Accepted Manuscript

Hepatitis B virus activates signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 supporting hepatocyte survival and virus replication

Marianna Hösel, Maria Quasdorff, Marc Ringelhan, Hamid Kashkar, Svenja Debey-Pascher, Martin F. Sprinzl, Jan-Hendrik Bockmann, Silke Arzberger, Dennis Webb, Gesa von Olshausen, Achim Weber, Joachim L. Schultze, Hildegard Büning, Mathias Heikenwalder, Ulrike Protzer



PII: S2352-345X(17)30106-6 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2017.07.003 Reference: JCMGH 256

To appear in: Cellular and Molecular Gastroenterology and Hepatology Accepted Date: 13 July 2017

Please cite this article as: Hösel M, Quasdorff M, Ringelhan M, Kashkar H, Debey-Pascher S, Sprinzl MF, Bockmann J-H, Arzberger S, Webb D, von Olshausen G, Weber A, Schultze JL, Büning H, Heikenwalder M, Protzer U, Hepatitis B virus activates signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 supporting hepatocyte survival and virus replication, *Cellular and Molecular Gastroenterology and Hepatology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2017.07.003.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



Hepatitis B virus activates signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 supporting hepatocyte survival and virus replication

Short title: HBV activates STAT3 signaling pathway

Marianna Hösel^{1*}, Maria Quasdorff^{1,2*}, Marc Ringelhan^{3,4}, Hamid Kashkar^{1,5}, Svenja Debey-Pascher⁶, Martin F. Sprinzl^{3#}, Jan-Hendrik Bockmann^{3,7}, Silke Arzberger^{1,3}, Dennis Webb¹, Gesa von Olshausen⁸, Achim Weber⁹, Joachim L. Schultze⁶, Hildegard Büning^{1,7,10}, Mathias Heikenwalder^{3,7,§} and Ulrike Protzer^{3,7}

(1) Center for Molecular Medicine Cologne (CMMC), University of Cologne, Germany(2) Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany

(3) Institute of Virology, Technische Universität München / Helmholtz Zentrum München, Germany

(4) Department of Internal Medicine II, University Hospital of the Technical University of Munich, Germany

(5) Institute of Medical Microbiology, Immunology and Hygiene, University Hospital of Cologne, Germany

(6) Institute for Life and Medical Sciences, Genomics and Immunoregulation, University of Bonn, Germany

(7) German Centre for Infection Research (DZIF), Partner sites Bonn-Cologne, Hannover-Braunschweig, Hamburg and Munich

(8) Department of Internal Medicine I, University Hospital of the Technical University of Munich, Germany

(9) Institute of Surgical Pathology, University Hospital Zürich, Switzerland

(10) Institute of Experimental Hematology, Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany

current address: 1st Medical Department, University Hospital Mainz, Mainz, Germany § current address: Department Chronic Inflammation and Cancer, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany

*Marianna Hösel and Maria Quasdorff contributed equally to this work.

Grant Support: This work was supported by the Medical Faculty of the University of Cologne, the Hofschneider Foundation (MHW, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 670/576 to UP, SFB 670 to HB, TR36 to UP and MHW), ERC (starting grant N°261317 to MHW) and the EU funded Horizon 2020 consortium HepCar (to UP and MHW).

Abbreviations: ccc DNA, covalently closed circular DNA; cDNA, complementary DNA; HBV, Hepatitis B virus; HBeAg, HBV early antigen; HBsAg, HBV surface antigen; HBV pg RNA, HBV pregenomic RNA; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; IFN, interferon; IL-6, interleukin 6; mRNA, messenger RNA; PHH, primary human hepatocytes; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; siRNA, small interfering RNA, STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3.

Corresponding author: Prof. Dr. Ulrike Protzer

Institute of Virology Technische Universität München / Helmholtz Zentrum München, Trogerstr. 30, D-81675 München, Tel: +49-89-41406821; Fax: +49-89-41406823 e-mail: protzer@tum.de; protzer@helmholtz-muenchen.de

Conflict of interest: the authors have declared that no relevant conflict of interest exists.

Author contributions: MH, MQ, MHW, and UP designed the study. UP, MHW, and HB acquired grants. MH, MQ, MR, SDP, MS, JHB, SA, DW, and GO performed experiments. MH, MQ, HK, SDP, AW, JLS, HB and UP analyzed the data. MH, DW, MQ, and MHW prepared the figures. MH, MQ, MHW, and UP wrote the manuscript.

Word count: 5311 (not including abstract, methods, figure and table legends, and references)

SUMMARY: We show that Hepatitis B virus infection activates STAT3 signaling that supports virus replication and prevents apoptosis of infected hepatocytes potentially supporting malignant transformation. Our findings provide new insides into hepatitis B virus-host interaction and open a new avenue to the development of drugs that control the infection and may help to prevent carcinoma development.

BACKGROUND & AIMS: The human hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a major cause of chronic hepatitis and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), but molecular mechanisms driving liver disease and carcinogenesis are largely unknown. We therefore studied cellular pathways altered by HBV infection.

METHODS: We performed gene expression profiling of primary human hepatocytes (PHH) infected with HBV and proved the results in HBV-replicating cell lines and human liver tissue using real time PCR and Western blotting. Activation of signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT3) was examined in HBV-replicating human hepatocytes, HBV-replicating mice and liver tissue from HBV-infected individuals using Western blotting, STAT3-luciferase reporter assay, and immunohistochemistry. The consequences of STAT3 activation on HBV infection and cell survival were studied by chemical inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation and siRNA-mediated knockdown of STAT3.

RESULTS: Gene expression profiling of HBV-infected PHH detected no interferon response, while genes encoding for acute phase and anti-apoptotic proteins were up-regulated. This gene regulation was confirmed in liver tissue samples of patients with chronic HBV infection and in HBV-related HCC. Pathway analysis revealed activation of STAT3 to be the major regulator. Interleukin-6-dependent and -independent activation of STAT3 was detected in HBV-replicating hepatocytes in cell culture and *in vivo*. Prevention of STAT3 activation by

inhibition of Janus tyrosine kinases as well as siRNA-mediated knockdown of STAT3 induced apoptosis and reduced HBV replication and gene expression.

CONCLUSIONS: HBV activates STAT3 signaling in hepatocytes to foster its own replication but also to prevent apoptosis of infected cells. This very likely supports HBV-related carcinogenesis.

Keywords: hepatitis B virus infection; STAT3 signaling; hepatocellular carcinoma; apoptosis.

Introduction

The hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a small, enveloped DNA virus characterized by a pronounced liver tropism and replication via reverse transcription of an RNA pregenome. Despite an effective prophylactic vaccine, HBV infection still is a major health problem with more than 240 million chronically infected individuals, who are at high risk to develop liver cirrhosis, end-stage liver disease and HCC. The virus escapes efficient immune elimination by a very limited activation of innate and adaptive immune responses in the liver.¹ With the introduction of antivirals, treatment options for chronic hepatitis B improved over the last years, but a curative treatment is still lacking.² Although rates of HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) are slowly decreasing,³ HCC still rates number six among the most frequent cancers and is the number three cause of cancer-related death with about half of all HCC related to HBV infection.⁴ Advanced liver disease with liver cirrhosis due to ongoing hepatocellular activation and inflammation are major risk factors.^{5, 6} Persistent viral replication, male sex and a positive family history increase the risk for HBV-related HCC.⁶

A strong correlation between HBV viral load and the risk of HCC development has been established in large clinical trials.⁵ In the absence of a dominant oncogene encoded by the HBV genome, the role of HBV in carcinogenesis is complex⁷ and still incompletely understood. Direct as well as indirect roles of HBV have been proposed.⁸ Integration of HBV DNA into the host genome occurs at early steps of clonal tumor expansion. This may activate cellular cancer-related genes and very likely induces the host chromosomal instability. Hereby, prolonged expression of the viral regulatory HBV X protein may contribute to deregulating cellular transcription and influences protein degradation, cell proliferation and apoptotic signaling pathways (Summarized in: ⁸⁻¹⁰).

In a number of clinical settings and disease entities chronic liver inflammation seems to be sufficient to induce HCC development.¹¹ Pioneering work by *Nakamoto et al.* provided first experimental evidence that HBV-related HCC may develop in the absence of viral

transactivation, insertional mutagenesis, and genotoxic chemicals¹² solely triggered by the immune response to HBV and resulting chronic inflammation. Key signaling pathways contributing to HCC development, however, have only partially been identified.

Lymphotoxins (LT) and their receptor are up-regulated in viral hepatitis and related HCC, and sustained triggering of the LT-beta receptor resulting in canonical and non-canonical NF- $\kappa\beta$ -signaling leads to HCC development.¹³ We have previously demonstrated that non-parenchymal liver cells, particularly liver macrophages, recognize HBV particles resulting in an activation of NF- $\kappa\beta$ -signaling and production of pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. TNF α , IL-6).¹⁴ IL-6 induces STAT3 signaling in hepatocytes, and NF-kB and STAT3 have been described to be key players in liver inflammation and cancer (reviewed in: ¹⁵).

In this study, we aimed at identifying major signaling pathways activated by HBV infection in hepatocytes. Since signaling cascades may be largely affected in immortalized cell lines as well as by overexpression of viral proteins, we studied the influence of HBV replication on cellular gene expression in infected primary human liver cell cultures prepared from different donors and in human liver tissues derived from patients with chronic hepatitis B and HBV-related HCC. Finally, we corroborated our findings in transgenic mice expressing the complete genome of HBV in hepatocytes¹⁶ and in mice challenged intravenously with adenoviral vectors encoding a replication competent HBV genome (Ad HBV).¹⁷⁻¹⁹

Material and Methods

Chemicals

The pharmacological inhibitor AG-490 was purchased from Calbiochem (San Diego, Ca). Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and N-acetyl-L-cystein (NAC) were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

Ethic statement

The study followed the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki and use of human material was approved by the local ethics committees of the University Hospital Cologne, the University of Zürich and the Hospital rechts der Isar der Technischen Universität München. Liver cell cultures were prepared from surgical human liver biopsies after written informed consent of patients. Studies on mice were conducted in accordance with the recommendations in the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals of the National Institutes of Health, USA. Our animal protocol was approved by the Regierung Oberbayern, Munich, Germany.

Patients

Samples of HBV-positive tumor tissue or noncancerous HBV-infected liver tissue were recruited from the tissue bank of the Institute of Pathology, University of Cologne, and the Institute of Surgical Pathology, University Hospital Zürich after obtaining written informed consent from all patients. All tissue samples stained positive for HBcAg and HBsAg. Active HBV replication in all noncancerous HBV-infected liver tissue samples was confirmed by the detection of pgRNA using real-time RT-PCR. All tumor samples were HBV-related HCC with Edmonson's grade II or III of histological differentiation, whereas other causative agents for HCC were excluded. Non-infected (HBV-, HCV-, HIV negative) liver tissue was obtained from human liver grafts not suited for transplantation.

Infection of primary human hepatocytes with HBV and analysis of infection

PHH cultures were prepared from surgical human liver biopsies after written informed consent of patients by a standard two-step collagenase perfusion followed by a differential centrifugation as described previously.²⁰ PHH cultures contained \geq 85% hepatocytes and 3-15% of liver sinusoidal endothelial cells or liver macrophages, Kupffer cells.¹⁴ HBV inoculum was concentrated from the medium of HepG2.2.15 cells²¹ using centrifugal filter devices (Centricon Plus-70, Biomax 100.000, Millipore Corp., Bedford, MA) and sedimented into a CsCl gradient to determine the amount of enveloped, DNA-containing viral particles as described before.²² Mock inoculum was concentrated from HepG2 cells accordingly. On day three after seedling, PHH were infected with HBV at a multiplicity of infection (moi) of 250 enveloped DNA-containing viral particles per cell in the presence of 5% PEG 6000. At 24 hours after infection with HBV, the inoculum was removed, and cells were cultivated in PHH medium²³ at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for next 4 days.

Cell culture medium was collected from day 1 to day 4 p.i., and HBeAg secretion was determined using the HBeAg 2.0 Axsym immuno assay (Abbott Laboratories, Wiesbaden, Germany). In addition, IL-6 secretion was determined using IL-6 ELISA (BD Biosciences, Heidelberg, Germany) and IFNα production was examined using IFNα ELISA (PBL Biomedical Laboratories Piscataway, NJ).

Formation of HBV cccDNA was detected by real-time PCR on a LightCycler instrument (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) using specific primers ccc2760fw and HBVccc156rev selectively amplifying cccDNA over rcDNA as described previously.²⁴ Total DNA extracted from mock- (control) or HBV-infected PHH was subjected to real-time PCR analysis to specifically detect HBV cccDNA. DNA extracted from patient serum and from serum of an HBV-positive chimpanzee as well as total liver DNA extracted from HBV-positive and positive controls, respectively.

8

To estimate relative efficiency of HBV replication in PHH, levels of HBV pregenomic RNA (pgRNA) were determined relative to those in PHH transduced with AdG-HBV1.3 vector²⁴ using RT-PCR and pgRNA specific primers.²³ After infection with AdG-HBV1.3, an adenoviral vector containing a linear 1.3-fold over length HBV genome and a green fluorescent protein (GFP) expression cassette²⁴, at an moi of 1-2 infectious units (i.u.) /cell, virtually all hepatocytes were infected. The pgRNA levels were here defined as 100%.

