1	Brain Insulin Resistance at the Crossroads of Metabolic and Cognitive Disorders in
2	Humans
3	
4 5	Stephanie Kullmann ^{1,2} , Martin Heni ^{1,2,3} , Manfred Hallschmid ^{1,2,4} , Andreas Fritsche ^{1,2,3} , Hubert Preissl ^{1,2,3,5} , Hans-Ulrich Häring ^{1,2,3}
Ū	, i and a manage
6 7	 Institute for Diabetes Research and Metabolic Diseases of the Helmholtz Center Munich at the University of Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
8	2) German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD e. V.), Tübingen, Germany
9	3) Department of Internal Medicine IV, University of Tübingen, Germany
10 11	4) Institute of Medical Psychology and Behavioral Neurobiology, University of Tübingen, Germany
12 13	 Department Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Faculty of Science, Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany
14	
15	
16	Corresponding author:
17 18 19 20 21 22	Prof. Dr. med. Dr. h.c. Hans-Ulrich Häring University Clinic Tübingen Department of Internal Medicine IV Otfried-Müller-Str. 10 72076 Tübingen Germany
23	Email: hans-ulrich.haering@med.uni-tuebingen.de
24 25 26	Tel.: (+49) 07071-2983670 Fax: (+49) 07071-292784
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	

- 34 Outline
- 35 Abstract

36	I.	Introduction
37	II.	Neurocognitive dysfunction in obesity, T2D and dementia
38	III.	Brain insulin action in healthy humans
39		a. Insulin action on global brain function
40		b. Brain target regions of insulin action
41		c. Effect of brain insulin action on eating behavior
42		d. Brain insulin effects on cognition
43		e. Effect of brain insulin action on peripheral metabolism
44	IV.	Brain insulin resistance
45		a. Brain insulin resistance in obesity
46		b. Brain insulin resistance and the influence of obesity and diabetes-risk
47		genes
48		c. Brain insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes
49		d. Brain insulin resistance and gestational diabetes
50		e. Brain insulin resistance in normal aging
51		f. Brain insulin resistance in dementia and AD
52		g. Inflammation as a potential shared pathophysiology of metabolic and
53		cognitive disorders
54	۷.	Therapeutic advances in treating brain insulin resistance
55	VI.	Concluding remarks
56	VII.	Future perspective

57 Abstract

Ever since the brain was identified as an insulin-sensitive organ, evidence has rapidly accumulated that insulin action in the brain produces multiple behavioral and metabolic effects, influencing eating behavior, peripheral metabolism, and cognition.

Disturbances in brain insulin action can be observed in obesity and type 2 diabetes (T2D), as well as in aging and dementia. Decreases in insulin sensitivity of central nervous pathways, i.e., brain insulin resistance, may therefore constitute a joint pathological feature of metabolic and cognitive dysfunctions.

Modern neuroimaging methods have provided new means of probing brain insulin action, 65 66 revealing the influence of insulin on both global and regional brain function. In this review, we 67 highlight recent findings on brain insulin action in humans and its impact on metabolism and 68 cognition. Furthermore, we elaborate on the most prominent factors associated with brain insulin resistance, i.e., obesity, T2D, genes, maternal metabolism, normal aging, 69 70 inflammation, and dementia, which could be the cause or consequence of brain insulin 71 resistance. We also describe the beneficial effects of enhanced brain insulin signaling on 72 human eating behavior and cognition, and discuss potential applications in the treatment of 73 metabolic and cognitive disorders.

74

75 I. Introduction

Insulin resistance is a shared hallmark feature of obesity, type 2 diabetes (T2D) and 76 77 neuropathological processes underlying cognitive aging and dementia. As the population 78 gets older, age-related chronic diseases become more prevalent and are of increasing public 79 concern. The number of individuals diagnosed with T2D is expected to reach 592 million by 80 the year 2035 (91). By this time, the number of people with dementia will have doubled, 81 reaching 75.6 million (388). In parallel, global obesity rates are on the rise, increasing the risk 82 of T2D, hypertension, coronary heart disease and certain forms of cancer (111, 112). 83 Furthermore, the influence of obesity and T2D on brain structure and function has been well 84 established and shows a higher risk of cognitive decline and even dementia (30, 137, 212). 85 particularly in the elderly population (30, 242). The mechanisms underlying cognitive decline 86 and brain structure changes in obesity and T2D are, however, still unclear. Since chronic and 87 acute dysregulations of blood glucose concentrations have both been linked to compromised 88 neurocognitive functions (96), the majority of prediabetes and T2D research has focused on 89 the effects of glycemia extremes (317). Due to its importance in brain functioning, insulin 90 signaling within the brain has been receiving more attention recently. One reason for this is 91 because significant disturbances in brain insulin action are not only observed in obesity and 92 T2D, but also in brain aging and dementia. It has therefore been proposed that decreases in 93 the sensitivity of central nervous pathways to insulin, i.e., brain insulin resistance, constitute 94 a potential link between metabolic and cognitive dysfunctions (56, 377).

95

1. The role of insulin in the brain: a historical perspective

96 Following its discovery in 1921, the vital role of insulin in the periphery was quickly 97 recognized and extensively studied before scientific interest turned to the role of the brain in 98 insulin signaling and vice versa. Peripheral tissue depends on insulin to translocate and 99 activate glucose transporters on cell membranes which, in turn, trigger glucose uptake from 100 the circulation. However, the central nervous system (CNS) can utilize glucose independently 101 of insulin-mediated processes inasmuch as glucose can enter the brain by diffusing across 102 the blood-brain barrier. It is then absorbed by brain cells via a range of insulin-insensitive 103 glucose transporters. However, insulin, being a large peptide hormone, does not passively 104 cross the blood-brain barrier. For a long time, brain function was therefore considered to be 105 insulin-independent. However, studies in the 1970s and early 1980s, in particular by Jesse 106 Roth and colleagues, demonstrated that insulin receptors are abundantly distributed 107 throughout the brain (15, 16, 72, 157, 158, 328, 375) (Figure 1). The seminal work of 108 Stephen Woods and colleagues highlighted the pivotal role of the brain in insulin action, 109 showing that intracerebroventricular infusion of insulin decreases food intake and body 110 weight in baboons (397). Although mostly performed in rats (1, 2, 187, 238), this central 111 catabolic action has been replicated across a number of species including mice (37), 112 chickens (89, 179), sheep (113) and marmots (64). Despite this diversity, not all results are 113 confirmatory (193, 253). Some investigators failed to observe a reduction in food intake after insulin administration. A recent study by McAllister et al. (234) systematically evaluated 114 115 potential confounds by performing several cross-over designs with insulin versus placebo in 116 mice. The authors proposed that recent experience with intracereboventricular administration can contribute to the variability in the effect of insulin. When insulin and placebo trials were 117 118 spaced only two days apart, order-dependent effects were indeed identified. Virtually no 119 significant insulin effect on food intake was observed when insulin was delivered on the first 120 and placebo on the second trial. Thus, environmental cues can alter eating-related 121 responses to insulin, probably due to an associative learning process.

Besides its effects on food intake, evidence has been rapidly accumulating that brain insulin action produces multiple behavioral and metabolic effects, influencing eating behavior, peripheral metabolism (166), and cognition, in particular memory formation (266), in animals and humans.

126 Until the 1990's, the mechanisms responsible for the transport of insulin to the central 127 nervous system had not been identified (273). Controversy over the source of central 128 nervous insulin led to the hypothesis that insulin is produced within the central nervous 129 system (159, 243). While local insulin release in the CNS seems to be important in lower 130 organisms (133), its quantitative relevance in comparison to pancreas-derived insulin in 131 higher animals is still under debate (133). However, two recent studies suggest that local 132 production of small quantities of insulin might exist in the CNS (239, 243). With regard to 133 pancreas-derived insulin that enters the brain via the bloodstream, a saturable, insulin 134 receptor-mediated pathway was observed to transport insulin into the brain (12, 17, 18, 208). 135 Once released into the blood stream by pancreatic beta cells, circulating insulin binds to 136 receptors on endothelial cells of the blood-brain barrier, where it is further transported into 137 the brain's interstitial fluid by receptor-mediated transcytosis (18). Here it binds to numerous 138 insulin receptors distributed throughout the olfactory bulb, cerebral cortex, hippocampus, 139 hypothalamus, amygdala and septum (16, 157, 328, 365, 375) (Figure 1). It then induces a 140 number of central nervous and peripheral effects (as discussed in section III). More specifically, as soon as the insulin receptor binds the hormone insulin, it becomes active as a 141 142 tyrosine kinase. This activation causes autophosphorylation of the receptor as well as 143 phosphorylation of the tyrosine residues of the docking protein known as insulin receptor 144 substrate (IRS). This subsequently activates the downstream signaling cascade (386). Of the 145 six IRS family members identified to date, IRS-1 and IRS-2 are responsible for most of the 146 abundant effects of insulin associated with the activation of two main signaling pathways, 147 namely the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)-AKT/protein kinase B (PKB) pathway and 148 the Ras-mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathways (224, 385). The former is 149 responsible for most of the metabolic actions of insulin (344). With regard to the IRS family 150 expression in the brain, although IRS-1 was identified in parts of the brain, the ventral 151 hypothalamus showed no expression of IRS-1 and knockout mice revealed normal energy 152 homeostasis (278). IRS-2 was abundantly found in the arcuate nucleus of the ventral 153 hypothalamus (354) and knockout mice exemplified an obese phenotype (227). Hence, IRS-154 2 would appear to play an important role in brain insulin signaling. The specific roles of IRS-155 1 and IRS-2 in cognitive brain functions are not completely understood. Several studies

indicate memory-enhancing effects of insulin in IRS pathways (81). IRS-1 seems to positively
regulate memory and is inhibited in Alzheimer's disease brains and animal models (34, 244,
342). Reducing IRS-1 inhibition improved cognition in mice (34). IRS-2, on the other hand,
acts as a negative modulator of memory impairing for example dendritic spine formation
(188). Beneficial effects of IRS-2 deletion are seen on Alzheimer's disease pathology
reducing cognitive deficits in transgenic mouse model of AD (34, 206).

162

2. Evidence for brain insulin resistance

163 Insulin resistance refers to the reduced ability of insulin to exert its action on target tissues. In 164 the periphery, this has long been considered a hallmark feature of obesity and T2D. Once 165 the central effects of insulin on food intake and body weight in animals and humans were 166 identified, it transpired that the brain is a further important site of insulin resistance. In a 167 pioneering study, the selective disruption of neuronal insulin receptors in mice induced a diet-168 induced obese phenotype with increased body fat and peripheral insulin resistance (39). 169 Parts of this effect were later attributed to insulin receptors in specific hypothalamic subnuclei 170 (246, 379). The restoration of insulin receptor function in non-canonical insulin target tissue 171 such as the brain prevents diabetes and maintains homeostasis (262). The significance of 172 brain insulin action for peripheral metabolism was first revealed in rodent models, in which an 173 alteration of the CNS-liver circuit was shown to contribute to hyperglycemia. More 174 specifically, insulin signaling in the hypothalamus was discovered to be necessary for 175 controlling hepatic glucose production (258, 259) and the surgical resection of the hepatic 176 branch of the vagus nerve negated brain insulin action (277). Like insulin, numerous other 177 hormonal signals from the periphery, such as GLP-1, CCK or ghrelin, influence the brain by 178 exerting their effects on food intake, thereby establishing a multifactorial signal crosstalk 179 between the periphery and brain (393).

In humans, Tschritter and colleagues (362) were the first to show brain unresponsiveness to
 exogenous insulin in obese adults. This opened up the new field of the study of brain insulin

resistance in-vivo in humans using neuroimaging techniques (as discussed under sections IIIand IV).

184 Interestingly, many of the metabolic disturbances found in prediabetes and T2D can also be 185 observed in Alzheimer's disease (AD). Patients with AD display reduced peripheral insulin 186 sensitivity and are typically hyperinsulinemic in both a state of fasting and in response to an 187 oral glucose tolerance test, (75). Furthermore, prolonged peripheral hyperinsulinemia can 188 decrease insulin receptors at the blood-brain barrier, thereby reducing insulin transport into 189 the brain (315). Notably, in patients with AD and mild-cognitive impairments as well as in 190 patients with whole-body insulin resistance, T2D and obesity, plasma insulin levels are high, 191 whereas cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) insulin levels are decreased (76, 169, 199, 306). Reduced 192 brain insulin uptake has therefore been postulated to lead to impaired brain insulin action. 193 Underlying mechanisms might include insulin resistance at the blood-brain-barrier (377). The 194 number of insulin receptors in the brain decreases with age, particularly in AD (123). This 195 suggests that, in this condition, the development of brain insulin resistance is independent of 196 diabetes. More importantly, recent evidence suggests that insulin directly influences 197 neuropathology and behavioral characteristics of AD by influencing beta-amyloid load and 198 synaptic plasticity that underlie memory formation (56, 377).

199 This review focuses on brain insulin resistance as a shared pathological feature of metabolic 200 and cognitive disturbances in obesity, T2D and dementia patients (for a schematic overview 201 please see Figure 2). We will first provide a brief introduction into cognitive dysfunctions and 202 underlying brain alterations in obesity, T2D and dementia. In detail, we will discuss recent 203 findings on brain insulin action/resistance in humans as assessed with neuroimaging 204 techniques. Moreover, we will illustrate beneficial effects of brain insulin on human eating 205 behavior and cognition and consider potential applications in the treatment of metabolic and 206 cognitive disorders. For an introduction into the methods applied to study brain insulin action, 207 please see supplementary text as well as Tables 1 and 2.

208

209

210

211 II. Neurocognitive dysfunction in obesity, T2D and dementia

212 Longitudinal studies in mostly middle-aged to older adults (for review, please see (212, 242)) 213 have reported a modest cognitive decrement in T2D in comparison to matched control groups over a period of less than 6 years (155, 254, 265, 370). Patients with T2D displayed 214 impaired performance in almost all neuropsychological tests. The greatest decrements were 215 216 found in memory, information-processing speed and executive function (242). Crosssectional studies likewise indicate that T2D patients perform worse in several cognitive 217 domains. These include executive function, information-processing speed, memory, 218 219 psychomotor efficiency, verbal fluency and learning (242). Such signs of cognitive decline 220 are associated with duration of illness, glycemic control and hypoglycemic episodes. Thus, women who suffered from diabetes for more than 15 years showed a 57% to 114% greater 221 222 risk of cognitive decline (134). They also had a fourfold increased risk of cognitive decline in 223 verbal fluency, which correlated negatively with glycohemoglobin (195). Furthermore, a 224 history of severe hypoglycemic episodes in older type 2 diabetes patients was associated 225 with a greater risk of dementia (387). Obesity has a general negative effect on cognitive 226 function, even when controlling for T2D, hypertension, smoking, and other confounding 227 factors (27), the strongest effect being in early midlife. Midlife obesity was negatively 228 associated with visuospatial performance and executive function over 12 years (396). 229 However, at a later age, an increased body mass index (BMI) can have positive effects on 230 cognitive functions (30). Such a non-linear relationship might suggest that the maintenance 231 of skeletal mass or lean body mass via increased BMI protects cognitive functions, while T2D 232 independently (396) or additively (327) mediates obesity-related cognitive dysfunctions.

233 A) Structural brain alterations in obesity and T2D

234 T2D and obesity, alongside their accompanying risk factors such as dyslipidemia and 235 hypertension, have adverse effects on brain function and structure. Obesity itself is 236 associated with brain atrophy, i.e., a loss of gray matter and reduced integrity of white fiber 237 tracts (222). T2D is also related to vascular damage, which results in white matter 238 hyperintensities, infarcts and microbleeds (38). Brain atrophy can be global as well as region-239 specific, including loss of neurons, axodendritic pruning and reduced synaptic plasticity, such 240 as is also observed in normal aging and in dementia (309). Using advanced magnetic 241 resonance imaging (MRI), a reduction in gray matter volume and cortical thickness as well as 242 a loss of white matter integrity has been observed to be associated with obesity-related 243 factors and T2D (30, 38, 222). A longitudinal study over 6 years in older adults identified BMI 244 as the strongest predictor of declining gray matter volume, particularly in the frontal lobe and 245 subcortical regions such as the hippocampus (30, 284). The medial temporal lobe, including 246 the hippocampus, seems to be particularly affected by diabetes. Hippocampal atrophy, a 247 marker of neurodegeneration, was identified in individuals with impaired glucose tolerance 248 and insulin resistance (67, 366). Phylogenetically and ontogenetically younger regions, such 249 as the temporal and frontal lobe, are therefore more sensitive to obesity/T2D as well as 250 aging. This may lead to accelerated aging in obese and T2D subjects. More importantly, 251 brain atrophy is associated with behavioral cognitive deficits (212).

252 B) Brain alterations in dementia

253 Since it is a memory disorder without impairments in other cognitive domains, mild-cognitive impairment (MCI) is related to abnormalities in cognitive test performance without qualifying 254 255 for dementia. However, patients diagnosed with MCI run a higher risk of developing 256 dementia, which is diagnosed when multiple cognitive deficits disrupt social or occupational 257 functioning (212). Several underlying diseases can cause dementia, of which AD is the most 258 common sub-type. The greatest risk factors for AD are advanced age, carrier of the 259 apolipoprotein (APOE) £4 allele and a family history of the disease (320). Hallmark 260 characteristics include an accumulation of extracellular neuritic plaques and fibrils, i.e. 261 aggregated amyloid-beta (AB) peptides, intracellular neurofibrillary tangles, accumulation of 262 hyperphoshorylated tau, followed by a widespread loss of neurons and changes in 263 neurotransmitter systems (7, 65, 281). The deposition of A_β plaques is considered as a 264 central event in AD pathogenesis. Failure to clear this peptide or overproduction leads to 265 amyloid deposition. This, in turn, triggers a plethora of events such as the production of 266 neurofibrillary tangles, cell death and, ultimately, cognitive dysfunctions (150, 151). Imaging 267 biomarkers associated with cognitive decline and dementia are temporal lobe atrophy, mainly 268 in the hippocampus (14), but also in the prefrontal and parietal cortices. In addition to 269 structural markers, positron emission tomography (PET) tracers facilitate the detection of amyloid deposition, using Pittsburgh compound B (PiB), and glucose hypometabolism (¹⁸F 270 271 fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)) of AD-vulnerable regions as early pathological features (for 272 reviews see (65, 236)). Amyloid PET has a prognostic role in MCI inasmuch as longitudinal 273 studies have shown that high PiB retention in MCI patients makes a conversion to AD more 274 likely (65). Glucose hypometabolism of AD-vulnerable regions such as the lateral parietal 275 cortex, frontal cortex and precuenus/posterior cingulate cortex are well established in AD 276 patients. In individuals at risk for AD due to genetic predisposition (i.e., carriers of the ApoE4 277 allele and subjects with a family history) hypometabolism of these regions has been identified 278 by FDG-PET. Furthermore, hypometabolism has been associated with cognitive decline in 279 otherwise healthy elderly and in type 2 diabetes patients (65). AD-vulnerable brain regions 280 exhibiting hypometabolism overlap with the regional distribution of amyloid deposition. These 281 regions are also termed "default mode network" (DMN), which is a network of interacting 282 brain regions highly active during rest as a person is not focused on a particular task. The 283 DMN is essential for higher cognitive processes like memory and executive function. Patients 284 with dementia show a loss in functional connectivity in the default mode network comprising 285 the precuneus/posterior cingulate, prefrontal cortex, lateral temporal cortex and the 286 hippocampus (324). Interestingly, T2D patients (181, 252) and obese individuals (219) also 287 show diminished functional connectivity within this network. This means that there is an extensive overlap between brain regions affected by AD as well as by T2D and obesity,
particularly in regions belonging to the default mode network (Figure 3).

290 T2D and obesity have adverse effects on brain structure and function, affecting several 291 cognitive domains. Midlife obesity in particular is negatively associated with memory and 292 executive function, whereas patients with T2D display impaired performance in almost all 293 neuropsychological tests. At the same time, a reduction in gray matter volume, cortical 294 thickness as well as a loss of white matter integrity are all associated with obesity and T2D. 295 The frontal lobe and subcortical regions such as the hippocampus are particularly 296 susceptible to such a decline. Similarly, imaging biomarkers of dementia include atrophy, 297 amyloid deposition and hypometabolism of the temporal lobe, mainly in the hippocampus, 298 but also in the prefrontal and parietal cortices. These are considered to be early pathological 299 features of AD and overlap with cognitive decline in otherwise healthy obese and T2D 300 patients. Hence, a network of brain regions, termed the "default-mode" network, seems to be 301 compromised in function and structure, which is associated with decreased cerebral blood 302 flow and altered connectivity (Figure 3).

