁸V and 20 μ g of ionic Ti were IV-injected into the tail vein of each rat.

196 Animals

Healthy, female Wistar-Kyoto (WKY) rats (Janvier, Le Genest Saint Isle, France), 8-10 weeks of age $(263 \pm 10 \text{ g mean} (\pm \text{ STD}) \text{ body weight})$ were housed in pairs in relative-humidity and temperature controlled ventilated cages on a 12-hr day/night cycle. Rodent diet and water were provided ad libitum. After purchase, the rats were adapted for at least two weeks and then randomly attributed to the experimental groups. All experiments were conducted under German federal guidelines for the use and care of laboratory animals and were approved by the Regierung von Oberbayern (Government of District of Upper Bavaria, Approval No. 211-2531-94/04) and by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Helmholtz Centre Munich.

207 [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP IV-injection and animal maintenance in metabolic cages

Using minimal-dead-space, 1-mL-insulin-syringes (Omnican[®] 100, Braun, Melsungen, Germany, specified dead space $<0.4\mu$ L), aqueous [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP suspensions (60 µL) were intravenously injected into the tail vein of non-fasted animals early in the morning. The syringes and cannulas used for intravenous injection were collected for measurements of the residual [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP content, which was motivated by the discovery of losses of nanoparticles due to adherence on the polymer syringe material. After IV-injection of the

Nanotoxicology

[⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP suspensions, rats of the first four groups (up to 7-day retention time) were kept individually in metabolism cages for separate daily collection of urine and feces. Rats of the 28-day group were maintained individually on cotton cloths in normal cages. The cloth was replaced by a new one every 3-4 days and fecal droppings were separated from the collected cloth; after separation the dried cloth contained only non-particulate ⁴⁸V originating from urine.

221 Sample preparation and ⁴⁸V radioanalysis

At 1h, 4h, 24h, 7d and 28d after IV-injection, rats were anesthetized (by 5% isoflurane inhalation) and euthanized by exsanguination via the abdominal aorta. For γ -spectrometry, blood, all organs, tissues and excretions were collected and ⁴⁸V-radioactivities were measured without any further physico-chemical processing, as detailed in the SM-IV and in earlier works (Kreyling, 2011, Hirn, 2011, Kreyling, 2014, Schleh, 2012). Since by exsanguination only about 60-70% of the blood volume could be recovered the residual blood contents of organs and tissues after exsanguination were calculated according to the findings of (Oeff, 1955) and the ⁴⁸V-radioactivities of the organs were corrected for these contributions.

Throughout this report nanoparticle quantities are given as percentages of the total intravenously injected [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP radioactivity in each animal. The total injected activity was calculated as the sum of all samples of each entire animal, including its total fecal and urinary excretion, corrected for background and radioactive decay during the experiments using detectors calibrated in γ -ray energy and detection efficiency for ${}^{48}V$. The percentages are averaged over the group of four rats per each retention time point and are given with the standard error of the mean (SEM). Samples yielding background-corrected counts in the 511 keV region-of-interest of the 48 V γ -spectrum were defined to be below the detection limit (<DL; 0.2 Bq) when the number of counts was less than three standard deviations of the background counts.

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tnan

The data compiled in Table 2 below are presented (i) as raw data of the ⁴⁸V-activity directly determined from the retrieved samples, (ii) as data corrected for the residual blood content in the organs or tissues and (iii) additionally corrected for free ⁴⁸V-ions. The detailed execution of these corrections is presented in the SM-IV. All calculated significances are based on the One-Way-ANOVA test and the post-hoc Tukey test. In case of direct two-groups comparison, the unpaired t-test was used. $p \le 0.05$ was considered significant.

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tnan

Nanotoxicology

248 Physicochemical properties of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP

The size distributions of the size-selected $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ determined by DLS are presented in Figure 1. These were prepared for each of the five retention time points prior to intravenous injection. They indicate a good reproducibility of the size selection procedure. The Z-averages (see Table 1) are in a narrow range of 88 ± 11 nm, and the PDI values 0.18 ± 0.04 indicate that the size distributions have a rather narrow size distribution. Only the suspension for the 4h time point appeared to have a particle size somewhat smaller than the others. These conclusions are supported by TEM investigations after the size selection and dispersion process (see Figure 2) which revealed approximately spherical aggregated/agglomerated entities of roughly 50 nm in diameter, made up of smaller primary particles.

From the known activity concentration (1 MBq/mg (1h, 4h, 24h) and 2.35 MBq/mg (7d, 28d)) after proton irradiation and the determined ⁴⁸V-activity of the applied [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP, the applied nanoparticle mass was calculated for each IV-injection as reported in Table 1. The effectively injected dose (activity) takes into account that a fraction of the activity loaded into the syringes was retained there after injection.

264 Biokinetics of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP in blood, whole organs and tissues

Table 2 gives a comprehensive summary of the biodistribution of intravenously injected $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP at the five retention time points. For each organ or tissue the $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP content is given in percent of the injected dose (ID) based on the measured ⁴⁸V-activity balance, referred to as raw data. As described earlier and elaborated in mathematical detail in the SM-IV the data were corrected for the residual blood retained in organs and tissues after exsanguination. These data are referred to as w/o residual blood content. In a next step the contribution of free ⁴⁸V-ions was also corrected for, referred to as w/o free ⁴⁸V ions, making use of the auxiliary study with ionic ⁴⁸V (see SM-IV for the mathematical correction

procedure). This step is advisable because ⁴⁸V could be released from [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP even after careful washing during suspension preparation when diffusion processes bring radiolabels close to the surface of the nanoparticles or by a slow dissolution process of the nanoparticles (Vogelsberger, 2008). This correction effect would be most prominent if [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP and free ⁴⁸V-ions had distinctly different biodistribution patterns. The fully corrected data are visualized in Figure 3 (panels A-C).

Table 2 shows that during the first hour after IV-injection more than 99% of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP were very rapidly removed from the blood. After that the [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP concentration decreased slowly over the following week and then it remained approximately constant until day 28. This implies that the corrections for retained blood become rather small already after 1h.

The data show that the $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP rapidly cleared from the blood were retained mainly in the liver (95.5% of ID after 4h) with only slow clearance from there over the entire observation period (88.9% of ID after 28d). Retention in the spleen was between 2.5% and 4% of ID over the entire observation period, while retention was only about 0.1% in the lungs. Accumulation in the kidneys increased slightly over the four-week period (from 0.05% to about 0.2% of ID) while retention in all other secondary organs, such as brain, heart and uterus was rather low, which is also reflected in the scatter of the data. No trend can be identified over the four-week period showing virtually constant values and no net clearance from those organs. The lowest, but still detectable, [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP retention of 0.0005% was observed in the brain. The corrections for free ⁴⁸V-ions, which contribute well below 1% of to the total retained activity, may lead to significant reductions of the values for [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP retention. However, since all input data are very small and subjected to large scatter, these corrections are also subject to large uncertainty. Nevertheless, the corrections are conservative enough to attribute a measureable radioactivity to the presence of a tiny amount of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP after 24h and 28d. Remarkably, the skeleton and to a lesser extend the soft

Nanotoxicology

tissue (non-osseous tissues of the carcass including muscles, fat, skin, connective tissue, paws) exhibit a persistent [48 V]TiO₂NP content that amounts to nearly 1% and 0.7% of ID at 28d, respectively. The relatively high [48 V]TiO₂NP retention in the skeleton may be explained by reported experimental evidence (Rinderknecht, 2008) that [48 V]TiO₂NP are retained in the bone marrow following blood translocation into the bones and probable uptake by phagocytes and other cells like pluripotent stem cells.

306 [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP concentrations per weight of organ or tissue

Due to their importance for toxicological comparisons, in Table 2 the percentages of injected activity assigned to [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP after all corrections for residual blood content and presence of free ⁴⁸V, are converted into mass (ng) of nanoparticles per gram of organ or tissue. Since these data allow a straightforward comparison only for the same injected dose, the data are additionally presented as percentages of the injected dose per organ mass (%ID/g) and shown in Figure 3 (panel D-F). The effectively injected mass doses varied because a highly variable fraction of the [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP loaded into the syringes for intravenous injection was retained there after application. Additionally, the study design has foreseen higher doses for the 7d and 28d studies, in order to preserve high detection sensitivity in spite of the radioactive decay during the prolonged retention times.

The highest concentrations of about 10-11 %ID•g⁻¹ are determined in the liver and are about 2.5-4 %ID•g⁻¹ in the spleen. Both of these stayed rather constant during the entire time period. In the lungs the concentrations were much lower at about 0.05 %ID•g⁻¹ and remained rather constant over time. The concentrations in kidneys increased from 0.02 to 0.08 %ID•g⁻¹ during the 28-days observation period. Fractional concentrations in the heart and uterus were below 0.01 %ID•g⁻¹ throughout the observation period. No [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP were detected in the brain at 1h, 4h and 7d (< DL) but a detectable concentration of 0.0006 %ID•g⁻¹ was reached after

324 28d. This very low concentration is however notable since it is already corrected for
325 nanoparticles retained in the residual blood of the brain and for free ⁴⁸V.