Animals

HBV transgenic (HBVtg) C57BL/6J mice carrying a replication competent 1.3-fold HBV genome¹⁶ were examined in comparison to wild type C57BL/6J mice. In addition, C57BL/6J mice were infected with adenovirus type 5 (Ad5) vectors transferring an HBV genome (Ad HBV) or as control with an identical construct in which all open reading frames were knocked out by introducing stop codons (Ad HBV/ko).¹⁹ All animal received humane care equivalent to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals" prepared by the US Academy of Sciences and published by the National Institutes of Health.

Cell culture

HepG2 cells (ATCC number: HB-8065TM), HBV-replicating HepG2.2.15²¹ or HepG2-H1.3 cells²⁵ were plated onto dishes coated with collagen type IV (Serva Electrophoresis, Heidelberg, Germany) and cultivated in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium containing 10% (w/v) fetal calf serum (FCS) as described²³. After achieving 80-90% confluence, cell cultures were maintained in the Williams E / Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (1:1, v/v) containing 5% FCS. HepaRG cells were differentiated and infected with HBV as described previously.²³ Cell viability upon treatment with AG-490 was analyzed by CellTiter blue® Cell Viability Assay (Promega, Madison WI, USA).

RNA-Isolation, Microarray Procedure and Data Analysis

Total RNA from mock- or HBV-infected cells was isolated with Trizol^R reagent (Invitrogen/ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA) and cleaned up using RNeasy mini kit including DNAse digestion (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany).

100 ng of total RNA was used to generate double-stranded cDNA with a T7(dT)₂₄oligonucleotide primer performed with the Two Cycle cDNA Synthesis Kit (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) followed by a IVT reaction to amplify the cDNA using the MEGAScript T7 Kit (Ambion, Kaufungen, Germany). After purification with the Sample Cleanup Module, cRNA served as a template to prepare a second round of cDNA. After purification of the cDNA with the sample Cleanup Module, biotinylated cRNA was generated, using the GeneChip IVT Labeling Kit (all reagents from Affymetrix). Hybridization of cRNA to the high-density oligonucleotide microarrays (HG-U133A arrays; Affymetrix) was performed according to the manufacturer's GeneChip Expression Analysis Technical Manual.

For data collection, assessment and analysis we used Affymetrix Microarray Analysis Suite Version 5.0 program (MAS5.0). Transcripts were considered to be up-regulated, when the absolute call in HBV-sample was not absent (A), and the difference call was increased (I) or marginal increased (MI) and fold change in gene expression between HBV-infected- and appropriate mock samples was \geq 1.5. Transcripts were considered as down-regulated, when the absolute call of the mock control was not "Absent", the difference call was decreased (D) or marginal decreased (MD), and fold change in gene expression was \geq 1.5.

Quantification of gene expression by real-time LightCycler PCR

Total RNA (650 ng) isolated from PHH or hepatoma cells as described above was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the SuperscriptTM III First-Strand Synthesis System (Invitrogen/ThermoFisher Scientific). Real-time PCRs were performed with the LightCycler

FastStart DNA Master^{PLUS} SYBR Green Kit using the LightCyclerTM system and normalized to a dilution series of calibrator cDNA using the Relative Quantification Software (all Roche Diagnostics) as described.^{14, 25} Primers for target genes were chosen with the assistance of LightCycler primer design program (Roche Diagnostics) and listed in Table 1. Primers for the reference genes glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and 5-aminolevulinate synthase (ALAS1), for hepatocyte nuclear factor 4 alpha (HNF4 α), hepatocyte nuclear factors 3 alpha and beta (HNF3 α and HNF3 β , respectively) were published earlier.^{14, 23} For expression analysis of selected genes in HBV-expressing liver tumor- and non-tumorous tissue and in the healthy liver, 1 µg of total RNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA and applied for real-time PCR and as described above. cDNA levels were normalized for the expression of the ALAS1 gene.

Western blot analysis

Nuclear and cytoplasmic protein extracts from mock- or HBV-infected cells were isolated with NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic extraction Reagents (Pierce/ThermoFisher Scientific). Purity of nuclear protein preparations was controlled by Western blotting using anti-albumin antibodies. Total proteins were extracted with CHAPS buffer (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Chaps) containing phosphatase inhibitors sodium vadanate and sodium fluoride.

Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and analyzed by Western blotting as described.²³ The following primary antibodies were used: mouse monoclonal anti-cIAP2 and mouse monoclonal anti-PARP (BD Biosciences, San Diego, CA); mouse monoclonal anti-Phospho-STAT3-Tyr705, rabbit monoclonal anti-STAT3, rabbit monoclonal anti-Src and rabbit polyclonal anti-Phospho-src family (Tyr416) (Cell Signaling, Denvers, MA); rabbit polyclonal anti-Mn-SOD, rabbit polyclonal anti-IRF3, rabbit polyclonal anti-lamin B1 and rabbit polyclonal anti-albumin (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA); mouse

monoclonal anti- β actin (Sigma); rabbit polyclonal anti-HBV core.^{23, 26} The secondary antibodies were anti-mouse or anti-rabbit IgG HRP-coupled antibodies (Sigma). ImageJ software (NIH, Bethesda, MD) was applied for densitometric quantification of Western blot signals.

Immunohistochemistry

Fixed, pretreated 2 μm thick, paraffin embedded liver sections derived from human liver needle biopsies or from mouse liver tissue were decorated with a monoclonal antibody against phosphor-Stat3 (Tyr 705). The antibody was purchased from Cell Signaling (clone #D3A7, dilution 1:100). Pretreatment (H2(60)) and staining were performed on a Leica Bond-Max, detection with a BondTM Polymer refine kit (Leica Biosystems, Heidelberg, Germany) using an anti-rabbit secondary antibody, coupled to HRP and DAB as a substrate. Stainings were analyzed and pictures were taken with an Olympus BX53 and an Olympus DP72 camera (Olympus, Tokio, Japan).

Detection of STAT3 activation

To detect phosphor STAT3-Y705, total or nuclear protein extracts were analyzed by Western blotting using mouse monoclonal anti-Phospho-STAT3-Tyr705 antibodies (Cell Signaling) as described above. To additionally measure STAT3 activity in cells, the Cignal STAT3 Reporter (luc) Assay (Qiagen) was applied. To this end, HepG2 or HepG2-H1.3 cells were transfected with either Cignal reporter or positive or negative control constructs using the fast-forward protocol provided by the manufacturer. The activity of STAT3-dependent Firefly luciferase and the activity of constitutively expressed *Renilla* luciferase used for internal normalization were measured by the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions.

Detection of reactive oxygen species (ROS)

HepG2, HepG2.2.15 or HepG2-H1.3 cells were plated on collagen-coated 96-dishes to reach confluence (day 1) and maintained in Williams E / Dulbecco's Modified Eagle medium (1:1, v/v) containing 1% FCS. HepaRG cells were plated on collagen-coated 96-dishes, differentiated and HBV- or mock-infected. ROS were measured using Cellular Reactive Oxygen Species Detection Assay Kit (Abcam, Cambridge, UK) according to manufacturer's instructions. This assay measures hydroxyl, peroxyl and other reactive oxygen species (ROS) activity within the cell. Specifically, HepG2, HepG2-2.15, HepG2-H1.3 and non-infected or HBV-infected HepaRG cells $(1x10^4 \text{ cells per probe})$ were harvested by trypsinization and subsequently stained with 20 µM DCFDA in cell culture medium for 30 min followed by flow cytometry assay. To analyze the influence of ROS-inhibition on STAT3 activity, HepG2 or HepG2-H1.3 cells were first transfected with either the cignal reporter- or positive- or negative control constructs (Qiagen) using the STAT3-luciferase reporter assay by the fastforward protocol according to manufacturer's instructions. 18 hours later, cell culture medium was changed and 5 mM ROS-inhibitor NAC was added to the culture medium of HepG2-H1.3 cells. 48 hours thereafter, the activity of STAT3-dependent Firefly luciferase and the activity of constitutively expressed Renilla luciferase used for internal normalization were measured by the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) according to manufacturer's instructions.

siRNA knockdown

HepG2-H1.3 cells were transfected with 5 nM of either STAT3-specific siRNA (Hs_STAT3_7 FlexiTube siRNA, functionally verified siRNA directed against human STAT3; NM_003150, NM_139276, NM_213662) or non-silencing siRNA using the HiPerFect transfection reagent (both from Qiagen) according to the fast-forward protocol

provided by manufacturer. The knock-down of STAT3 was confirmed at the mRNA- and protein level by real-time RT-PCR and Western blot analysis, respectively.

Caspase 3/7 assay

The activity of two effector caspases, caspase-3 and caspase-7 (caspase 3/7), was measured by luminescent Caspase-Glo 3/7 assay (Promega). PHH were seeded at a density of $4x10^5$ cells per well in a 24-well culture plates. PHH were treated with 100 μ M of AG-490 or with 0.1% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) for 24 hours, and then lysed in 200 μ l of cell culture lysis buffer (Promega). The luminescence was measured after addition of the caspase 3/7 substrate according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistics

Statistical significance was determined by Student's unpaired two-tailed *t* test. Differences with $P \le 0.05$ were considered statistically significant.

14

Results

HBV induces up-regulation of genes encoding acute phase response proteins and proteins promoting cell survival

PHH cultures were prepared from seven patients undergoing surgical liver resection and were either mock- or HBV-infected in parallel experiments. To monitor HBV infection, cell lysates and culture medium were collected daily from day 1 to day 4 post infection (p.i.). On day 4 p.i., we detected the formation of HBV cccDNA by a selective, quantitative real time PCR²⁴ and the release of newly synthesized HBeAg into the cell culture medium of HBV-infected PHH (Figure 1*A* and *B*, respectively) confirming successful HBV-infection. To compare efficiency of HBV infection in different PHH cultures, total cellular RNA was extracted on day 4 p.i., and levels of HBV pgRNA were determined relative to those in PHH transduced with AdG-HBV1.3 vector (Figure 1*C*) using quantitative real-time RT-PCR and pgRNA specific primers as described in Material and Methods. The efficiency of infection with HBV broadly varied between 5% and 45%. Three experiments, in which infection rates were \geq 15%, named PHH1, PHH2 and PHH3 (Table 2), were selected for gene expression analysis.

To detect changes in cellular gene expression and activation of appropriate cellular pathways at the beginning of productive HBV infection, we harvested HBV and mock infected PHH on day 4 p.i., when HBV replication in infected cells had just started as indicated by the detection of newly synthesized HBeAg after formation of cccDNA (Figure 1A and B). We chose this time point to ensure HBV replication and to determine the influence of HBV replication on hepatocytes, but avoid influences or early pattern recognition of the HBV inoculum¹⁴ as well as dedifferentiation of the primary cells at later time points. cRNAs derived from mock- and HBV-infected samples were hybridized to HG-U133A Affymetrix oligonucleotide microarray containing 22 283 probe sets representing approximately 14 000 human genes.

The total number of transcripts regulated \geq 1.5-fold upon HBV-infection was relatively low, and correlated with the efficiency of infection. Comparative analysis of three HBV infection experiments detected 40 genes up-regulated and 17 genes down-regulated in all three experiments (Table 2). This minor overlap reflects the variability between primary hepatocytes prepared from different donors but also the high adaptation of HBV to the host cell environment. At the same time, it shows that HBV infection and HBV replication influence the host cell gene expression profile – even if only at a low level.

Based on results obtained from microarray analyses, we grouped genes with altered expression patterns according to their biological functions (Table 3). The largest group of genes, up-regulated upon HBV infection promoted cellular acute phase response (APR). Among them, C-reactive protein (CRP) was induced most strongly upon HBV infection. In contrast, several cellular genes implicated in lipid and xenobiotic metabolism as well as cytochrome P 450 (CYP450) family members involved in bio-transformation and generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)²⁷ were down-regulated in HBV-infected hepatocytes.

A divergent regulation was identified for genes associated with apoptosis induction and cell survival. Importantly, in the two experiments with the highest efficiency of HBV infection (PHH2 and PHH3), four established apoptosis inhibitor genes: cIAP2 (apoptosis inhibitor 2),^{28, 29} IER3 (immediate early response protein 3),³⁰ TNFAIP 8 (TNF alpha-induced protein 8)³¹ and IGFBP1 (insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1)³² (Table 3) were upregulated. In addition, genes encoding for PBEF1 (Pre-B-cell colony enhancing factor 1),³³ IL-8 (Interleukin 8),³⁴ Mn-SOD (manganese superoxide dismutase),^{35, 36} CCL2 (Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 / monocyte chemoattractant protein-1)³⁷ and CRP (C-reactive protein)³⁸ with reported anti-apoptotic activities, were markedly up-regulated in all experiments (Table

3).

To confirm regulation of gene expression by real-time RT-PCR, PHH4 and PHH5 with HBV infection rates 36% and 29%, respectively, were additionally used. RNA expression levels for the 10 highest regulated genes from APR-, anti-apoptosis- and CYP450 groups were determined in PHH2, PHH3, PHH4 and PHH5 with and without HBV infection (Table 4). Real-time RT-PCR confirmed the regulation of all genes. Most importantly, the fold change of gene expression detected by real time RT-PCR was very comparable to that identified by microarray analysis (Tables 3 and 4).