303

304 III. Brain insulin action in healthy humans

305 a) Insulin action on global brain function

306 Influence of insulin-mediated cortical electrical activity on higher cognitive processes

In accordance with behavioral studies, imaging studies in healthy normal-weight individuals have ascertained that insulin plays a prominent role in brain functions that regulate metabolism and cognition. Since electroencephalography (EEG) is highly sensitive to hypoglycemic states (32, 356), some of the earliest studies on brain insulin action evaluated event-related potentials using both memory tasks and visual and auditory-evoked potentials as indicators of cortical responses to insulin. When euglygemic hyperinsulinemic clamps were used, no effect on visual-evoked potentials was observed (26, 318). This indicates that 314 insulin has no effect on low-level sensory processes. For auditory-evoked responses and 315 event-related potentials during a memory task, a reduction in the amplitude of N100 and 316 P300 component was found in response to intranasal and intravenous insulin (clamp 317 technique) (26, 200). Concomitantly, magnetoencephalography (MEG) studies revealed 318 enhanced amplitudes for auditory and visual evoked responses during a hyperinsulinemic 319 euglycemic clamp, as well as after intranasal insulin in healthy lean individuals (141, 362). 320 The rapid changes in event-related potentials indicated that insulin may be a rapidly acting 321 feedback signal that contributes to the sensation of satiety. Furthermore, these studies 322 provided the first indication that higher cortical areas are particularly reactive to insulin. This 323 is also reflected by the increased amplitude of auditory mismatch responses to increased 324 exogenous insulin (362), the pronounced P300 amplitude reduction over frontal areas to 325 auditory stimuli (200), and the negative shift in frontal direct current potentials after 326 intravenous insulin injection (147). Whereas the latter experiment showed that a surprisingly 327 rapid central nervous effect of increases in circulating insulin manifested itself within 7 min. 328 effects on oscillatory EEG activity were found only when hypoglycemia was not prevented by 329 glucose infusion after insulin administration. In this case, an increase in theta frequency 330 activity accompanied the nadir in blood glucose concentrations (147). Theta rhythms are 331 usually generated within the hippocampus (43, 154), a region with high densities of insulin 332 receptors that plays a prominent role in memory formation. In rodent studies, insulin signaling 333 in the hippocampus has been shown to promote cell survival and synaptic plasticity (13). 334 Insulin binding may, in turn, influence EEG theta activity during complex task paradigms. The 335 strong modulatory effect of insulin on (frontocortical) EEG activity paved the way for research 336 on the effects of insulin on higher cognitive processes. One disadvantage of both EEG and 337 MEG, however, is their limited spatial resolution. For deeper brain structures in particular, 338 they provide only limited insight into the specific target regions involved in healthy insulin 339 brain signaling. Recent advances in high field MRI have given us the opportunity to fill this 340 knowledge gap by using blood-oxygen-level dependent contrast (BOLD) and cerebral blood flow (by either MRI or PET) to indirectly measure neural activity with high spatial resolution(for details, see supplementary text and Table 2).

343 **Postprandial changes influence cerebral blood flow particularly in subcortical regions**

344 Early neuroimaging studies used PET to measure cerebral blood flow in response to hunger 345 and satiation when evaluating brain activity after a meal (86). These studies indicated that, 346 after administration of a meal to hungry subjects, neural activity decreases within the 347 limbic/paralimbic areas including the fusiform gyrus, striatum, thalamus and hypothalamus, 348 but increases in the prefrontal cortex (86). These in-vivo studies provided pivotal evidence for 349 the concept that, when controlling eating behavior, the homeostatic system of the human 350 brain does not act independently, but works in tandem with regions that belong to the 351 decision and reward circuitry for food intake control (for review see (28)). However, a 352 plethora of hormonal postprandial changes and increases in the availability of macronutrients 353 could be the underlying cause of these activation patterns. This is plausible, since the 354 reactivity of these regions correlated with changes in different hormones and metabolites 355 including insulin (86, 127, 345).

356 It is crucial that the role of insulin in the CNS regulation of eating behavior and cognition is 357 selectively studied. For this reason, functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) studies 358 usually investigate brain insulin action by oral glucose ingestion, the clamp technique or 359 intranasal insulin application. To differentiate between metabolic and cognitive/task-specific 360 effects, insulin-stimulated brain activity is evaluated under spontaneous (resting-state) 361 conditions or in response to a particular task, thus recruiting different cognitive domains such 362 as memory. For further methodological details, please see supplementary section or Tables 363 1 and 2.

364 Studies in which global brain function was investigated using EEG and MEG showed that 365 higher cortical areas are particularly responsive to insulin. Moreover, PET studies revealed 366 that hormonal changes after a meal induce a specific activation pattern of the reward and homeostatic system. The next section introduces the functions of target brain regions of
insulin action, their involvement in healthy insulin signaling and their relationship to metabolic
and cognitive functions (for overview, please see Figure 4).

b) Brain target regions of insulin action

371 1) Hypothalamus

The hypothalamus has been extensively studied on account of its fundamental role in the physiological processes essential for survival and for controlling vital bodily functions. The latter include sleep, thermoregulation, food and fluid homeostasis, sexual behavior, stress, immune responses, autonomic and various endocrine functions (45, 304). Rodent models have provided us with a particularly detailed blueprint of the hypothalamic insulin-signaling pathway, revealing a profound regulatory influence of hypothalamic subregions in energy intake and feeding behavior (379, 393).

379 Hypothalamic responsiveness to peripheral signals, especially to glucose

380 Glucose-sensing neurons in the hypothalamus, which are usually found in the ventromedial 381 hypothalamic nucleus, respond to local glycopenia by stimulating the release 382 counterregulatory hormones such as growth hormone from the pituitary gland, glucagon from 383 pancreatic islets, as well as epinephrine, and cortisol from the adrenal glands (35, 231, 297). 384 Accordingly, rising glucose levels suppress the release of some of these hormones and parts 385 of this effect are mediated through the hypothalamus. Although the small size and central 386 position of the hypothalamus within the walls of the floor of the third ventricle has proved challenging for in-vivo studies in humans. Recently, fMRI has shed some light on the 387 388 hypothalamic responsiveness to peripheral signals. As shown by a recent ultra-high field 389 MRS study (241), the hypothalamus, particularly in the hypoglycemic state, responds with a 390 persistent increase in cerebral blood flow (8, 268). This is potentially modulated by a local y-391 Aminobutyric acid (GABA) drop (241). An increase in glucose levels during either an oral 392 glucose load or after intravenous glucose administration results in a decrease in the hypothalamic fMRI signal (164, 269, 330, 331). It is important to note that oral glucose
ingestion lowers hypothalamic activity more effectively than glucose infusion, suggesting that
other hormonal signals like incretins, are involved in this response (331).

396 Hypothalamic responsiveness to insulin

397 The hypothalamic response to insulin has been studied less extensively. For the intranasal 398 route, a hypothalamic decrease of the BOLD signal and cerebral blood flow was observed 15 399 and 30 minutes after insulin application (165, 171, 216, 220). This was associated with 400 whole-body insulin sensitivity (165, 171) and unfavorable fat distribution (220). Although 401 animal models have yielded promising clues, the specific role of different hypothalamic nuclei 402 in insulin signaling in humans remains obscure. Whereas the resolution of anatomical MRI is 403 sufficient to distinguish lateral and medial subregions of the hypothalamus, their functional 404 dissection is much more challenging. Recent efforts to investigate functional connections of 405 the hypothalamus with fMRI suggest that the medial and lateral hypothalamus tap into 406 different parts of the dopaminergic fronto-striatal circuitry of the brain, including projections to 407 and from the striatal regions (218) (Figure 5). Furthermore, Page and coworkers (269) 408 showed that glucose ingestion increases functional connectivity between the hypothalamus 409 and the striatum, presumably via insulin.

410

411 2) Frontal cortex

412 The functional role of the frontal cortex in eating behavior

The prefrontal cortex can be divided into three subregions: lateral, orbital and medial/cingulate (124). The function of each prefrontal cortex subregion strongly depends on its connections since these are highly interwined with the brainstem, hypothalamus, thalamus, striatum and limbic system as well as with each other. By way of its afferent connections, particularly from the hypothalamus and amygdala, the prefrontal cortex receives 418 information about the internal state and the motivational significance of a stimulus, and plays 419 an important role in the enactment of a certain kind of behavior (124). The lateral prefrontal 420 cortex is of the utmost importance in cognitive function, including the inhibitory control of 421 eating behavior (152). The orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex are essential for 422 reward-based decision-making. The orbitofrontal cortex is a critical convergence zone for 423 sensory information containing the secondary gustatory cortex and encoding the value, 424 probability and magnitude of, for example, taste reward (296). The anterior cingulate cortex 425 is involved in the motivational aspect of reward processing, reduces or increases the 426 motivation to obtain rewarding stimuli such as palatable food. Studies investigating dieting 427 revealed lateral prefrontal cortex activation to be a significant predictor for successful weight 428 loss (87, 130, 160, 235). The orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex are particularly 429 sensitive to an individual's internal state (i.e. hunger versus satiated) and the rewarding content of a food stimulus, and therefore respond more strongly to palatable food under 430 431 fasting conditions (84).

432 Frontal cortex response to increasing insulin levels

433 Using the glucose clamp technique, glucose ingestion, and intranasal insulin administration, 434 all prefrontal regions were shown to be significantly responsive to insulin across all 435 modalities (140, 164, 165, 197, 213, 216, 217, 269, 270). Following oral glucose ingestion, 436 the subject's endogenous serum insulin levels determined the reactivity of the prefrontal 437 cortex and the anterior cingulate cortex to food cues. Individuals with a higher postprandial 438 elevation in insulin showed a more pronounced frontal decrease (164, 213, 269). Similarly, 439 exogenous intranasal insulin induced a decrease in the response of the prefrontal cortex to 440 food cues (140) and a decrease in orbitofrontal cortex resting-state activity (216). This 441 correlated significantly with whole-body insulin sensitivity (165). Insulin also plays an 442 important role in the metabolism of the prefrontal cortex. In a euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic 443 clamp study, neurometabolites were assessed by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy 444 in healthy young men (197). Interestingly, subjects with a high whole-body insulin sensitivity showed improved neural metabolism in the frontal cortex after insulin stimulation. In particular, the ratio of N-acetylaspartate, a marker of neuronal density and integrity (301), increased after insulin infusion and significantly correlated with whole-body insulin sensitivity. This indicates that individuals with low insulin sensitivity have an impaired neuronal metabolism (197). Moreover, intranasal insulin increased brain energy levels (i.e. ATP) assessed by magnetic resonance spectroscopy to a degree that correlated with the subsequent reduction in food intake (191).

These recent findings indicate that the prefrontal cortex is particularly sensitive to increasing peripheral and central insulin levels. Based on the function and connections of the prefrontal cortex, it is tempting to speculate that healthy brain insulin signaling leads to an inhibition of food intake by reducing the rewarding properties of food and motivation for consumption via striato-prefrontal pathways (Figure 5). *3) Striatum*

457 The functional role of the striatum in reward-mediated behavior

458 The striatum is generally associated with reward-motivated behavior, including the drive for 459 food intake as promoted by the neurotransmitter dopamine. Impaired dopamine signaling in 460 the striato-cortico pathways has been postulated to be the greatest overlap between obesity 461 and addiction (352). The cortico-ventral striatal circuit, which includes the orbitofrontal cortex, 462 the anterior cingulate cortex, the ventral striatum and parts of the midbrain, is at the center of 463 the reward network. The cortico-dorsal striatal circuit, on the other hand, is associated with 464 executive function and motor control and includes the dorsal striatum, temporal and 465 prefrontal regions (142). Initially, reward processes in the ventral striatum prompt the 466 motivation to repeat a certain behavior such as drug or food intake (352). The dorsal striatum 467 is of special importance for the actual consumption of the reward (e.g. food) since its output to other cortical areas couples motivation with the motor responses required for goal-directed 468 469 behavior (352, 381). These circuits work in concert to reach appropriate decisions, and to 470 decide upon goal-directed actions such as, for example, the initiation and termination of a

471 meal. The hypothalamus is embedded in these dopamine-modulated cortico-striatal472 circuitries (218) that facilitate food reward (Figure 5).

473 The role of insulin in the reward circuitry of the brain

474 Hormones can directly influence dopaminergic striatal activity to stimulate or inhibit feeding. 475 Insulin suppresses dopamine release by clearing the synapses of dopamine, thus reducing 476 the rewarding properties of food (107, 108). Concomitantly, imaging studies revealed that 477 striatal regions are responsive to changes in endogenous insulin (164, 213, 269), induced by 478 both oral glucose ingestion and exogenous insulin (165, 312). Following glucose ingestion, 479 the striatum showed a reduction in spontaneous neural activity and in response to food cue 480 stimulation (213, 269), whereas intranasal insulin increased striatal cerebral blood flow (312). 481 Furthermore, the reward circuitry may well act as a link to peripheral metabolism, as activity 482 in the putamen, orbitofrontal cortex and insula correlated positively with enhanced peripheral 483 insulin sensitivity two hours after intranasal insulin application (165). It is important to note, 484 however, that the rewarding properties of the sweet glucose taste and ingestion itself could 485 also be key players responsible for limbic activation, since the main cortical sensory input to 486 the ventral striatum is via the orbitofrontal cortex and adjacent insula (142). The anterior part 487 of the insula in particular is regarded as the primary taste cortex of the brain, contributing to 488 the gustatory perception represented by taste, smell and the visual input of food. Higher 489 insula activity is observed when more rewarding food items are perceived by an individual 490 (for review see (114)). A number of studies probing insulin action identified the insula cortex 491 as insulin-reactive, eliciting a decrease after glucose ingestion (213) and an increase after 492 nasally applied exogenous insulin (165, 312), as well as during a hypoglycemic condition 493 (270).

494 4) Hippocampus and neighboring gyri

The hippocampus and its neighboring gyri, i.e parahippocampal and fusiform gyrus, are regions within the temporal lobe which are particularly important for memory formation. Furthermore both the parahippocampal and the fusiform gyrus are linked to neural pathwaysof recognition for visual scenes.

499 Insulin-mediated activity of the temporal/occipital cortex in response to visual cues

500 By probing working memory using food cues, insulin modulates regions within the temporal 501 and occipital brain regions in particular. Studies with fMRI showed that the hippocampus and 502 its neighboring gyri respond to food cues by reducing activity after oral glucose ingestion 503 (164, 213) and intranasal insulin application (140). In line with the findings on increases in 504 EEG theta activity during insulin-induced hypoglycemia, there is also growing evidence that 505 insulin mediates hippocampal activity. Thus possibly affecting memory formation. Studies 506 investigating memory processes in obesity have further confirmed the importance of these 507 findings. Reduced memory performance in obese individuals is associated with neural 508 activity in temporal brain regions including the hippocampus (141, 160, 337). (Please see 509 section on brain insulin effects on cognition for more details on insulin's memory improving 510 properties). Furthermore, the fusiform gyrus is the most concurrently activated brain region 511 elicited by visual food cues (371), responding with increased activity to high as opposed to 512 low caloric food (221). Moreover, event-related potentials recorded by MEG in a visual 513 working memory task containing food and non-food images showed a clear categorization 514 effect in primary visual areas (338). Hence, the insulin-mediated effect in the visual system 515 could be specific to visual food-cue elicited brain response, which may in turn lead to 516 reduced visual attention to food cues in the postprandial state when insulin levels are high. 517 Further effects of insulin on visual memory tasks are discussed in the next section on brain 518 insulin resistance in obesity.

Neuroimaging studies investigating target brain regions of insulin action in healthy normalweight individuals identified the hypothalamus as well as the frontal and striatal regions as particularly insulin-sensitive (see Figure 4). In response to complex tasks, mainly probing memory, hippocampal and visual brain regions are additionally modulated by insulin, which could contribute to a reduced attention to food cues. Healthy insulin signaling modulates 524 brain networks involved in homeostatic control, reward processing and cognitive control 525 functions, thus influencing different aspects of human eating behavior. The majority of these 526 studies investigated brain insulin action in healthy young men (approx. 25-30 years old). 527 Possible sex and age effects on brain insulin action therefore still require investigation. 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 c) Effect of brain insulin action on eating behavior 535

Central nervous insulin administration inhibits food intake and reduces body weight in 536

537 humans

538 In accordance with animal experiments (226, 246, 278), central nervous effects of insulin on 539 energy homeostasis partly oppose the peripheral impact of the peptide. Following 540 intravenous or subcutaneous administration, insulin promotes gain of body weight in the form 541 of muscle and fat (237, 300), i.e., it has anabolic properties. However, when administered to 542 the brain via the intranasal or intracerebroventricular pathway in humans and animals, 543 respectively, insulin acts in an anorexigenic fashion. At the same time, brain insulin might 544 also promote anabolic processes in peripheral tissues (see next section). The effect on 545 eating behavior in humans is, however, clearly hypophagic. Healthy young men were 546 observed to consume fewer calories when they acutely received 160 U of regular human insulin via the intranasal pathway (25, 145). The same dose, when administered daily over a 547 period of eight weeks, reduced body weight by 1.3 kg and body fat content by 1.4 kg, while 548

also decreasing waist circumference and leptin concentrations, in normal-weight male participants (144) (Figure 6). These findings in humans corroborated respective results in animals shaping the concept that central nervous insulin is a pivotal negative feedback signal in the control of ingestive behavior (37, 63, 179).

553 Indicators of sex-specific insulin effects on eating behavior

554 The anorexigenic effects of intranasal insulin administration were considerably more salient 555 in male in comparison to female subjects (25, 144). Accordingly, in animal experiments, male 556 rats decreased their food intake after intracerebroventricular insulin infusion and lost weight 557 after 24 h of treatment, whereas age- and weight-matched female rats remained unaffected 558 (63). Leptin administration yielded a reverse pattern, i.e. it exerted a stronger impact in 559 female rats (63). These sex differences might be related to respective differences in body fat 560 storage. The amount of visceral fat, which is correlated with whole-body insulin resistance, is 561 by average proportionally higher in men than in women. On the other hand, women have 562 more of the metabolically favorable subcutaneous fat than men (382). However, it remains 563 unclear as to what extent this differential pattern contributes to brain insulin sensitivity and 564 resistance in humans. Animal data also suggest that estrogen signaling modulates the 565 brain's sensitivity to the impact of insulin on food intake (62). However post-menopausal and young women basically show comparable responses to acute intranasal insulin (214). 566 567 Interestingly, when administered after lunch intake, intranasal insulin intensifies satiety and 568 reduces rated palatability and consumption of chocolate cookies in healthy women (145) (Figure 7). This suggests that (in women) prandial insulin secretion acts as a satiety signal 569 570 that contributes above all to the regulation of the reward-related ('hedonic') aspect of food 571 intake. It is still unclear as to whether this also holds true for insulin effects in men. Nevertheless, this conclusion is supported by observations that intranasal insulin 572 573 administration changes activity of reward-processing brain circuitries (assessed in the fasted state) in normal-weight women (216) (for further evidence from neuroimaging studies, see 574 575 above).

576 Insulin and olfactory function

577 Central nervous insulin effects on eating behavior might also be mediated via changes in 578 olfactory function (185). Both hyperinsulinemia in the presence of fasting glucose levels (204) 579 and intranasal insulin administration (40) impair the performance in a standardized test of 580 olfactory function ("Sniffin' Sticks" task) in healthy men and women. However, in both 581 studies, the odors presented were not related to foods. It therefore remains to be established 582 whether the compromising effect of insulin on olfactory functions affects ongoing calorie 583 intake.

In sum, the preclinical data available on the contribution of brain insulin signaling to eating
behavior in humans suggest that intranasal insulin induces a reduction in energy intake and,
therefore, a catabolic net effect.

587

588

589

590 d) Brain insulin effects on cognition

591 Insulin administration improves declarative memory function

592 In parallel to the discovery that central nervous insulin administration has an impact on 593 metabolic control in humans, a number of experimental studies provided evidence that the 594 peptide moreover contributes to memory function. Particularly the formation of hippocampus-595 dependent memory contents is affected (131). (For more details on probing cognitive functions in humans, see supplementary material). The hippocampal formation is essential 596 597 for the formation and storage of declarative memory traces, i.e. memory for facts and events 598 that are accessible to conscious recollection (for review see (92)). Beneficial effects of 599 (intranasal) insulin administration to the brain on memory in healthy subjects have been 600 repeatedly reported (23-25, 202). In one of the first experiments, Kern and colleagues (202) 601 found that infusing healthy men with a higher (15 mU/kg*min) rather than a lower dose of 602 insulin (1.5 mU/kg*min) in an euglycemic clamp lasting for 360 min induced a relative 603 improvement in their ability to remember word lists, especially food-related and emotional 604 words. In addition to metabolic parameters, the above-mentioned study on the subchronic 605 effects of intranasal insulin also tested declarative memory in 38 normal-weight, young men 606 and women before and after eight weeks of insulin treatment (160 U/d). Lists of 30 nouns 607 (e.g., tree, father, chocolate) were presented aurally and had to be recalled immediately 608 afterwards and again one week later (23). This delayed recall of words was enhanced by 609 insulin (words recalled, placebo 2.92 ± 1.00, insulin 6.20 ± 1.03, Figure 7) whereas 610 immediate recall, non-declarative memory (assessed by a wordstem-priming task) and 611 selective attention (assessed by the Stroop task) were not altered. Respective improving 612 effects on delayed word list recall, albeit at a generally lower performance level, were found 613 in obese men who were also treated with intranasal insulin over a period of eight weeks 614 (143) (Figure 8).