327 Urinary excretion

Figure 4 shows the fraction of ⁴⁸V-activity excreted daily in urine. The data sets obtained from the 7-days and the 28-days retention experiments were used. The data show that there is rapid decline of daily urinary excretion from 0.34% to 0.18% of ID during the first three days after IV-injection followed by a slower decrease towards 0.12%ID after 2 weeks before a plateau below 0.1%ID of daily urinary excretion is reached after about 20 days. For the applied estimates on ⁴⁸V-ion release we assumed no nanoparticulate urinary excretion as a conservative (upper) estimate of ionic ⁴⁸V-release, although excretion of smaller nanoparticles cannot be totally excluded. This assumption is in agreement with the work of Choi and coworkers (Choi, 2007) who suggest that renal glomerular filtration does not allow urinary nanoparticle excretion of nanoparticles larger than 8 nm.

Hepato-biliary [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP clearance (HBC)

 $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ observed in the gastro-intestinal tract (GIT) and fecal excretions resulted from 341 their clearance from the liver *via* bile into the small intestine. The cumulative cleared fraction 342 of $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ is shown in Figure 5. Over four weeks there was a steady increase of 343 clearance up to about 3% of the applied dose *via* this pathway.

Discussion

In order to estimate relevant dose levels for nanoparticle toxicology studies we should consider the main routes of intake which are either *via* inhalation or ingestion, since there is growing evidence that dermal uptake is usually so low that it is not detectable (Gontier, 2008). For inhalation the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization

Page 17 of 34

Nanotoxicology

(NEDO) in Japan has recently estimated an acceptable workplace airborne particulate concentration to be 1.2 mg/m³ TiO₂NP as a time weighted average for an 8h working day and a 40h working week (Morimoto, 2010). This may lead to a daily deposited TiO_2NP dose in the lungs of 2.4 mg per day (assuming an inhaled volume of 20 m³ per day and a deposition fraction of 0.3 averaged over a size range of 20-100 nm (MPPD (Multiple Path Particle Dosimetry); ((A.R.A.), 2009) corresponding to a daily dose of 34 µg/kg BW for a normal 70-kg person. No human translocation data across the air-blood barrier (ABB) are available but based on animal data the daily translocated TiO₂NP fraction should be 1% or less (Kreyling, 2013). Therefore, a relevant daily dose to the circulation resulting from inhalation should not exceed 0.34 μ g/kg BW.

A similar estimate can be made for ingested TiO₂NP: Based on a survey of the British population (Lomer, 2004) the average daily intake of submicron and nano-sized TiO₂ particles is 2.5 mg/d by an average consumer corresponding to a daily dose of about 35 μ g/kg BW of a normal 70-kg person. Also for absorbed TiO_2NP across the human gut no consolidated data are available but based on animal data the daily absorbed TiO₂NP fraction should be 5% or less (Jani, 1990). Therefore, a realistic daily dose to the circulation resulting from ingested and absorbed TiO₂NP should not exceed 2 μ g/kg BW. Taking together the daily TiO₂NP absorbed through the gut epithelium into the circulation, a relevant daily dose would be a few tenths of µg/kg BW. With respect to this value, IV-injected TiO₂NP doses of 1 mg/kg BW are 100-fold higher or more and usually applied over about 10 seconds corresponding to instantaneous dose rates about a million times higher than in realistic exposure scenarios. For the identification of potential organs at risk the extrapolation from results obtained from such high and even higher doses are not straightforward. In light of these considerations in vivo biokinetics studies using TiO₂NP intravenous doses beyond tenths of $\mu g/kg$ BW need solid justification.

For the present study a commercially available, engineered pure titanium dioxide material with (aggregated/agglomerated) primary particles of 7-10 nm in size has been used. In contrast to many other studies our study aim was to quantify the biokinetics fate of nanoparticles (<100nm) in the entire organism, including total excretion, by making use of the high sensitivity of radiotracer studies which are not susceptible to matrix and background effects or artefacts introduced by specimen preparation. Hence, a truly nano-sized fraction was separated and prepared for simultaneous IV-injection, gavage and intratracheal instillation. The preparation was repeated five times to study a single retention time point (1h, 4h, 24, 7 days and 28 days) by all three exposure routes with the same $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ suspension. Quantitative biokinetics studies analyzing the entire organism with similar precision are presently not available in literature. However, several papers have also reported highest particle accumulations in the liver, followed by spleen, and then by the other organs studied (Fabian, 2008, Geraets, 2014, Louro, 2014, Patri, 2009, Shi, 2013, Yamashita, 2011) (Xie, 2011). In addition, there is a recent review on the toxicology of titanium dioxide nanoparticle including a discussion of biokinetics (Shi, 2013), but no data are reported concerning nanoparticle translocation to the skeleton and soft tissues.

Using ⁴⁸V-labeled pure anatase TiO₂NP allowed us to perform rather precise determinations of the biokinetics of IV-injected [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP over a dynamic dose range of five orders of magnitude between the applied dose and the content in individual organs and tissues up to 28 days after IV-injection. Since we found that blood contained circulating $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ at any retention time, we estimated the $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP content in the residual blood volume of each organ and tissue after exsanguination by applying the results of Oeff and Konig (Oeff, 1955), and subtracted this amount from the measured organ activity to determine with greater accuracy the parenchymal $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP organ/tissue content.

Additionally, we aimed to use rather low $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP doses of about 10 µg/rat for the biokinetics studies up to 24 hours and of about 100 µg/rat for the 7-day and 28-day studies (to

Nanotoxicology

compensate for radioactive ⁴⁸V decay and [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP elimination from the body), which is a compromise between physiologically reasonable daily doses and preserving high detection sensitivity. The combination of applied low doses and high detection sensitivity ensures that, neither the rather low [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP mass used in our study nor its radioactivity is likely to cause any detectable detrimental effect. Additionally, the ⁴⁸V-radioactivity concentration chosen corresponded to an atomic ratio of 48 V:Ti in the order of 4×10^{-7} which represents a negligible mass-impurity of the 48 V in the TiO₂NP matrix, unlikely to affect its lattice stability or any physico-chemical property.

However, the study design is also associated with some shortcomings. This study remains at the level of macroscopic biokinetics and does not provide any microscopic details, such as any cell-type interactions with the $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ in any of the secondary organs or tissues. which of course would have been highly desirable. It should be noted that we never directly observed actual TiO₂ particles in our *in vivo* studies and relied on γ -spectrometric determination of ⁴⁸V-activity. At the low activity levels detected in some organs the calculated amounts of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP are more sensitive to errors especially when subtracting the estimated contribution of free ⁴⁸V-ions. Therefore, further independent studies with similarly high sensitivity are desirable. Although we corrected for the $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ content in the residual blood of all organs and tissues, we could not distinguish between [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP content translocated to the parenchyma and that eventually trapped in the walls of minor blood vessels. Yet, at the doses chosen it would have been impossible to identify and quantify [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP in biological specimens using electron microscopy because of their very sparse distribution in any of the secondary organs and tissues probably with exception of the liver. However, in a previous inhalation study on WKY rats using freshly generated TiO₂ anatase nanoparticles (median size 20 nm) the lung distribution of TiO₂NP had been morphometrically quantified by TEM analysis (Geiser, 2008, Geiser, 2005). Furthermore, in an earlier study, we have identified 18 nm gold nanoparticles in electron-micrographs of

426 Kupffer cells, hepatocytes and endothelial cells of the rat liver 24h after IV-injection (Hirn,

427 2011), indicating that nanoparticles do indeed translocate into the organ tissues.

Intravenous injection of suspended [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP provides a high dose rate to blood. Therefore, it is likely that only very few nanoparticles will be taken up by monocytes and/or thrombocytes of the blood and, hence, most will initially interact and bind to blood proteins and biomolecules (called opsonization or more recently protein-corona) which subsequently will affect uptake in organs and tissues. Most organs and tissues have only a relatively low capacity for acute particle uptake via their mononucleated-phagocytic-system (MPS) which differs considerably between organs and tissues (Hume, 2008). In contrast, the liver has a high capacity which causes rapid and predominant accumulation in the liver for many nanoparticles (Almeida, 2011, Zarschler, 2016). This uptake is likely be affected by the protein-corona in blood. However, it remains unclear which biomolecules lead to rapid receptor recognition and phagocytosis by Kupffer cells, and, likewise, how and by which biomolcule mediation the uptake occurs in MPS cells of the other organs and tissues. After only 1h the [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP concentration in blood decreases 200-fold so that circulating [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP may well be phagocytized/endocytosed, and subsequently the composition of the dynamic protein corona may change and/or blood monocytes and thrombocytes may modify their further fate in the body.

It is quite remarkable how constant the $[^{48}V]TiO_2NP$ retention is in most of the organs, skeleton and the tissue after the correction for ⁴⁸V release from the nanoparticle matrix (see Table 2 and Figure 3). It underlines the stability of the nano-fraction of the commercial ST-01 TiO₂ powder and its radiolabel ⁴⁸V. However, the increasing hepato-biliary clearance (HBC) over time (see Figure 5) highlights that minor biokinetic [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP exchanges and/or clearance occurs in the liver and probably in the entire organism over time. The cumulative HBC steadily increases up to 3% over 28 days. In our previous IV-injection study we could only determine 24-hour data because of the short half-life of the ¹⁹⁸Au radiotracer used, but

Nanotoxicology

452 we showed that HBC is linearly inversely related to the Au nanoparticles diameter between 453 2.8 nm to 80 nm (Hirn, 2011). For 80-nm-size Au nanoparticles we obtained 0.5% HBC after 454 24h. This corresponds reasonably well with the clearance level of 70 nm [48 V]TiO₂NP (0.4%) 455 at 24h found in this study. Differences may be related to the differences in nanoparticle 456 materials and/or their morphologies.