Next, we aimed at verifying alterations in HBV-infected PHH 4 and 5 at the protein level. Western blot analyses for representative proteins cIAP2 and Mn-SOD confirmed their up-regulation in HBV-infected PHH compared to respective mock controls (Figure 1*D*). As the microarray analysis did not reveal activation of any genes involved in a type I or II interferon (IFN) response, we additionally examined expression levels of IFN type I-inducible 2'5'-oligoadenylatsynthetase (2'5'OAS) and IFN-inducible protein 10 (IP10) genes. For both, real time RT-PCR analysis revealed rather down- than an up-regulation (Table 4), and we did neither detect IFN α by ELISA (detection limit 47 pg/ml) nor activation of interferon regulatory factor 3 (IRF3) in HBV-infected PHH cultures (Figure 1*D*).

Thus, HBV up-regulated proteins involved in APR, oxygen detoxification and apoptosis inhibition at the transcriptional- and at the protein levels. Moreover, our data suggest that an IFN response is neither induced by HBV infection nor by HBV replication in PHH.

Confirmation of HBV-induced changes in cellular gene expression in HBV-replicating hepatoma cells, in chronically HBV-infected human liver tissue and in HBV-related HCC

To study whether HBV infection or HBV replication influenced gene expression, we compared gene expression profiles of HBV-replicating cell lines HepG2.2.15, established

many years ago²¹ and HepG2-H1.3, a cell line established in our laboratory,^{23, 25} with parental HepG2 cells. Total RNA from these cell lines was examined for the expression of the cIAP2, TNFAIP8, IER3, IGFBP1, Mn-SOD, CRP, and CYP3A4 genes by real-time RT-PCR.

In contrast to PHH cultures, we found, that hepatoma cell lines did not express the CRP and CYP3A4 genes at a detectable level. The expression of the Mn-SOD gene was unaltered in HBV-replicating cells compared to HepG2 cells. But, in agreement with our data for HBV-infected PHH cultures, we found that cIAP2 was up-regulated in HepG2.2.15 cells, and TNFAIP8, IER3 and IGFBP1 genes were up-regulated in both HBV-replicating HepG2-H1.3 and HepG2.2.15 cells in comparison to parental HepG2 cells (Table 5).

To determine whether these apoptosis- and carcinogenesis-related genes were similarly regulated by HBV *in vivo* and whether they may be implicated in carcinogenesis, we compared the mRNA expression levels of cIAP2, Mn-SOD, TNFAIP8, IER3, IGFBP1, and CYP3A4 in liver tissue samples from healthy HBV-naïve subjects (n = 11) to those from chronically HBV-infected patients (n = 11) and patients who had developed HBV-related hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) (n = 13) (Figure 2).

Real time RT-PCR analysis did not reveal up-regulation of the IGFBP1 gene (Figure 2). However, in agreement with our *in vitro* findings, we observed an up-regulation of the cIAP2, Mn-SOD, TNFAIP8, and IER3 genes and down-regulation of the CYP3A4 gene in HBV-expressing tumor and, more importantly, in HBV-replicating non-tumorous tissue in comparison to that from healthy donors (Figure 2). For all genes we observed a significant difference in the expression between HBV-positive non-tumorous (HBV) and HCC tissue (HBV/HCC) and HBV-negative control liver tissue. These results confirmed the primary role of HBV in the induction of genes encoding for a set of apoptosis inhibitors, which may contribute to the prevention of apoptosis and to the transformation of HBV-infected cells.

Activation of STAT3 in HBV-replicating hepatocytes

Next, we investigated the mechanisms underlying up-regulation of APR- and antiapoptotic-and down-regulation of pro-apoptotic genes. Since we observed expression changes of a number of STAT3-regulated genes, namely cIAP2, Mn-SOD, IER3, IGFBP1, as well as the numerous APR genes, we further examined the activation of STAT3 in HBV-replicating hepatoma cells and PHH. STAT3 activation requires phosphorylation of STAT3 at tyrosine 705 (Y705) which results in STAT3 dimerization and translocation to the nucleus. We found that levels of phosphorylated STAT3 (pSTAT3) were significantly increased in HBVreplicating hepatoma cells in comparison to HBV-negative cells, as shown by specific detection of pSTAT3 by Western blotting (Figure 3*A*).

To confirm the activation of the STAT3 signaling pathway in HBV-replicating cells by an alternative method, we performed a STAT3-luciferase reporter assay. We observed a significantly higher activity of STAT3-dependent firefly luciferase in HepG2-H1.3 cells as compared to HepG2 cells (Figure 3*C*). As in hepatoma cells, STAT3 became activated in PHH upon HBV infection (Figure 3*D*). Moreover, activation of STAT3-signaling increased over the time parallel to the increased HBV replication as detected by measuring HBeAg (Figure 3*C*). A positive correlation between levels of STAT3 activation and HBV replication was also observed when HepG2.2.15 and HepG2-H1.3 cells were compared (Figure 3*A* and *B*). Collectively, these data strongly suggest the involvement of HBV replication in STAT3 activation.

To test a causal relation between HBV replication and STAT3 phosphorylation, we studied STAT3 activation in liver tissue of HBV-transgenic (HBVtg) mice¹⁶ and mice treated intravenously with adenoviral vector encoding 1.3-fold overlength genome of HBV (Ad HBV)¹⁹ (Figure 4). For control, age matched wild-type mice (C57BL/6) or mice treated with control adenoviral vector (Ad HBV/ko), respectively, were used. HBVtg mice displayed a significant amount of STAT3 phosphorylation in hepatocytes around central veins (Figure 4A), where HBV is primarily replicating,¹⁶ while age matched C57BL/6 mice hardly

displayed or completely lacked pSTAT3 in hepatocytes (Figure 4*A*). Similarly, Ad HBVtreated mice displayed a significant amount of pSTAT3-positive hepatocytes when compared to control (Figure 4*B*) indicating that HBV replication activates STAT3 *in vivo*.

To confirm the relevance of this finding in the human situation, we assessed STAT3 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation in liver needle biopsies of patients with chronic hepatitis B. We investigated paraffin embedded liver sections of patients with ongoing hepatitis B (n = 11) and "healthy" control liver biopsies (n = 4) from liver transplant donors. All liver sections derived from patients with chronic hepatitis B stained positive for HBsAg and/or HBV-core protein (data not shown). Immunohistochemical staining for pSTAT3 revealed that, indeed, in contrast to the controls, all liver sections from patients with chronic hepatitis B showed pSTAT3 phosphorylation in hepatocytes (Figure 5*A*). In 7 out of 11 HBV-infected patients STAT3 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation were mainly detected in hepatocytes (with 5-70% of all hepatocytes staining positive), while in 4 patients pSTAT3 was predominantly detected in non-parenchymal liver cells (NPC) (pSTAT3 staining in 1-15% of all NPCs) (Figure 5*C*). pSTAT3-positive hepatocytes were either evenly distributed throughout large areas of the liver or occasionally distributed in a more focal manner, very often neighbouring periportal inflammatory sites.

To corroborate these data, we analyzed total protein extracts prepared from tumor- and non-tumorous tissue of three chronically HBV-infected patients. In contrast to non-infected liver tissue, phosphorylation of STAT3 was detected in all analyzed HBV-replicating non-tumorous and tumor samples (Figure 5*D*). Collectively, our data clearly demonstrate activation of STAT3 upon HBV infection not only in cell culture but also *in vivo*.

IL-6 dependent and -independent STAT3 activation

To understand how STAT3 may become activated by HBV infection, we first measured the concentration of IL-6 - a well-known cytokine inducer of STAT3 signaling - in

HBV-infected PHH cultures prepared from three different donors. In all PHH cultures, we observed a pronounced increase in IL-6 concentration after HBV infection (Figure 6A).

Since parental HepG2 cell line does not express IL- $6^{39, 40}$ and both, HepG2 and HBVreplicating HepG2.2.15 and HepG2-H1.3 cells expressed the IL-6 gene only at very low levels below the real time RT-PCR quantification limits (Table 5), we looked for alternative pathways of STAT3 activation in the absence of IL-6. STAT3 signaling can also be induced by reactive oxygen species (ROS). Indeed, we measured a significant increase of ROS in HBVreplicating HepG2.2.15 and HepG2-H1.3 cells in comparison to parental HepG2 cells (Figure 6B). In addition, ROS were released after infection of differentiated HepaRG cells with HBV (Figure 6C). Moreover, treatment of HepG2-H1.3 with the ROS-inhibitor NAC reduced STAT3 activity (Figure 6D) indicating that ROS induced by HBV contribute to activation of STAT3.

Activation of various tyrosine kinases can phosphorylate STAT3. JAK as well as Src kinase have been shown to activate STAT3.⁴¹ To this end, we detected activation of Src-kinase by Tyr416 phosphorylation in HBV-replicating HepG2-H1.3 cells as compared to parental HepG2 cells (Figure 6*E*). Thus, our data suggest that HBV replication activates STAT3 not only in a cytokine-dependent fashion but also in cytokine-independent by intracellular activation.

Activation of STAT3 is needed to support HBV replication and to prevent apoptosis of HBV infected cells

To examine whether STAT3 activation has any influence on HBV infection or on efficiency of HBV replication, we inhibited STAT3 phosphorylation with AG-490, a JAK-2 protein tyrosine kinase inhibitor that inhibits Y705 phosphorylation of STAT3.⁴² AG-490 dissolved in 0.1% of DMSO was added to HBV-infected HepaRG cells. HBV-infected cells treated with 0.1% of DMSO only were served as control. 24 hours after AG-490

administration we didn't detect any significant effect of AG-490 on cell viability at concentrations up to 100 μ M (Figure 7A). We therefore used 20 μ M and 100 μ M of AG-490 for further experiments. AG490 was added to mock- or HBV-infected PHH on day 4 p.i. 24 hours after AG-490 treatment, cells were either harvested for RNA and protein isolation or lysed for measurement of caspase 3/7 activity. AG-490 inhibited phosphorylation of STAT3 in mock- and HBV-infected PHH cells as shown by Western blotting of nuclear protein preparations (Figure 7B). We here used expression of lamin as a loading control instead of total STAT3 because phosphorylated STAT3 drives the transcription of STAT3⁴³ and thus inhibition of STAT3 activation by AG-490 in turn leads to down-regulation of total STAT3. Purity of nuclear protein preparations was controlled by Western blotting using anti-albumin antibodies (Figure 7B). Induction of apoptosis in HBV-infected cells treated with AG-490 was indicated by cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP), which is a well-known substrate of activated caspases⁴⁴ (Figure 7*B*). While caspase activity also increased in AG-490 treated mock-infected cells, HBV-infected cells showed a markedly higher activation of effector caspases 3/7 in response to AG-490 (Figure 7C). Since anti-apoptotic genes were upregulated in HBV-infected hepatocytes and in HBV-expressing tumor and non-tumorous tissue (Figure 1D, Tables 3 and 4 and Figure 2, respectively), we investigated their expression level in dependence of STAT3 phosphorylation. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR analysis of AG-490-treated HBV-infected PHH revealed a significant down-regulation of cIAP2, Mn-SOD, IER3 and TNFAIP8 genes (Figure 7D) in comparison to DMSO-treated, HBV-infected cells. Together, these results strongly suggest that activation of STAT3 signaling is important for the prevention of apoptosis and the survival of HBV-infected cells.

To investigate whether STAT3 activation has an effect on HBV replication, we determined the expression levels of HBV pgRNA in HBV-infected PHH and in HBV-infected differentiated HepaRG cells treated either with DMSO or with AG-490 (Figure 8A and *C*, respectively). Inhibition of STAT3 in PHH by 20 μ M or 100 μ M of AG-490 reduced relative

levels of HBV pgRNA per surviving hepatocyte in a dose-dependent manner by 54% and 89%, respectively (Figure 8*A*). Accordingly, levels of secreted HBeAg dropped significantly after addition of AG-490 (Figure 8*B*). Similarly, we observed significantly decreased levels of HBV pgRNA and HBeAg in HBV-infected differentiated HepaRG cells after treatment with 20 μ M or 100 μ M of AG-490 (Figure 8*C* and *D*, respectively). To explain a strong decrease in the level of HBV pgRNA, we quantified HNF4 α , a critical regulator of pgRNA transcription.^{23, 45} We found that HNF4 α expression was strongly dependent on STAT3 activation (Figure 8*A* and *C*) while HNF3 α and HNF3 β , also playing a role in regulation of HBV gene expression⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ remained unchanged in both cell types (Figure 8*A* and *C*). Collectively, these results suggest that STAT3 regulates transcription factor HNF4 α and in turn activates HBV gene expression and replication.