When the fast-acting insulin analog insulin aspart was intranasally administered to a group of lean participants for eight weeks, they displayed even an enhanced improvement in delayed word recall in comparison to the group who had received regular insulin (24). This superior effect of insulin aspart might be attributed to the faster dissociation of its molecules from hexamers into monomers and dimers (36). This process accelerates absorption of the compound after subcutaneous delivery (196) and might also bring about improved and/or increased permeation into the central nervous compartment after intranasal uptake.

622 Immediate insulin effects on memory function

Intranasal insulin delivery can also induce acute improvements in memory function as illustrated by the observation that healthy young women perform tasks on verbal working memory (digit span) and hippocampus-dependent visuospatial memory (2-D object location) better after receiving 160 U of intranasal insulin than after placebo (25). This improvement in verbal working memory, a capacity mediated by frontal cortical areas (240), was likewise observed in postmenopausal women (214) after the same insulin dose. Acute memory629 improving effects of intranasal insulin were also observed in young men who memorized 630 associations between odors and object locations (41). Olfactory pathways directly project to 631 cortical areas and influence emotional processing and memory formation via amygdala and 632 hippocampus, respectively.

633 **Potential mediators of insulin's memory-improving properties**

634 The observation that both the olfactory bulb and the hippocampal formation express high 635 amounts of insulin receptors (365, 402) provided first clues as to the mechanisms behind 636 insulin's beneficial effect on declarative memory function. Experiments in differentiated 637 cultures of hippocampal neurons harvested in rats indicate a punctuate pattern of the 638 dendritic distribution of insulin receptors that is in accordance with synaptic localization (82, 639 403). Accordingly, insulin modulates synaptic plasticity in the hippocampus by stimulating 640 processes of long-term depression and potentiation, both of which are assumed to confer the 641 strength of a memory representation (103, 184) (e.g., ref. (223); for review see ref. (248)). 642 Moreover, insulin signaling enhances synaptic plasticity by increasing synapse density in 643 those brain regions that process visual input (55). Since sleep plays a crucial role in the 644 formation of memory traces, particularly in the declarative memory domain (286), the role of 645 central nervous insulin in the sleep-related formation of memory contents was investigated in 646 healthy men and women (102). In that study, insulin did not alter the retrieval of memories 647 learned before insulin administration and subsequent sleep, but impaired the acquisition of 648 new contents in both the declarative and procedural memory systems on the evening of the 649 subsequent day. This outcome suggests that sleep-associated memory consolidation is not a 650 primary mediator of insulin's acute memory-improving effect, but that the peptide acts on 651 mechanisms, which diminish the subsequent encoding of novel contents. Thus, insulin might 652 benefit memory function in healthy humans by reducing the interfering influence of newly 653 encoded information.

In its capacity as a growth factor, the peptide might also support neuronal survival (225) and trigger the release of neurotrophic factors from glial cells (106, 399). Neurotransmitter 656 systems with relevance for memory function, including norepinephrine and acetylcholine, 657 also receive insulinergic input (128). Although glucose supply of the CNS is generally 658 considered to be insulin-independent (101, 153, 319), insulin may also promote glucose 659 utilization in neuronal networks (e.g., (29)). In rodent experiments, hyperinsulinemia has 660 been observed to yield effects on glucose metabolism in structures such as the anterior 661 hypothalamus and the basolateral amygdala (95). Finally, intranasal insulin attenuates 662 cortisol secretion in normal-weight and obese participants (23, 143) and reduces the 663 response of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis to stress (33), the overactivation of which 664 is known to compromise hippocampal function (for review see (261)).

665 Central nervous insulin and emotional regulation

666 Evidence exists that central nervous insulin signaling affects not only cognitive function but 667 also emotional regulation. Lentivirus-mediated downregulation of hypothalamic insulin 668 receptors triggers depression- and anxiety-like behaviors in rats (135). Intranasal insulin 669 administration in mice not only enhances object-memory but also yields anxiolytic effects on 670 behavior (230). Interestingly, animals with diet-induced obesity and impaired glucose 671 tolerance did not show the respective effects (230), thus supporting the assumption that 672 obesity is associated with central nervous insulin resistance (see section VI). In experiments 673 in humans, 8-week intranasal insulin administration improved well-being and self-confidence 674 as rated on an adjective check list in normal-weight participants (23), whereas respective 675 effects in obese men were restricted to a slight reduction in self-rated feelings of anger (143).

Behavioral studies on the role of brain insulin action in cognitive function in humans indicate
an improving effect on short-term memory as well as on the long-term formation of memory
contents. These findings suggest that hippocampus-dependent memory processes benefit
particularly from insulin. Preliminary evidence moreover indicates that the peptide modulates
central nervous signaling pathways underlying emotional regulation.

25

682 e) Effect of brain insulin action on peripheral metabolism

683 The first animal models with genetically disturbed brain insulin signaling revealed that insulin 684 action in the brain has an influence on peripheral metabolism. Neuron-specific knockout of 685 the insulin receptor in mice not only caused obesity but also introduced whole-body insulin 686 resistance and hypertriglyceridemia (39). Over the following years, animal research 687 characterized both the involved neuronal structures and the peripheral tissues that are 688 regulated by insulin action in the brain (210). These include liver, skeletal muscle, and 689 adipose tissue. Insulin action in specific hypothalamic neurons suppresses endogenous 690 glucose production in the liver and leads to lower blood glucose (109, 259, 277). In skeletal 691 muscle, it promotes glucose uptake and storage as glycogen (69, 211, 275), which again 692 reduces blood glucose levels. In adipose tissue, brain insulin action inactivates hormone-693 sensitive lipase, induces expression of lipogenic proteins, and suppresses lipolysis thereby 694 promoting energy storage in adipocytes (70, 211, 311). However, particularly for the liver, the 695 relevance of these findings for larger organisms has been questioned. In studies in dogs, 696 some of the results obtained in rodents could not be replicated (129, 285).

697 *Effect of brain insulin action on peripheral insulin sensitivity as assessed in studies* 698 *applying intranasal insulin*

699 Up to now, brain insulin signaling has not been characterized as thoroughly in humans as in 700 animals. However, accumulating evidence suggests that brain insulin action contributes to 701 the modulation of peripheral metabolism in humans. One study used intranasal insulin to 702 investigate the postprandial situation in 19 healthy young men. When insulin spray was 703 administered before a mixed meal, postprandial blood insulin levels were, despite 704 comparable plasma glucose concentrations, significantly lower after insulin than after 705 placebo spray administration (19). One possible explanation for this unusual observation is 706 that brain insulin action improved peripheral insulin sensitivity. In this case, a smaller amount of circulating insulin would be needed to control blood glucose. 707

708 The contribution of brain insulin action to peripheral insulin sensitivity was first evaluated in a 709 study with over 100 participants that used the homeostasis model assessment of insulin 710 resistance (HOMA-IR) following intranasal insulin administration (165). HOMA-IR as an 711 estimation of whole-body insulin sensitivity derived from fasting blood glucose and insulin 712 concentrations (233). It thereby depends on plasma insulin concentrations. HOMA-IR is 713 therefore difficult to interpret when measured directly after intranasal insulin administration, 714 since small amounts of intranasally administered insulin are absorbed into the systemic 715 bloodstream (19, 23, 125, 140, 165, 171). However, since insulin has a short biological half-716 life of less than 10 minutes (353), this index is useful with some delay after nasal insulin 717 administration. At later points of time after nasal insulin application, HOMA-IR indeed 718 indicated improved whole-body insulin sensitivity (165).

719 Moreover, Heni and colleagues (171) used the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic glucose clamp 720 (85) to assess peripheral insulin sensitivity more precisely. To maintain euglycemia in ten 721 lean males, significantly more glucose had to be infused after intranasal insulin than after 722 placebo spray (171). In this type of clamp experiment, higher glucose infusion rate indicates 723 higher peripheral insulin sensitivity (85). Of note, the insulin-sensitizing effect observed after 724 intranasal insulin administration persisted until the end of the experiment after 2 hours. However, when the experiment was repeated in overweight males, no effect of intranasal 725 726 insulin was detected (171). This result may indicate that the relative brain insulin resistance 727 in obesity (see section below) disrupts the modulating effects on peripheral insulin sensitivity 728 and might contribute to whole-body insulin resistance such as is often found in obese 729 humans. To address the underlying mechanisms, this study also guantified both brain insulin 730 effects by fMRI and autonomous nervous system activity using heart rate variability. The 731 effect of nasal insulin on the peripheral metabolism was correlated with both hypothalamic 732 insulin effects and parasympathetic nervous system activity (171) (Figure 9). A spillover of 733 small amounts of nasal insulin into the circulation was also reported in this study. However, 734 the results did not change when the measured plasma insulin levels were taken into account 735 by calculating insulin sensitivity indices. Nevertheless, further studies are required to

experimentally clarify the contribution of spillover insulin when it acts directly in the bodyperiphery.

738 Effects of brain insulin action on glucose metabolism in the fasting state

739 While the above-mentioned study experimentally increased systemic insulin concentrations 740 to assess whole-body insulin sensitivity, three further studies on this topic were conducted 741 with nasal insulin under fasting insulin concentrations (78, 125, 267). Systemic 742 hyperinsulinemia, which is physiologically present after food intake, was absent in these 743 studies and one study even blocked portal insulin and glucagon by somatostatin infusion 744 (78). To further investigate whether intranasal insulin impacts systemic glucose metabolism, 745 Ott and colleagues studied lean men who underwent three experiments with repeated 746 intranasal spray application (every 15 minutes) for around six hours (267). In one session, 747 participants received placebo spray, whereas in the other sessions they repeatedly received 748 10U or 20U of the insulin analog aspart every 15 minutes, resulting in a total dose of 210U 749 and 420U, respectively. This paradigm of repeated intranasal administration of insulin aspart 750 caused a decline in blood glucose, a decrease in the circulating levels of endogenous insulin, 751 and an elevation of the counter-regulatory hormones cortisol and growth hormone. 752 Exogenous insulin (i.e., insulin aspart) also permeated into the circulation after repeated 753 intranasal administration. When this spillover of intranasal insulin was mimicked by iv 754 administration of insulin aspart, a comparable reduction in blood glucose was observed. This 755 indicated that intranasal insulin delivery to the CNS had no net impact on basal glucose levels in this study (267). Nevertheless, since no clamp was performed in that particular 756 757 study, subtle alterations in insulin or glucagon secretion might have emerged and 758 superimposed regulatory effects of the brain (97). Dash and coworkers (78) applied what is 759 known as a "pancreatic clamp", in which both portal insulin and glucagon are blocked by 760 somatostatin and systemically replaced at fasting concentrations (83). This study (78) 761 quantified endogenous glucose production by tracer dilution technique after nasal application 762 of the insulin analog lispro versus placebo in eight lean men. To mimic spillover, small 763 amounts of insulin lispro were administered intravenously concurrently with the placebo 764 spray. Three hours after nasal insulin lispro application, endogenous glucose production was 765 seen to be markedly suppressed and more glucose had to be infused to maintain 766 euglycemia. This reaction was not observed after placebo spray (78). These results show 767 that brain insulin action contributes to the regulation of endogenous glucose production, also 768 in a later postprandial state (79). The interpretation of these two clinical studies is somewhat 769 complicated by the fact that both used insulin analogs. While this approach facilitates the 770 differentiation between exogenous and endogenous insulin in the circulation, insulin analogs 771 might not necessarily induce the same brain responses as human insulin. In fact, previous 772 experiments in humans have yielded evidence that insulin analogs, unlike human insulin, can 773 induce stronger brain effects (for insulin aspart, see (24), for insulin detemir, see (146, 359)).

774 Effects of brain insulin action on the liver

775 Gancheva et al. (125) also assessed endogenous glucose production by tracer dilution 776 technique in ten lean persons and ten overweight patients with type 2 diabetes. This study 777 differed from that of Dash and coworkers (78) in that it did not infuse somatostatin. 778 Endogenous insulin and glucose were therefore not blocked. Furthermore, instead of an 779 insulin analog, Gancheva et al. (125) used human insulin as a nasal spray. The experiments 780 ended three hours after spray administration, which might explain why this work failed to 781 replicate the suppression of endogenous glucose production reported by Dash et al (78). 782 However, the study used MRI techniques to investigate liver metabolism and liver fat content. 783 In the group of lean subjects, intranasal insulin lowered liver fat content significantly, while a 784 bolus of iv insulin to mimic insulin spillover actually increased liver fat. This suggests that 785 peripheral and central insulin effects on liver fat might oppose each other. Further studies are 786 required to clarify which effect predominates under physiological conditions. Furthermore, the study detected an increase in hepatic ATP synthesis after nasal insulin, indicating that higher 787 788 mitochondrial activity is a possible mechanism underlying the liver fat findings. The effect 789 was found on neither liver fat nor ATP in the group of obese type 2 diabetes patients.

790 Effects of brain insulin action on lipolysis

791 Besides postprandial glucose control, animal research has also identified adipose tissue 792 metabolism as a further target of brain insulin action (70, 211, 311). The peripherally and 793 centrally mediated effects of insulin on adipose tissue appear to converge to play a joint 794 anabolic role. Peripheral insulin enhances fat storage by inducing de novo lipogenesis and 795 by inhibiting lipolysis in white adipose tissue (100, 339). Central nervous insulin similarly 796 reduces lipolysis and increases lipogenesis in animals (100, 211, 310). Accordingly, the 797 intranasal administration of 160 U insulin to healthy young men acutely suppressed the 798 circulating concentrations of free fatty acids and the rate of appearance of deuterated 799 glycerol (an estimate of lipolysis), without altering lipolytic protein expression in 800 subcutaneous adipose tissue (190). The observed anti-lipolytic effect of intranasal insulin 801 was confirmed in an independent sample of subjects, yielding a cumulative group size of 41 802 participants. Although adipose tissue is highly sensitive to even small alterations in insulin 803 levels (192), the slight spillover of intranasal insulin into the bloodstream was not 804 experimentally controlled for in that study. However, the detected reaction was statistically 805 independent of the circulating insulin levels. If and how obesity interacts with effects of insulin 806 on adipocyte metabolism has not yet been studied in humans. Moreover, since neither of the 807 recent studies that mimicked insulin spillover by an iv insulin bolus (78, 125) nor a study 808 under systemic hyperinsulinemia (170) has detected effects of nasal insulin on lipolysis, the 809 physiological contribution of brain insulin action to adipose tissue function requires further 810 investigation.

811 Effect of brain insulin action on thermogenesis, blood pressure and locomotor activity

Studies in mice have shown that central nervous insulin signaling increases sympathetic nervous system outflow to brown adipose tissue (283) and inhibits warm-sensitive neurons (303). Intranasal insulin, on the other hand, acutely enhances postprandial thermogenesis in healthy men (19). Related studies suggest that insulin-induced sympathoexcitation (80, 251) may also trigger increases in blood pressure (5, 201). Fittingly, acute intranasal 817 administration of 240 U insulin over a period of 120 min to healthy, normal-weight men 818 slightly increased diastolic and mean arterial blood pressure compared to placebo, 819 suggesting transient changes in the baroreflex set point. By contrast, eight weeks of 820 intranasal insulin administration, as described above, had no effect on blood pressure (21). 821 This suggests that potential clinical applications of long-term intranasal insulin delivery are 822 not associated with (adverse) effects on blood preasure. Moreover, murine studies indicate 823 that intracerebroventricular insulin administration enhances locomotor activity (172). 824 Although this effect has not yet been investigated in humans, it might add to the catabolic 825 impact of intranasal/central nervous insulin administration. Interestingly, obese mice did not 826 increase their physical activity after brain administration of insulin whereas their normal-827 weight counterparts did (172). This is in accordance with the concept that central nervous 828 insulin resistance is a pathophysiological trait in metabolic disorders.

829 Studies on the effect of brain insulin action on peripheral metabolism support the hypothesis 830 that following food intake, insulin from the pancreas reaches the brain via the bloodstream. It 831 then activates specific brain regions including frontal areas and the hypothalamus. By turn, 832 brain-derived signals may use autonomic outflows to improve peripheral insulin sensitivity 833 and to alter metabolic function in peripheral tissues. The latter promotes the postprandial 834 storage of nutrients, suppresses endogenous glucose production, and regulates hepatic 835 energy metabolism. Moreover, postprandial energy expenditure via thermogenesis is 836 increased by insulin. While the brain-derived effects on peripheral insulin sensitivity under 837 systemic hyperinsulinemia (171) and on liver fat (125) might be more rapid, the effect on 838 endogenous glucose production under fasting insulinemia might be considerably delayed 839 (79). Further research is required to account for these differences and to determine the 840 importance of each of these findings for human physiology. Furthermore, animal research 841 postulates that, in addition to peripheral insulin sensitivity, the brain modulates two further crucial mechanisms in the regulation of blood glucose. These are insulin secretion from 842 843 pancreatic beta cells (49, 52, 272, 293, 398) and glucose effectiveness, i.e. the insulin-844 independent uptake of glucose into tissues (316). Since neither of these two mechanisms has been investigated in humans to date, it should be addressed in further studies. These
physiological effects of insulin action on metabolism, eating behavior and cognition are
summarized in Figure 10.

848 IV. Brain insulin resistance

849 a) Brain insulin resistance in obesity

Due to the strong link between obesity and peripheral insulin resistance, the contribution of obesity-associated factors to brain insulin resistance is particularly worthy of investigation. To this end, neural activity in response to insulin is compared between normal-weight and overweight and obese persons. *Diminished brain insulin action in higher cognitive brain*

854 *regions in obesity*

855 In addition to alertness and attentiveness, behavioral paradigms capturing the neural 856 signature of memory-related processes revealed enhanced cortical activity in response to 857 increasing insulin levels, with an attenuated or even diminished response in overweight and 858 obese individuals. More specifically, the first study to evaluate brain insulin resistance in 859 obese adults via MEG showed that spontaneous and stimulated cortical activity within the 860 beta and theta frequency band increased during a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp in 861 normal-weight but not in obese participants (362). Increased theta activity was associated 862 with enhanced memory and improved cognitive performance (308), which might partly 863 explain memory-enhancing effects of insulin. Furthermore, when compared to normal-weight 864 individuals, obese patients demonstrated lower memory performance along with enhanced 865 prefrontal cortex activity to achieve a simple one-back memory task (160, 337). Failure to 866 modulate theta activity, together with the increased cognitive effort to perform memory tasks, 867 could be a predictor for cognitive dysfunction in obesity as age increases (138). Again using 868 MEG, increased evoked potentials were observed to food stimuli in higher visual brain areas 869 after intranasal insulin in the fusiform gyrus. Here again, this applied to normal-weight but not 870 overweight individuals (141). Apart from MEG studies, insulin-mediated changes within the 871 visual system were reported using fMRI, indicating that the fusiform gyrus in particular is 872 insulin-resistant in obese individuals (164). On the basis of the intrinsic state of an individual 873 (i.e. hunger versus satiated), a dissociable activity pattern emerges in the fusiform gyrus of 874 normal-weight insulin-sensitive individuals. This pattern showed reduced attention to high 875 caloric foods in the postprandial state with increased insulin levels (213). Similarly, in the 876 fasting state, the fusiform gyrus and surrounding regions are known to track the energy value 877 of food by responding to high caloric foods with an increase in activity and functional 878 connectivity within visual networks (115, 164, 205, 221, 326, 351). The relationship between 879 peripheral and central insulin resistance is such that subjects can also be stratified according 880 to their peripheral insulin sensitivity instead of using a continuous correlative measure. By 881 means of FDG-PET, peripherally insulin-sensitive men showed an increase in prefrontal 882 cortex and striatum glucose metabolism during a hypinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, while the 883 insulin-resistant men displayed a reduced response (6). The same applied to women suffering from polycystic ovary syndrome (PCO), a disease accompanied by peripheral 884 885 insulin resistance. Only the insulin-sensitive PCOS patients showed a significant prefrontal 886 cortex and striatal inhibition after glucose ingestion in response to food pictures (376). This 887 was also observed for normal-weight as opposed to obese individuals in response to glucose 888 (164) ingestion and intranasal insulin (220).