In the SM-IV (Figure S7) we derive a small release rate (less than 0.1% per day) of ⁴⁸V from the [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP which appears to be effective during the whole study period of 28d. This might be interpreted either as loss of imperfectly fixed labels in the TiO₂ matrix or as a very slow dissolution and shrinking of the nanoparticles (Vogelsberger, 2008) setting free less than 0.1% of the nanoparticle mass per day. If the latter would be the case (or even a combination of the two) such a process may contribute to nanoparticle clearance from organs and from the organism.

464 Conclusion

The quantitatively balanced biokinetics assay used for retention times up to 28d after IV-injection of ⁴⁸V radiolabeled TiO₂NP provides a sensitive methodology with a dynamic dose range over five orders of magnitude and allows quantitative [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP distribution balancing at each retention time point in the entire organism, including excretions. The ⁴⁸V release rate from the $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP matrix was less than 0.1% per day and the ^{48}V -activity related to free ⁴⁸V-ions was corrected for according to the auxiliary biokinetics study on ionic ⁴⁸V. [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP were detected in most organs and tissues most likely retained in their MPS. Highest [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP accumulations were found in liver (95.5% ID during day-1), followed by spleen (2.3%), skeleton (0.7%), blood (0.5%) and, with detectable nanoparticle burdens in all other organs. It is remarkable that nanoparticles were retained in organs and tissues that are usually not considered in biodistribution studies. The [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP content in blood decreased 200-fold within one hour while the distribution in other organs and tissues remained roughly

$4/7$ constant over 28 days. Hepato-offary clearance of [$v_1 HO_2 NP$ from the liver continued ov	477	constant over 28 days.	Hepato-biliary	clearance of [4]	$V]TiO_2NP$	from the liver	continued of	over
---	-----	------------------------	----------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	--------------	------

478 the entire 28-days period.

2	
3	
1	
4	
5	
6	
-	
1	
8	
o l	
3	
10	
11	
40	
12	
13	
1/	
14	
15	
16	
17	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
~~	
23	
24	
25	
20	
26	
27	
20	
28	
29	
30	
30	
31	
32	
22	
33	
34	
35	
200	
30	
37	
38	
50	
39	
40	
11	
41	
42	
43	
11	
44	
45	
46	
47	
47	
48	
<u>4</u> 9	
50	
οU	
51	
52	
52	
53	
54	
55	
55	
56	
57	
50	
Эğ	
59	
60	

480 Acknowledgements

481 We would like to thank Sebastian Kaidel, Paula Mayer and Nadine Senger from the 482 Helmholtz Center Munich for their excellent technical assistance, as well as Antonio 483 Bulgheroni, Kamel Abbas, Federica Simonelli, Izabela Cydzik and Giulio Cotogno from the 484 EU-Joint Research Center who strongly supported the nanoparticle radiolabeling activities. We also express our sincere gratitude to Barbara Rothen-Rutishauser and David Raemy from 485 486 the University of Fribourg, Switzerland, who performed the TEM analysis of the TiO₂NP.

487

Declaration of Interest 488

489 The authors declare that they have no financial, consulting, and personal relationships with 490 other people or organizations that could influence (bias) the author's work.

491 This work was partially supported by the German Research Foundation SPP 1313, the EU-

492 FP6 project Particle-Risk (012912 (NEST)), and the EU FP7 projects NeuroNano (NMP4-SL-

493 2008-214547), ENPRA (NMP4-SL-2009-228789) and InLiveTox (NMP-2008-1.3-2 CP-FP

494 228625-2).

495

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

- 496 Supplementary Material available online.
 - Radiolabeling of titanium dioxide (TiO₂) nanoparticles
- 50/ Nanoparticle preparation for application and characterization •
 - Animals and animal housing •
 - Nanoparticle application and animal maintenance in metabolic cages
 - Sample preparation for radiometric analysis •
 - Radiometric and statistical analysis •
 - Blood correction and total blood volume •

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tnan

3	504	• ⁴⁸ V-activity determination of skeleton and soft tissue
4 5 6	505	• Biokinetics of soluble ⁴⁸ V in ionic form after IV-injection
7 8	506	• Correction of the biokinetics assigned to $[^{48}V]$ TiO ₂ NP for the effect of free 48 V-ions
9 10	507	• Evaluation of the auxiliary and main study by pharmacokinetic modeling
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 32 42 56 27 28 29 30 12 33 43 56 37 38 90 41 42 34 45 46 47 48 95 51 25 35 45 56 57 85 960	508	

Nanotoxicology

2		
3	509	References
4	•••	
5	510	(A R A) ARA 2009 Multiple-path particle dosimetry model (MPPD version 3.0)
6	510	Almeida IPM Chen AI Foster A & Drezek R 2011 In vivo biodistribution of
7	512	nanonarticles Nanomedicine 6 815-835
8	512	Auttochoot W. MoLoughlin, CE. White VI. Ir. & Smith MI 2012, Doute dependent
9	515	Autachoal, W, McLoughin, CE, Winte, KL, JI. & Sintui, MJ 2015. Koule-dependent
10	514	systemic and local immune effects following exposure to solutions prepared from
11	515	titanium dioxide nanoparticles. J Immunotoxicol.
12	516	Carlander, U, Li, D, Jolliet, O, Emond, C & Johanson, G 2016. Toward a general
14	517	physiologically-based pharmacokinetic model for intravenously injected nanoparticles.
15	518	Intrnational Journal of Nanomedicine, 11, 625-640.
16	519	Choi, HS, Liu, W, Misra, P, Tanaka, E, Zimmer, JP, Ipe, BI, Bawendi, MG & Frangioni, JV
17	520	2007. Renal clearance of quantum dots. <i>Nature Biotechnology</i> , 25, 1165-1170.
18	521	Christensen, FM, Johnston, HJ, Stone, V, Aitken, RJ, Hankin, S, Peters, S & Aschberger, K
19	522	2011. Nano-TiO(2) - feasibility and challenges for human health risk assessment
20	523	based on open literature. Nanotoxicology, 5, 110-24.
21	524	Fabian, E, Landsiedel, R, Ma-Hock, L, Wiench, K, Wohlleben, W & Van Ravenzwaay, B
22	525	2008. Tissue distribution and toxicity of intravenously administered titanium dioxide
23	526	nanoparticles in rats. Archives of Toxicology, 82, 151-7.
24	527	Geiser, M, Casaulta, M, Kupferschmid, B, Schulz, H, Semmler-Behnke, M & Kreyling, W
25	528	2008. The role of macrophages in the clearance of inhaled ultrafine titanium dioxide
20 27	529	particles. American Journal of Respiratory Cell and Molecular Biology, 38, 371-6.
21	530	Geiser, M. Rothen-Rutishauser, B. Kapp, N. Schurch, S. Kreyling, W. Schulz, H. Semmler,
20	531	M Im Hof V Heyder J & Gehr P 2005 Ultrafine particles cross cellular membranes
30	532	by nonphagocytic mechanisms in lungs and in cultured cells <i>Environmental Health</i>
31	533	Perspectives 113 1555-60
32	534	Geraets I. Oomen AG Krystek P. Jacobsen NR Wallin H. Laurentie M. Verharen HW
33	535	Brandon EF & De Jong WH 2014 Tissue distribution and elimination after oral and
34	536	intravenous administration of different titanium dioxide nanoparticles in rats. Part
35	530	Fibre Toxicol 11, 20
36	538	Contier E Vnsa M D Rírá T Hunvadi I Kiss R Cásnár K Pinhaira T Silva I N
37	520	Eiling D Stachurg I Debrog W Deinert T Dutz T Moratte D & Surlàva Dazailla
38	540	L E 2008. Is there penetration of titania penepertial in suggeroons through skin? A
39	540	J-E 2008. Is there penetration of thank handparticles in subsciencing large 2, 218, 221
40	541	Cu Z Dalfa DE Thomas AC & Xu ZD 2012 Destances is treatments using non-emerical
41	542	Gu, Z, Kolle, BE, Thomas, AC & Au, ZP 2013. Restenosis treatments using nanoparticle-
43	545	Dased drug denvery systems. Curr Pharm Des, 19, 6330-9.
44	544	Him, S, Semmier-Bennke, M, Schien, C, Wenk, A, Lipka, J, Schaffler, M, Takenaka, S,
45	545	Moller, W, Schmid, G, Simon, U & Kreyling, WG 2011. Particle size-dependent and
46	546	surface charge-dependent biodistribution of gold nanoparticles after intravenous
47	547	administration. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 77, 407-
48	548	
49	549	Hume, DA 2008. Differentiation and heterogeneity in the mononuclear phagocyte system.
50	550	Mucosal Immunol, 1, 432-41.
51	551	Jani, P, Halbert, GW, Langridge, J & Florence, AT 1990. Nanoparticle uptake by the rat
52 52	552	gastrointestinal mucosa: quantitation and particle size dependency. J Pharm
53 54	553	<i>Pharmacol</i> , 42, 821-6.
55	554	Jia, L, Xu, M, Zhen, W, Shen, X, Zhu, Y, Wang, W & Wang, X 2008. Novel anti-oxidative
56	555	role of calreticulin in protecting A549 human type II alveolar epithelial cells against
57	556	hypoxic injury. Am J Physiol Cell Physiol, 294, C47-55.
58	557	Kreyling, WG, Biswas, P, Messing, ME, Gibson, N, Geiser, M, Wenk, A, Sahu, M, Deppert,
59	558	K, Cydzik, I, Wigge, C, Schmid, O & Semmler-Behnke, M 2011. Generation and
60		