To further confirm the results obtained with AG-490, we knocked down STAT3 by STAT3-specific siRNA. Since primary hepatocytes are difficult to transfect, we used HBV-replicating HepG2-H1.3 cells. Transfection of HepG2-H1.3 cells with STAT3-siRNA resulted in ~50% reduction in STAT3 expression at the mRNA and at the protein levels (Figure 9*A*) and an ~70% decrease of phosphorylated STAT3. Importantly, siRNA-mediated inhibition of STAT3 significantly reduced expression levels of cIAP2, IER3 and TNFAIP8 genes in HepG2-H-1.3 cells by 37, 30 and 50%, respectively (Figure 9*B*).

To confirm the effects on HBV gene expression and replication, we examined levels of secreted HBeAg and transcription of HBV pgRNA. After STAT3 knock-down, both HBeAg levels and HBV pgRNA levels significantly decreased by 41 and 38%, respectively (Figure 9*C* and *D*, respectively). As before, we also observed a strong down-regulation of HNF4 α (by 43%) but not of HNF3 α or HNF3 β expression upon knock-down of STAT3 (Figure. 9*D*), suggesting that HNF4 α links STAT3 activation and HBV replication.

Taken together, our results strongly suggest that STAT3 serves as a pro-viral host factor activating HBV transcription and replication. Since inhibition of STAT3 activation resulted in induction of apoptosis, down-regulation of anti-apoptotic genes and a marked decline of HBV gene expression and replication, we concluded that the activation of STAT3 is required to prevent apoptosis and to support HBV persistence and replication.

CER HIN

Discussion

Our study revealed that HBV replication strongly activates STAT3 signaling in primary human hepatocytes (PHH), in infected HepaRG cells, in HBV-replicating hepatoma cells and mice as well as in chronically HBV-infected human liver tissue and in HBV-related HCC. STAT3 activation led to the up-regulation of genes encoding for acute phase response proteins and proteins involved in cell survival. In addition, STAT3 activation supported HBV replication. Inhibition of JAK-kinases in HBV-infected PHH cultures prevented STAT3 activation by phosphorylation and induced apoptosis. Inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation as well as STAT3-knock-down by siRNA led to down-regulation of anti-apoptotic genes and reduction of HBV gene expression and replication. Thus, STAT3 activation is beneficial for the virus not only by supporting HBV replication but also by sustaining survival of HBV replicating hepatocytes.

Using genome-wide gene expression profiling, we identified a number of differentially expressed genes in HBV-infected primary human hepatocyte cultures, and investigated those genes in stably HBV-producing cell lines as well as in tumor- and non-tumorous tissue of patients chronically infected with HBV. We found that most of the regulated genes are controlled by the STAT3 signaling pathway and serve to restore cellular homeostasis or oxygen radical detoxification and favour hepatocyte survival. This suggests a link to HBV-related cancer development for which STAT3 activation seems important.^{15, 49} Intriguingly, our data demonstrate that activation of STAT3 is a prerequisite for efficient HBV replication in HBV-infected hepatocytes.

Microarray analysis revealed only limited differences in gene expression profiles between primary human hepatocyte cultures infected with HBV and non-infected controls. However, hepatocytes obviously responded to HBV infection by the induction of a STAT3dependent acute phase response restoring cell homeostasis and restricting proteolytic activity and tissue damage. The fact that Mn-SOD was up-regulated and a number of CYP450 family members were down-regulated, indicated that oxidative stress contributed to this regulation.

A strong down-regulation of CYP450 enzymes in HBV-infected hepatocytes detected in our study was similar to what has been reported in HBV transgenic mice.⁵⁰ CYP450 proteins are mono-oxygenases, which are an important source of ROS in hepatocytes. Particularly CYP3A4, the predominant CYP in the liver, was down-regulated in all analyzed PHH cultures and in HBV-expressing tumor and non-tumorous tissue (Tables 3, 4 and Figure 2). In our study, anti-oxidant protein Mn-SOD was up-regulated in all analyzed PHH cultures, in liver tissue from chronically infected patients actively replicating HBV as well as in HBVrelated HCC (Figures 1D and 2 and Tables 3 and 4). Mn-SOD, which is regulated by STAT-3, has been reported to be up-regulated by induction of HBV replication in HepAD38 hepatoma cells⁵¹ and increased in patients with acute viral hepatitis.⁵² It suppresses oxidative stress damage and ROS-mediated apoptosis in rat hepatocytes.³⁵ Since HBV replication caused an increase of ROS (Figure 6 B-D) and oxidative stress has been shown to negatively regulate HBV gene expression,⁵³ one could speculate that HBV activates a feed-back mechanism: down-regulation of CYP450 enzymes, accompanied by induction of Mn-SOD and ROSreducing activity proteins (IER3)⁵⁴ will protect the host hepatocyte from oxidative stressinduced apoptosis and maintain virus replication despite an activated immune system. As a side effect, resistance to oxidative stress could provide a selective growth advantage for preneoplastic hepatocytes, which can also contribute to HCC development.⁵⁵

Wieland et al. found no significant change in gene regulation by microarray analysis of serial liver biopsies of experimentally infected chimpanzees before the onset of a T cell response and concluded that HBV is a stealth virus.⁵⁶ On a first glance, this appears to be in contrast to our data. A study by *Fisicaro et al.* showed that the innate immune system is activated from the beginning of HBV infection in men, indicated by the early development of natural killer (NK) and CD56⁺ NK-T cell responses.⁵⁷ In cultured cells, pro-inflammatory

cytokine responses, including IL-6 responses, are rapidly but transient induced after contact with HBV. HBV and its antigens even seem to inhibit subsequent recognition of other patterns.^{14, 58} This indicates that sustained STAT3 signaling, which we observed in the human tissue samples, requires other triggers in addition to HBV patterns, e.g. inflammatory liver disease which is lacking in chimpanzees.⁵⁹

Consistent with the chimpanzee study, our microarray analyses failed to detect any activation of an IFN response during HBV replication in the absence of T cells. IRF3 protein was not activated (Figure 1*D*) and IFN type I- and type II-inducible genes 2'5'OAS and IP10 were rather down-regulated (Table 4) - most likely due to STAT3 activation which can mitigate virus induced IFN type I-signaling.⁶⁰

HBV protein expression has been accused to interfere with IFN type I signaling in infected hepatocytes.⁶¹ HBV S⁶² as well as polymerase proteins⁶³ have been reported to interfere with host cell-autonomous immune responses within infected hepatocytes. In particular the HBx protein,⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ seems to influence cellular immune as well as survival signaling. HBV needs to constantly express HBx, which is essential to establish and maintain HBV infection⁶⁷ that otherwise is inhibited by the smc5/6 complex⁶⁸. The virus also requires S as well as polymerase proteins to produce progeny. Therefore, its replication may well actively interfere with any interferon response.

Since apoptosis is an important mechanism to eliminate HBV infected hepatocytes, primes antiviral cytokine responses in the liver via apoptotic bodies⁶⁹ and is deleterious to HBV spread²², we further analyzed the regulation of apoptosis-related genes. In all experiments, the balance between cell survival and cell death was in favor of cell survival although with some inter-experimental variability. A set of anti-apoptotic genes was similarly regulated upon HBV infection in at least four independent PHH cultures infected with HBV (Table 4) and in stably HBV-replicating cell lines (Table 5). More importantly, we observed an up-regulation of cIAP2, Mn-SOD, IER3, and TNFAIP8 in HBV-expressing tumor and non-

tumorous liver tissue. Of note, our data strongly suggest a pivotal role of HBV rather than hepatocarcinogenesis in up-regulation of these anti-apoptotic genes, since their expression in HBV-expressing non-tumorous liver tissue was significantly higher than in samples from noninfected donors (Figure 2).

A number of signaling pathways are accused to contribute to hepatocarcinogenesis. Besides NF- $\kappa\beta$, and STAT3 activation,¹⁵ the MAPK, Akt/mTOR, WNT/ β -catenin, insulin and hepatocyte growth factor pathways are regarded to be important in this respect.⁷⁰ In contrast to previously published data,⁷¹ we did not observe activation of Erk or JNK by HBV replication in HBV-replicating hepatoma cell lines (data not shown). The STAT3 pathway, in contrast, was strongly regulated by HBV replication after infection of primary human hepatocytes and HepaRG cells or in HBV-replicating hepatoma cells, and -most importantly-in all HBV-infected human liver tissue samples. Analysis of liver needle biopsies from patients with chronic hepatitis B in comparison to healthy controls in our study confirmed that HBV infection leads to a predominant STAT3 phosphorylation and its nuclear translocation in hepatocytes.

By gene expression analyses of primary human liver cell cultures infected with HBV, we also detected changes in the expression of acute phase response genes which are regulated by the IL-6 / STAT3 pathway.^{72, 73} STAT3 is activated via phosphorylation by several tyrosine kinases in response to various intrinsic and extrinsic factors. Growth factors or a number of cytokines including IL-6 or IL-10 family members or leptin activate STAT3. Previously, we demonstrated a rapid secretion of IL-6 and other NFkB dependent cytokines upon contact of non-parenchymal liver cells with HBV.¹⁴ Furthermore, serum levels of IL-6 are significantly higher in hepatitis B patients than in healthy blood donors⁷⁴ suggesting sustained IL-6 signaling and activation of STAT3 in HBV infection.

However, we and others detected STAT3 activation also in HBV-replicating hepatoma cell lines⁷⁵⁻⁷⁷ and in HBVtg mice⁷⁸ in the absence of cytokine secretion. This requires

additional, cytokine-independent intracellular activation of STAT3 by HBV replication. Generation of ROS in stably HBV-producing cell lines HepG2.2.15 and HepG2-H1.3 and upon infection of HepaRG cells with wild-type HBV (Figure 6), and oxidative stress may result in STAT3-activation as described for HCV.⁷⁹ Also, HBx protein might be responsible for intracellular STAT3 activation during HBV replication,⁸⁰ as it associates with mitochondria and can cause oxidative stress by ROS induction^{51, 80, 81} as well as may dysregulate cellular miRNAs such as lethal-7⁸² or microRNA-21.⁸³ It is very likely, upon HBV replication, STAT3 is activated by multiple intra- as well as extracellular pathways in hepatocytes and/or in non-parenchymal cells. The exact contribution of each pathway in activation of STAT3 in primary hepatocytes is yet to be determined.

Inhibition of STAT3 is known to induce growth arrest and apoptosis of human HCC cells.^{63, 84} Interestingly, study performed by *Xie et al.*, 2013 strongly suggested that some single nucleotide polymorphisms in STAT3-coding gene predispose the host with HBV mutations in enhancer /basal core promoter and precore region or in preS2 start codon mutations to hepatocarcinogenesis.⁷⁷ By chemical inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation and siRNA-mediated silencing of STAT3, we show that STAT3 activation is necessary to confer apoptosis resistance in primary human hepatocytes infected with HBV and in HBV-replicating HepG2-H1.3 cells. Accordingly, we detected significant down-regulation of cIAP2, Mn-SOD (PHH), IER3 and TNFAIP8 genes upon inhibition of STAT3 (Figure 7*D* and Figure 9*B*).

Interestingly, inhibition of STAT3 resulted in a marked decline of HBV gene expression, antigen secretion and replication (Figure 8, Figure 9*C* and *D*). Here, two possible mechanisms may become operative: (i) activated STAT3 may regulate HNF3, and together they bind to the core domain of HBV enhancer I and positively influence HBV gene expression;⁸⁵ alternatively, (ii) HNF1⁸⁶, an important transcriptional activator of HNF4 α , may up-regulate HNF4 α and thus transcription of pgRNA and HBV replication.^{23, 87} Examining the

first possible mechanism, we quantified expression of HNF3 α and HNF3 β mRNAs in PHH and in HepaRG cells upon inhibition of STAT3 signaling by administration of AG-490 or specific STAT3-siRNA. In neither case, the expression of HNF3 α or HNF3 β was changed. In contrast, we found a strong decrease of HNF4 α levels upon inhibition of STAT3 (Figure 8*A* and *C* and Figure 9*D*). Since STAT3 is known to amplify transactivation of hepatic genes, mediated by HNF1, we speculate that this mechanism is utilized by HBV to increase transcription of viral pregenomes, which are the essential template for HBV replication.

A recent study performed by *Huang et al.* $(2016)^{88}$ demonstrates that activation of STAT3 by HBV inhibits expression of micro-RNA miR-204 acting as a tumor suppressor but also inhibiting HBV pRNA encapsidation and capsid assembly. Hence, in addition to prevention of apoptosis, attenuation of oxidative stress, and stimulation of HBV replication through HNF4 α described in our study, activation of STAT3 upon HBV infection supports HBV replication and malignant transformation of infected hepatocytes by suppressing miR-204. Thus, STAT3 signaling acts at various levels in favour of HBV but also in favour of hepatocyte transformation and survival.

In summary, our data clearly demonstrate HBV-mediated activation of STAT3 signaling in different cell culture systems, in HBV-replicating mice and in HBV-replicating non-cancerous liver and HCC tissue. We found that HBV activated STAT3 by intra- as well as extracellular signaling. Activated STAT3 is crucial to maintain efficient HBV replication. In addition, STAT3 promotes hepatocyte survival, essential for virus persistence but in turn favours malignant transformation. Hence, inhibition of STAT3 activation represents a promising target to control HBV infection and to inhibit HBV-related HCC development.