889 Brain insulin resistance is associated with success of life-style intervention

890 Working memory-related activity of the fusiform gyrus and prefrontal cortex were predictive 891 for the outcome of a lifestyle intervention study (160). Here, individuals who reduced their 892 BMI by approximately 7% after a 6-month dietary intervention showed increased activity in 893 the fusiform gyrus during a working memory paradigm using food stimuli. Non-responders, 894 by contrast, showed an increase in prefrontal cortex activity. In agreement with these results, 895 our group (361) identified a significant relationship between insulin-stimulated theta activity 896 using MEG and the amount of weight lost, as well as a reduction in the metabolically 897 unhealthy visceral adipose tissue (VAT) during lifestyle intervention. The greater the brain 898 insulin response prior to the lifestyle intervention, the more weight and VAT was lost by an 899 individual for up to 2 years after the intervention (Figure 11D). Interestingly, the insulin-900 stimulated hypothalamic response was also compromised in obese individuals (232), with 901 partial reversibility after massive reduction of body weight (369). Depending on the amount of 902 VAT, intranasal insulin strongly reduced the hypothalamic cerebral blood flow as measured 903 by fMRI (220) (Figure 11E). However, overweight and obese subjects with high VAT failed to 904 show this reduction in neural activity (220), indicating a relationship between brain insulin 905 resistance and metabolically unfavorable abdominal adiposity (336) (Figure 11). It is worth 906 bearing in mind that, independent of visceral and liver fat, high levels of circulating saturated 907 nonesterified free fatty acid were associated with diminished insulin effects on theta band 908 brain activity, suggesting that nonesterified free fatty acids are independent predictors of 909 brain insulin resistance (360). Hence, soluble factors such as fatty acids derived from 910 visceral fat could be one cause of cerebral insulin resistance, which may then aggravate 911 cerebral dysfunction.

912 Insulin-mediated brain function in morbidly obese individuals and after bariatric 913 surgery

914 In morbidly obese individuals, the dorsal striatal regions in particular react strongly to insulin 915 that has been elevated by a hyperinsulinemic euglygemic clamp (257). This insulin-916 stimulated increase in glucose metabolism, measured by FDG-PET, is reversed after 917 bariatric surgery (364). Functional connections of the cortico-striatal network, which are important for food reward (369) also showed a normalization of insulin-mediated brain 918 919 function after bariatric surgery. Failure to activate the lateral prefrontal cortex in response to 920 high caloric foods correlated significantly with the insulin-mediated response of the dorsal 921 striatum, giving the cortico-striatal brain network a prominent role in morbid obesity (257). 922 The prefrontal cortex, the striatum and the hypothalamus are key players in this network. 923 Besides the hypothalamus, the prefrontal cortex seems to be particularly prone to insulin 924 resistance. The insulin-stimulated prefrontal cortex response correlates significantly with peripheral insulin sensitivity assessed by an oral glucose tolerance test (oGTT). A negative correlation between prefrontal cortex activity and insulin levels was identified in insulinsensitive individuals (220). Furthermore, the insulin-induced activation pattern correlated positively with measures of cognition related to eating behavior. Hence, individuals susceptible to uncontrolled eating, together with a craving for food, showed insulin resistance in the prefrontal cortex. Similarly, as assessed by a hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (362) insulin-stimulated theta activity was positively correlated with peripheral insulin sensitivity.

932 Studies investigating brain insulin action in obesity revealed an attenuated or even 933 diminished response in overweight and obese individuals to both endogenous and 934 exogenous insulin stimulation. The hypothalamus, fusiform gyrus, striatal regions and 935 prefrontal cortex seem to be particularly vulnerable to obesity-associated insulin resistance 936 (see Figure 4 for details on insulin-sensitive regions). At present it is unclear as to whether 937 brain insulin resistance is a cause or consequence of obesity. Nonetheless, these studies 938 show that brain insulin resistance is highly relevant for peripheral metabolism and eating 939 behavior.

b) Brain insulin resistance and the influence of obesity- and diabetes-related risk genes

942 Insulin receptor substrate (IRS-1)

943 The first common single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) found to be associated with the 944 brain responds to insulin is located in the IRS-1 (insulin receptor substrate-1) locus. Together 945 with its isoforms, this adapter protein couples the insulin receptor to its signaling cascade 946 and is therefore crucial for molecular signal transduction when the insulin receptor is 947 activated (344). One polymorphism in this locus, SNP rs1801278, introduces a Gly927Arg 948 amino-acid exchange, thereby impairing the insulin signaling cascade (4). Initially identified 949 as a diabetes-risk polymorphism (321), this SNP also determines the brain's response to the 950 hyperinsulinemic euglycemic glucose clamp as assessed by MEG (as described in section III on insulin effects on global brain function). While beta-activity in non-risk allele carriers
responded to the increased insulin levels, a diminished response was observed in risk-allele
carriers. This is indicative of brain insulin resistance (362).

954 Fat-mass and obesity-associated gene (FTO)

Common variation in the *FTO* gene is the strongest genetic determinant for an increased BMI, explaining differences of up to 3 kg body weight (118). By predisposition to obesity and therefore to peripheral insulin resistance, variation in the gene region also increases the risk for type 2 diabetes (93, 118). In humans, the increased body weight is driven by an increase in food intake rather than in energy expenditure (48, 156, 250).

960 On the cellular level, recent research proposed that FTO obesity-risk variation is associated 961 with altered mitochondrial function and thereby with thermogenesis in adipose tissue (59). 962 This seems to be regulated via the functional connection with the distant genes IRX3/5. The 963 latter might be responsible for the weight effect rather than the FTO gene product itself (59, 964 282, 332). It is still not completely clear how these experimental findings relate to the 965 observations of food intake effects in multiple clinical studies (174, 334). It is possible that 966 adipose-tissue derived signals reach further organs to modulate function there. Specialized 967 neuronal subpopulations might also be affected by alterations of IRX3/5 in FTO risk allele 968 carriers. Indeed, obesity-risk polymorphisms in FTO are associated with expression levels of 969 *IRX3* in the human brain; the gene transcript potential responsible for *FTO* associations 970 (332). However, such mechanisms have not yet been conclusively tested beyond the 971 hypothalamus.

In humans, carriers of the *FTO* obesity risk allele show an attenuated satiation response after a meal, increased food intake and impulsivity (57) and cognitive restraint (71), indicating distinct differences in eating behavior. *FTO* is highly expressed within the brain, where expression levels are regulated by food intake (119). In terms of anatomy, healthy elderly risk allele carriers show reduced frontal (57) and occipital brain volume (177). Also functionally,
977 the FTO risk allele affects brain areas important for reward processing and food-cue 978 reactivity (215, 263, 389). Moreover, brain insulin reactivity is strongly attenuated in FTO risk 979 allele carriers (167, 198). In the postprandial state, neural food-cue reactivity showed a 980 pronounced reduction in prefrontal regions (167) and reward-associated brain regions such 981 as the striatum in FTO carriers using fMRI (198). While our group (167) found differences in 982 the postprandial state only, Karra et al. (198) also identified changes within the reward 983 system in response to food cues in the fasted and fed state. This indicates that the nutritional 984 status plays an important role in FTO-associated brain insulin resistance. The importance of 985 insulin sensitivity in the reward system was underscored by a recent study investigating an 986 interaction between the FTO gene and the dopamine D2 receptor gene ANKK1. A common 987 polymorphism in this locus determines the D2 receptor density (194). In rodent models, the 988 variation of the FTO gene has been shown to influence dopamine signaling such that a loss 989 of FTO selectively influences reward sensitivity and food intake in dopamine neurons (175). 990 Risk allele carries of the ANKK1 gene polymorphism show reduced D2 receptor density. 991 have an increased risk for substance abuse (66), attenuated neural response to palatable 992 food (104), and difficulties in losing and maintaining body weight (297, 395). Furthermore, the 993 FTO risk allele influenced D2 receptor dependent behavior and brain reward responses in 994 interaction with the ANKK1 variation (322). Regarding brain insulin action, the association of 995 the FTO SNP rs8050136 in the striatum depends on dopamine D2 receptor density as 996 determined by the ANKK1 polymorphism rs1800497. Carriers of both risk alleles have an 997 exaggerated striatal response to intranasal insulin, as well as increased body fat and 998 reduced peripheral insulin sensitivity (168). All in all, this suggests that carriers of both risk 999 alleles have an increased risk for obesity and type 2 diabetes. Moreover, insulin-stimulated 1000 beta activity as assessed by MEG was reduced in FTO allele carriers during a 1001 hyperinsulinemic clamp (362, 363). The FTO and IRS1 polymorphisms affected the beta 1002 frequency in particular. Until recently, this was regarded as being related mainly to motor-1003 related processes. However, several studies have shown that beta band activity is largely 1004 involved in attentional processing (99). Although the reduced insulin induced change for the

risk carriers may point to specific changes in the attentional control system, this assumptionis open to further investigation.

1007 Melanocortin receptor 4 (MC4R)

1008 One important receptor for cell-to-cell signaling in specific hypothalamic neurons is the 1009 melanocortin receptor 4 (MC4R). Following activation of the insulin receptor, the anorexigenic POMC-neurons release α -MSH, a peptide that activates the MC4R in 1010 secondary neurons. Genome-wide association studies have confirmed that polymorphisms in 1011 1012 the locus encoding for MC4R are associated with increased BMI, affecting energy 1013 homeostasis and peripheral insulin sensitivity (186, 249). One study in humans suggests that 1014 the MC4R polymorphism rs17782313 associates with impaired insulin action on theta brain 1015 activity (357). Notably, the long-term (6-week) intranasal administration of MSH/ACTH₄₋₁₀ 1016 reduced body weight and fat mass in normal-weight but not in overweight subjects (148). 1017 This indicates that overweight, in combination with brain insulin resistance, is also associated 1018 with reduced sensitivity to relevant central nervous downstream signals of insulin.

1019 Cannabinoid receptor 2 (CNR2)

1020 The endocannabinoid system is a regulatory network that contributes to the control of body 1021 weight, food intake, and whole-body energy metabolism. This system is also known to 1022 mediate some of insulin's metabolic effects (90). The endocanabinoids are a group of 1023 specialized lipids that usually transmit via two receptors (CNR1 and CNR2). Whereas the 1024 role of CNR1 in the brain was established some time ago (394), the expression of CNR2 was 1025 only recently identified in different brain cells and regions (264). However, carriers of SNP 1026 rs3123554 in the CNR2 gene showed reduced brain insulin sensitivity, which was indicated 1027 by attenuated insulin stimulated theta activity (203).

1028 The aforementioned obesity and diabetes risk gene carriers showed an attenuated insulin-1029 mediated response in beta and theta band brain activity as assessed by MEG and 1030 attenuated food-cue reactivity in the postprandial state in prefrontal and reward associated brain regions. This indicates that each genetic determinant for brain insulin resistance encompasses different neuronal systems. Since all these studies were carefully matched for BMI, sex and age, a genetically determined brain insulin resistance may be proposed. None of these genetic associations has yet been replicated in another cohort, presumably due to the complex techniques involved to quantify brain insulin action in humans. Such a replication is particularly necessary to verify results from hypothesis-free approaches.

1037 c) Brain insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes

1038 Hypothalamic insulin resistance in T2D patients is normalized after dietary restrictions

1039 So far, only very few studies have investigated the effect of insulin resistance in T2D on the 1040 homeostatic system of the brain controlling metabolism. By means of oral glucose ingestion, 1041 endogenous stimulated insulin typically induces a profound decrease in the hypothalamus 1042 (232, 330, 331). However, T2D patients fail to show this inhibitory hypothalamic response. In 1043 view of the fundamental role of the hypothalamus in energy homeostasis (378), this may 1044 contribute to the metabolic imbalance in these patients. Nonetheless, a very low caloric diet 1045 over a period of four days normalized the hypothalamic responsiveness to glucose ingestion 1046 in T2D patients (162, 346). This shows that short-term caloric restriction can be beneficial. The mechanism responsible for this hypothalamic normalization could be based on both 1047 glucose and insulin sensing neurons. Teeuwisse et al. (346) observed no significant 1048 1049 correlation between the peripheral increase in insulin and the hypothalamic response to 1050 glucose ingestion. This could be because the strong reaction of the glucose-sensing neurons 1051 masks the insulin-mediated effects. However, studies using the intranasal approach, which 1052 results in higher cerebral insulin concentrations, showed an insulin-mediated attenuation in 1053 hypothalamic activity in non-diabetic individuals (165, 216, 220). Caloric restriction could 1054 therefore lead to an increased sensitivity to hypothalamic glucose or insulin sensitive 1055 neurons. However, whether or not this normalization can be maintained in T2D patients has 1056 not yet been investigated. T2D patients who manage to adhere to their dietary restrictions on 1057 a more long-term scale show an enhanced response to food cues in reward associated brain regions. They therefore tend to be more successful in resisting the temptation to eat foodsthat are high in carbohydrates and fat (51).

1060 Brain insulin resistance in higher cognitive functional networks in T2D

1061 Functional connectivity is used for the characterization of brain networks in health and 1062 disease. Regions in the brain in which a consistent pattern of synchronous activity is found reflect a functional network (31, 42). In T2D patients, the disruption of functional connectivity 1063 is related to the severity of peripheral insulin resistance as well as of cognitive performance 1064 1065 (54, 252, 400). Functional connectivity between precuneus/posterior cingulate and frontal regions (Figure 3) was negatively associated with HOMA-IR (54, 252) and positively 1066 associated with verbal fluency performance (54). The latter reflects semantic memory 1067 1068 performance, which is a prominent characteristic in T2D cognitive dysfunctions (9, 10). 1069 Moreover, in T2D patients, less efficient executive functions, as assessed by the trail-making tests, and the degree of insulin resistance are related to reduced interhemispheric functional 1070 1071 connectivity in the temporal cortex (400). Interestingly, increasing CSF insulin by the 1072 administration of intranasal insulin normalizes these functional connectivity alterations. A 1073 single dose of 40U of insulin in older T2D patients acutely increased functional connectivity 1074 between the hippocampus and frontal regions, restoring complex neural networking that are 1075 important for higher cognitive functions (132, 401). In addition, verbal fluency and visual 1076 spatial memory tended to be higher after intranasal insulin application (256). In T2D subjects, 1077 who had received intranasal insulin, better cognitive performance correlated with the enhanced functional connectivity between the hippocampus and frontal regions (401). 1078 Intranasal insulin may therefore restore functional connectivity in higher cognitive regions, 1079 thereby improving memory and executive function. 1080

1081

1082 Effect of intranasal insulin on cerebral blood flow in T2D

1083 Intranasal insulin also enhanced regional perfusion in the insular cortex (256, 312). Similar to 1084 dementia patients, T2D individuals show reduced cerebral blood flow and cerebrovascular 1085 reactivity, particularly with advanced complications (38, 255). However, since the effects do 1086 not remain significant after correction for atrophy, the cerebral blood flow reduction could be 1087 related to brain atrophy (38, 302). Likewise, Rusinek et al. (298) found reduced cerebral 1088 blood flow in insulin resistant subjects but not in treated T2D individuals. This could be 1089 related to the efficient antidiabetic drug treatment. Nonetheless, intranasal insulin was able to 1090 increase cerebral blood flow (256, 312) and to vasodilate the middle cerebral artery, which is 1091 in accordance with the enhanced cognitive performance in T2D subjects (256).

1092 So far, only a small number of studies have investigated brain insulin action in T2D. These 1093 have mainly identified brain insulin resistance in those brain regions that are relevant for 1094 cognitive function. Since communication within and between brain hemispheres is essential 1095 for intact cognitive functions, measures of functional connectivity in T2D are of particular 1096 interest. Indeed, exogenous application of insulin to the brain is able to restore functional 1097 connectivity between the hippocampus and frontal regions. However, further studies are 1098 necessary to comprehend the development of brain insulin resistance in T2D. Currently, little 1099 is known as to whether other target regions of insulin action are prone to insulin-resistance 1100 in T2D and whether obesity-associated brain insulin resistance can be differentiated from T2D-related brain insulin resistance. 1101

1102 d) Brain insulin resistance and gestational diabetes

The developmental aspects of brain insulin resistance are largely unknown. However, it is well-established that the metabolic and psychological status of the pregnant mother can strongly influence the metabolic and cognitive development of the offspring. Gestational diabetes is therefore an interesting model for the study of brain insulin resistance. Typically, in insulin resistance, mothers with gestational diabetes expose the fetus to a hyperglycemic state, since glucose is transported to the fetus through the placenta. It is important to note, however, that maternal insulin does not cross the placenta. Nonetheless, the fetus itself

41

reacts to the increased glucose levels with increased insulin release. Due to the maternal hyperglycemic condition, this puts the fetus into a hyperinsulinemic and hyperglycemic state. This was already proposed by Pedersen (in 1952) to cause fetal macrosomia (274). Furthermore, the offspring of mothers with gestational diabetes have higher amniotic fluid insulin levels in utero as well as higher insulin and C-peptide levels in umbilical cord blood at birth (384). Since increased insulin resistance is present at birth, fetuses probably develop impaired insulin action while still *in utero* (46).

1117 Gestational diabetes and its long-term risks for mother and child

1118 Although gestational diabetes usually disappears immediately after birth, it is nevertheless 1119 associated with several adverse effects for both mother and child. A mother suffering from 1120 gestational diabetes has a higher risk of developing type 2 diabetes in later life (207). For the 1121 newborn offspring, there are immediate risks such as macrosomia, large for gestational age, 1122 perinatal mortality and cesarean delivery (136). Importantly, the maternal environment during 1123 gestational diabetes also increases the risk of the offspring for obesity and type 2 diabetes in 1124 later life (333). This increased risk is independent of genetic or environmental background 1125 (77). The detailed molecular mechanisms of such metabolic changes in the offspring of 1126 mothers with gestational diabetes have still not been well investigated. However, recent 1127 epidemiological and experimental data suggest that elevated insulin levels during perinatal 1128 life directly program the development of obesity and diabetes (117). Epigenetic mechanisms, which are labeled as a phenomenon of fetal programming (276) are therefore liable to play a 1129 very important role. As shown in animal studies, the change of insulin action in fetuses of 1130 1131 diabetic mothers affects not only the peripheral tissues, but also the development of the fetal 1132 central nervous system (139, 380). These studies mainly investigated hypothalamic changes 1133 and pointed out the adverse anatomical and functional effects of the hyperinsulinemic state.

1134

1135 Investigating the effect of maternal metabolism on the fetal brain using MEG

Fetal magnetoencephalography (fMEG) and magnetic resonance imaging are the two 1136 1137 methods by which the development of the human fetal brain can currently be assessed. As 1138 already mentioned, MEG is a non-invasive method by which biomagnetic fields can be 1139 assessed in the framework of studies on brain activity. Since the magnetic signals generated 1140 in the fetal brain can be recorded at the abdomen of the mother, this technique can also be 1141 used to record fetal neuronal signals directly (279). In the last trimester of gestation, the fetal 1142 brain is mature enough to respond to external stimuli and show distinctive spontaneous 1143 activity. Auditory stimulation is well suited to the investigation of functional fetal brain 1144 development. From around the 20th week of gestation, the fetus reacts to external sounds. It 1145 is therefore possible to record auditory evoked fields from this point onwards. Since the 1146 latency of the evoked fields decreases over gestation, it is used to assess the functional 1147 maturation (178). In a group of healthy pregnant women, the latency of the fetal auditory 1148 response decreases after a glucose challenge to the mother using an oGTT. In this study, the fetal auditory responses were recorded before, and 60 and 120 Minutes after glucose 1149 1150 ingestion. A significant latency decrease was observed 60 minutes post ingestion, whereas 1151 baseline levels were reached again after 120 minutes. The group of mothers was split according to their maternal insulin sensitivity (median split of HOMA-IR) for further analysis. 1152 1153 Interestingly, the insulin-sensitive group showed a stronger decrease in latency (228) (Figure 1154 12). In a follow-up study, fetal responses in pregnant woman with gestational diabetes were investigated. One hour after a glucose challenge, a decrease in latency was observed in the 1155 1156 fetuses of non-diabetic mothers. However, under baseline conditions, there was no difference in latency between fetuses of diabetic and non-diabetic mothers (229) (Figure 12). 1157 1158 If the mother had gestational diabetes, no endogenous insulin-induced decrease in latency 1159 was observed in her fetus. This indicates that the metabolic status of the mother interacts 1160 with the functional organization of the fetal brain. Under normal metabolic conditions, 1161 endogenous increase in glucose and insulin induces a latency decrease, which does not 1162 occur in mothers with a hyperinsulinemic and hyperglycemic state. Interestingly, no difference was observed in the baseline between the two groups until a challengecorresponding to a postprandial state was performed.