1		
2	550	
3	559	characterization of stable, highly concentrated titanium dioxide nanoparticle aerosois
4 5	561	Ior rodent innatation studies. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 15, 511–524.
6	562	Liebard N. Johnston, DD. Sporling, D. Sohmid, C. Simon, H. Darak, WI & Sommlar
° 7	562	Dabula, N. Johnston, DD, Sperning, K. Schinnu, O, Sinion, U, Parak, WJ & Schinner-
8	503	Bennke, M 2014. Alf-blood barrier translocation of tracheally instilled gold
9	564	nanoparticles inversely depends on particle size. ACS Nano, 8, 222-33.
10	565	Kreyling, WG, Holzwarth, U, Haberl, N, Kozempel, J, Wenk, A, Hirn, S, Schleh, C,
11	566	Schäffler, M, Lipka, J, Semmler-Behnke, M & Gibson, N submitted-a. Part 3:
12	567	Quantitative biokinetics of titanium dioxide nanoparticles after intratracheal
13	568	instillation in rats. <i>Nanotoxicology</i> , (submitted).
14	569	Kreyling, WG, Holzwarth, U, Schleh, C, Kozempel, J, Wenk, A, Haberl, N, Hirn, S,
15	570	Schäffler, M, Lipka, J, Semmler-Behnke, M & Gibson, N submitted-b. Part 2:
16 47	571	Quantitative biokinetics of titanium dioxide nanoparticles after oral application in rats
17	572	Nanotoxicology, (submitted).
10	573	Kreyling, WG, Semmler-Behnke, M, Takenaka, S & Moller, W 2013. Differences in the
20	574	biokinetics of inhaled nano- versus micrometer-sized particles. Acc Chem Res, 46,
20	575	714-22.
22	576	Kreyling, WG, Semmler, M, Erbe, F, Mayer, P, Takenaka, S, Schulz, H, Oberdörster, G &
23	577	Ziesenis, A 2002. Translocation of ultrafine insoluble iridium particles from lung
24	578	epithelium to extrapulmonary organs is size dependent but very low. Journal of
25	579	Toxicology and Environmental Health-Part A, 65, 1513-1530.
26	580	Li, N, Xia, T & Nel, AE 2008. The role of oxidative stress in ambient particulate matter-
27	581	induced lung diseases and its implications in the toxicity of engineered nanoparticles.
28	582	Free Radic.Biol Med. 44, 1689-1699
29	583	Lomer, MC, Hutchinson, C, Volkert, S, Greenfield, SM, Catterall, A, Thompson, RP &
30	584	Powell JJ 2004 Dietary sources of inorganic microparticles and their intake in
31	585	healthy subjects and patients with Crohn's disease <i>British Journal of Nutrition</i> 92.
32	586	947-55
34	587	Louro H Tavares A Vital N Costa PM Alverca E Zwart E De Jong WH Fessard V
35	588	Lavinha L& Silva MI 2014 Integrated approach to the in vivo genotoxic effects of a
36	589	titanium dioxide nanomaterial using L ac7 plasmid-based transgenic mice. <i>Environ</i>
37	590	Mol Mutagen 55, 500-9
38	591	Matusiewicz H 2014 Potential release of in vivo trace metals from metallic medical implants
39	502	in the human body: from ions to nanoparticles a systematic analytical review. Acta
40	592	Riomater 10, 2370 403
41	595	Marimata V Kabayashi N Shinahara N Myaja T Tanaka I & Nakanishi I 2010 Hazard
42	505	Morninolo, 1, Kobayasin, N, Simionara, N, Myojo, 1, Tanaka, 1 & Nakamsin, J 2010. Hazard
43 11	595	Ninomiya K Eulauda A Ogina C & Shimizu N 2014 Targated conceptalytic concert call
45	590	inium using avidin appingeted titanium diavide perpentiales. <i>Ultragen Sonochem</i>
46	500	11 1624 8
47	590	21, 1024-0.
48	599	Oeff, K & Konig, A 1955. [Blood volume of rat organs and residual amount of blood after
49	600	blood letting of irrigation, determination with radiophosphorus-labeled erythrocytes. J.
50	601	Naunyn-Schmiedebergs Archiv für Experimentelle Pathologie und Pharmakologie,
51	602	226, 98-102.
52	603	Patri, A, Umbreit, I, Zheng, J, Nagashima, K, Goering, P, Francke-Carroll, S, Gordon, E,
53	604	Weaver, J, Miller, T, Sadrieh, N, McNeil, S & Stratmeyer, M 2009. Energy dispersive
54 55	605	X-ray analysis of titanium dioxide nanoparticle distribution after intravenous and
00 56	606	subcutaneous injection in mice. Journal of Applied Toxicology, 29, 662-672.
57	607	Peters, RJ, Van Bemmel, G, Herrera-Rivera, Z, Helsper, JP, Marvin, HJ, Weigel, S, Tromp, P,
58	608	Oomen, AG, Rietveld, A & Bouwmeester, H 2014. Characterisation of titanium
59		
60		

Nanotoxicology

2		
3	609	dioxide nanoparticles in food products: Analytical methods to define nanoparticles. J
4	610	Agric Food Chem
5	611	Rinderknecht & Prudhomme R Poreda R Gelein R Corson N Pidruczny & Finkelstein
6	612	L Oberderster C & Elder A 2008 Diskingtion of Au nononerticles relative to size
7	012	J, Oberdolster, G & Elder, A 2008. Blokinetics of Au hanoparticles relative to size
8	613	surface coating and portal of entry. 47th Annual Society of Toxicology Meeting;
Q	614	Seattle, WA.
10	615	Sadauskas, E, Danscher, G, Stoltenberg, M, Vogel, U, Larsen, A & Wallin, H 2009.
10	616	Protracted elimination of gold nanoparticles from mouse liver. <i>Nanomedicine</i> , 5, 162-
10	617	9
12	619	Sablah C. Sammlar Dahnka M. Linka, I. Wank, A. Hirn, S. Sahafflar, M. Sahmid, C. Siman
13	(10	Schen, C, Schninger-Dennike, M, Lipka, J, Wenk, A, Hinn, S, Schamer, M, Schning, O, Simon,
14	619	U & Kreyling, WG 2012. Size and surface charge of gold nanoparticles determine
15	620	absorption across intestinal barriers and accumulation in secondary target organs after
16	621	oral administration. <i>Nanotoxicology</i> , 6, 36-46.
1/	622	Semmler-Behnke, M, Takenaka, S, Fertsch, S, Wenk, A, Seitz, J, Mayer, P, Oberdörster, G &
18	623	Kreyling WG 2007 Efficient elimination of inhaled nanoparticles from the alveolar
19	624	region: evidence for interstitial untake and subsequent reentrainment onto airways
20	625	anithalium Eminant and Harlth Deven actives 115, 729, 22
21	023	epinenum. Environmeniai Healin Perspectives, 115, 728-55.
22	626	Semmler, M, Seitz, J, Erbe, F, Mayer, P, Heyder, J, Oberdörster, G & Kreyling, WG 2004.
23	627	Long-term clearance kinetics of inhaled ultrafine insoluble iridium particles from the
24	628	rat lung, including transient translocation into secondary organs. Inhalation
25	629	Toxicology, 16, 453-9.
26	630	Setvawati MI Tay CY Chia SI, Goh SI, Fang W Neo MI Chong HC Tan SM Loo
27	631	SC Ng KW Vie ID Ong CN Tan NS & Leong DT 2013 Titanium diovide
28	(22	SC, Ng, KW, Ale, JI, Olig, CN, Tall, NS & Leolig, DT 2015. Italiuli uloxide
29	632	nanomaterials cause endothenal cell leakiness by disrupting the homophilic interaction
30	633	of VE-cadherin. <i>Nat Commun</i> , 4, 1673.
31	634	Shi, H, Magaye, R, Castranova, V & Zhao, J 2013. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles: a review
32	635	of current toxicological data. Part Fibre Toxicol, 10, 15.
33	636	Vogelsberger W Schmidt J Roelfs F 2008 Dissolution kinetics of oxide nanoparticles
34	637	The observation of an unusual behaviour Colloids and Surfaces 4 324 51-57
35	628	Wang T Jiang H Wan I 7hao O Jiang T Wang P & Wang S 2015 Detential
36	(20	wang, I, Jiang, II, wan, L, Zhao, Q, Jiang, I, wang, D & Wang, S 2015. I otential 1°
37	639	application of functional porous 1102 nanoparticles in light-controlled drug release
38	640	and targeted drug delivery. Acta Biomater, 13, 354-63.
30	641	Weir, A, Westerhoff, P, Fabricius, L, Hristovski, K & Von Goetz, N 2012. Titanium dioxide
40	642	nanoparticles in food and personal care products. Environmental Science &
40	643	Technology, 46, 2242-50.
41	644	Xie GP Wang C Sun L& Zhong GR 2011 Tissue distribution and excretion of
42	645	intravenously administered titanium dioxide nanonarticles. Toxicology Latters 205
43	616	55 41
44	040	
40	647	Yamashita, K, Yoshioka, Y, Higashisaka, K, Mimura, K, Morishita, Y, Nozaki, M, Yoshida,
40	648	T, Ogura, T, Nabeshi, H, Nagano, K, Abe, Y, Kamada, H, Monobe, Y, Imazawa, T,
47	649	Aoshima, H, Shishido, K, Kawai, Y, Mayumi, T, Tsunoda, S, Itoh, N, Yoshikawa, T,
48	650	Yanagihara, I, Saito, S & Tsutsumi, Y 2011. Silica and titanium dioxide nanoparticles
49	651	cause pregnancy complications in mice <i>Nature Nanotechnology</i> , 6, 321-8
50	652	Zarschler K Rocks I Licciardello N Roselli I Polo E Garcia KP De Cola I Stenhan
51	652	Laiseniei, K. Rocks, E. Electardeno, N. Boseni, E. 1010, E. Gaiela, Ki, De Cola, E. Stephan,
52	035	H & Dawson, KA 2010. Ultrasman morganic nanoparticles. State-of-the-art and
53	654	perspectives for biomedical applications. <i>Nanomedicine</i> , 12, 1663-701.
54	655	
55	656	
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		





73x52mm (300 x 300 DPI)





Figure 2: Transmission electron micrograph of size-selected TiO2NP sampled immediately after the sizeselection procedure. TEM sample preparation leads to 'clumping' together of aggregates/agglomerates on the support grid.