Acknowledgement

We thank Uta Zedler, Andreas Untergasser, Gregor Ebert and Diana Wagner for preparation of primary human hepatocytes, Daniel Kull and Birgit Riepl for histological and molecular analysis of HBV infected human liver tissue, Peter Schraml from the Institute of Surgical Pathology, University Hospital Zürich for providing liver tissues samples and Heike Oberwinkler, Gisela Holz and Maureen Menning for excellent technical assistance. The study was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 670 to UP and HB, TRR 179 to UP and MHW) and the Horizon 2020 program (HepCar consortium to UP and MHW). MHW was supported by a Helmholtz Young Investigator Award and an ERC Consolidator grant (HepatoMetaboPath). JLS was supported by the Sofja Kovalevskaja Award of the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation.

References

- Protzer U, Maini MK, Knolle PA. Living in the liver: hepatic infections. Nat Rev Immunol 2012;12:201-13.
- Lucifora J, Xia Y, Reisinger F, Zhang K, Stadler D, Cheng X, Sprinzl MF, Koppensteiner H, Makowska Z, Volz T, Remouchamps C, Chou WM, Thasler WE, Huser N, Durantel D, Liang TJ, Munk C, Heim MH, Browning JL, Dejardin E, Dandri M, Schindler M, Heikenwalder M, Protzer U. Specific and nonhepatotoxic degradation of nuclear hepatitis B virus cccDNA. Science 2014;343:1221-8.
- 3. Kwon H, Lok AS. Does antiviral therapy prevent hepatocellular carcinoma? Antivir Ther 2011;16:787-95.
- 4. Ferlay J, Shin HR, Bray F, Forman D, Mathers C, Parkin DM. Estimates of worldwide burden of cancer in 2008: GLOBOCAN 2008. Int J Cancer 2010;127:2893-917.
- Chen G, Luo DZ, Liu L, Feng ZB, Guo F, Li P. Hepatic local micro-environmental immune status in hepatocellular carcinoma and cirrhotic tissues. West Indian Med J 2006;55:403-8.
- McMahon BJ. The natural history of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Hepatology 2009;49:S45-55.
- 7. Ringelhan M, O'Connor T, Protzer U, Heikenwalder M. The direct and indirect roles of HBV in liver cancer: prospective markers for HCC screening and potential therapeutic targets. J Pathol 2015;235:355-67.
- Neuveut C, Wei Y, Buendia MA. Mechanisms of HBV-related hepatocarcinogenesis. J Hepatol 2010;52:594-604.
- Ringelhan M, Protzer U. Oncogenic potential of hepatitis B virus encoded proteins. Curr Opin Virol 2015;14:109-15.
- Tan YJ. Hepatitis B virus infection and the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. World J Gastroenterol 2011;17:4853-7.

- Stauffer JK, Scarzello AJ, Jiang Q, Wiltrout RH. Chronic inflammation, immune escape, and oncogenesis in the liver: a unique neighborhood for novel intersections. Hepatology 2012;56:1567-74.
- 12. Nakamoto Y, Guidotti LG, Kuhlen CV, Fowler P, Chisari FV. Immune pathogenesis of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Exp Med 1998;188:341-50.
- 13. Haybaeck J, Zeller N, Wolf MJ, Weber A, Wagner U, Kurrer MO, Bremer J, Iezzi G, Graf R, Clavien PA, Thimme R, Blum H, Nedospasov SA, Zatloukal K, Ramzan M, Ciesek S, Pietschmann T, Marche PN, Karin M, Kopf M, Browning JL, Aguzzi A, Heikenwalder M. A lymphotoxin-driven pathway to hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Cell 2009;16:295-308.
- 14. Hosel M, Quasdorff M, Wiegmann K, Webb D, Zedler U, Broxtermann M, Tedjokusumo R, Esser K, Arzberger S, Kirschning CJ, Langenkamp A, Falk C, Buning H, Rose-John S, Protzer U. Not interferon, but interleukin-6 controls early gene expression in hepatitis B virus infection. Hepatology 2009;50:1773-82.
- 15. He G, Karin M. NF-kappaB and STAT3 key players in liver inflammation and cancer. Cell Res 2011;21:159-68.
- 16. Guidotti LG, Matzke B, Schaller H, Chisari FV. High-level hepatitis B virus replication in transgenic mice. J Virol 1995;69:6158-69.
- Huang LR, Gabel YA, Graf S, Arzberger S, Kurts C, Heikenwalder M, Knolle PA,
 Protzer U. Transfer of HBV genomes using low doses of adenovirus vectors leads to
 persistent infection in immune competent mice. Gastroenterology 2012;142:1447-50
 e3.
- Sprinzl MF, Oberwinkler H, Schaller H, Protzer U. Transfer of hepatitis B virus genome by adenovirus vectors into cultured cells and mice: crossing the species barrier. J Virol 2001;75:5108-18.

- von Freyend MJ, Untergasser A, Arzberger S, Oberwinkler H, Drebber U, Schirmacher P, Protzer U. Sequential control of hepatitis B virus in a mouse model of acute, self-resolving hepatitis B. J Viral Hepat 2011;18:216-26.
- Schulze-Bergkamen H, Untergasser A, Dax A, Vogel H, Buchler P, Klar E, Lehnert T, Friess H, Buchler MW, Kirschfink M, Stremmel W, Krammer PH, Muller M, Protzer U. Primary human hepatocytes--a valuable tool for investigation of apoptosis and hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2003;38:736-44.
- 21. Sells MA, Chen ML, Acs G. Production of hepatitis B virus particles in Hep G2 cells transfected with cloned hepatitis B virus DNA. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1987;84:1005-9.
- 22. Arzberger S, Hosel M, Protzer U. Apoptosis of hepatitis B virus-infected hepatocytes prevents release of infectious virus. J Virol 2010;84:11994-2001.
- 23. Quasdorff M, Hosel M, Odenthal M, Zedler U, Bohne F, Gripon P, Dienes HP, Drebber U, Stippel D, Goeser T, Protzer U. A concerted action of HNF4alpha and HNF1alpha links hepatitis B virus replication to hepatocyte differentiation. Cell Microbiol 2008;10:1478-90.
- 24. Untergasser A, Zedler U, Langenkamp A, Hosel M, Quasdorff M, Esser K, Dienes HP, Tappertzhofen B, Kolanus W, Protzer U. Dendritic cells take up viral antigens but do not support the early steps of hepatitis B virus infection. Hepatology 2006;43:539-47.
- 25. Protzer U, Seyfried S, Quasdorff M, Sass G, Svorcova M, Webb D, Bohne F, Hosel M, Schirmacher P, Tiegs G. Antiviral activity and hepatoprotection by heme oxygenase-1 in hepatitis B virus infection. Gastroenterology 2007;133:1156-65.
- 26. Birnbaum F, Nassal M. Hepatitis B virus nucleocapsid assembly: primary structure requirements in the core protein. J Virol 1990;64:3319-30.
- Omura T, Sato R, Cooper DY, Rosenthal O, Estabrook RW. Function of cytochrome P-450 of microsomes. Fed Proc 1965;24:1181-9.

- 28. Ebert G, Preston S, Allison C, Cooney J, Toe JG, Stutz MD, Ojaimi S, Scott HW, Baschuk N, Nachbur U, Torresi J, Chin R, Colledge D, Li X, Warner N, Revill P, Bowden S, Silke J, Begley CG, Pellegrini M. Cellular inhibitor of apoptosis proteins prevent clearance of hepatitis B virus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2015;112:5797-802.
- 29. Schoemaker MH, Ros JE, Homan M, Trautwein C, Liston P, Poelstra K, van Goor H, Jansen PL, Moshage H. Cytokine regulation of pro- and anti-apoptotic genes in rat hepatocytes: NF-kappaB-regulated inhibitor of apoptosis protein 2 (cIAP2) prevents apoptosis. J Hepatol 2002;36:742-50.
- Huang YH, Wu JY, Zhang Y, Wu MX. Synergistic and opposing regulation of the stress-responsive gene IEX-1 by p53, c-Myc, and multiple NF-kappaB/rel complexes. Oncogene 2002;21:6819-28.
- Porturas TP, Sun H, Buchlis G, Lou Y, Liang X, Cathopoulis T, Fayngerts S, Johnson DS, Wang Z, Chen YH. Crucial roles of TNFAIP8 protein in regulating apoptosis and Listeria infection. J Immunol 2015;194:5743-50.
- 32. Leu JI, George DL. Hepatic IGFBP1 is a prosurvival factor that binds to BAK, protects the liver from apoptosis, and antagonizes the proapoptotic actions of p53 at mitochondria. Genes Dev 2007;21:3095-109.
- 33. Jia SH, Li Y, Parodo J, Kapus A, Fan L, Rotstein OD, Marshall JC. Pre-B cell colonyenhancing factor inhibits neutrophil apoptosis in experimental inflammation and clinical sepsis. J Clin Invest 2004;113:1318-27.
- 34. Hanson JC, Bostick MK, Campe CB, Kodali P, Lee G, Yan J, Maher JJ. Transgenic overexpression of interleukin-8 in mouse liver protects against galactosamine/endotoxin toxicity. J Hepatol 2006;44:359-67.
- 35. Terui K, Enosawa S, Haga S, Zhang HQ, Kuroda H, Kouchi K, Matsunaga T, Yoshida H, Engelhardt JF, Irani K, Ohnuma N, Ozaki M. Stat3 confers resistance against

hypoxia/reoxygenation-induced oxidative injury in hepatocytes through upregulation of Mn-SOD. J Hepatol 2004;41:957-65.

- 36. Yu HC, Qin HY, He F, Wang L, Fu W, Liu D, Guo FC, Liang L, Dou KF, Han H. Canonical notch pathway protects hepatocytes from ischemia/reperfusion injury in mice by repressing reactive oxygen species production through JAK2/STAT3 signaling. Hepatology 2011;54:979-88.
- 37. Eugenin EA, D'Aversa TG, Lopez L, Calderon TM, Berman JW. MCP-1 (CCL2) protects human neurons and astrocytes from NMDA or HIV-tat-induced apoptosis. J Neurochem 2003;85:1299-311.
- 38. Yang J, Wezeman M, Zhang X, Lin P, Wang M, Qian J, Wan B, Kwak LW, Yu L, Yi Q. Human C-reactive protein binds activating Fcgamma receptors and protects myeloma tumor cells from apoptosis. Cancer Cell 2007;12:252-65.
- Mackiewicz A, Schooltink H, Heinrich PC, Rose-John S. Complex of soluble human IL-6-receptor/IL-6 up-regulates expression of acute-phase proteins. J Immunol 1992;149:2021-7.
- 40. Stonans I, Stonane E, Russwurm S, Deigner HP, Bohm KJ, Wiederhold M, Jager L, Reinhart K. HepG2 human hepatoma cells express multiple cytokine genes. Cytokine 1999;11:151-6.
- 41. Garcia R, Bowman TL, Niu G, Yu H, Minton S, Muro-Cacho CA, Cox CE, Falcone R, Fairclough R, Parsons S, Laudano A, Gazit A, Levitzki A, Kraker A, Jove R. Constitutive activation of Stat3 by the Src and JAK tyrosine kinases participates in growth regulation of human breast carcinoma cells. Oncogene 2001;20:2499-513.
- 42. Meydan N, Grunberger T, Dadi H, Shahar M, Arpaia E, Lapidot Z, Leeder JS, Freedman M, Cohen A, Gazit A, Levitzki A, Roifman CM. Inhibition of acute lymphoblastic leukaemia by a Jak-2 inhibitor. Nature 1996;379:645-8.

- Yang J, Chatterjee-Kishore M, Staugaitis SM, Nguyen H, Schlessinger K, Levy DE, Stark GR. Novel roles of unphosphorylated STAT3 in oncogenesis and transcriptional regulation. Cancer Res 2005;65:939-47.
- 44. Lazebnik YA, Kaufmann SH, Desnoyers S, Poirier GG, Earnshaw WC. Cleavage of poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase by a proteinase with properties like ICE. Nature 1994;371:346-7.
- 45. Raney AK, Kline EF, Tang H, McLachlan A. Transcription and replication of a natural hepatitis B virus nucleocapsid promoter variant is regulated in vivo by peroxisome proliferators. Virology 2001;289:239-51.
- 46. Chen M, Hieng S, Qian X, Costa R, Ou JH. Regulation of hepatitis B virus ENI enhancer activity by hepatocyte-enriched transcription factor HNF3. Virology 1994;205:127-32.
- 47. Li M, Xie Y, Wu X, Kong Y, Wang Y. HNF3 binds and activates the second enhancer, ENII, of hepatitis B virus. Virology 1995;214:371-8.
- 48. Tang H, McLachlan A. Mechanisms of inhibition of nuclear hormone receptordependent hepatitis B virus replication by hepatocyte nuclear factor 3beta. J Virol 2002;76:8572-81.
- 49. He G, Yu GY, Temkin V, Ogata H, Kuntzen C, Sakurai T, Sieghart W, Peck-Radosavljevic M, Leffert HL, Karin M. Hepatocyte IKKbeta/NF-kappaB inhibits tumor promotion and progression by preventing oxidative stress-driven STAT3 activation. Cancer Cell 2010;17:286-97.
- 50. Hajjou M, Norel R, Carver R, Marion P, Cullen J, Rogler LE, Rogler CE. cDNA microarray analysis of HBV transgenic mouse liver identifies genes in lipid biosynthetic and growth control pathways affected by HBV. J Med Virol 2005;77:57-65.