1165

1166 The metabolic and psychological condition of the pregnant mother can strongly influence the 1167 development of the offspring. Mothers with gestational diabetes expose the fetus to a hyperinsulinemic and hyperglycemic state. This also increases the offspring's risk of 1168 1169 developing obesity and type 2 diabetes in later life. By virtue of non-invasive imaging, and 1170 using latency of auditory evoked responses as a measure of functional maturation, fetal neural signals can be recorded directly to investigate functional fetal brain development. 1171 1172 Indeed, the latency of the fetal auditory response decreases after glucose challenge in 1173 healthy mothers, while insulin resistance and gestational diabetes diminishes such an 1174 insulin-induced response in the fetus. However, further studies are required to determine the 1175 functional significance of this effect and its possible outcome on long-term brain 1176 development.

1177 e) Brain insulin resistance in normal aging

1178 Aging has been associated with peripheral insulin resistance and the maintenance of insulin 1179 sensitivity has been observed in familial human longevity and centenarians (for review see 1180 (3)). As indicated in post-mortem brain studies, both cortical insulin levels as well as insulin 1181 receptor binding decrease as age increases (123). In comparison to healthy controls, AD 1182 brains displayed lower insulin signaling and insulin receptors (294, 335). Besides the direct 1183 effect on brain insulin signaling and receptor expression, the transport of insulin from the 1184 periphery to the brain might be responsible for brain insulin resistance in the elderly. Altered 1185 blood-brain barrier function for carrier-mediated transport systems have been observed in aging animals and humans (323). The ratio CSF/serum insulin is markedly related to whole-1186 body sensitivity. A reduced ratio in insulin resistant individuals provides evidence of an 1187 1188 altered transport of insulin across the blood-brain barrier (169, 306). Furthermore, by administering insulin directly into the ventricles, bypassing the blood-brain barrier, it waspossible to improve its action in aging mice (306).

1191 Brain insulin resistance with increased age in response to food-cues

In middle-aged adults, food-cue elicited brain activity in response to a meal diminishes with increasing age (50). This may reduce the satiety effect while increasing the risk for obesity in middle-aged adults. Concomitantly, increasing insulin levels by means of a hyperinsulinemic clamp failed to modulate beta activity evaluated by MEG with increased age, possibly resulting in increased attention towards food cues in the postprandial state (358).

1197 AD-like brain alterations in aging individuals with insulin resistance

1198 Even in older individuals without dementia, insulin resistant individuals and T2D patients 1199 showed more medial temporal lobe atrophy particularly in the hippocampus and amygdala 1200 (88). Moreover, the Leiden Longevity study reports microstructural brain changes with insulin 1201 resistance as assessed by oGTT. This is indicative of a loss of homogeneity of brain tissue 1202 with increasing age in insulin resistant individuals. Following glucose ingestion, increasing 1203 plasma glucose and insulin levels appear in an AD-like pattern in cognitively healthy adults (11, 189). In insulin sensitive participants, a reduction in FDG uptake and cerebral blood flow 1204 1205 (hypometabolism) was observed in AD-vulnerable regions. These regions include the frontal 1206 cortex, lateral parietal cortex and precuneus (189) (Figure 3). Similarly, in older patients with 1207 prediabetes and diabetes, peripheral insulin resistance correlated with the AD like pattern of 1208 reduced FDG uptake in the above-mentioned brain regions (11). Moreover, the Baltimore 1209 Longitudinal Study of Aging revealed that, in impaired glucose tolerance individuals, the 1210 cerebral blood flow declined more quickly with age (349). The population-based Mayo Clinic 1211 Study of Aging showed that an FDG-hypometabolism in AD brain regions was more common 1212 in older diabetes patients (295). These results suggest that high circulating insulin and insulin 1213 resistance are important contributors for neurodegenerative disease.

1214 Disrupted functional connectivity in AD-vulnerable regions

1215 A loss in functional connectivity within the default-mode network has been observed in 1216 patients suffering from dementia, T2D and obesity (Figure 3). Furthermore, as discussed in 1217 the sections above, evidence has accumulated that insulin resistance also affects these 1218 regions. This network is involved in higher cognitive functions including the hippocampus, 1219 posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus and prefrontal regions. A loss in connectivity within the 1220 default mode network could hence explain cognitive dysfunctions in obesity, T2D and 1221 dementia. The posterior cingulate cortex, a central hub of the default mode network, was 1222 also recently shown to be functionally closely connected to both the lateral as well as medial hypothalamus (218). This hub may therefore constitute a link between the networks 1223 1224 controlling metabolism and those responsible for cognition. Whether the default mode 1225 network can be modulated by centrally administrated insulin on account of its hypothalamic 1226 connections remains to be investigated. Studies in healthy young adults have shown that 1227 particularly higher cognitive brain regions are affected by obesity-associated brain insulin resistance, as discussed in section IV. Hence these regions constitute a potential overlap 1228 1229 between AD-vulnerable and cerebral insulin resistant brain areas (Figure 13).

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234 f) Brain insulin resistance in dementia and AD

1235 1) The role of insulin in Aβ metabolism

Human and animal cell culture and in-vivo animal studies indicate that insulin and insulin resistance play a prominent role in Aβ metabolism and, conversely, that Aβ affects brain insulin signaling (for review (56, 377)). Insulin regulates beta amyloid by reducing the phosphorylation of the amyloid precursor protein. It also increases anti-amyloidogenic 1240 proteins, such as the insulin degrading enzyme, a metalloprotease that catabolizes insulin. In 1241 addition to regulating peripheral insulin levels, insulin degrading enzyme is highly expressed 1242 in the brain and fosters A β clearance and intracellular degradation (56, 377). Reduced insulin 1243 degrading enzyme activity levels have been reported in AD patients as well as in the 1244 postmortem brain tissue of deceased AD patients (68). During the progression from MCI to 1245 AD, levels of insulin degrading enzyme continue to decrease, correlating inversely with AB levels. This endorses the notion that insulin degrading enzyme dysfunction is a prodromal 1246 1247 phenomenon of AD (404). Low CSF insulin levels and high peripheral insulin levels in AD 1248 (76) result in reduced A β clearance in the brain and the periphery. High insulin levels in the periphery may result in competitive inhibition of insulin degrading enzyme, thus preventing 1249 1250 Aß degradation. As a result, Aß accumulated in the periphery would cross the blood-brain 1251 barrier and access the brain (126, 377).

1252 Insulin counteracts the reduction in insulin receptors on dendritic surfaces (82) as well as on 1253 the serine phosphorylation of insulin receptor substrate-1 as induced by A β oligomers (34). 1254 The latter is known to inhibit downstream insulin signaling and induces peripheral insulin 1255 resistance (305, 403). Moreover, the peptide protects synapses against A^β oligomers by 1256 decreasing respective binding sites (82), thereby attenuating the detrimental effect of AB oligomers on neuronal survival (355). It is not surprising that in turn, insulin resistance 1257 1258 accelerates Aß production and facilitates its accumulation (for review see (81, 377)). Vice versa, Aβ oligomers impair insulin action by binding to insulin receptors, thereby disrupting 1259 the signaling capacity and down-regulating insulin receptors in the hippocampus (355, 403). 1260

1261 2) Association between peripheral insulin resistance and AD pathology

Only a small number of studies have used modern imaging techniques to investigate the relationship between peripheral insulin resistance and amyloid load in humans. In healthy late middle-aged adults with normoglycemia, higher peripheral insulin resistance correlated with higher PiB uptake. This is indicative of increased amyloid deposition (390). However, in a longitudinal study, which included PiB-PET data, multiple oGTTs, and postmortem brain 1267 slices, amyloid load was not found to be associated with either glucose intolerance or insulin 1268 resistance (350). Moreover, although hypometabolism of AD related regions was observed, 1269 there was no increase in amyloid load in T2D patients (295). This led to the conclusion that 1270 there is no coherent pattern between insulin resistance/T2D and amyloid load, which is 1271 supported by a cross-sectional study in subjects with AD or MCI (245). In these subjects T2D was associated with decreased frontal and parietal cortical thickness, but not with Aß 1272 concentrations in CSF. Remarkably, however, T2D was linked to increases in total and 1273 1274 phosphorylated microtubule-associated tau protein in CSF. As outlined above, hyperphosphorylation of tau, a hallmark and biomarker of AD pathology, leads to the 1275 1276 accumulation of neurofibrillary tangles and, consequently, to neuronal demise (281). Notably, 1277 insulin administration appears to counteract taupathy in AD (see below).

1278 In cognitively intact adults with pre-diabetes or T2D, peripheral insulin resistance is 1279 associated with reduced cerebral glucose metabolism in frontal, temporo-parietal, and 1280 cingulate areas (11) (brain regions displayed in Figure 3). Moreover, decreased peripheral 1281 insulin sensitivity has frequently been observed to be associated with MCI- and AD-related 1282 brain glucose hypometabolism, evaluated by FDG-PET (247, 260, 291, 349, 350, 377, 391). 1283 Interestingly, within the medial temporal lobe there appears to be a shift from hyper- to hypometabolism in MCI progressors. This is predicted by peripheral insulin resistance, while 1284 1285 those patients who have a stable MCI show no such shift (391).

Evidence has accumulated that measures of peripheral insulin resistance correspond to lower hippocampal volume in middle-aged to elderly individuals who are healthy (20) or who suffer from T2D (343), as well as in individuals at risk for AD (287, 392), due to genetic predisposition or family history. Concomitantly, peripheral insulin resistance has been associated with compromised cognitive function in these studies, i.e. verbal fluency (20), verbal learning (392) and executive function (343).

1292 **3.** Impact of central nervous insulin administration on AD pathology

1293 Craft and colleagues (74) were the first to show that acute and chronic exposure of a low dose of intranasal insulin (20 and 40 U) provides promising results in reducing 1294 1295 neuropathological changes in AD (further discussed in section V). These behavioral studies 1296 provide encouraging findings that increasing CSF insulin by intranasal application benefits 1297 cognitive function in MCI and early to moderate AD patients (60, 61, 74, 288-290). In an 1298 acute setting, verbal memory was tested 15 minutes after application of intranasal insulin. 1299 Insulin improved verbal memory in patients without the APOE £4 mutation, with the most 1300 pronounced effect being observed for the relatively low dose of 20U, whereas 60U were not 1301 effective (288, 289). Notably, in carriers of the APOE £4 allele insulin remained without effect 1302 or even impaired performance. It is not known if this difference is related to the stronger 1303 association between insulin resistance and AD observed in patients without risk allele in 1304 comparison to risk allele carriers (76). Insulin might even aggravate impairments in central 1305 nervous glucose metabolism, such as is the case in carriers of the APOE ε 4-positive genotype (292). 1306

1307 In a pilot study with 24 patients, 21 days of 20U insulin administration modulated Aβ levels in 1308 the CSF, and improved attention and verbal memory (290). For the latter measurement, 1309 participants were requested to recall a story containing 44 informational bits immediately and again after a 20-minute delay. In a follow-up study, 104 patients with MCI or moderate AD 1310 1311 underwent 4 months of treatment with either 20 or 40U of intranasal insulin or placebo (74). 1312 Both insulin doses preserved caregiver-rated functional ability (such as orientation, judgement, social interaction, home activities etc.) and preserved general cognition as 1313 1314 assessed by the Alzheimer's Assessment Scale. The improvement in episodic memory due to 20U-insulin treatment was still present 2 months after the intervention had been 1315 1316 completed. Of note, changes in memory and functional ability were related to changes in Aß and tau protein (74). Remarkably, 4 months treatment with intranasal insulin minimized the 1317 progression of reduced FDG-uptake in AD-vulnerable brain regions such as the precuneus 1318 1319 and frontal and parietal areas (74). So far, this is the only study using brain-imaging techniques to evaluate brain insulin action in AD patients. Craft and colleagues further 1320

1321 analyzed the insulin-induced behavioral improvements of this cohort on the basis of sex and 1322 APOE ε4 genotype (61). Only men showed improvements in delayed story recall after being 1323 administered 20U of insulin for 4 months. This sex difference was most pronounced in the group of APOE £4 non-carriers. In accordance with the effects of acute intranasal insulin 1324 1325 administration (288, 289), performance of APOE £4 female carriers who had received the 40U-insulin treatment even deteriorated (61). Interestingly, in APOE ε4 carriers, Claxton and 1326 1327 coworkers found beneficial effects on verbal and spatial memory using 40U of insulin detemir 1328 (a long-acting human insulin analogue) but also an improvement in peripheral insulin 1329 sensitivity (see also below) (60).

Besides insulin's role in A β clearance and production, insulin resistance also exacerbates neurodegeneration by hyperphosphorylation of tau protein to form neurofibrillary tangles (245). Four months of intranasal insulin application changed the tau protein to A β ratio in CSF (74). Furthermore, when intranasally applied to mice, insulin attenuated hyperphosphorylation of tau-promoting brain insulin signaling (53). Such effects might be mediated by changes in glycogen-synthase kinase-3-beta, which inhibits tau phosphorylation (180).

g) Inflammation as a potential shared pathophysiology of metabolic and cognitive disorders

1339 Role of inflammation in brain insulin resistance

Inflammation occurs in the brain and the periphery to defend the body against multiple threats. It involves soluble factors and specialized cells that are mobilized to restore normal body physiology. Chronic inflammation, however, is detrimental as it leads to tissue damage and degenerative diseases. In the brain, glial cells (including the insulin-responsive astrocytes (161) and microglia) become activated, thereby increasing the production of inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin (IL) 6 and IL-1346 IB. In AD patients, these inflammatory mediators are significantly higher in the blood and 1347 CSF (105, 340). Hyperinsulinemia facilitates brain inflammatory responses and influences 1348 AD pathology by increasing A β oligomers (73, 110). These, in turn, inhibit insulin receptor substrate by activating TNF- α (105). Conversely, β oligomers can also activate microglia, 1349 1350 secreting pro-inflammatory cytokines (377). Chronic low-grade inflammation of the periphery 1351 is also a key characteristic of obesity and T2D and originates from increased adipose tissue. Pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as TNF- α , are upregulated in adipose tissue in obese 1352 1353 individuals and can cause peripheral insulin resistance (182, 183). Elevated TNF- α can 1354 interfere with insulin signaling by hindering intracellular actions of insulin. Blocking TNF- α in the obese mouse ameliorates insulin sensitivity and glucose homeostasis (for review see 1355 (105)). In the "obese brain", hypothalamic inflammation and gliosis are particularly prevalent 1356 1357 during a high-fat diet. While these salient findings were mainly revealed in diet-induced 1358 obesity rodent models, a few modern imaging studies also detected gliosis in humans (for 1359 review see (94)). With increasing BMI, gliosis was observed in the mediobasal hypothalamus (348). In response to intranasal insulin, hypothalamic insulin signaling was impaired in young 1360 1361 adults with high amounts of visceral adipose tissue (220) (Figure 11E), which is considered a 1362 highly inflamed adipose tissue. Furthermore, measures of hypothalamic structural integrity were inversely correlated with systemic inflammation (47, 280). Even more importantly, 1363 increased damage was correlated with impaired cognitive performance (280). At the same 1364 1365 time, chronic inflammation in AD and older patients with T2D is related to cognitive decline and brain atrophy and vasoregulation (44, 58, 271). 1366

All in all, inflammation has the potential to be a shared mechanism between metabolicdisorders and AD (for recent review see (105)).

With increasing age, both peripheral as well as central insulin sensitivity declines. Older individuals with peripheral insulin resistance are more prone to show an AD-like brain pattern (Figure 3). This is characterized by reduced cerebral blood flow (i.e. hypometabolism) and a reduction in brain tissue, mainly in the frontal, temporal, lateral parietal cortices and precuneus. In young adults, obesity-associated brain insulin resistance displays an overlap 1374 with AD affected regions mainly in the prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (Figure 13). Higher 1375 circulating insulin levels and insulin resistance could therefore be a possible mediator of 1376 neurodegenerative ailments. Indeed, cell culture studies have shown that insulin plays a 1377 prominent role in beta amyloid metabolism. However, no consistent pattern was observed 1378 between amyloid load and peripheral insulin resistance. Other hallmarks of AD, such as glucose hypometabolism and a loss in brain tissue are nevertheless strongly associated with 1379 1380 peripheral insulin resistance. Furthermore, first evidence exists that intranasal insulin can 1381 minimize the progression of glucose hypometabolism in AD-vulnerable regions.

1382

1383 V. Therapeutic advances in treating brain insulin resistance

1384 a) Effect of lifestyle intervention on brain insulin sensitivity

1385 There are no studies available on the effects of lifestyle intervention specifically on human 1386 brain insulin sensitivity. However, it can be assumed that interventions which improve peripheral insulin sensitivity of muscle and liver also enhance insulin action in the brain. 1387 1388 Exercise and weight reduction are known to influence whole body insulin sensitivity. Thus, 1389 diabetes prevention studies, such as the Diabetes Prevention Program, have conclusively shown that an increase in the amount of physical activity and a reduction of body weight by 1390 1391 caloric restriction improves whole body insulin sensitivity (209). However, it remains to be seen whether weight loss and increased physical activity by lifestyle intervention can also 1392 1393 improve or even reverse brain insulin resistance. As outlined above, we propose that brain 1394 insulin resistance is imprinted on the fetal brain. Such brain insulin resistance, if developed in 1395 early life, might not be treatable by lifestyle intervention. By contrast, brain insulin sensitivity 1396 may determine the success of a lifestyle intervention. Indeed, individuals with high cerebral 1397 insulin sensitivity were able to reduce their body fat, in particular visceral fat, more effectively during a lifestyle intervention than individuals with a low cerebral insulin sensitivity (361). 1398 1399 Cerebral insulin sensitivity is liable to influence cognitive control of food intake, i.e., the ability

to intentionally restrain eating behavior and successfully lose weight (160). In addition, cerebral insulin sensitivity might not only affect the behavioral aspects that determine the success of lifestyle intervention, but also directly modulate metabolic processes. In this respect, it is important to note that hypothalamic insulin sensitivity is associated with the brain-derived modulation of peripheral metabolism (171) as well as the amount of visceral fat (220), and may therefore directly influence visceral fat.

1406 Since bariatric surgery has the most dramatic weight-lowering effect, it is interesting to study 1407 brain function in individuals before and after such interventions. Evidence exists that obesity-1408 associated alterations in brain activity can be reversed by bariatric surgery (116, 314). 1409 However, at present there is no evidence to suggest that these beneficial effects lead to an 1410 increased central nervous insulin sensitivity. So far, only one study has shown that massive 1411 weight reduction in obese humans at least partially corrects the dysfunctional activity in 1412 response to glucose in specific brain areas such as the hypothalamus (369). One might 1413 speculate that this outcome is related to improved insulin sensitivity in the respective central 1414 nervous areas after weight loss.

1415 b) Therapeutic advances in treating brain insulin resistance

1416 Brain effects of anti-diabetic drugs in T2D

1417 Whole body insulin resistance is improved by insulin sensitizers such as metformin or PPAR 1418 gamma agonists. Hyperglycemia is also known to induce insulin resistance. Agents such as 1419 sulfonlyureas, GLP-1 agonists, DPP-4 inhibitors and SGLT2 inhibitors therefore improve 1420 whole body insulin sensitivity by lowering glycemia. One might speculate that agents that 1421 improve whole body insulin resistance also improve brain insulin resistance thereby 1422 secondarily further improving systemic glucose metabolism. Specific brain imaging studies to 1423 assess the direct impact of anti-diabetic drugs on the brain will be necessary to confirm this 1424 assumption. However, the influence of antihyperglycemic agents specifically on brain insulin 1425 sensitivity has not yet been investigated, and only very of the few human studies available

1426 show that antidiabetic drugs have a direct influence on brain function. Several of these 1427 studies have examined GLP-1 agonists. GLP-1 receptors in the brain, in particular in the 1428 arcuate nucleus, are known from animal studies to be a prerequisite for the anorectic effect 1429 of GLP-1 agonist treatment (329). The influence of peripherally injected GLP-1 agonist on 1430 brain function in humans, in particular on brain areas involved in the regulation of hunger and satiety, has been demonstrated using fMRI and PET brain imaging techniques (368). GLP-1 1431 1432 agonist exenatide decreased food intake and food-related brain responses in T2D patients 1433 and obese subjects in the insula, amygdala, putamen, and orbitofrontal cortex. Interestingly, these effects could be blocked by exenidin 9-39, a pharmacological GLP-1 receptor 1434 1435 antagonist (367). In addition, in patients with type 2 diabetes, CNS activation in response to 1436 food pictures was reduced after meal intake in patients with type 2 diabetes (347). This 1437 postprandial reduction in brain activation was prevented by exenidin 9-39 infusion. In 1438 accordance with these findings, our group recently showed that postprandially elevated endogenous GLP-1 levels are associated with a suppression of activity in the orbitofrontal 1439 1440 cortex, a brain area that regulates hunger and satiety. Notably, some of these endogenous GLP-1 effects appear to be independent of brain insulin action (163). 1441

1442 Brain effects of insulin-sensitizing diabetes drugs in AD

1443 As discussed above, patients with AD show impaired insulin signaling in specific brain areas 1444 like the hippocampus (342). Since brain insulin resistance is believed to be an early and common feature of Alzheimer's disease, the treatment of AD-related brain insulin resistance 1445 with insulin sensitizers or with insulin itself seems to be a worthwhile approach. Small pilot 1446 studies in humans suggest that the insulin-sensitizing PPAR gamma agonists may preserve 1447 or improve cognitive function in Alzheimer's disease (307, 383), probably via improved 1448 insulin signalling in the brain. Animal studies have provided evidence that neuronal 1449 1450 pathologies induced by impaired central nervous insulin signalling can be prevented by the use of the GLP-1 receptor agonist exendin-4 (34). Likewise, the GLP-1 receptor agonist 1451 1452 liraglutide has been found to ameliorate neuropathology and improve cognitive function in an AD mouse model (149). Its efficiency in patients with AD is currently under investigation (98). Against the background of these pilot studies, which indicate that activation of GLP-1 receptors enhances brain insulin signalling and counteract memory impairments, boosting GLP-1 signalling might be considered a helpful tool in the treatment pf AD (341).