254x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)



Figure 3: Graphical presentation of the biokinetics of IV-injected [48V]TiO2NP. The [48V]TiO2NP retention is expressed in terms of the retained percentage of the effectively injected nanoparticle dose which is equivalent to the percentage of injected 48V-activity corrected for the effect of free 48V-ions (% ID) (panels A-C). In panels D-F the values normalized to the organ weight are presented in %ID•g-1. Mean ± SEM of n=4 rats at each time point. Compared to 1h data levels of significance are p<0.05 (*), p<0.01 (***), p<0.001 (***).

141x69mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Figure 4: Daily urinary 48V-activity excretion presented as percent-rates of the total IV-injected radioactivity (%ID) over four weeks. Data from 24h to 7d after IV-injection are daily averages of the 24h group and the 7d group (n = 4). Data of the 28d group were determined as integral samples over 3-4 days and are plotted as daily urinary excretion at the mean day of the sampling period. Mean \pm SEM of n=4 rats at each time point.

75x49mm (300 x 300 DPI)

URL: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tnan





Figure 5: Cumulative Hepato-Biliary Clearance (HBC) of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP from the liver into the GIT and fecal excretions as percent of the total IV-injected [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP radioactivity (%ID) over four weeks. Mean ± SEM of n=4 rats at each time point. Compared to 1h data levels of significance are p<0.001 (***).

Nanotoxicology

Table 1: Physicochemical characteristics of the [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP suspensions used for IV-injection studies at five different retention times and the mean values of the applied ⁴⁸V activity and mass of [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP effectively received by the rats. The mean dose in μg/kg BW is also given. Additionally, [⁴⁸V]TiO₂NP losses in the syringe and/or cannula are provided as detailed in SI-IV.

Retention time		1h	4h	24h	7d	28d
Zeta Potential	[mV]	-38.9 ± 4.2	-33.2 ± 2.4	-29.9 ± 8.1	-42.7 ± 9.2	-35.2 ± 7.6
Z-average	[nm]	93	72	93	82	101
PDI		0.157	0.228	0.160	0.197	0.135
Effective ⁴⁸ V radioactivity received by rats	[kBq]	18.15 ± 3.37	11.29 ± 3.69	16.53 ± 3.69	253.99 ± 54.23	110.27 ± 5.76
applied [⁴⁸ V]TiO ₂ NP mass	[µg]	18.15 ± 3.37	11.29 ± 3.69	16.53 ± 3.69	108.08 ± 54.23	23.08 ± 46.92
Mean applied dose	[µg/g BW]	69.62 ± 69.7	40.13 ± 13.29	60.24 ± 13.29	392.54 ± 73.52	127.44 ± 10.17
Percentage of [⁴⁸ V]TiO ₂ NP retained in the syringe after application	[%]	62.8 ± 6.2	71.3 ± 1.7	32.6 ± 4.5	n.d.	n.d.



Table 2: $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP retention in organs and tissues at five time points 1h, 4 h, 24h, 7d and 28d after intravenous injection. The data are presented as retained percentage of the total intravenously injected $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP dose (*raw data*). The raw data were corrected for the $[^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP content in the residual blood present in organs and tissues after exsanguination (*w/o residual blood content*) and additionally for the contributions of free ${}^{48}V$ -ions to the biodistribution (*w/o free* ${}^{48}V$). After these corrections the ${}^{48}V$ -activity data were converted into $[{}^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP concentrations per mass of organ or tissue, given in ng·g⁻¹, and as %ID·g⁻¹. Since the effectively applied $[{}^{48}V]$ TiO₂NP doses varied due to nanoparticle retention in the syringes and were intentionally increased for the 7d and 28d groups most mass concentrations in ng·g⁻¹ exhibit an increase from 24h to 7d. The values in %ID·g⁻¹ are independent of the applied doses. (< DL = below detection limit).

	retention time (d)	1h	4h	24h	7d	28d
organ	percent (%)	mean ± SEM	mean ± SEM	mean ± SEM	mean ± SEM	mean ± SEM
liver	raw data (% ID)	95.56 ± 0.42	94.77 ± 0.50	94.61 ± 0.23	92.55 ± 0. 50	88.97 ± 0.17
liver	w/o resid. blood cont.	95.52 ± 0.42	94.74 ± 0.51	94.59 ± 0.23	92.54 ± 0.50	88.96 ± 0.17
liver	w/o free 48V	95.50 ± 0.42	94.70 ± 0.51	94.48 ± 0.23	92.44 ± 0.45	88.92 ± 0.17
liver	TiO₂ conc. (% ID·g⁻¹ tiss.)	11.14 ± 0.19	10.62 ± 0.23	10.16 ± 0.18	10.74 ± 0.32	9.67 ± 0.62
liver	TiO₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.)	2008 ± 222	1208 ± 213	1682 ± 38	11564 ± 1243	4551 ± 364
spleen	raw data (% ID)	2.35 ± 0.26	2.57 ± 0.18	2.27 ± 0.07	2.77 ± 0.20	2.48 ± 0.27
spleen	w/o resid. blood cont.	2.35 ± 0.2576	2.57 ± 0.18	2.27 ± 0.07	2.77 ± 0.20	2.48 ± 0.27
spleen	w/o free 48V	2.34 ± 0.26	2.57 ± 0.18	2.26 ± 0.07	2.75 ± 0.20	2.48 ± 0.27
spleen	TiO₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.)	2.51 ± 0.55	3.43 ± 0.31	2.32 ± 0.21	4.06 ± 0.44	3.49 ± 0.32
spleen	TiO₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.)	454 ± 122	402 ± 90	384 ± 35	4299 ± 389	1635 ± 145
kidneys	raw data (% ID)	0.078 ± 0.011	0.078 ± 0.018	0.100 ± 0.007	0.169 ± 0.018	0.193 ± 0.012
kidneys	w/o resid. blood cont.	0.062 ± 0.010	0.065 ± 0.015	0.090 ± 0.007	0.167 ± 0.018	0.191 ± 0.012
kidneys	w/o free 48V	0.0523 ± 0.0111	0.045 ± 0.0107	0.032 ± 0.007	0.112 ± 0.021	0.172 ± 0.011
kidneys	TiO₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.)	0.023 ± 0.005	0.019 ± 0.004	0.0131 ± 0.003	0.053 ± 0.010	0.076 ± 0.007
kidneys	TiO₂ conc. (ng·g⁻¹ tiss.)	3.89 ± 0.43	1.93 ± 0.15	2.16 ± 0.44	54.10 ± 6.05	35.60 ± 2.39
lungs	raw data (% ID)	0.134 ± 0.032	0.297 ± 0.125	0.092 ± 0.010	0.095 ± 0.013	0.057 ± 0.008
lungs	w/o resid. blood cont.	0.119 ± 0.028	0.286 ± 0.123	0.083 ± 0.009	0.094 ± 0.013	0.055 ± 0.008
lungs	w/o free 48V	0.118 ± 0.029	0.285 ± 0.123	0.079 ± 0.009	0.089 ± 0.013	0.054 ± 0.008
lungs	TiO₂ conc. (% ID·g⁻¹ tiss.)	0.063 ± 0.008	0.178 ± 0.0742	0.044 ± 0.005	0.060 ± 0.009	0.041 ± 0.008
lungs	TiO₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.)	10.83 ± 0.43	16.21 ± 3.71	7.24 ± 16.46	67.02 ± 16.46	19.51 ± 4.31
heart	raw data (% ID)	0.013 ± 0.005	0.012 ± 0.005	0.006 ± 0.001	0.005 ± 0.0002	0.008 ± 0.003
heart	w/o resid. blood cont.	0.009 ± 0.005	0.008 ± 0.003	0.004 ± 0.002	0.005 ± 0.0002	0.007 ± 0.002