- Severi T, Ying C, Vermeesch JR, Cassiman D, Cnops L, Verslype C, Fevery J, Arckens L, Neyts J, van Pelt JF. Hepatitis B virus replication causes oxidative stress in HepAD38 liver cells. Mol Cell Biochem 2006;290:79-85.
- 52. Semrau F, Kuhl RJ, Ritter S, Ritter K. Manganese superoxide dismutase (MnSOD) and autoantibodies against MnSOD in acute viral infections. J Med Virol 1998;55:161-7.
- 53. Zheng YW, Yen TS. Negative regulation of hepatitis B virus gene expression and replication by oxidative stress. J Biol Chem 1994;269:8857-62.
- 54. Shen L, Guo J, Santos-Berrios C, Wu MX. Distinct domains for anti- and proapoptotic activities of IEX-1. J Biol Chem 2006;281:15304-11.
- 55. Xu XR, Huang J, Xu ZG, Qian BZ, Zhu ZD, Yan Q, Cai T, Zhang X, Xiao HS, Qu J, Liu F, Huang QH, Cheng ZH, Li NG, Du JJ, Hu W, Shen KT, Lu G, Fu G, Zhong M, Xu SH, Gu WY, Huang W, Zhao XT, Hu GX, Gu JR, Chen Z, Han ZG. Insight into hepatocellular carcinogenesis at transcriptome level by comparing gene expression profiles of hepatocellular carcinoma with those of corresponding noncancerous liver. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2001;98:15089-94.
- 56. Wieland S, Thimme R, Purcell RH, Chisari FV. Genomic analysis of the host response to hepatitis B virus infection. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2004;101:6669-74.
- 57. Fisicaro P, Valdatta C, Boni C, Massari M, Mori C, Zerbini A, Orlandini A, Sacchelli L, Missale G, Ferrari C. Early kinetics of innate and adaptive immune responses during hepatitis B virus infection. Gut 2009;58:974-82.
- 58. Wu J, Meng Z, Jiang M, Zhang E, Trippler M, Broering R, Bucchi A, Krux F, Dittmer U, Yang D, Roggendorf M, Gerken G, Lu M, Schlaak JF. Toll-like receptor-induced innate immune responses in non-parenchymal liver cells are cell type-specific. Immunology 2010;129:363-74.

- 59. Rehermann B, Nascimbeni M. Immunology of hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infection. Nat Rev Immunol 2005;5:215-29.
- 60. Wang WB, Levy DE, Lee CK. STAT3 negatively regulates type I IFN-mediated antiviral response. J Immunol 2011;187:2578-85.
- Lutgehetmann M, Bornscheuer T, Volz T, Allweiss L, Bockmann JH, Pollok JM, Lohse AW, Petersen J, Dandri M. Hepatitis B virus limits response of human hepatocytes to interferon-alpha in chimeric mice. Gastroenterology 2011;140:2074-83, 2083 e1-2.
- 62. Christen V, Duong F, Bernsmeier C, Sun D, Nassal M, Heim MH. Inhibition of alpha interferon signaling by hepatitis B virus. J Virol 2007;81:159-65.
- 63. Sun X, Zhang J, Wang L, Tian Z. Growth inhibition of human hepatocellular carcinoma cells by blocking STAT3 activation with decoy-ODN. Cancer Lett 2008;262:201-13.
- 64. Hu Z, Zhang Z, Kim JW, Huang Y, Liang TJ. Altered proteolysis and global gene expression in hepatitis B virus X transgenic mouse liver. J Virol 2006;80:1405-13.
- 65. Ng RK, Lau CY, Lee SM, Tsui SK, Fung KP, Waye MM. cDNA microarray analysis of early gene expression profiles associated with hepatitis B virus X protein-mediated hepatocarcinogenesis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2004;322:827-35.
- 66. Zhang WY, Xu FQ, Shan CL, Xiang R, Ye LH, Zhang XD. Gene expression profiles of human liver cells mediated by hepatitis B virus X protein. Acta Pharmacol Sin 2009;30:424-34.
- 67. Lucifora J, Durantel D, Testoni B, Hantz O, Levrero M, Zoulim F. Control of hepatitisB virus replication by innate response of HepaRG cells. Hepatology 2010;51:63-72.
- 68. Decorsiere A, Mueller H, van Breugel PC, Abdul F, Gerossier L, Beran RK, Livingston CM, Niu C, Fletcher SP, Hantz O, Strubin M. Hepatitis B virus X protein identifies the Smc5/6 complex as a host restriction factor. Nature 2016;531:386-9.

- Canbay A, Feldstein AE, Higuchi H, Werneburg N, Grambihler A, Bronk SF, Gores GJ. Kupffer cell engulfment of apoptotic bodies stimulates death ligand and cytokine expression. Hepatology 2003;38:1188-98.
- 70. Whittaker S, Marais R, Zhu AX. The role of signaling pathways in the development and treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncogene 2010;29:4989-5005.
- 71. Chin R, Earnest-Silveira L, Koeberlein B, Franz S, Zentgraf H, Dong X, Gowans E, Bock CT, Torresi J. Modulation of MAPK pathways and cell cycle by replicating hepatitis B virus: factors contributing to hepatocarcinogenesis. J Hepatol 2007;47:325-37.
- 72. Trautwein C, Boker K, Manns MP. Hepatocyte and immune system: acute phase reaction as a contribution to early defence mechanisms. Gut 1994;35:1163-6.
- 73. Wegenka UM, Lutticken C, Buschmann J, Yuan J, Lottspeich F, Muller-Esterl W, Schindler C, Roeb E, Heinrich PC, Horn F. The interleukin-6-activated acute-phase response factor is antigenically and functionally related to members of the signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) family. Mol Cell Biol 1994;14:3186-96.
- 74. Wang JY, Wang XL, Liu P. Detection of serum TNF-alpha,IFN-beta,IL-6 and IL-8 in patients with hepatitis B. World J Gastroenterol 1999;5:38-40.
- 75. Choudhari SR, Khan MA, Harris G, Picker D, Jacob GS, Block T, Shailubhai K. Deactivation of Akt and STAT3 signaling promotes apoptosis, inhibits proliferation, and enhances the sensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma cells to an anticancer agent, Atiprimod. Mol Cancer Ther 2007;6:112-21.
- 76. Koeberlein B, zur Hausen A, Bektas N, Zentgraf H, Chin R, Nguyen LT, Kandolf R, Torresi J, Bock CT. Hepatitis B virus overexpresses suppressor of cytokine signaling-3 (SOCS3) thereby contributing to severity of inflammation in the liver. Virus Res 2010;148:51-9.

- Xie J, Zhang Y, Zhang Q, Han Y, Yin J, Pu R, Shen Q, Lu W, Du Y, Zhao J, Han X,
 Zhang H, Cao G. Interaction of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 polymorphisms with hepatitis B virus mutations in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology 2013;57:2369-77.
- 78. Kim K, Kim KH, Cheong J. Hepatitis B virus X protein impairs hepatic insulin signaling through degradation of IRS1 and induction of SOCS3. PLoS One 2010;5:e8649.
- 79. Waris G, Turkson J, Hassanein T, Siddiqui A. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) constitutively activates STAT-3 via oxidative stress: role of STAT-3 in HCV replication. J Virol 2005;79:1569-80.
- 80. He P, Zhang D, Li H, Yang X, Li D, Zhai Y, Ma L, Feng G. Hepatitis B virus X protein modulates apoptosis in human renal proximal tubular epithelial cells by activating the JAK2/STAT3 signaling pathway. Int J Mol Med 2013;31:1017-29.
- 81. Waris G, Huh KW, Siddiqui A. Mitochondrially associated hepatitis B virus X protein constitutively activates transcription factors STAT-3 and NF-kappa B via oxidative stress. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:7721-30.
- 82. Wang Y, Lu Y, Toh ST, Sung WK, Tan P, Chow P, Chung AY, Jooi LL, Lee CG. Lethal-7 is down-regulated by the hepatitis B virus x protein and targets signal transducer and activator of transcription 3. J Hepatol 2010;53:57-66.
- 83. Li CH, Xu F, Chow S, Feng L, Yin D, Ng TB, Chen Y. Hepatitis B virus X protein promotes hepatocellular carcinoma transformation through interleukin-6 activation of microRNA-21 expression. Eur J Cancer 2014;50:2560-9.
- 84. Quinn CM, Kagedal K, Terman A, Stroikin U, Brunk UT, Jessup W, Garner B. Induction of fibroblast apolipoprotein E expression during apoptosis, starvationinduced growth arrest and mitosis. Biochem J 2004;378:753-61.

- 85. Waris G, Siddiqui A. Interaction between STAT-3 and HNF-3 leads to the activation of liver-specific hepatitis B virus enhancer 1 function. J Virol 2002;76:2721-9.
- 86. Leu JI, Crissey MA, Leu JP, Ciliberto G, Taub R. Interleukin-6-induced STAT3 and AP-1 amplify hepatocyte nuclear factor 1-mediated transactivation of hepatic genes, an adaptive response to liver injury. Mol Cell Biol 2001;21:414-24.
- 87. Tang H, Banks KE, Anderson AL, McLachlan A. Hepatitis B virus transcription and replication. Drug News Perspect 2001;14:325-34.
- 88. Huang JY, Chen HL, Shih C. MicroRNA miR-204 and miR-1236 inhibit hepatitis B virus replication via two different mechanisms. Sci Rep 2016;6:34740.

CER MA

Figure legends

Figure 1. Up-regulation of apoptosis inhibitors induced by HBV replication in PHH. (A) Formation of HBV cccDNA in HBV-infected PHH was examined by real-time PCR using total DNA extracted from mock- (control) or HBV-infected PHH cultures on day 4 p.i. DNA extracted from high-titer (>5x10e8/ml) serum of an HBV-positive patient and from serum of an HBV-positive chimpanzee containing HBV rcDNA were used as specificity (negative) controls. Total liver DNA extracted from HBV-positive patient and plasmid DNA encoding for HBV 1.3-overlength genome served as positive controls. PCR products were separated in a 2% agarose gel, water control and DNA size marker (M) are indicated. (B) HBeAg was measures in cell culture supernatants from day 1 to 4 p.i. of HBV-infected PHH prepared from two different donors (PHH4 and PHH5) as signal-to-control (S/CO) ratio. Day 1 values reflect input virus. Values are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3; ***p < 0.001; Student's *t* test). (*C*) PHH cultures were infected with AdG-HBV1.3 at an moi of 1-2 infectious units (i.u.) /cell. Expression of GFP was visualized by fluorescence microscopy at 24 hours p.i. (D) Expression of cIAP2, Mn-SOD and β-actin (loading control) in mock- or HBV-infected PHH4 and PHH5 was analyzed by Western blot analysis of total protein extracts prepared on day 4 p.i. Levels of IRF3 and lamin (loading control) in the nucleus of mock- or HBV-infected PHH4 and PHH5 were determined by Western blot analysis of nuclear proteins extracted on day 4 p.i. (left panel). Densitometric quantification (right panel) of cIAP2 or Mn-SOD relative to βactin and IRF3 relative to lamin was performed using ImageJ Software. Protein levels are given relative to respective mock control (set to 1).

Figure 2. Changes in cellular gene expression in chronically HBV-infected human liver tissue and in HBV-related HCC. Liver tissue samples from healthy HBV-naïve subjects (Healthy, n = 11), from chronically HBV-infected patients (HBV, n = 11) and patients who

had developed HBV-related HCC (HBV/HCC, n = 13) were analyzed for expression of the cIAP2, Mn-SOD, IER3, TNFAIP8, CYP3A4, and IGFBP1 genes by real-time RT-PCR. Each data point represents the expression level determined in a single sample. Mean expression level \pm SD in each group and statistical significance (Student's *t* test) are given.

Figure 3. Activation of STAT3 in HBV replicating cells. (A) Total cellular proteins were analyzed for the presence of tyrosine 705 phosphorylated STAT3 (pSTAT3) and total STAT3 by Western blotting. One representative Western blot out of three is shown (left panel). Band density was quantified using ImageJ Software and relative levels of pSTAT3 were calculated (right panel). Level of pSTAT3 in HepG2 cells was set to 1. Values are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3; ***p < 0.001; Student's t test). (B) Secretion of HBeAg into the medium of stably HBV-replicating HepG2.215 or HepG2-H1.3 cells was determined as signal-to-control (S/CO) ratio, mean \pm SD from three independent experiments is given. HepG2 cells were used as negative control. (C) Activation of STAT3 in HepG2-H1.3 cells was analyzed by the STAT3-luciferase reporter assay. HepG2-H1.3 and HepG2 cells were transfected with STAT3 Cignal® reporter, negative or positive control constructs. Cells were harvested 72 and 96 hours after transfection and analyzed by the Dual-Luciferase Cignal® reporter assay. The activity of STAT3-dependent firefly luciferase is expressed in relative light units. The activity of constitutively expressed *Renilla* luciferase was used for internal normalization. Secretion of HBeAg into the culture medium of HepG2-H1.3 cells is indicated by dots. Values are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; Student's t test). (D) Nuclear proteins extracted from mock- or HBV-infected PHH prepared from two different donors (PHH4 and PHH5) on day 4 p.i. were analyzed for the presence of pSTAT3 by Western blotting (left panel). Band densities for pSTAT3 and lamin (loading control for nuclear proteins) were quantified using ImageJ Software (right panel), and levels of pSTAT3 were calculated relative to respective mock control (set as 1).