1457 Intranasal insulin as a treatment

1458 **Overcoming peripheral insulin resistance by intranasal insulin**

1459 In peripheral tissues, insulin itself can overcome insulin resistance when administered in 1460 appropriate doses. In the brain, proof-of-concept studies indicate that intranasal insulin might also hold some potential in the clinical setting. Eight weeks of intranasal insulin treatment 1461 1462 reduced body weight and body fat content in healthy men but not in women (144), whereas 1463 obese men did not lose body fat during intranasal insulin treatment but still showed improved 1464 declarative memory performance (Figure 8) (143). Short-term studies show that a single 1465 dose of intranasal insulin reduces free fatty acid levels (190) and improves peripheral insulin sensitivity as measured by the hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamp technique (171). In 1466 1467 addition, increases in hypothalamic and parasympathetic output in normal-weight but not obese men have been recorded (171). More specifically, intranasal insulin improved 1468 peripheral insulin sensitivity in this study. This effect was correlated with the high frequency 1469 band of heart rate variability, an estimate of the parasympathetic output, and insulin-1470 1471 stimulated hypothalamic activity (171). This provides further evidence that peripheral and 1472 central insulin sensitivity are highly linked processes. Notably, these effects were found in 1473 normal-weight participants whereas in accordance with the concept of central nervous insulin 1474 resistance, overweight and obese subjects did not show respective effects (143, 171). It is 1475 therefore unclear at present as to whether or not improved paradigms of intranasal insulin administration constitute a suitable means of overcoming central nervous insulin resistance 1476 1477 in the obese or diabetic state.

1478 Beneficial effects of intranasal insulin in developmental delayed children

1479 The 22q13 deletion syndrome (Phelan-McDermid syndrome) is characterized by global 1480 developmental delay, absent or delayed speech, generalized hypotonia, autistic behavior 1481 and a characteristic phenotype. In an exploratory clinical trial (313), children suffering from 1482 this syndrome received intranasal insulin (maximal dose of 0.5-1.5 U/kg*day) for twelve 1483 months, which is, to our knowledge, the longest duration of intranasal insulin delivery tested 1484 up to now. These children appeared to benefit from insulin delivery in their parent-assessed 1485 motor development, cognitive function and spontaneous activity. The beneficial effect of 1486 intranasal insulin on cognitive function has been discussed in detail in a recent review (325). 1487 Results supporting the notion that intranasal insulin could become an effective means of 1488 treating Alzheimer's disease have been described above and moreover have been 1489 extensively reviewed elsewhere (120).

1490 Effect of the insulin analogue detemir

1491 Due to its pharmacokinetic properties, the long-acting insulin analogue detemir may have a 1492 more pronounced effect on the brain than on the rest of the body. Detemir has a weight-1493 sparing role in the treatment of diabetes compared to other insulins (122). It has been 1494 proposed that this is the consequence of detemir action on the central nervous system, 1495 where it mediates reduced energy intake (299). Animal studies indicate that insulin detemir has a tissue-selective action, with a relative preference for brain (173). The time course and 1496 1497 extent of insulin signaling in peripheral tissues were similar following the treatment with insulin detemir and with human insulin. However, insulin signaling in hypothalamic and 1498 cerebrocortical tissue occurred more quickly and was enhanced on account of a higher 1499 insulin detemir concentration in the brain. This was accompanied by an increased cortical 1500 1501 activity, as measured by epidural EEG in mice with detemir treatment (173). In humans, 1502 acute euglycemic infusion with detemir rather than human insulin exerts a stronger EEG-1503 assessed brain effect and reduces food intake while inducing similar systemic effects (146). Importantly, in obese humans, the somewhat weaker impact of systemic, euglycemic insulin 1504 1505 infusion on the brain in comparison to lean individuals can be restored by insulin detemir 1506 (359). In two studies in patients with type 1 diabetes, the effects of chronic detemir therapy 1507 on the brain were studied by means of PET and fMRI (372-374). In comparison to NPH 1508 insulin, detemir showed a weight-sparing effect (121) and increased activiation in appetite-1509 regulating brain regions. One recent study investigated intranasal administration of insulin 1510 detemir over a period of 3 weeks in older subjects with cognitive impairment. It reported 1511 improved peripheral insulin resistance in subjects with one or two APOE-4 alleles, a genetic 1512 variant associated with Alzheimer's disease. Surprisingly, however, participants without the 1513 APOE-4 allele showed a deterioration in peripheral insulin sensitivity (60). Taken together, 1514 these results provide the first promising evidence that insulin analogues with brain-affine 1515 action profiles such as insulin detemir may be able to at least temporarily overcome insulin 1516 resistance associated with metabolic and cognitive impairments. In addition, when other 1517 insulin analogues such as insulin aspart were used, brain responses were different than for 1518 human insulin, which is reported earlier in this review (24).

In summary, treating brain insulin resistance with pharmacologic agents that – directly or indirectly - improve brain insulin signaling would seem to be a promising approach in the prevention and/or treatment of both metabolic diseases and cognitive impairments. Current evidence for respective effects in humans is strongest for insulin and insulin analogs when administered specifically to the brain via the intranasal pathway.

1524 VI. Concluding remarks

1525 As outlined in this review, there are strong indications that brain insulin resistance is a shared pathological feature of the metabolic and cognitive disturbances found in obesity, T2D and 1526 1527 dementia (for overview see Figure 2). Recent neuroimaging studies using exogenous and 1528 endogenous insulin stimulation have probed the sensitivity of central nervous pathways to insulin and have revealed remarkable effects on hypothalamus, frontal cortex, limbic regions 1529 1530 and the hippocampus including its surrounding gyri (Figure 4). Accordingly, brain insulin 1531 action can be assumed to influence homeostatic, reward-related and higher cognitive brain 1532 functions, as reflected by multiple behavioral and metabolic effects. Studies on eating behavior show that central insulin administration inhibits food intake and reduces body weight particularly in men, which suggests a sex-specific component to insulin effects on eating behavior. Olfaction, emotional regulation and cognition are similarly affected by brain insulin action, with particularly relevant beneficial effects on memory function. Brain insulin action mediates whole-body metabolism with immediate effects on blood glucose and FFAs and long-term effects on energy storage in multiple organs presumably by altering the autonomic nervous system function (summarized in Figure 10) (for recent review see (166)).

1540 Brain insulin resistance in humans was first described in obese individuals. Unlike normal-1541 weight subjects, obese show a diminished neural response to insulin in higher cognitive brain 1542 regions, with the severity of bran insulin resistance determining the success of life-style 1543 intervention. Recent findings suggest that especially metabolically unfavorable abdominal 1544 adipose tissue is particularly associated with brain insulin resistance in the hypothalamus 1545 and higher cognitive brain regions (Figure 11). Similarly, T2D patients show brain insulin 1546 resistance in the above-mentioned brain regions, with normalization after dietary restriction. 1547 However, it is currently unclear whether brain insulin resistance is a cause or consequence 1548 of obesity/T2D. Some studies point to a genetic predisposition for brain insulin resistance, 1549 with the obesity-associated FTO variants being the most extensively studied genetic determinant. Other factors known to influence brain insulin sensitivity/resistance are age and 1550 1551 inflammation. Considering that it is a key characteristic of both metabolic disorders and AD, 1552 chronic inflammation in particular might represent a mechanism shared by these two afflictions. Inflammatory mediators have adverse effects on beta amyloid metabolism and 1553 1554 some studies in humans point to hypothalamic inflammation in obesity. With increasing age, 1555 insulin signaling in the periphery and the central nervous system decreases. Individuals with 1556 relatively diminished brain insulin sensitivity have a particularly high risk for an AD-like brain pattern (Figure 3), and so insulin resistance is more liable to be a mediator of 1557 neurodegeneration. In AD, insulin plays a prominent role in beta amyloid metabolism, albeit 1558 1559 no coherent pattern has been observed between peripheral insulin resistance and amyloid 1560 load in the brain. Nonetheless, brain glucose hypometabolism and lower hippocampal volume, hallmarks of AD, are strongly associated with peripheral insulin resistance. Moreover, first evidence of alterations in functional connectivity point to network-related rather than merely localized changes due to brain insulin resistance. In their capacity as a common neural signature linking metabolic and cognitive dysfunctions, connections between the default mode network and hypothalamus network should receive more attention in future studies.

1567 Increasing insulin signaling in the brain by intranasal administration of the hormone reduces 1568 neuropathological changes in AD and induces beneficial effects on cognitive function in 1569 patients with MCI and early to moderate AD, with the APOE E4 mutation as a potential 1570 genetic determinant of cognitive improvements. Hence, counteracting brain insulin resistance 1571 by improving insulin signaling could be promising in treating or preventing cognitive 1572 dysfunctions. Moreover, intranasal administration of insulin and insulin analogues might be 1573 an efficient means of overcoming brain insulin resistance in the obese or diabetic state. 1574 Short-term studies have shown an improvement in peripheral insulin sensitivity and a 1575 reduction in FFAs. Up to now, only a small number of studies have investigated the effects of 1576 long-term intranasal insulin administration. These indicated weight-loss in normal-weight, but 1577 not obese men. Longitudinal studies on brain insulin action in humans are therefore urgently required. There are, notably, already first indications that maternal metabolism significantly 1578 1579 influences brain insulin signaling in the fetus, suggesting that the metabolic status of the 1580 mother interacts with the functional organization of the fetal brain. These results show that central nervous insulin signaling is relevant - and malleable - even at very early stages of 1581 1582 development. They also suggest that brain insulin signaling is a promising target for 1583 interventions aiming at the prevention and treatment of metabolic and cognitive disorders.

1584 VII. Future perspectives

While brain insulin resistance can certainly be considered a common trait or potential link between obesity, T2D, and dementia, there is still no evidence on the trajectory of brain insulin resistance. Whereas dementia usually affects cognitive target regions of insulin action, obesity-associated brain insulin resistance has been predominantly traced in homeostatic and reward-processing areas. Hypothalamic insulin resistance may therefore be a precursor of brain insulin resistance in regions relevant for cognitive function. Alternatively, obesity and dementia/aging-associated brain insulin resistance may be independent processes. In the light of the plethora of functional connections in the brain and the loss of functional connectivity in T2D and dementia, insulin resistance in one region possibly alters other target regions over time. To tie up such loose ends, studies investigating brain insulin action need to cover the patients' whole life span. Preliminary findings in the human fetus suggest that brain insulin resistance plays a role in prenatal development. Hence, it is conceivable that brain insulin resistance is a cause rather than a consequence of obesity/T2D and that it is perhaps even a precursor to dementia. Recent therapeutic progress in treating brain insulin resistance with intranasal insulin sparks hope that the related decline in cognitive functions can be halted. Whether metabolic consequences of insulin resistance can also be treated by boosting brain insulin action is currently unclear. However, the findings summarized in this review clearly call for a thorough investigation of this question in the near future.

1612

1613

- 1614
- 1615

1616

1617

ants

The study was supported in part by a grant from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) to the German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD e.V.), by the Helmholtz Alliance ICEMED - *Imaging and Curing Environmental Metabolic Diseases*, through the Initiative and Network Fund of the Helmholtz Association, by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under Grant Agreement 607310 (Nudgeit) and by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Grant SFB 654 Plasticity and Sleep,

1625 Disclosures

1626 The authors declared no conflict of interest

1627

1628

- 1629
- 1630

1631

1632

1633

1634

- 1635
- 1636

1637

1638

1639 Figure legends

Figure 1. Insulin receptor distribution in the rat brain as assessed using ¹²⁵I-labeled insulin. 1640 1641 Circulating insulin, released into the blood stream by pancreatic beta cells, is transported into 1642 the brain via a saturable receptor-mediated pathway. As seen on the color-coded 1643 reconstruction of the audioradiographs (left), insulin binds to its abundantly distributed 1644 receptors in the olfactory bulb, cerebral cortex, hippocampus, hypothalamus, amygdala and septum, thereby inducing a number of central nervous as well as peripheral effects (see 1645 1646 section III). Abbreviations on line drawings of brain section (right): CA1, CA1 of the 1647 hippocampus; Cg, cingulate cortex; CG, central grey; DG, dentate gyrus; ENT, entorhinal 1648 cortex; SNR, substantia nigra; Str, striate cortex; SU, subiculum (adapted from (176)).

Figure 2. Overview of the central role of brain insulin resistance in cognitive and metabolic disturbances. Brain insulin resistance has been identified in obesity and T2D as well as in normal aging and dementia. Brain insulin resistance is associated with peripheral metabolic dysfunctions and with cognitive decline particularly with regard to memory function (as discussed in section IV). Factors such as adipose tissue, hormones, nutrition, certain genetic mutations, overnutrition and age are associated with brain insulin resistance.

Figure 3. **Overview of brain regions mainly affected by dementia.** Brain regions within the default mode network are particularly vulnerable to aging and dementia. They are the first to develop amyloid deposition, show decreased glucose metabolism and a loss in functional

connectivity. The disruption of functional connectivity and reduced cerebral glucose 1658 1659 metabolism in these regions is related to the severity of peripheral insulin resistance and cognitive impairment. Hence, a potential overlap between brain regions associated with 1660 1661 peripheral insulin resistance and AD has been proposed. Moreover, a loss in functional 1662 connectivity within this network has been observed in T2D and obesity. The default mode 1663 network is essential for higher cognitive functions like memory-related processes including the precuneus/posterior cingulate cortex, lateral temporal cortex, prefrontal regions and the 1664 1665 hippocampus. The displayed brain regions associated with AD are a schematic overview of 1666 the default mode network on a standard anatomical image.

Figure 4. Insulin-sensitive brain regions and their functions displayed on a standard 1667 1668 anatomical template. Modern neuroimaging studies have revealed a significant insulin-1669 induced brain response, mainly in the fusiform gyrus (white), prefrontal cortex (green and 1670 cyan), hippocampus (red), striatum (yellow), insular cortex (blue) and the hypothalamus (violet). For this purpose, oral glucose ingestion, the clamp technique or intranasal insulin 1671 1672 application were used. To distinguish task-specific effects, insulin-stimulated brain activity is evaluated under spontaneous (resting-state) conditions or in response to particular tasks 1673 recruiting different cognitive domains such as memory. The hypothalamus, fusiform gyrus, 1674 striatal regions and the prefrontal cortex appear to be particularly vulnerable to obesity-1675 associated insulin resistance. General functions of the displayed areas include: 1676 hypothalamus (violet), control of vital bodily functions such as food and fluid homeostasis; 1677 1678 striatum (yellow), reward-related behavior including food reward; insular cortex (blue), the anterior part of the insula is the primary taste cortex of the brain, contributing to the gustatory 1679 1680 perception represented by taste, smell and the visual input of food; fusiform gyrus (blue), 1681 visual attention, recognition of visual stimuli including food cues; hippocampus (red), memory 1682 function, spatial navigation; lateral prefrontal cortex (bright green), cognitive function 1683 including inhibitory control of eating behavior; orbitofrontal cortex and anterior cingulate 1684 cortex (cyan), reward/motivation-based decision-making.

1685 Figure 5. Hypothalamus functional connectivity network. The hypothalamus has been 1686 extensively studied on account of its its fundamental role in physiological processes essential 1687 for survival and the control of vital bodily functions. Rodent models have provided us with a 1688 particularly detailed blueprint of the hypothalamic insulin-signaling pathway, revealing a 1689 profound regulatory influence of hypothalamic subregions in energy intake and feeding behavior. However, in humans, the specific role of different hypothalamic nuclei in insulin 1690 1691 signaling in humans so far has remained obscure. While the resolution of anatomical MRI is 1692 sufficient to distinguish lateral and medial subregions of the hypothalamus, their functional dissection is much more challenging. Recent efforts to investigate the functional connections 1693 1694 of the hypothalamus suggest that the medial and lateral hypothalamus tap into different parts 1695 of the dopaminergic fronto-striatal circuitry. This figure shows that both the lateral and medial 1696 hypothalamus (LH and MH), in humans, are functionally connected with reward-processing 1697 regions as well as higher cognitive brain regions such as the posterior cingulate cortex/precuneus, prefrontal cortex and hippocampus. The hypothalamus network is overlaid 1698 1699 on a standard anatomical template (adapted from (218)).

Figure 6. Intranasal insulin administration reduces body weight and fat in healthy, normal-weight men. Average body weight (±SEM) during 8 weeks of intranasal insulin or placebo administration and in a follow-up examination (left panel) and body fat (±SEM) after 8 weeks of treatment (right panel). One group of subjects received 160 U of insulin per day (black circles/bar), the other group received placebo (white circles/bar). Values are baselineadjusted as derived from analyses of covariance. n=12 for each group, * p ≤ 0.05. (adapted from (144)).

Figure 7. Postprandial intranasal insulin administration reduces appetite and snack intake in healthy women. (A) Mean (± SEM) appetite ratings on visual analog scales anchored at 0 and 100 given by female participants who received insulin (160 U; black dots and solid lines; n=15) at 1300 h (nose symbol) and control subjects (placebo administration; white dots and dashed lines; n=15). Standard lunch (~400 kcal) was consumed at 1230 h and snacks were offered at 1505 h. **(B)** Mean (\pm SEM) snack intake (kcal) assessed at 1505 h under the pretext of a taste rating session in the placebo group (white bars) and the insulin group (black bars). Three different types of cookies were offered. **(C)** Mean (\pm SEM) snack palatability rated on visual analog scales anchored at 0 (not palatable) and 100 (highly palatable) during the snack test at 1505 h. * p < 0.05 for comparisons between groups (ttests). Adapted from (145).

Figure 8. Intranasal insulin improves declarative memory in normal-weight and obese subjects. Mean sum scores in a delayed recall of words (e.g., car, tree, chocolate) learned one week earlier in (A) normal-weight (both groups, n = 19) and (B) obese subjects (both groups, n = 15) assessed after eight weeks of intranasal administration of regular human insulin (160 U/day, black bars) or placebo (white bars). Baseline-adjusted means \pm SEM are indicated. * P ≤ 0.05, for pairwise comparisons between groups. Adapted from (A) (22) and (B) (143).

1725 Figure 9. Intranasal insulin administration improves whole-body insulin sensitivity. In 1726 this experiment, peripheral insulin sensitivity was assessed by hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic 1727 glucose clamp in combination with intranasal insulin administration. A) Change in peripheral 1728 insulin sensitivity after intranasal insulin application is associated with hypothalamic activity in response to intranasal insulin. The hypothalamus is marked in red on a standardized brain. 1729 1730 The scatter plot shows the change in insulin sensitivity index in eight lean and three obese participants from before to after intranasal insulin application. This is plotted against 1731 hypothalamic activity after intranasal insulin adjusted for baseline. B) Change in peripheral 1732 insulin sensitivity after intranasal insulin application. In lean participants, insulin sensitivity 1733 improved more significantly after application of insulin than after placebo spray application. In 1734 obese participants, no difference was detected between insulin and placebo spray. 1735 1736 Improvement in the insulin sensitivity index different significantly between lean and obese participants. Data are mean ±SEM. Adapted from (171) 1737

1738 Figure 10. Summary of physiological and behavioral effects of brain insulin action. 1739 Mainly by means of intranasal insulin, numerous advantages effects of brain insulin on 1740 metabolism and cognition have been identified. Most notably, brain insulin action inhibits 1741 food intake, reduces body weight, increases peripheral insulin sensitivity, decreases 1742 gluconeogenesis and lipolysis in the fasting state and increases postprandial thermogenesis. Furthermore, brain insulin action has been identified as a predictor for successful weight loss 1743 1744 success. With respect to cognitive function, brain insulin action improves visual and spatial 1745 episodic memory, working memory as well as declarative memory. Brain insulin action has also been shown to improve mood and counteract cognitive dysfunction in dementia. More 1746 1747 details on these effects can be found in section III.