Nanotoxicology

heart w/o free 48V 0.008 ± 0.005 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.0003 0.007 ± 0.003 heart TO ₂ conc. ((% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 3.76 ± 0.36 $3.52 \pm 3.52 \pm 3.55$ brain raw data (% ID) < CL	w/o free 48V 0.008 ± 0.005 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.004 TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.009 ± 0.004 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.004 TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 $3.76 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001$ raw data (% ID) < DL < DL 0.0015 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.001 w/o resid. blood cont. < DL < DL 0.0009 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.001 w/o free 48V < DL < DL 0.0005 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.001 0.0005 ± 0.001 TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL < DL 0.0003 ± 0.0001 < DL TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL < DL 0.0051 ± 0.002 $0.026 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.001$ raw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 $0.026 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.002$ 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.022 raw data (% ID) 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 0.002	0.0003 0.007 ± 0 0.0002 0.008 ± 0 0.36 3.52 ± 1 0.00005 0.0015 ± 0 0.00004 0.0013 ± 0 0.00004 0.0011 ± 0 0.0006 ± 0 0.273 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.001 0.002 ± 0
heart w/o free 48V 0.008 ± 0.005 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.0003 0.007 ± 0.003 heart TiO ₂ conc. (% ID-g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 3.76 ± 0.36 $3.52 \pm 3.52 \pm 3.55$ brain raw data (% ID) < DL < DL 0.001 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0004 0.0015 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0004 0.0013 ± 0.0011 brain W/o free 48V < DL < DL 0.000 \pm 0.0001 < DL 0.0005 \pm 0.0001 < DL 0.0015 \pm 0.0001 brain TiO ₂ conc. (% ID-g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL < DL 0.003 \pm 0.0001 < DL 0.0015 \pm 0.002 0.026 \pm 0.005 0.009 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.001 tureus raw data (% ID) 0.018 \pm 0.001 0.013 \pm 0.003 0.015 \pm 0.002 0.025 \pm 0.005 0.009 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002 0.015 \pm 0.002 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.002 0.001 \pm 0.002 0.015 \pm 0.002 0.015 \pm 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.001 0	w/o free 48V 0.008 ± 0.005 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.004 TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.009 ± 0.004 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 $0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.003$ TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 $3.76 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.0001$ raw data (% ID) $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0015 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0001 w/o resid. blood cont. $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0009 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0001 w/o free 48V $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0003 ± 0.0001 $<$ DD TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0003 ± 0.0001 $<$ DD TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0003 ± 0.001 $<$ DD TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0015 ± 0.002 $0.026 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.002$ raw data (% ID) 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 <td< th=""><th>D.0003 0.007 ± 0 D.0002 0.008 ± 0 D.0002 0.008 ± 0 D.0005 0.0015 ± 0 D.00004 0.0013 ± 0 D.00004 0.0011 ± 0 L 0.0006 ± 0 D.0005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.004 0.002 ± 0</th></td<>	D.0003 0.007 ± 0 D.0002 0.008 ± 0 D.0002 0.008 ± 0 D.0005 0.0015 ± 0 D.00004 0.0013 ± 0 D.00004 0.0011 ± 0 L 0.0006 ± 0 D.0005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.004 0.002 ± 0
heart TO ₂ conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.009 ± 0.004 0.007 ± 0.003 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.0002 0.008 ± 0 heart TIO ₂ conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 3.76 ± 0.36 3.52 ± 1 brain raw data (% ID) < DL < DL 0.0005 ± 0.0000 0.0005 ± 0.00005 0.0001 ± 0.0001 < DL 0.0011 ± 0 brain w/o resid. blood cont. < DL < DL 0.0005 ± 0.0001 < DL 0.0005 ± 0.00001 < DL 0.0001 ± 0.0001 brain TIO ₂ conc. (mg g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL < DL 0.001 ± 0.002 0.025 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0.001 tuterus rw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.013 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 0.025 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0 uterus W/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.004 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.01 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.01 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.01 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.01 <td< th=""><th>TiO2 conc. (% ID g^{-1} tiss.)0.009 ± 0.0040.007 ± 0.0030.002 ± 0.0020.004 ± 0TiO2 conc. (ng g^{-1} tiss.)1.29 ± 0.510.614 ± 0.1110.40 ± 0.273.76 ±raw data (% ID)< DL</th>< DL</td<>	TiO2 conc. (% ID g^{-1} tiss.)0.009 ± 0.0040.007 ± 0.0030.002 ± 0.0020.004 ± 0TiO2 conc. (ng g^{-1} tiss.)1.29 ± 0.510.614 ± 0.1110.40 ± 0.273.76 ±raw data (% ID)< DL	D.0002 0.008 ± 0 0.36 3.52 ± 1 0.0005 0.0015 ± 0 0.0004 0.0013 ± 0 L 0.0011 ± 0 L 0.0006 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.004 0.002 ± 0
heart TiO ₂ conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 3.76 ± 0.36 $3.52 \pm 3.75 \pm 0.36$ brain raw data (% ID) < DL	TiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.29 ± 0.51 0.614 ± 0.111 0.40 ± 0.27 3.76 ± 0.001 raw data (% ID)< DL	0.36 3.52 ± 1 0.0005 0.0015 ± 0 0.0004 0.0013 ± 0 0.0011 ± 0 0.0011 ± 0 L 0.0006 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.004 0.002 ± 0
brain raw data (% ID) $<$ DL <t< td=""><td>raw data (% ID)< DL< DL< DL0.0015 \pm 0.00010.0005 \pm 0.0001w/o resid. blood cont.< DL</td>< DL</t<>	raw data (% ID)< DL< DL< DL0.0015 \pm 0.00010.0005 \pm 0.0001w/o resid. blood cont.< DL	D.00005 0.0015 ± 0 0.0004 0.0013 ± 0 1 ± 0 0.0011 ± 0 1 ± 0 0.0011 ± 0 1 ± 0 0.0011 ± 0 1 ± 0 0.006 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.001 0.002 ± 0
brain w/o resid. blood cont. $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0009 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.00014 0.0013 ± 0.00014 brain TiO ₂ conc. (gs (B) Eg ⁻¹ tiss.) $<$ DL $<$ DL 0.0003 ± 0.0001 $<$ DL 0.0005 ± 0.0001 $<$ DL 0.0273 ± 0.00014 uterus raw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 $0.009 \pm 0.0009 \pm 0.00014$ uterus w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0.00014 uterus w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.002 0.006 ± 0.001 0.000 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.000	w/o resid. blood cont.< DL< DL 0.0009 ± 0.0001 0.0005 ± 0.0001 w/o free 48V< DL	D.00004 0.0013 ± 0 D.00004 0.0011 ± 0 D.0006 \pm 0 0.273 ± 0 D.0005 0.009 ± 0 0.0005 0.009 ± 0 0.0004 0.006 ± 0 0.0004 0.002 ± 0
brain w/o free $48V$ < DL < DL < DL 0.0005 ± 0.0001 < DL 0.0011 ± 0.0005 ± 0.0001 brain TiQ_conc. (g_1g^{-1} tiss.) < DL	w/o free 48V < DL < DL < DL 0.0005 \pm 0.0001 < DL TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL	L 0.0011 ± 0 L 0.0006 ± 0 L 0.273 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.001 0.002 ± 0
brain $TIO_2 \operatorname{conc.} (\# ID \operatorname{g}^1 \operatorname{tiss.})$ $< DL$ $< DL$ $< DL$ 0.003 ± 0.0001 $< DL$ 0.005 ± 0.009 $< DL$ 0.005 ± 0.009 $< DL$ 0.005 ± 0.009 $< DL$ 0.273 ± 0 uterus raw data (\% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0 uterus w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0 uterus TIO ₂ conc. (mg g ¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.020 0.005 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0 uterus TIO ₂ conc. (mg g ¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.021 0.006 ± 0.001 0.007 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 blood raw data (\% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.09 blood TIO ₂ conc. (mg g ² tiss.) 0.542 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.026 blood TIO ₂ conc. (M D g ¹ tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.025 ± 0.01	TiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL < DL < DL 0.0003 \pm 0.0001 < DL TiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL	L 0.0006 ± 0 L 0.273 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.001 0.002 ± 0
brain $TiO_2 \operatorname{conc.} (ng g^{-1} \operatorname{tiss.})$ $< DL$ $< DL$ 0.051 ± 0.009 $< DL$ 0.273 ± 0.001 uterus raw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 $0.009 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$ uterus w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.004 0.006 ± 0.001 uterus W/o resid. blood cont. 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 $0.002 \pm 0.001 \pm 0.002$ 0.001 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.002 0.001 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.001 0.004 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.001 0.007 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.002	TiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) < DL < DL < DL 0.051 ± 0.009 < DL raw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 0.026 ± w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.013 ± 0.003 0.015 ± 0.002 0.026 ± w/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± TiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± TiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± w/o resid. blood cont. 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.047 ±	L 0.273 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.005 0.009 ± 0 0.004 0.006 ± 0 0.001 0.002 ± 0
uterus uterus uterusraw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0 uterusw/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.013 ± 0.003 0.015 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0 uterusw/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.004 0.006 ± 0.001 uterusTIO2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 1.13 1.01 ± 0.002 bloodraw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodTIO2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.003 $0.072 \pm 0.072 \pm 0.002$ bloodTIO2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.032 ± 0.002 0.004 ± 0.006 tracrassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.022 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.22 carcassTIO2 conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 $0.008 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.026$ carcassTIO2 conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13	raw data (% ID) 0.018 ± 0.001 0.015 ± 0.003 0.016 ± 0.002 $0.026 \pm$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.013 ± 0.003 0.015 ± 0.002 $0.026 \pm$ w/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 $0.015 \pm$ TiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 $0.006 \pm$ TiO2 conc. (mg·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 $5.51 \pm$ raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm$	0.005 $0.009 \pm 0.$ 0.005 $0.009 \pm 0.$ 0.004 $0.006 \pm 0.$ 0.001 $0.002 \pm 0.$
uterusw/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.013 ± 0.003 0.015 ± 0.002 0.026 ± 0.005 0.009 ± 0 uterusm/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.004 0.006 ± 0.001 uterusTIO2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 1.13 1.01 ± 0.02 bloodraw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodm/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.003 0.072 ± 0.002 bloodTIO2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 0.004 ± 0.002 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.002 carcassm/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.002 carcassTIO2 conc. (mg e ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 $0.005 \pm$	w/o resid. blood cont. 0.016 ± 0.001 0.013 ± 0.003 0.015 ± 0.002 $0.026 \pm$ w/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 $0.015 \pm$ TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 $0.006 \pm$ TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 $5.51 \pm$ raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm$	0.005 0.009 ± 0. 0.004 0.006 ± 0. 0.001 0.002 ± 0.