Figure 4. Activation of STAT3 in mouse models of HBV infection. (*A*) Liver tissue samples from HBV transgenic (HBV tg1.3; n = 5) or age- and sex-matched wild-type C57Bl6 (Wildtype; n = 4) mice were stained for pSTAT3. (*B*) C57Bl6 mice were transduced with adenoviral vectors either encoding a replication-competent 1.3-fold overlength genome of HBV (Ad HBV; n = 3) or one in which all open reading frames were knocked-out (Ad HBV/ko; n = 4). Liver tissue samples were isolated on day 7 after transduction and stained for pSTAT3. Ratio of pSTAT3-positive hepatocytes/1000 hepatocytes was calculated from 6 independent areas / tissue sample and is given in the right panels (*A*, *B*). For better comparison, ratio determined in wild-type or in Ad HBV k/o mice was set to 1. Statistical significance is shown (Student's *t* test).

Figure 5. Phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of STAT3 in human livers chronically infected with HBV. (*A*, *B*) Immunohistochemical analysis for pSTAT3 and its sub-cellular localization in paraffin-embedded liver tissue sections of chronically HBV-infected patients and control individuals. (*A*) Scale bar: 150 μ m. (*B*) Enlargement, scale bar: 50 μ m. The upper row shows nuclear localization of pSTAT3 in hepatocytes, evenly distributed throughout large areas of the liver as it was detected in most sections. The lower row shows alternative, more focal distribution of pSTAT3-positive hepatocytes, very often nearby periportal inflammatory sites. A periportal inflammatory lesion is marked by a dashed line. Arrow heads depict pSTAT3-positive hepatocytes. In some cases, pSTAT3 and its nuclear localization were detected in hepatocytes (arrow head) and at the same time in sinusoidal macrophages (arrows) (middle panels). Occasionally, pSTAT3 was predominantly detected in endothelial cells (arrow head) and NPCs, most likely Kupffer cells (lower row, right panel). Control livers lack STAT3 phosphorylation and nuclear translocation in hepatocytes or NPCs in all liver sections investigated. (*C*) Quantification of pSTAT3-positive cells relative to total

number of hepatocytes or NPCs is shown in %. Black bars represent hepatocytes, green bars represent non-parenchymal liver cells. (*D*) Western blot analysis of total proteins prepared from healthy liver tissue, from chronically HBV-infected patients or from patients with HBV-related HCC for the presence of pSTAT3. Membranes were re-probed with anti-STAT3 antibodies to control STAT3 expression levels and protein loading.

Figure 6. Activation of STAT3 by IL-6-dependent and -independent intracellular mechanisms. (A) Secretion of IL-6 into the medium of mock- or HBV-infected PHH cultures prepared from three different patients was measured by ELISA on day 4 p.i.. Values are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 3; ***p < 0.001; Student's t test). (B) ROS were determined in HepG2, HepG2.2.15 and HepG2-H1.3 cells on day 7 after reaching confluency and (C) in mock- or HBV-infected HepaRG cells on day 6 and 10 p.i. by Cellular ROS Detection Assay Kit. Relative ROS levels in HepG2 cells or in mock-infected HepaRG cells were set to 100%. Values are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 6; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; Student's t test). (D) Levels of STAT3 activity after treatment of HepG2-H1.3 cells with 5 mM of ROS-inhibitor N-acetyl-Lcystein (NAC) were determined by the STAT3-luciferase reporter assay. Relative activity of firefly luciferase reflecting STAT3 activation in HepG2 cells was set to 100%. Values are shown as mean \pm SD (n = 6; *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001; Student's *t* test). (*E*) Phosphorylated (Tyr416) and non-phosphorylated Src-kinase were detected by Western blot analysis using total cellular proteins isolated from HepG2 or HepG2-H1.3 cells. To control HBV replication and protein loading, membranes were re-probed and stained with anti-HBV core or β-actin antibodies, respectively.

Figure 7. Inhibition of STAT3 by AG-490 and its consequences on apoptosis signaling in hepatocytes. (*A*) HBV-infected differentiated HepaRG cells were either treated with 0.1% DMSO or with different amounts of AG-490 (0.2 μ M, 1 μ M, 5 μ M, 20 μ M and 100 μ M). 24

hours after administration of AG-490, cell viability was analyzed by CellTiter blue® Cell Viability Assay. Values are given as median \pm SD (n = 3; statistical significance relative to DMSO-control: ns, not significant; Student's t test). (B) Mock- or HBV-infected PHH were either incubated with 0.1% DMSO or with 100 µM of AG-490 for 24 hours. Nuclear or cytosolic proteins were prepared using the NE-PER Nuclear and Cytoplasmic extraction Reagents. Inhibition of STAT3 phosphorylation (upper panel) was analyzed by Western blotting using nuclear proteins and anti-pSTAT3 antibodies. Purity of nuclear protein preparations was controlled by Western blotting using anti-albumin antibodies. Cleavage of PARP (lower panel) was analyzed by Western blotting using nuclear proteins and anti-PARP antibodies. Positions of 116 kDa uncleaved (upper band) and 85 kDa cleaved PARP (lower band) are indicated. Membranes were re-probed with anti-lamin antibodies to control equal protein loading. (C) Caspase 3/7 activity in mock- or HBV-infected PHH treated with either DMSO or with 100 μ M AG-490 was measured by luminescent Caspase 3/7 assay. Mean \pm SD is given (n = 3; ***p < 0.001; Student's t test). (D) Expression levels of cIAP2, Mn-SOD, IER3 and TNFAIP8 genes in HBV-infected PHH treated with either DMSO or with AG-490 (20 or 100 µM) were determined by real-time RT-PCR. Gene expression levels in HBVinfected cells treated with DMSO were set to 100%. Values are shown as mean ± SD, statistical significance was calculated relative to the respective DMSO-control sample (n = 3; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ns, not significant; Student's *t* test).

Figure 8. Inhibition of STAT3 by AG-490 and its consequences for HBV infection. HBVinfected PHH (*A*,*B*) or HepaRG cells (*C*,*D*) were treated for 24 hours either with DMSO or with AG-490 (20 or 100 μ M). (*A*,*C*) Expression levels of HBV pgRNA and HNF4 α , HNF3 α and HNF3 β genes were determined by real-time RT-PCR. Gene expression levels in HBVinfected cells treated with DMSO were set to 100%. (*B*,*D*) Levels of HBeAg secreted into the cell culture medium were determined by as signal-to-control (S/CO) ratio by ELISA at 24 hours after treatment with AG-490. All values are shown as mean \pm SD, statistical significance was calculated relative to the respective DMSO-control sample (n = 3; *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ns, not significant; Student's *t* test).

Figure 9. Knock-down of STAT3 by STAT3-specific siRNA and its consequences. (*A*) HBV-replicating HepG2-H1.3 cells were either left untreated or transfected with 5 nM of STAT3-siRNA or non-silencing siRNA (nsRNA) as control. STAT3 mRNA levels (left panel) were determined by real-time RT-PCR. Cells transfected with nsRNA were set to 100%. Levels of pSTAT3 and STAT3 were examined by Western blot analysis of total cellular proteins (right panel) using anti-pSTAT3 and anti-STAT3 antibodies, respectively. Membranes were re-probed with anti-β-actin antibodies to control equal protein loading. (*B*) Gene expression levels of cIAP2, IER3 and TNFAIP8 in HepG2-H1.3 cells transfected with nsRNA (set to 100%). (*C*) Levels of HBeAg secreted into the cell culture medium were determined by the HBeAg ELISA and shown as S/CO ratio. (*D*) Expression levels of pgRNA and HNF4α, HNF3α and HNF3β genes were determined by real-time RT-PCR relative to the respective nsRNA control. Expression levels in cells transfected with nsRNA were set to 100%. (*A-D*) Values are shown as median ± SD (n = 3; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001; ns, not significant; Student's *t* test).

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Gene	LC PCR Primers
cIAP2	fw: 5'-TGAAGCTGTGTGTTATATGAGCA-3' rev: 5'-ACGAACTGTACCCTTGAT-3'
Mn-SOD	fw: 5'-AGCCCAGATAGCTCTTC-3' rev: 5'-AGGTAGTAAGCGTGCTC-3'
TNFAIP8	fw: 5'-GCTTGCTTAGGGCTTC-3' rev: 5'-GCTCATGTTCTTTAGCAGT-3'
IER3	fw: 5'-CGAGGCGCATAGAGAC-3' rev: 5'-CTACTTTGCCGCAGTTC-3'
IGFBP1	fw: 5'-AGAGCACGGAGATAACT-3' rev: 5'-TCCAAGGGTAGACGCA-3'
CRP	fw: 5'-ACATTCACAGGGCTCT-3' rev: 5'-ACAAGGTTCGTGTGGA-3'
CCL2	fw: 5'-AGATGCAATCAATGCCC-3' rev: 5'-GTTGTGGAGTGAGTGTTC-3'
IL-8	fw: 5'-AAGAACTTAGATGTCAGTGC-3' rev: 5'-ACTTCTCCACAACCCT-3'
APOE	fw 1: 5'-CAAGGTGGAGCAAGCG-3' rev 1: 5'-ATGGTCTCGTCCATCAG-3'
CYP3A4	fw: 5'-TCACCGTGACCCAAAG-3' rev: 5'-TTTGAGGTCTCTGGTGT-3'
2'5'OAS	fw: 5'-CAGTTAAATCGCCGGG-3' rev: 5'-AGGTTATAGCCGCCAG-3'
IP10	fw: 5'-ACTGTACGCTGTACCT-3' rev: 5'-TGGCCTTCGATTCTGGA-3'
IL-6	fw: 5'-AAACAACCTGAACCTTCC-3' rev: 5'-CAGGGGTGGTTATTGC-3'
STAT3	fw: 5'-TTCGGAAAGTATTGTCGGC -3' rev: 5'-GGGTTTGGCTGTGTGA -3'

Table 1: Primer sets used for LightCycler real-time PCR

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Sample ^a	No. of transcripts UP-regulated ^b	No. of transcripts DOWN-regulated ^b	Relative efficiency of HBV replication ^c	HBeAg (ng/ml) ^d
PHH1	192	416	15 %	11.1
РНН2	894	1364	45 %	21.7
РННЗ	511	349	30 %	14.95
Common regulated in all PHH	40	17	-	- 6

Table 2: Gene expression profiling of HBV-infected PHH cultures

^a PHH prepared from different patients (1-3), RNA isolated on day 4 post mock- or HBV infection;

^b UP- or Down-regulated transcripts in HBV-infected PHH compared to mock-infected PHH, fold change (FC) \geq 1.5;

^c Relative expression levels of HBV pgRNA determined by real time RT-PCR on day 4 p.i. ^d Levels of HBeAg secreted into the culture medium on day 4 p.i