1748 Figure 11. Brain insulin sensitivity is associated with visceral adipose tissue. A) 1749 Segmentation of visceral (VAT) and subcutaneous fat using magnetic resonance imaging 1750 (MRI) by means of a T1-weighted contrast. B) Sketch of a magnetoencephalograph (MEG) 1751 depicting the superconducting sensors that measure the magnetic field generated by the 1752 electric activity of neurons. C) Hypothalamic brain activity in response to intranasal insulin 1753 compared to placebo in 25 lean and 23 overweight/obese adults overlayed on a standardized 1754 brain (adapted from (220)). D) High brain insulin sensitivity before lifestyle intervention is associated with loss in visceral adipose tissue after 9 months of lifestyle intervention. Scatter 1755 1756 plot shows that changes in VAT in 28 participants correlated negatively with insulinstimulated cortical theta activity at baseline, as measured by MEG during a 1757 hyperinsulinaemic-euglacaemic clamp (adapted from (361)). E) Scatter plot shows a 1758 1759 significant positive correlation between the change in hypothalamic activity 15 min after 1760 insulin application and VAT adjusted for other fat compartments in 25 lean and 23 1761 overweight/obese participants (adapted from (220)).

Figure 12. Maternal insulin sensitivity is associated with oral glucose-induced changes in fetal brain activity. A) Schematic of fetal biomagnetic field recording. Magentic fields generated by electrical currents in the fetus are recorded by highly sensitive magnetic

66

1765 sensors (SQUID) (for further details please see supplements) B) Pregnant mother seated on 1766 the fetal MEG device. Auditory stimulation is delivered by an air-filled balloon positioned 1767 between mother and recording device, which is well suited to the investigation of fetal brain 1768 development. Since it decreases over gestation, the latency of the evoked fields is used to 1769 assess the functional maturation. C) Graphs show maternal glucose and insulin levels and 1770 fetal response latencies during an oral glucose tolerance test in insulin-sensitive and insulin-1771 resistant mothers as well as in mothers with gestational diabetes (GDM). The latency of the 1772 fetal auditory response decreases after a glucose challenge to the healthy mother. The endogenous insulin-induced decrease in latency was not observed in the mothers of fetuses 1773 1774 with gestational diabetes indicating that the metabolic status of the mother interacts with the 1775 functional organization of the fetal brain. Data are shown as mean±SEM (adapted from (228, 1776 229)).

1777 Figure 13. An overview of brain regions particularly affected by cerebral insulin resistance in 1778 response to endogenous or exogenous insulin stimulation (in blue). These regions include 1779 the prefrontal cortex, fusiform gyrus, hippocampus, striatum, insular cortex and the 1780 hypothalamus. The functional details of these regions are explained in figure 4. There is an 1781 overlap between regions affected by cerebral insulin resistance and AD especially in the 1782 prefrontal cortex and hippocampus (indicated by dashed circles). The displayed brain regions 1783 associated with cerebral insulin resistance are a schematic overview on a standard 1784 anatomical image.

1785

1786

1787

1788

1789

1790

1791

1792

- 1793
- 1794

1795

1796

1797 References

1798 1. Air EL, Benoit SC, Blake Smith KA, Clegg DJ, and Woods SC. Acute third ventricular 1799 administration of insulin decreases food intake in two paradigms. Pharmacol Biochem Behav 72: 423-1800 429, 2002. 1801 2. Air EL, Benoit SC, Clegg DJ, Seeley RJ, and Woods SC. Insulin and leptin combine additively to 1802 reduce food intake and body weight in rats. Endocrinology 143: 2449-2452, 2002. 1803 Akintola AA, and van Heemst D. Insulin, aging, and the brain: mechanisms and implications. 3. 1804 Frontiers in endocrinology 6: 13, 2015. 1805 4. Almind K, Inoue G, Pedersen O, and Kahn CR. A common amino acid polymorphism in insulin 1806 receptor substrate-1 causes impaired insulin signaling. Evidence from transfection studies. J Clin 1807 Invest 97: 2569-2575, 1996. 1808 5. Anderson EA, Hoffman RP, Balon TW, Sinkey CA, and Mark AL. Hyperinsulinemia produces 1809 both sympathetic neural activation and vasodilation in normal humans. J Clin Invest 87: 2246-2252, 1991. 1810 1811 Anthony K, Reed LJ, Dunn JT, Bingham E, Hopkins D, Marsden PK, and Amiel SA. 6. 1812 Attenuation of insulin-evoked responses in brain networks controlling appetite and reward in insulin 1813 resistance: the cerebral basis for impaired control of food intake in metabolic syndrome? Diabetes 1814 55: 2986-2992, 2006. 1815 Apostolova LG, Hwang KS, Andrawis JP, Green AE, Babakchanian S, Morra JH, Cummings JL, 7. 1816 Toga AW, Trojanowski JQ, Shaw LM, Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, Aisen PS, Jagust WJ, Koeppe RA, 1817 Mathis CA, Weiner MW, Thompson PM, and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I. 3D PIB and CSF 1818 biomarker associations with hippocampal atrophy in ADNI subjects. Neurobiol Aging 31: 1284-1303, 1819 2010. 1820 Arbelaez AM, Su Y, Thomas JB, Hauch AC, Hershey T, and Ances BM. Comparison of regional 8. 1821 cerebral blood flow responses to hypoglycemia using pulsed arterial spin labeling and positron 1822 emission tomography. PLoS One 8: e60085, 2013. 1823 9. Arvanitakis Z, Wilson RS, and Bennett DA. Diabetes mellitus, dementia, and cognitive 1824 function in older persons. The journal of nutrition, health & aging 10: 287-291, 2006. 1825 10. Arvanitakis Z, Wilson RS, Li Y, Aggarwal NT, and Bennett DA. Diabetes and function in 1826 different cognitive systems in older individuals without dementia. Diabetes Care 29: 560-565, 2006. 1827 Baker LD, Cross DJ, Minoshima S, Belongia D, Watson GS, and Craft S. Insulin resistance and 11. 1828 Alzheimer-like reductions in regional cerebral glucose metabolism for cognitively normal adults with 1829 prediabetes or early type 2 diabetes. Arch Neurol 68: 51-57, 2011. 1830 12. Banks WA, Jaspan JB, Huang W, and Kastin AJ. Transport of insulin across the blood-brain 1831 barrier: saturability at euglycemic doses of insulin. Peptides 18: 1423-1429, 1997.

1832 13. Banks WA, Owen JB, and Erickson MA. Insulin in the brain: there and back again. Pharmacology & therapeutics 136: 82-93, 2012. 1833 1834 Barnes J, Bartlett JW, van de Pol LA, Loy CT, Scahill RI, Frost C, Thompson P, and Fox NC. A 14. meta-analysis of hippocampal atrophy rates in Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 30: 1711-1723, 1835 1836 2009. 1837 15. Baskin DG, Brewitt B, Davidson DA, Corp E, Paquette T, Figlewicz DP, Lewellen TK, Graham 1838 MK, Woods SG, and Dorsa DM. Quantitative autoradiographic evidence for insulin receptors in the 1839 choroid plexus of the rat brain. Diabetes 35: 246-249, 1986. 1840 16. Baskin DG, Porte D, Jr., Guest K, and Dorsa DM. Regional concentrations of insulin in the rat 1841 brain. Endocrinology 112: 898-903, 1983. Baura GD, Foster DM, Porte D, Jr., Kahn SE, Bergman RN, Cobelli C, and Schwartz MW. 1842 17. 1843 Saturable transport of insulin from plasma into the central nervous system of dogs in vivo. A 1844 mechanism for regulated insulin delivery to the brain. J Clin Invest 92: 1824-1830, 1993. 1845 18. Begg DP. Insulin transport into the brain and cerebrospinal fluid. Vitamins and hormones 98: 1846 229-248, 2015. 1847 Benedict C, Brede S, Schioth HB, Lehnert H, Schultes B, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Intranasal 19. 1848 insulin enhances postprandial thermogenesis and lowers postprandial serum insulin levels in healthy 1849 men. Diabetes 60: 114-118, 2011. 1850 20. Benedict C, Brooks SJ, Kullberg J, Burgos J, Kempton MJ, Nordenskjold R, Nylander R, 1851 Kilander L, Craft S, Larsson EM, Johansson L, Ahlstrom H, Lind L, and Schioth HB. Impaired insulin 1852 sensitivity as indexed by the HOMA score is associated with deficits in verbal fluency and temporal 1853 lobe gray matter volume in the elderly. *Diabetes Care* 35: 488-494, 2012. 1854 Benedict C, Dodt C, Hallschmid M, Lepiorz M, Fehm HL, Born J, and Kern W. Immediate but 21. 1855 not long-term intranasal administration of insulin raises blood pressure in human beings. Metabolism 1856 54: 1356-1361, 2005. 1857 22. Benedict C, Frey WH, 2nd, Schioth HB, Schultes B, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Intranasal 1858 insulin as a therapeutic option in the treatment of cognitive impairments. Exp Gerontol 46: 112-115, 1859 2011. 1860 23. Benedict C, Hallschmid M, Hatke A, Schultes B, Fehm HL, Born J, and Kern W. Intranasal insulin improves memory in humans. Psychoneuroendocrinology 29: 1326-1334, 2004. 1861 1862 Benedict C, Hallschmid M, Schmitz K, Schultes B, Ratter F, Fehm HL, Born J, and Kern W. 24. 1863 Intranasal insulin improves memory in humans: superiority of insulin aspart. 1864 Neuropsychopharmacology 32: 239-243, 2007. 1865 25. Benedict C, Kern W, Schultes B, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Differential sensitivity of men and 1866 women to anorexigenic and memory-improving effects of intranasal insulin. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 1867 93: 1339-1344, 2008. 1868 26. Benedict L, Nelson CA, Schunk E, Sullwold K, and Seaquist ER. Effect of insulin on the brain 1869 activity obtained during visual and memory tasks in healthy human subjects. *Neuroendocrinology* 83: 1870 20-26, 2006. 1871 27. Benito-Leon J, Mitchell AJ, Hernandez-Gallego J, and Bermejo-Pareja F. Obesity and 1872 impaired cognitive functioning in the elderly: a population-based cross-sectional study (NEDICES). Eur 1873 J Neurol 20: 899-906, e876-897, 2013. Berthoud HR. The neurobiology of food intake in an obesogenic environment. Proc Nutr Soc 1874 28. 1875 1-10, 2012. 1876 29. Bingham EM, Hopkins D, Smith D, Pernet A, Hallett W, Reed L, Marsden PK, and Amiel SA. 1877 The role of insulin in human brain glucose metabolism: an 18fluoro-deoxyglucose positron emission 1878 tomography study. *Diabetes* 51: 3384-3390, 2002. 1879 Bischof GN, and Park DC. Obesity and Aging: Consequences for Cognition, Brain Structure, 30. 1880 and Brain Function. Psychosom Med 77: 697-709, 2015. 1881 Biswal BB, Mennes M, Zuo XN, Gohel S, Kelly C, Smith SM, Beckmann CF, Adelstein JS, 31. 1882 Buckner RL, Colcombe S, Dogonowski AM, Ernst M, Fair D, Hampson M, Hoptman MJ, Hyde JS, 1883 Kiviniemi VJ, Kotter R, Li SJ, Lin CP, Lowe MJ, Mackay C, Madden DJ, Madsen KH, Margulies DS, 1884 Mayberg HS, McMahon K, Monk CS, Mostofsky SH, Nagel BJ, Pekar JJ, Peltier SJ, Petersen SE, Riedl

1885 V, Rombouts SA, Rypma B, Schlaggar BL, Schmidt S, Seidler RD, Siegle GJ, Sorg C, Teng GJ, Veijola J, 1886 Villringer A, Walter M, Wang L, Weng XC, Whitfield-Gabrieli S, Williamson P, Windischberger C, 1887 Zang YF, Zhang HY, Castellanos FX, and Milham MP. Toward discovery science of human brain 1888 function. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 4734-4739, 2010. 1889 Bjorgaas M, Sand T, Vik T, and Jorde R. Quantitative EEG during controlled hypoglycaemia in 32. 1890 diabetic and non-diabetic children. Diabet Med 15: 30-37, 1998. 1891 33. Bohringer A, Schwabe L, Richter S, and Schachinger H. Intranasal insulin attenuates the 1892 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis response to psychosocial stress. Psychoneuroendocrinology 33: 1893 1394-1400, 2008. 1894 34. Bomfim TR, Forny-Germano L, Sathler LB, Brito-Moreira J, Houzel JC, Decker H, Silverman 1895 MA, Kazi H, Melo HM, McClean PL, Holscher C, Arnold SE, Talbot K, Klein WL, Munoz DP, Ferreira 1896 ST, and De Felice FG. An anti-diabetes agent protects the mouse brain from defective insulin 1897 signaling caused by Alzheimer's disease- associated Abeta oligomers. J Clin Invest 122: 1339-1353, 1898 2012. 1899 35. Borg WP, Sherwin RS, During MJ, Borg MA, and Shulman GI. Local ventromedial 1900 hypothalamus glucopenia triggers counterregulatory hormone release. Diabetes 44: 180-184, 1995. 1901 Brange J, and Volund A. Insulin analogs with improved pharmacokinetic profiles. Advanced 36. 1902 *drug delivery reviews* 35: 307-335, 1999. 1903 37. Brown LM, Clegg DJ, Benoit SC, and Woods SC. Intraventricular insulin and leptin reduce 1904 food intake and body weight in C57BL/6J mice. Physiol Behav 89: 687-691, 2006. 1905 38. Brundel M, Kappelle LJ, and Biessels GJ. Brain imaging in type 2 diabetes. Eur 1906 Neuropsychopharmacol 2014. 1907 Bruning JC, Gautam D, Burks DJ, Gillette J, Schubert M, Orban PC, Klein R, Krone W, Muller-39. 1908 Wieland D, and Kahn CR. Role of brain insulin receptor in control of body weight and reproduction. 1909 Science 289: 2122-2125, 2000. 1910 40. Brunner YF, Benedict C, and Freiherr J. Intranasal insulin reduces olfactory sensitivity in 1911 normosmic humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 98: E1626-1630, 2013. 1912 41. Brunner YF, Kofoet A, Benedict C, and Freiherr J. Central insulin administration improves 1913 odor-cued reactivation of spatial memory in young men. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 100: 212-219, 2015. 1914 42. Buckner RL, Andrews-Hanna JR, and Schacter DL. The brain's default network: anatomy, 1915 function, and relevance to disease. Ann N Y Acad Sci 1124: 1-38, 2008. 1916 Buzsaki G. Theta oscillations in the hippocampus. *Neuron* 33: 325-340, 2002. 43. 1917 44. Cagnin A, Gerhard A, and Banati RB. In vivo imaging of neuroinflammation. Eur 1918 Neuropsychopharmacol 12: 581-586, 2002. 1919 45. Card JP. Hypothalamus. In: Encyclopedia of Life SciencesNature Publishing Group, 2002. 1920 46. Catalano PM, Presley L, Minium J, and Hauguel-de Mouzon S. Fetuses of obese mothers 1921 develop insulin resistance in utero. Diabetes Care 32: 1076-1080, 2009. 1922 47. Cazettes F, Cohen JI, Yau PL, Talbot H, and Convit A. Obesity-mediated inflammation may 1923 damage the brain circuit that regulates food intake. Brain Res 1373: 101-109, 2011. 1924 48. Cecil JE, Tavendale R, Watt P, Hetherington MM, and Palmer CN. An obesity-associated FTO 1925 gene variant and increased energy intake in children. N Engl J Med 359: 2558-2566, 2008. 1926 49. Chan O, and Sherwin RS. Hypothalamic regulation of glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. 1927 Diabetes 61: 564-565, 2012. 1928 Cheah YS, Lee S, Ashoor G, Nathan Y, Reed LJ, Zelaya FO, Brammer MJ, and Amiel SA. 50. 1929 Ageing diminishes the modulation of human brain responses to visual food cues by meal ingestion. 1930 Int J Obes (Lond) 38: 1186-1192, 2014. 1931 51. Chechlacz M, Rotshtein P, Klamer S, Porubska K, Higgs S, Booth D, Fritsche A, Preissl H, 1932 Abele H, Birbaumer N, and Nouwen A. Diabetes dietary management alters responses to food 1933 pictures in brain regions associated with motivation and emotion: a functional magnetic resonance 1934 imaging study. Diabetologia 52: 524-533, 2009. 1935 52. Chen M, Woods SC, and Porte D, Jr. Effect of cerebral intraventricular insulin on pancreatic 1936 insulin secretion in the dog. *Diabetes* 24: 910-914, 1975.

1937 53. Chen Y, Run X, Liang Z, Zhao Y, Dai CL, Igbal K, Liu F, and Gong CX. Intranasal insulin 1938 prevents anesthesia-induced hyperphosphorylation of tau in 3xTg-AD mice. Front Aging Neurosci 6: 1939 100, 2014. 1940 Chen YC, Jiao Y, Cui Y, Shang SA, Ding J, Feng Y, Song W, Ju SH, and Teng GJ. Aberrant brain 54. 1941 functional connectivity related to insulin resistance in type 2 diabetes: a resting-state fMRI study. 1942 Diabetes Care 37: 1689-1696, 2014. 1943 55. Chiu SL, Chen CM, and Cline HT. Insulin receptor signaling regulates synapse number, 1944 dendritic plasticity, and circuit function in vivo. Neuron 58: 708-719, 2008. 1945 56. Cholerton B, Baker LD, and Craft S. Insulin, cognition, and dementia. Eur J Pharmacol 719: 1946 170-179, 2013. 1947 57. Chuang YF, Tanaka T, Beason-Held LL, An Y, Terracciano A, Sutin AR, Kraut M, Singleton AB, 1948 Resnick SM, and Thambisetty M. FTO genotype and aging: pleiotropic longitudinal effects on 1949 adiposity, brain function, impulsivity and diet. Mol Psychiatry 20: 133-139, 2015. 1950 58. Chung CC, Pimentel D, Jor'dan AJ, Hao Y, Milberg W, and Novak V. Inflammation-associated 1951 declines in cerebral vasoreactivity and cognition in type 2 diabetes. *Neurology* 85: 450-458, 2015. 1952 Claussnitzer M, Dankel SN, Kim KH, Quon G, Meuleman W, Haugen C, Glunk V, Sousa IS, 59. 1953 Beaudry JL, Puviindran V, Abdennur NA, Liu J, Svensson PA, Hsu YH, Drucker DJ, Mellgren G, Hui CC, 1954 Hauner H, and Kellis M. FTO Obesity Variant Circuitry and Adipocyte Browning in Humans. N Engl J 1955 Med 373: 895-907, 2015. 1956 60. Claxton A, Baker LD, Hanson A, Trittschuh EH, Cholerton B, Morgan A, Callaghan M, 1957 Arbuckle M, Behl C, and Craft S. Long Acting Intranasal Insulin Detemir Improves Cognition for Adults 1958 with Mild Cognitive Impairment or Early-Stage Alzheimer's Disease Dementia. J Alzheimers Dis 45: 1959 1269-1270, 2015. Claxton A, Baker LD, Wilkinson CW, Trittschuh EH, Chapman D, Watson GS, Cholerton B, 1960 61. Plymate SR, Arbuckle M, and Craft S. Sex and ApoE genotype differences in treatment response to 1961 1962 two doses of intranasal insulin in adults with mild cognitive impairment or Alzheimer's disease. J 1963 Alzheimers Dis 35: 789-797, 2013. 1964 62. Clegg DJ, Brown LM, Woods SC, and Benoit SC. Gonadal hormones determine sensitivity to 1965 central leptin and insulin. Diabetes 55: 978-987, 2006. 1966 63. Clegg DJ, Riedy CA, Smith KA, Benoit SC, and Woods SC. Differential sensitivity to central 1967 leptin and insulin in male and female rats. Diabetes 52: 682-687, 2003. 1968 Coenen VA, Panksepp J, Hurwitz TA, Urbach H, and Madler B. Human medial forebrain 64. 1969 bundle (MFB) and anterior thalamic radiation (ATR): imaging of two major subcortical pathways and 1970 the dynamic balance of opposite affects in understanding depression. J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci 1971 24: 223-236, 2012. 1972 65. Cohen AD, and Klunk WE. Early detection of Alzheimer's disease using PiB and FDG PET. 1973 Neurobiol Dis 72 Pt A: 117-122, 2014. 1974 Comings DE, Muhleman D, Ahn C, Gysin R, and Flanagan SD. The dopamine D2 receptor 66. 1975 gene: a genetic risk factor in substance abuse. Drug Alcohol Depend 34: 175-180, 1994. 1976 67. Convit A, Wolf OT, Tarshish C, and de Leon MJ. Reduced glucose tolerance is associated with 1977 poor memory performance and hippocampal atrophy among normal elderly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1978 100: 2019-2022, 2003. 1979 Cook DG, Leverenz JB, McMillan PJ, Kulstad JJ, Ericksen S, Roth RA, Schellenberg GD, Jin 68. 1980 LW, Kovacina KS, and Craft S. Reduced hippocampal insulin-degrading enzyme in late-onset 1981 Alzheimer's disease is associated with the apolipoprotein E-epsilon4 allele. Am J Pathol 162: 313-319, 1982 2003. 1983 69. Coomans CP, Biermasz NR, Geerling JJ, Guigas B, Rensen PC, Havekes LM, and Romijn JA. 1984 Stimulatory effect of insulin on glucose uptake by muscle involves the central nervous system in 1985 insulin-sensitive mice. Diabetes 60: 3132-3140, 2011. 1986 Coomans CP, Geerling JJ, Guigas B, van den Hoek AM, Parlevliet ET, Ouwens DM, Pijl H, 70. 1987 Voshol PJ, Rensen PC, Havekes LM, and Romijn JA. Circulating insulin stimulates fatty acid retention 1988 in white adipose tissue via KATP channel activation in the central nervous system only in insulin-1989 sensitive mice. J Lipid Res 52: 1712-1722, 2011.