uterus uterusw/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.015 ± 0.004 0.006 ± 0.001 uterusTiQ_conc. (% ID-g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 1.13 1.01 ± 0.02 bloodraw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.001 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodTiQ_c conc. (% ID-g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 $0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$ bloodTiQ_c conc. (ng-g^-1 tiss.) 0.31 ± 0.044 0.224 ± 0.066 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 $0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$ bloodTiQ_c conc. (ng-g^-1 tiss.) 0.31 ± 0.044 0.224 ± 0.026 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.002 $0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$ carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 $1.90 \pm 0.066 \pm 0.001$ carcassTiQ_c conc. (% ID-g^{-1} tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 $0.008 \pm 0.066 \pm 0.006$ carcassTiQ_c conc. (% ID-g^{-1} tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.026 0.032 ± 0.027 0.022 ± 0.23 $3.54 \pm 0.024 \pm 0.026$ skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.84 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 <td>w/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 TiO₂ conc. (% ID·g⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 1.0002 TiO₂ conc. (ng·g⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 $5.51 \pm 1.00 \pm 0.15$ raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.008$</td> <td>0.004 0.006 ± 0. 0.001 0.002 ± 0.</td>	w/o free 48V 0.016 ± 0.001 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 0.011 ± 0.002 TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 1.0002 TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 $5.51 \pm 1.00 \pm 0.15$ raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.008$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.008$	0.004 0.006 ± 0. 0.001 0.002 ± 0.
uterusTiO2 conc. (% ID-g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.001 uterusTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 1.13 1.01 ± 0.15 bloodraw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.001 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.001 bloodw/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.003 0.072 ± 0.002 bloodTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 0.004 ± 0.002 bloodTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 ± 0.10 3.60 ± 0.41 $2.4006 0.19$ 2.48 ± 0.24 2.09 ± 0.02 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.02 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.24 carcassTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 0.008 ± 0.02 carcassTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.23 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 $1.$	TiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.006 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.002 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 10.002 TiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 0.001 raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.074 \pm 0.001$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.001$ TiO_ cons. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.021 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.002	0.001 0.002 ± 0.
uterus $TiO_2 \operatorname{conc.}(\operatorname{ng} \operatorname{g}^{-1} \operatorname{tiss.})$ 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 1.13 1.01 ± 0.15 bloodraw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.001 bloodW/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.003 0.072 ± 0.002 bloodTiO_2 conc. (% ID·g^{-1} tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 0.004 ± 0.006 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.02 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.02 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.22 carcassTiO_2 conc. (% ID·g^{-1} tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.24 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.24 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.24 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.24 skeleton	TiO2 conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 1.00 ± 0.15 0.66 ± 0.28 0.74 ± 0.15 5.51 ± 0.61 raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.0018$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.0018$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.0018$	
bloodraw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 0.047 ± 0.004 0.075 ± 0.004 bloodw/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.003 0.072 ± 0.002 bloodTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 ± 0.160 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 $0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006$ bloodTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.004 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.24 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.24 carcasstrop conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 0.008 ± 0.001 carcassTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.24 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.27 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.27 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.27	raw data (% ID) 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.0018$ w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm 0.047 \pm 0.0018$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.0018 TiO_consc (% ID_c^{-1} tics) 0.021 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.005 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0018	1.13 1.01±0
blood w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 \pm 0.060 0.443 \pm 0.115 0.300 \pm 0.018 0.047 \pm 0.004 0.075 \pm 0.0blood w/o free 48V 0.512 \pm 0.062 0.419 \pm 0.111 0.230 \pm 0.018 0.037 \pm 0.003 0.072 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001 TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.031 \pm 0.004 0.024 \pm 0.006 0.015 \pm 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.0002 0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.006 TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 \pm 0.10 3.60 \pm 0.41 2.4006 0.19 2.48 \pm 0.24 2.09 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.004 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.019 1.02 \pm 0.009 1.90 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.009 1.90 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.011 1.4 \pm 0.15 1.44 \pm 0.23 1.57 \pm 0.12 1.74 \pm 0.09 1.89 \pm 0.02 carcass w/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 \pm 0.15 1.44 \pm 0.23 1.57 \pm 0.12 1.74 \pm 0.09 1.89 \pm 0.02 carcass TiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.006 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.0006 0.008 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.0006 0.008 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.006 0.008 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.002 0.008 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.10 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.006 0.008 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.006 0.008 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.0006 0.008 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.001 0.005 \pm 0.0006 0.008 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.011 0.036 \pm 0.07 0.019 \pm 0.02 0.038 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.13 1.02 \pm 0.13 1.02 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.12 1.22 \pm 0.24 0.24 0.02 0.002 \pm 0.011 0.036 \pm 0.07 0.019 \pm 0.002 0.038 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.14 \pm 0.15 1.002 0.038 \pm 0.031 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.02 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.05 \pm 0.07 0.019 \pm 0.002 0.038 \pm 0.05 0.051 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.05 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.05 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.05 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.05 0.001 0.002 \pm 0.005 0.0029 \pm 0.057 0.032 \pm 0.07 0.71 \pm 0.13 0.68 \pm 0.55 0.033 \pm 0.07 0.71 \pm 0.13 0.67 \pm 0.55 0.033 \pm 0.07 0.013 0.007 0.0005 0.0029 \pm 0.55 0.033 \pm 0.07 0.013 0.007 0.0005 0.0029 \pm 0.55 0.033 \pm 0.07 0.015 \pm	w/o resid. blood cont. 0.524 ± 0.060 0.443 ± 0.115 0.300 ± 0.018 $0.047 \pm$ w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm$ TiO_cons (% ID_c^{-1} tics) 0.021 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.005 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.005	0.004 0.075 ± 0
bloodw/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 0.037 ± 0.003 0.072 ± 0.0014 bloodTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 0.004 ± 0.0044 bloodTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 ± 0.10 3.60 ± 0.41 $2.4006 0.19$ 2.48 ± 0.24 2.09 ± 0.0024 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.0024 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.024 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.0006 $0.008 \pm 0.008 \pm 0.0006 \pm 0.001$ carcassTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.24 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.24 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.24 skeletonTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.102 skeletonTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.26 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.057 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.16	w/o free 48V 0.512 ± 0.062 0.419 ± 0.111 0.230 ± 0.018 $0.037 \pm$ TiO_consc (% ID_c^{-1} tics) 0.021 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.005 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.005	0.004 0.075 ± 0
hord bloodTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.031 ± 0.004 0.024 ± 0.006 0.015 ± 0.001 0.002 ± 0.0002 0.004 ± 0.004 bloodTiO2 conc. (mg·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 ± 0.10 3.60 ± 0.41 $2.4006 0.19$ 2.48 ± 0.24 2.09 ± 0.002 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.002 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.002 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.24 carcassTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 0.008 ± 0.0006 carcassTiO2 conc. (mg·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.0206 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.2766 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.27666 skeletonm/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 $0.96 \pm 0.0666666666666666666666666666666666$	TiO conc $(\% \text{ ID}, \sigma^{-1} \text{ ticc})$ 0.021 + 0.004 0.024 + 0.006 0.015 + 0.001 0.002 + 0	0.003 0.072 ± 0
NoteNoteNoteNoteNoteNoteNoteNoteNoteNoteNotebloodTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 5.42 ± 0.10 3.60 ± 0.41 $2.4006 0.19$ 2.48 ± 0.24 2.09 ± 0.001 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.001 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.001 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.0006 carcassTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.0006 0.008 ± 0.0006 carcassTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 $3.54 \pm 0.026 \pm 0.006$ carcasstiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 $1.22 \pm 0.24 $		0.0002 0.004 ± 0.004