Table 3: Functional grouping of genes identified by Affymetrix analyses

of regulated genesGeneDescriptionPublic IDPOSITIVE APRMajor APR CRP^c C-reactive protein, pentraxin-related NM_000567 9.2 I 45 I 51 SAA1Serum amyloid 1 NM_000331 2 I 4.3 I 2.3 I 11.3 I 23 SAA2Serum amyloid 2 $NM_0003754$ 2.3 I 11.3 I 23 APCSAmyloid P component, serum NM_000508 2.6 I 7.5 I 4.4 Coagulation proteinsFGAFibrinogen alpha chain NM_000508 2.6 I 7.5 I 4.4 FGBFibrinogen beta chain NM_000508 2.6 I 7.5 I 4.4 FGGFibrinogen gamma chain NM_000509 1.7 I 2.3 I 1.5 I Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobin NM_005143 1.9 I 1.7 I 2.5 I proteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related protein NM_000564 2.6 I 1.7 I 2.5 I	H13 ^b 12 I .5 I .5 I .6 I .7 I
POSITIVE APRMajor APR CRP^c C-reactive protein, pentraxin-related NM_000567 $9.2 I$ $45 I$ $51 I$ SAA1Serum amyloid 1 NM_000331 $2 I$ $4.3 I$ $2.3 I$ SAA2Serum amyloid 2 NM_030754 $2.3 I$ $11.3 I$ $33 I$ Coagulation proteinsFGAFibrinogen alpha chain NM_000508 $2.6 I$ $7.5 I$ $4.5 I$ FGBFibrinogen beta chain NM_000508 $2.6 I$ $7.5 I$ $4.5 I$ $1.5 I$ FGGFibrinogen gamma chain NM_000509 $1.7 I$ $2.3 I$ $1.5 I$ FGL-1Fibrinogen-like protein NM_000509 $1.7 I$ $2.3 I$ $2.5 I$ Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobin NM_005143 $1.9 I$ $1.7 I$ $2.5 I$ ProteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related protein NM_000567 $2.6 I$ $1.7 I$ $2.5 I$	121 51 31 61 61 71 61 71 61 21 31 61 221 31 61 221 31 65 50 50
Major APRCRPC-reactive protein, pentraxin-relatedNM_000567 9.2 I 45 I 51 SAA1Serum amyloid 1NM_000331 2 I 4.3 I 2.3 I 11.3 I 2.5 I 1.5 I 4.5 I 51 I	121 51 31 66 71 66 55 21 31 66 22 33 66 22 21 33 66 22 21 33 66 50 55 31
SAA1Serum amyloid 1NM_0003312.14.3 I2.SAA2Serum amyloid 2NM_0307542.3 I11.3 I33APCSAmyloid P component, serumNM_0016392 I2.5 I1.Coagulation proteinsFGAFibrinogen alpha chainNM_0005082.6 I7.5 I4.FGBFibrinogen beta chainNM_0005082.6 I7.5 I4.FGGFibrinogen gamma chainNM_0005091.7 I2.3 I1.FGL-1Fibrinogen-like proteinNM_0004672.3 I2.5 I2.Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0051431.9 I1.7 I2.Metal-bindingHPRHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0005092.6 I1.7 I2.Metal-bindingHPRSupgrowide discustore 2 mitochondrialNM_0006362.6 I1.7 I2.	51 31 661 671 661 551 21 31 61 221 31 661 221 331 661 221 331 661 50 50
SAA2Serum almyfold 2NM_0507342.5 I11.5 I3.5 IAPCSAmyloid P component, serumNM_0016392 I2.5 I1.Coagulation proteinsFGAFibrinogen alpha chainNM_0005082.6 I7.5 I4.FGBFibrinogen beta chainNM_00051411.6 I2.1 I1.FGGFibrinogen gamma chainNM_0005091.7 I2.3 I1.FGL-1Fibrinogen-like proteinNM_00044672.3 I2.5 I2.Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0051431.9 I1.7 I2.proteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0006362.6 I1.7 I2.Mr SODESuperprovide discuttore 2mitochondrialNM_0006362.13.7 I4.	61 61 61 71 61 55 21 31 61 22 31 66 22 21 33 66 50 50 31
APCSAllyfold P component, serumNM_0016392.12.3 I1.1Coagulation proteinsFGAFibrinogen alpha chainNM_0005082.6 I7.5 I4.FGBFibrinogen alpha chainNM_00051411.6 I2.1 I1.FGGFibrinogen gamma chainNM_0005091.7 I2.3 I1.FGL-1Fibrinogen-like proteinNM_0044672.3 I2.5 I2.Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0051431.9 I1.7 I2.proteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0005622.6 I1.7 I2.Mr SODESuperprovide disputere 2 mitochondrialNM_0006362.1 J3.7 I4.	.61 .61 .71 .61 .51 21 .31 .31 .91 21 .31 .21 .31 .6D .5D .5D 31
FGBFibrinogen apna chainNM_0005062.0 I7.5 I4.FGBFibrinogen beta chainNM_00051411.6 I2.1 I1.FGGFibrinogen gamma chainNM_0005091.7 I2.3 I1.FGL-1Fibrinogen-like proteinNM_0044672.3 I2.5 I2.Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0051431.9 I1.7 I2.proteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0005622.6 I1.7 I2.Mr SOD ^C Supprovide disputators 2 mitochondrialNM_0006362.1 I3.7 I4.	.7 I .6 I .5 I 2 I .3 I .3 I .9 I 2 I 3 I 6 I .2 I .3 I .3 I .5 D .5 D 3 I
FGGFibrinogen gamma chainNM_00051711.012.111.01FGGFibrinogen gamma chainNM_0005091.7 I2.3 I1.1FGL-1Fibrinogen-like proteinNM_0044672.3 I2.5 I2.5Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobinNM_0051431.9 I1.7 I2.5proteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0209952.6 I1.7 I2.5Mn SOD ^C Superprovide disputere 2 mitochondrialNM_006362.13.7 I4.5	
FGL-1Fibrinogen-like proteinNM_0044672.3 I2.5 I2.5Metal-bindingHPHaptoglobinNM_0051431.9 I1.7 I2.5proteinsHPRHaptoglobin-related proteinNM_0209952.6 I1.7 I2.5Mn SOD ^C Superprovide disputtore 2 mitochondrialNM_006362.13.7 I4.5	.5 I 2 I .3 I .9 I 2 I 3 I .2 I .2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
Metal-binding HP Haptoglobin NM_005143 1.9 I 1.7 I 22 proteins HPR Haptoglobin-related protein NM_020995 2.6 I 1.7 I 22 Mn SOD ^c Superprivate disputtere 2 mitochondrial NM_00636 2 L 3 7 I 4	2 I .3 I .9 I 2 I 3 I 6 I .2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D 3 I
proteins HPR Haptoglobin-related protein NM_020995 2.6 I 1.7 I 2. Mn SOD ^c Superpride disputses 2 mitochondrial NM_000636 2 I 3.7 I 4.	.3 I .3 I .9 I 2 I 3 I 6 I .2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
Mn SOD ^c Supersvide disputses 2 mitschondriel NM 000626 2 J 2 7 J 4	.3 I .9 I 2 I 3 I 6 I .2 I .2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{S} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}$.9 I 2 I 3 I 6 I .2 I .2 I .3 I .5 D .5 D 3 I
Proteinase inhibitors SERPINA 1 Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade A, member 1, anti-trypsin NM_000295 1.8 I 1.4 I 1.4 I	2 I 3 I 6 I .2 I .2 I .3 I .5 D .5 D 3 I
SERPINA 3 Serpin peptidase inhibitor, clade A, member 3, anti-trypsin NM_001085 2.1 I 2.2 I 2	3 I 6 I .2 I .2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
Complement C4A Complement component 4A NM_007293 2.8 I nc 3	6 I .2 I .2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D .3 I
proteins C9 Complement component 9 NM_001737 3.2 I 3.7 I 1	.2 I .2 I .3 I .5 D .5 D 3 I
Other proteins LBP Lipopolysaccharide-binding protein NM_004139 4.3 I 8 I 9	.2 I .3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
ORM1 Orosomucoid 1 NM_000607 2.71 2.31 3.	.3 I .6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
ORM2 Orosomucoid 2 NM_000608 2.31 1.81 5.	.6 D .5 D .5 D 3 I
NEGATIVE APK ALB Albumin NM_0004// nc - 2.5 D - 1	.5 D .5 D 3 I
$\frac{11112}{1112} = \frac{11112}{1112} = 11$	3 I
CHEMOKINES CVC11 Chemoking (C X C matif) ligand 1 NM $001511 - 201 - 1531 - 3$	51
CXCL2 Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 2 NM 002089 1 51 821 8	A T
$CXCL5 \qquad Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 5 \qquad NM_002009 \qquad nc \qquad 9.2 I \qquad 7.3 I \qquad 0.2 I \qquad $	7 I
CXCL6 Chemokine (C-X-C motif) ligand 6 NM 002993 171 1331 2	91
$CCL2^c$ Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 NM 002982 5.9 I 11.9 I 19).3 I
CCL20 Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 20 NM_004591 1.7 I 26.7 I 6.	.7 I
APOPTOSIS	
Negative regulators cIAP2 cIAP2 apoptosis inhibitor 2, Birc3 NM_001165 nc 4.9 I 4.	.6 I
IER3 Immediate early response 3 NM_003897 nc 4.4 I 1.	.9 I
TNFAIP8 TNF alpha-induced protein 8, FLIP-like, SCC-S2 NM_014350 nc 2.5 I 2.	.3 I
Mn-SOD Superoxide dismutase 2, mitochondrial NM_000636 2 I 3.7 I 4.	.3 I
PBEF1Pre-B-cell colony enhancing factor 1NM_005746nc2.3 I3.	.3 I
CRP C-reactive protein, pentraxin-related NM_000567 9.2 I 45 I 51	12 I
IL-8 Interleukin 8 NM_000584 nc 211 8	31
CCL2 Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 2 NM_002982 5.9 1 11.9 1 19	1.3 I
IGFBP1 Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 1 NM_000096 nc 113.81 4.	.31
Positive regulators APOE Aponportoien E NM_000041 nc - 10.5 D - 2	./D
CASP4 Caspase 4 NM_001223 IIC 5.01 1.	.01
Antioxidant proteins Mn-SOD Superovide dismutase 2 mitochondrial NM 000636 2 I 3 7 I 3	7 I
GPX2 Glutathione peroxidase 2 NM 002083 511 311 6	11
CP Ceruloplasmin (ferroxidase) NM 000096 nc 4.8 I	7 I
Xenobiotic CYP3A4 ^c Cytochrome P450, Family 3, subfamily A, polypeptide 4 NM 000776 - 2.8 D - 6.5 D -	7 D
metabolism proteins UGT2B15 UDP glucuronosyltransferase 2 family, polypeptide B15 NM_001076 - 2.3 D - 16 D - 1	3 D
UGT2B28 UDP glucuronosyltransferase 2 family, polypeptide B28 NM_053039 nc - 6.4 D - 2	.5 D
Oxydoreductases CYP3A4 Cytochrome P450, Family 3, subfamily A, polypeptide 4 NM_000776 - 2.8 D - 6.5 D - 7	7 D
and electron CYP3A7 Cytochrome P450, Family 3, subfamily A, polypeptide 7 NM_000765 - 1.6 D - 2.5 D - 2	2 D
transport proteins CYP2C8 Cytochrome P450, Family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 8 NM_030878 - 1.6 D - 8 D - 4	.4 D
CYP2A6 Cytochrome P450, Family 2, subfamily A, polypeptide 6 NM_000762 nc - 4.9 D - 6	.1 D
CYP2C9 Cytochrome P450, Family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 9 NM_000771 - 2 D - 3.5 D - 5	.7 D
CYP2C19 Cytochrome P450, Family 2, subfamily C, polypeptide 19 NM_000769 - 1.7 D - 5.3 D - 1	.9 D
GRHPR Glyoxylate reductase, hydroxypyruvate reductase NM_012203 nc - 2.5 D - 2	.8 D
ACADL Acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase, long chain NM_001608 - 1.7 D - 2.6 D - 2	2 D
BDH 3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase NM_004051 nc -1.9 D - 5	.5 D
Lipid metabolism APOE Apolipoprotein E NM_000041 nc -10.5 D - 2	./D
and transport ALADL Acyl-Coenzyme A denydrogenase, long chain NM_001608 - 1.7 D - 2.6 D - 2	2 D 7 D
AKR1B10 Aldo-keto reductase family 7, member B10 NM 020299 - 3 D - 1 4 D - 4	4 D

^{*a*} I, increased; D, decreased; nc, no change in gene expression in HBV-infected PHH compared to mock-infected cells ^{*b*} PHH prepared from different patients (1-3); ^{*c*} Due to the dual functions, some of genes are listed twice

Gene	Fold change	Fold change ^a in gene expression: PHH ^b /HBV vs. PHH ^b /mock			
	PHH2	РНН3	PHH4	РНН5	
cIAP2	5.1 I	3.9 I	5.1 I	2.9 I	
Mn-SOD	3.9 I	2 I	4.1 I	3.4 I	
TNFAIP8	2 I	2.3 I	2.3 I	3.1 I	
IER3	5.7 I	1.7 I	1.5 I	1.6 I	
IGFBP1	116 I	5.3 I	23 I	7.4 I	
CRP	18.5 I	115 I	269 I	88 I	
CCL2	4 I	21 I	6.7 I	6.9 I	
IL-8	24.7 I	10.8 I	8.5 I	4.2 I	
APOE	-19 D	- 3.5 D	-1.4 D	- 2.3 D	
CYP3A4	- 4 D	- 8.6 D	- 4.3 D	-12.2 D	
2'5'OAS	nc	- 2 D	nc	-1.6 D	
IP10	nc	- 1.7 D	- 1.5 D	- 2.5 D	

Table 4: Confirmation of microarray data by real time quantitative RT-PCR

^{*a*} I, increased; D, decreased compared to mock-infected PHH; mean values of at least two independent measurements per experiment are given; nc, no change in gene expression detected

^b PHH prepared from different patient materials (PHH2-PHH5)

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Table 5: Alteration of gene expression in HBV-replicating cell lines

Come	Fold change ^{<i>a</i>} in gene expression			
Gene	HepG2-H1.3 vs. HepG2 ^b	HepG2.2.15 vs. HepG2 ^b		
cIAP2	nc	$2.7\pm0.2\ I$		
TNFAIP8	$1.7\pm0.4\ I$	$2.8\pm0.5\ I$		
IER3	$2\pm0.1 \; I$	$3.5\pm0.5\;I$		
IGFBP1	$3\pm0.9~I$	$7.1\pm0.9\ I$		
Mn-SOD	nc	nc		
CRP	nd	nd		
CYP3A4	nd	nd		
IL-6	nd	nd		

 a I, increased; D, decreased compared to HepG2 cells; nc, no change in gene expression

observed; nd, gene expression was not detectable by real time quantitative RT-PCR b mean values \pm SD from at least three independent experiments are given

С







D











D







Ctr Al



CEP HER



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT



















ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT





В