Actor $102 \text{ contr.} (ng \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ tiss.})$ 2.112 ± 0.12 100 ± 0.112 1.00 ± 0.12 1.00 ± 0.12 1.00 ± 0.12 carcassraw data (% ID) 1.2 ± 0.16 1.53 ± 0.31 1.63 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.09 1.90 ± 0.02 carcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.02 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.22 carcassTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 $0.008 \pm 0.025 \pm 0.001$ carcassTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 $3.54 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23$ skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 $1.22 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23$ skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 $1.22 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23$ skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 $0.038 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23$ skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 $0.038 \pm 0.22 \pm 0.23$ skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.16 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 $0.32 $	$TiQ_{2} conc (ng g^{-1} tiss) = 5.42 \pm 0.10 = 3.60 \pm 0.41 = 2.4006.0.19 = 2.48 \pm 0.001 = 0.0$	0.24 2.09 + 0
CarcassInv data (MD)Inv to indInv to indInv to indInv to indInv to indInv to indcarcassw/o resid. blood cont. 1.14 ± 0.15 1.44 ± 0.23 1.57 ± 0.12 1.74 ± 0.09 1.89 ± 0.10 carcassw/o free 48V 1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.63 ± 0.006 carcassTiO ₂ conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 0.008 ± 0.006 carcassTiO ₂ conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.006 skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.23 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.24 skeletonw/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 0.96 ± 0.06 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 $0.038 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.07$ skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.13 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.05 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.005 soft	$\frac{1002}{1001} = \frac{1000}{1001} = \frac{1000}{1000} = \frac{1000}{1000$	0.09 1.90 ± 0
CarcassW/O resid. blood cont.1.14 ± 0.131.44 ± 0.231.37 ± 0.121.74 ± 0.091.89 ± 0CarcassTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 0.008 ± 0 CarcassTiO2 conc. (mg·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0 Skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.23 Skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.23 SkeletonTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.32 SkeletonTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.17 Soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.16 Soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.05 Soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.002 0.0013 ± 0.003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.005 0.0029 ± 0.002 Soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.0003	1.2 ± 0.10 1.5 ± 0.51 1.05 ± 0.12 1.75 ± 0.01	0.09 1.90±0
CarcassW/O free 40V1.03 ± 0.18 1.22 ± 0.27 0.92 ± 0.13 1.01 ± 0.12 1.03 ± 0.12 carcassTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.)0.005 ± 0.001 0.006 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.001 0.005 ± 0.006 0.008 ± 0.001 carcassTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.)0.89 ± 0.10 0.58 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.001 skeletonraw data (% ID)0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.001 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont.0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.0006 skeletonm/o free 48V0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 0.96 ± 0.006 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.)0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.002 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.)4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.17 soft tissueraw data (% ID)0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.06 soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont.0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.005 soft tissueTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.)0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 0.0029 $\pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0005$ soft tissueTiO ₂ conc. (mg·g ⁻¹ tiss.)0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 <	w/o free 48V 1 02 + 0.18 1 22 + 0.27 0.02 + 0.12 1.74 1	$1.63 \pm 0.$
Carcass IIO_2 cont. $(w ID \cdot g^{-1} tiss.)$ $O.005 \pm 0.001$ $O.005 \pm 0.000$ carcass TiO_2 cont. $(ng \cdot g^{-1} tiss.)$ $O.89 \pm 0.10$ $O.58 \pm 0.08$ $O.13 \pm 0.13$ 5.22 ± 0.23 3.54 ± 0.23 skeletonraw data (% ID) $O.81 \pm 0.13$ 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.23 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. $O.79 \pm 0.13$ 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.23 skeletonw/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 0.96 ± 0.06 skeletonTiO_2 cont. (% ID \cdot g^{-1} tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.32 skeletonTiO_2 cont. (ng \cdot g^{-1} tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.05 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.16 soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.005 soft tissueTiO_2 cont. (% ID \cdot g^{-1} tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.005 0.0029 ± 0.005 soft tissueTiO_2 cont. (% ID \cdot g^{-1} tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.005	$\begin{array}{c ccccc} w/0 & 102 \pm 0.00 \\ \hline & 1.05 \pm 0.10 \\ \hline & 1.02 \pm 0.27 \\ \hline & 0.02 \pm 0.01 \\ \hline & 0.005 \pm 0.001 \\ $	0.12 1.03 ± 0
Carcass IIO_2 Cont. (Higg diss.) 0.38 ± 0.10 0.38 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 3.22 ± 0.23 $3.34 \pm 0.33 \pm 0.13$ skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.34 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.34 skeletonw/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 $0.96 \pm 0.36 \pm 0.36$ skeletonTiO_2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.36 skeletonTiO_2 conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.17 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 $0.68 \pm 0.63 \pm 0.63$ soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.06 soft tissueTiO_2 conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 0.0029 ± 0.002 soft tissueTiO_2 conc. (ng g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.020	$TiO_{2} conc. (ng.g^{-1} tics) = 0.003 \pm 0.001 = 0.001 = 0.001 = 0.003 \pm 0.003 \pm 0.001 = 0.0$	0.22 0.008 ± 0.
skeletonraw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.17 skeletonw/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.17 skeletonw/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 0.96 ± 0.06 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.032 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 $17.71 \pm 0.038 \pm 0.07$ soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 $0.68 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.006$ soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 $0.67 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.006$ soft tissuem/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 $0.67 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.0001$ soft tissueTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 $0.0029 \pm 0.002 \pm 0.005 \pm 0.0001$ soft tissueTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 $1.38 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0002$	$10_2 \text{ conc. (ligg tiss.)}$ 0.04 ± 0.12 0.03 ± 0.08 0.13 ± 0.13 3.22 ± 0.04	0.23 3.34 ± 0
skeletonW/o resid. blood cont. 0.79 ± 0.13 1.00 ± 0.33 1.27 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.12 1.22 ± 0.12 skeletonw/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 0.96 ± 0.06 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.0000 skeletonTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.13 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 $0.68 \pm 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	raw data (% ID) 0.81 ± 0.13 1.02 ± 0.34 1.28 ± 0.17 1.04 ± 0.17	0.12 1.22 ± 0
skeletonW/o free 48V 0.68 ± 0.16 0.88 ± 0.32 0.92 ± 0.16 0.49 ± 0.06 0.96 ± 0.06 skeletonTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 $0.038 \pm 0.38 \pm 0.32$ skeletonTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 $17.71 \pm 0.071 \pm 0.002$ soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 $0.68 \pm 0.66 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.006$ soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 $0.67 \pm 0.067 \pm 0.006$ soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 $0.67 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.0002$ soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0002 \pm 0.0005$ soft tissueTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.02	$\frac{1.00 \pm 0.33}{1.00 \pm 0.14} = \frac{1.00 \pm 0.14}{1.00 \pm 0.22} = \frac{1.00 \pm 0.14}{1.00 \pm 0.22} = 0.02 \pm 0.14$	0.12 1.22 ± 0
skeleton IIO_2 conc. (mg·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.026 ± 0.006 0.032 ± 0.011 0.036 ± 0.007 0.019 ± 0.002 0.038 ± 0.007 skeleton TiO_2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 17.71 ± 0.13 soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.007 soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.007 soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.002 soft tissueTiO_2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0.06 0.96±0
skeleton IIO_2 conc. (ng·g tiss.) 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ± 2.50 $17.71 \pm 0.71 \pm 0.51$ soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 $0.68 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.51$ soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 $0.67 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.51$ soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 $0.67 \pm 0.57 \pm 0.001$ soft tissueTiO ₂ conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0001$ soft tissueTiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 $1.38 \pm 0.023 \pm 0.05$	$\frac{1102}{2} \text{ conc.} (\% \text{ ID-g tiss.}) = 0.026 \pm 0.006 = 0.032 \pm 0.011 = 0.036 \pm 0.007 = 0.019 \pm 0.019 \pm 0.007 = 0.$	0.002 0.038 ± 0
soft tissueraw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ± 0.13 0.68 ± 0.07 soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.07 soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.07 soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID $\cdot g^{-1}$ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.002 0.0013 ± 0.003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0012$	$110_2 \text{ conc.} (\text{ng·g} \text{ tiss.})$ 4.18 ± 0.53 3.02 ± 0.57 6.02 ± 1.05 18.43 ±	2.50 17.71±2
soft tissuew/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 0.70 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.05 soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.002 soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.002 0.0013 ± 0.003 0.0007 ± 0.001 0.0027 ± 0.005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.001$ soft tissueTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.02	e raw data (% ID) 0.44 ± 0.07 0.51 ± 0.05 0.352 ± 0.07 0.71 ±	0.13 0.68 ± 0
soft tissuew/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ± 0.13 0.67 ± 0.05 soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0012$ soft tissueTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 $1.38 \pm 0.029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0012$	e w/o resid. blood cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 $0.70 \pm$	0.13 0.67 ± 0
soft tissueTiO2 conc. (% ID·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 ± 0.0002 0.0013 ± 0.0003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 0.0027 ± 0.0005 $0.0029 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029$ soft tissueTiO2 conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 $1.38 \pm 0.0029 \pm 0.0029$	e w/o free 48V 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 0.61 ±	0.13 0.67 ± 0
soft tissue TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 2.54 ± 0.46 1.38 ± 0.05	e TiO ₂ conc. (% ID g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.0014 \pm 0.0002 0.0013 \pm 0.0003 0.0007 \pm 0.0001 0.0027 \pm	0.0005 0.0029 ± 0
	e TiO ₂ conc. (ng·g ⁻¹ tiss.) 0.24 \pm 0.02 0.16 \pm 0.05 0.11 \pm 0.01 2.54 \pm	0.46 1.38 ± 0
	e w/o resid. blo e w/o free 48V e TiO ₂ conc. (% e TiO ₂ conc. (ng	od cont. 0.34 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.05 0.30 ± 0.07 $0.70 \pm$ 0.32 ± 0.05 0.33 ± 0.07 0.15 ± 0.01 $0.61 \pm$ $\text{ID} \cdot \text{g}^{-1} \text{ tiss.}$ 0.0014 ± 0.002 0.0013 ± 0.003 0.0007 ± 0.0001 $0.0027 \pm$ $\cdot \text{g}^{-1} \text{ tiss.}$ 0.24 ± 0.02 0.16 ± 0.05 0.11 ± 0.01 $2.54 \pm$