1990 71. Cornelis MC, Rimm EB, Curhan GC, Kraft P, Hunter DJ, Hu FB, and van Dam RM. Obesity 1991 susceptibility loci and uncontrolled eating, emotional eating and cognitive restraint behaviors in men 1992 and women. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2014. 1993 Corp ES, Woods SC, Porte D, Jr., Dorsa DM, Figlewicz DP, and Baskin DG. Localization of 72. 1994 125I-insulin binding sites in the rat hypothalamus by quantitative autoradiography. *Neurosci Lett* 70: 1995 17-22, 1986. 1996 73. Craft S. Insulin resistance syndrome and Alzheimer's disease: age- and obesity-related effects 1997 on memory, amyloid, and inflammation. *Neurobiol Aging* 26 Suppl 1: 65-69, 2005. 1998 74. Craft S, Baker LD, Montine TJ, Minoshima S, Watson GS, Claxton A, Arbuckle M, Callaghan 1999 M, Tsai E, Plymate SR, Green PS, Leverenz J, Cross D, and Gerton B. Intranasal Insulin Therapy for 2000 Alzheimer Disease and Amnestic Mild Cognitive Impairment: A Pilot Clinical Trial. Arch Neurol 2011. 2001 Craft S, Newcomer J, Kanne S, Dagogo-Jack S, Cryer P, Sheline Y, Luby J, Dagogo-Jack A, and 75. 2002 Alderson A. Memory improvement following induced hyperinsulinemia in Alzheimer's disease. 2003 Neurobiol Aging 17: 123-130, 1996. 2004 76. Craft S, Peskind E, Schwartz MW, Schellenberg GD, Raskind M, and Porte D, Jr. 2005 Cerebrospinal fluid and plasma insulin levels in Alzheimer's disease: relationship to severity of 2006 dementia and apolipoprotein E genotype. *Neurology* 50: 164-168, 1998. 2007 77. Dabelea D, Hanson RL, Lindsay RS, Pettitt DJ, Imperatore G, Gabir MM, Roumain J, Bennett 2008 PH, and Knowler WC. Intrauterine exposure to diabetes conveys risks for type 2 diabetes and 2009 obesity: a study of discordant sibships. Diabetes 49: 2208-2211, 2000. 2010 78. Dash S, Xiao C, Morgantini C, Koulajian K, and Lewis GF. Intranasal insulin suppresses 2011 endogenous glucose production in humans compared with placebo in the presence of similar venous 2012 insulin concentrations. Diabetes 64: 766-774, 2015. 2013 Dash S, Xiao C, Morgantini C, Koulajian K, and Lewis GF. Is Insulin Action in the Brain 79. 2014 Relevant in Regulating Blood Glucose in Humans? J Clin Endocrinol Metab 100: 2525-2531, 2015. 2015 80. Davis SN, Dunham B, Walmsley K, Shavers C, Neal D, Williams P, and Cherrington AD. Brain 2016 of the conscious dog is sensitive to physiological changes in circulating insulin. Am J Physiol 272: 2017 E567-575, 1997. 2018 81. De Felice FG. Alzheimer's disease and insulin resistance: translating basic science into clinical 2019 applications. J Clin Invest 123: 531-539, 2013. 2020 De Felice FG, Vieira MN, Bomfim TR, Decker H, Velasco PT, Lambert MP, Viola KL, Zhao WQ, 82. 2021 Ferreira ST, and Klein WL. Protection of synapses against Alzheimer's-linked toxins: insulin signaling 2022 prevents the pathogenic binding of Abeta oligomers. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106: 1971-1976, 2009. 2023 83. De Feo P, Perriello G, Ventura MM, Brunetti P, Santeusanio F, Gerich JE, and Bolli GB. The 2024 pancreatic-adrenocortical-pituitary clamp technique for study of counterregulation in humans. Am J 2025 Physiol 252: E565-570, 1987. 2026 84. De Silva A, Salem V, Matthews PM, and Dhillo WS. The use of functional MRI to study 2027 appetite control in the CNS. Experimental diabetes research 2012: 764017, 2012. 2028 85. **DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, and Andres R**. Glucose clamp technique: a method for quantifying 2029 insulin secretion and resistance. Am J Physiol 237: E214-223, 1979. 2030 86. Del Parigi A, Gautier JF, Chen K, Salbe AD, Ravussin E, Reiman E, and Tataranni PA. 2031 Neuroimaging and obesity: mapping the brain responses to hunger and satiation in humans using 2032 positron emission tomography. Ann NY Acad Sci 967: 389-397, 2002. 2033 DelParigi A, Chen K, Salbe AD, Hill JO, Wing RR, Reiman EM, and Tataranni PA. Successful 87. 2034 dieters have increased neural activity in cortical areas involved in the control of behavior. Int J Obes 2035 (Lond) 31: 440-448, 2007. 2036 88. den Heijer T, Vermeer SE, van Dijk EJ, Prins ND, Koudstaal PJ, Hofman A, and Breteler MM. 2037 Type 2 diabetes and atrophy of medial temporal lobe structures on brain MRI. Diabetologia 46: 1604-2038 1610, 2003. 2039 89. Dennis EL, Jahanshad N, Braskie MN, Warstadt NM, Hibar DP, Kohannim O, Nir TM, 2040 McMahon KL, de Zubicaray GI, Montgomery GW, Martin NG, Toga AW, Wright MJ, and Thompson 2041 **PM**. Obesity gene NEGR1 associated with white matter integrity in healthy young adults. *Neuroimage* 102 Pt 2: 548-557, 2014. 2042
2043 90. Di Marzo V, and Matias I. Endocannabinoid control of food intake and energy balance. Nat 2044 Neurosci 8: 585-589, 2005. 2045 Diabetes IDFI. International Diabetes Federation 7 ed. Brussels, Belgium. 91. 2046 Diekelmann S, and Born J. The memory function of sleep. Nat Rev Neurosci 11: 114-126, 92. 2047 2010. 2048 93. Dina C, Meyre D, Gallina S, Durand E, Korner A, Jacobson P, Carlsson LM, Kiess W, Vatin V, 2049 Lecoeur C, Delplanque J, Vaillant E, Pattou F, Ruiz J, Weill J, Levy-Marchal C, Horber F, Potoczna N, 2050 Hercberg S, Le Stunff C, Bougneres P, Kovacs P, Marre M, Balkau B, Cauchi S, Chevre JC, and Froguel 2051 P. Variation in FTO contributes to childhood obesity and severe adult obesity. Nat Genet 39: 724-726, 2052 2007. 2053 94. Dorfman MD, and Thaler JP. Hypothalamic inflammation and gliosis in obesity. Curr Opin 2054 Endocrinol Diabetes Obes 2015. 2055 95. Doyle P, Cusin I, Rohner-Jeanrenaud F, and Jeanrenaud B. Four-day hyperinsulinemia in 2056 euglycemic conditions alters local cerebral glucose utilization in specific brain nuclei of freely moving 2057 rats. Brain Res 684: 47-55, 1995. 2058 Duning T, van den Heuvel I, Dickmann A, Volkert T, Wempe C, Reinholz J, Lohmann H, 96. 2059 Freise H, and Ellger B. Hypoglycemia aggravates critical illness-induced neurocognitive dysfunction. 2060 Diabetes Care 33: 639-644, 2010. 2061 97. Edgerton DS, and Cherrington AD. Is brain insulin action relevant to the control of plasma 2062 glucose in humans? Diabetes 64: 696-699, 2015. 2063 Egefjord L, Gejl M, Moller A, Braendgaard H, Gottrup H, Antropova O, Moller N, Poulsen 98. 2064 HE, Gjedde A, Brock B, and Rungby J. Effects of liraglutide on neurodegeneration, blood flow and 2065 cognition in Alzheimer s disease - protocol for a controlled, randomized double-blinded trial. Danish 2066 medical journal 59: A4519, 2012. 2067 Engel AK, and Fries P. Beta-band oscillations--signalling the status quo? Curr Opin Neurobiol 99. 2068 20: 156-165, 2010. 2069 100. Enoksson S, Degerman E, Hagstrom-Toft E, Large V, and Arner P. Various phosphodiesterase 2070 subtypes mediate the in vivo antilipolytic effect of insulin on adipose tissue and skeletal muscle in 2071 man. Diabetologia 41: 560-568, 1998. 2072 Fanelli CG, Dence CS, Markham J, Videen TO, Paramore DS, Cryer PE, and Powers WJ. 101. 2073 Blood-to-brain glucose transport and cerebral glucose metabolism are not reduced in poorly 2074 controlled type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 47: 1444-1450, 1998. 2075 102. Feld GB, Wilhem I, Benedict C, Rudel B, Klameth C, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Central 2076 Nervous Insulin Signaling in Sleep-Associated Memory Formation and Neuroendocrine Regulation. 2077 Neuropsychopharmacology 2015. 2078 103. Feldman DE. Synaptic mechanisms for plasticity in neocortex. Annu Rev Neurosci 32: 33-55, 2079 2009. 2080 104. Felsted JA, Ren X, Chouinard-Decorte F, and Small DM. Genetically determined differences 2081 in brain response to a primary food reward. J Neurosci 30: 2428-2432, 2010. 2082 105. Ferreira ST, Clarke JR, Bomfim TR, and De Felice FG. Inflammation, defective insulin 2083 signaling, and neuronal dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Dement 10: S76-83, 2014. 2084 106. Fields RD, and Stevens-Graham B. New insights into neuron-glia communication. Science 2085 298: 556-562, 2002. 2086 107. Figlewicz DP, and Sipols AJ. Energy regulatory signals and food reward. Pharmacol Biochem 2087 Behav 2010. 2088 108. Figlewicz DP, Szot P, Chavez M, Woods SC, and Veith RC. Intraventricular insulin increases 2089 dopamine transporter mRNA in rat VTA/substantia nigra. Brain Res 644: 331-334, 1994. 2090 109. Filippi BM, Yang CS, Tang C, and Lam TK. Insulin activates Erk1/2 signaling in the dorsal vagal 2091 complex to inhibit glucose production. Cell Metab 16: 500-510, 2012. 2092 110. Fishel MA, Watson GS, Montine TJ, Wang Q, Green PS, Kulstad JJ, Cook DG, Peskind ER, 2093 Baker LD, Goldgaber D, Nie W, Asthana S, Plymate SR, Schwartz MW, and Craft S. Hyperinsulinemia 2094 provokes synchronous increases in central inflammation and beta-amyloid in normal adults. Arch

2095 *Neurol* 62: 1539-1544, 2005.

2096 111. Flegal KM, Carroll MD, Ogden CL, and Curtin LR. Prevalence and trends in obesity among US 2097 adults, 1999-2008. JAMA 303: 235-241, 2010. 2098 Flegal KM, Graubard BI, Williamson DF, and Gail MH. Excess deaths associated with 112. 2099 underweight, overweight, and obesity. JAMA 293: 1861-1867, 2005. 2100 Foster LA, Ames NK, and Emery RS. Food intake and serum insulin responses to 113 2101 intraventricular infusions of insulin and IGF-I. Physiol Behav 50: 745-749, 1991. 2102 114. Frank S, Kullmann S, and Veit R. Food related processes in the insular cortex. Front Hum Neurosci 7: 499, 2013. 2103 2104 115. Frank S, Laharnar N, Kullmann S, Veit R, Canova C, Hegner YL, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. 2105 Processing of food pictures: influence of hunger, gender and calorie content. Brain Res 1350: 159-2106 166, 2010. 2107 116. Frank S, Wilms B, Veit R, Ernst B, Thurnheer M, Kullmann S, Fritsche A, Birbaumer N, Preissl 2108 H, and Schultes B. Altered brain activity in severely obese women may recover after Roux-en Y 2109 gastric bypass surgery. Int J Obes (Lond) 2013. 2110 117. Franke K, Harder T, Aerts L, Melchior K, Fahrenkrog S, Rodekamp E, Ziska T, Van Assche FA, 2111 Dudenhausen JW, and Plagemann A. 'Programming' of orexigenic and anorexigenic hypothalamic 2112 neurons in offspring of treated and untreated diabetic mother rats. Brain Res 1031: 276-283, 2005. 2113 118. Frayling TM, Timpson NJ, Weedon MN, Zeggini E, Freathy RM, Lindgren CM, Perry JR, Elliott 2114 KS, Lango H, Rayner NW, Shields B, Harries LW, Barrett JC, Ellard S, Groves CJ, Knight B, Patch AM, 2115 Ness AR, Ebrahim S, Lawlor DA, Ring SM, Ben-Shlomo Y, Jarvelin MR, Sovio U, Bennett AJ, Melzer 2116 D, Ferrucci L, Loos RJ, Barroso I, Wareham NJ, Karpe F, Owen KR, Cardon LR, Walker M, Hitman GA, 2117 Palmer CN, Doney AS, Morris AD, Smith GD, Hattersley AT, and McCarthy MI. A common variant in 2118 the FTO gene is associated with body mass index and predisposes to childhood and adult obesity. 2119 Science 316: 889-894, 2007. Fredriksson R, Hagglund M, Olszewski PK, Stephansson O, Jacobsson JA, Olszewska AM, 2120 119. 2121 Levine AS, Lindblom J, and Schioth HB. The obesity gene, FTO, is of ancient origin, up-regulated 2122 during food deprivation and expressed in neurons of feeding-related nuclei of the brain. 2123 Endocrinology 149: 2062-2071, 2008. 2124 120. Freiherr J, Hallschmid M, Frey WH, 2nd, Brunner YF, Chapman CD, Holscher C, Craft S, De 2125 Felice FG, and Benedict C. Intranasal insulin as a treatment for Alzheimer's disease: a review of basic 2126 research and clinical evidence. CNS drugs 27: 505-514, 2013. 2127 Frier BM, Russell-Jones D, and Heise T. A comparison of insulin detemir and neutral 121. 2128 protamine Hagedorn (isophane) insulin in the treatment of diabetes: a systematic review. Diabetes 2129 Obes Metab 15: 978-986, 2013. 2130 Fritsche A, and Haring H. At last, a weight neutral insulin? Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 28 122. 2131 Suppl 2: S41-46, 2004. 2132 123. Frolich L, Blum-Degen D, Bernstein HG, Engelsberger S, Humrich J, Laufer S, Muschner D, 2133 Thalheimer A, Turk A, Hoyer S, Zochling R, Boissl KW, Jellinger K, and Riederer P. Brain insulin and 2134 insulin receptors in aging and sporadic Alzheimer's disease. J Neural Transm 105: 423-438, 1998. 2135 124. Fuster JM. The prefrontal cortex--an update: time is of the essence. Neuron 30: 319-333, 2136 2001. 2137 125. Gancheva S, Koliaki C, Bierwagen A, Nowotny P, Heni M, Fritsche A, Haring HU, Szendroedi 2138 J, and Roden M. Effects of intranasal insulin on hepatic fat accumulation and energy metabolism in 2139 humans. Diabetes 64: 1966-1975, 2015. 2140 126. Gasparini L, Gouras GK, Wang R, Gross RS, Beal MF, Greengard P, and Xu H. Stimulation of 2141 beta-amyloid precursor protein trafficking by insulin reduces intraneuronal beta-amyloid and 2142 requires mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling. J Neurosci 21: 2561-2570, 2001. 2143 Gautier JF, Chen K, Salbe AD, Bandy D, Pratley RE, Heiman M, Ravussin E, Reiman EM, and 127. 2144 Tataranni PA. Differential brain responses to satiation in obese and lean men. Diabetes 49: 838-846, 2145 2000. 2146 128. Gerozissis K. Brain insulin: regulation, mechanisms of action and functions. Cell Mol 2147 Neurobiol 23: 1-25, 2003.

Girard J. Insulin's effect on the liver: "direct or indirect?" continues to be the question. J Clin 2148 129. 2149 Invest 116: 302-304, 2006. 2150 Goldman RL, Canterberry M, Borckardt JJ, Madan A, Byrne TK, George MS, O'Neil PM, and 130. 2151 Hanlon CA. Executive control circuitry differentiates degree of success in weight loss following 2152 gastric-bypass surgery. Obesity (Silver Spring) 2013. Gomez-Pinilla F. Brain foods: the effects of nutrients on brain function. Nat Rev Neurosci 9: 2153 131. 2154 568-578, 2008. 2155 132. Gottschalk A, and Ellger B. Whenever you lose connection, take intranasal insulin? Diabetes 64: 687-688, 2015. 2156 2157 133. Gray SM, Meijer RI, and Barrett EJ. Insulin regulates brain function, but how does it get 2158 there? Diabetes 63: 3992-3997, 2014. 2159 134. Gregg EW, Yaffe K, Cauley JA, Rolka DB, Blackwell TL, Narayan KM, and Cummings SR. Is 2160 diabetes associated with cognitive impairment and cognitive decline among older women? Study of 2161 Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. Arch Intern Med 160: 174-180, 2000. 2162 135. Grillo CA, Piroli GG, Kaigler KF, Wilson SP, Wilson MA, and Reagan LP. Downregulation of 2163 hypothalamic insulin receptor expression elicits depressive-like behaviors in rats. Behav Brain Res 2164 222: 230-235, 2011. 2165 Group HSCR, Metzger BE, Lowe LP, Dyer AR, Trimble ER, Chaovarindr U, Coustan DR, 136. 2166 Hadden DR, McCance DR, Hod M, McIntyre HD, Oats JJ, Persson B, Rogers MS, and Sacks DA. 2167 Hyperglycemia and adverse pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 358: 1991-2002, 2008. 2168 Guh DP, Zhang W, Bansback N, Amarsi Z, Birmingham CL, and Anis AH. The incidence of co-137. 2169 morbidities related to obesity and overweight: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Public 2170 Health 9: 88, 2009. Gunstad J, Paul RH, Cohen RA, Tate DF, Spitznagel MB, and Gordon E. Elevated body mass 2171 138. 2172 index is associated with executive dysfunction in otherwise healthy adults. Compr Psychiatry 48: 57-2173 61, 2007. 2174 139. Gupta A, Srinivasan M, Thamadilok S, and Patel MS. Hypothalamic alterations in fetuses of 2175 high fat diet-fed obese female rats. J Endocrinol 200: 293-300, 2009. 2176 140. Guthoff M, Grichisch Y, Canova C, Tschritter O, Veit R, Hallschmid M, Haring HU, Preissl H, Hennige AM, and Fritsche A. Insulin modulates food-related activity in the central nervous system. J 2177 2178 Clin Endocrinol Metab 95: 748-755, 2010. 2179 Guthoff M, Stingl KT, Tschritter O, Rogic M, Heni M, Stingl K, Hallschmid M, Haring HU, 141. 2180 Fritsche A, Preissl H, and Hennige AM. The insulin-mediated modulation of visually evoked magnetic 2181 fields is reduced in obese subjects. PLoS One 6: e19482, 2011. 2182 142. Haber SN. Neuroanatomy of Reward: A View from the Ventral Striatum. In: Neurobiology of 2183 Sensation and Reward, edited by Gottfried JA. Boca Raton (FL): 2011. 2184 143. Hallschmid M, Benedict C, Schultes B, Born J, and Kern W. Obese men respond to cognitive 2185 but not to catabolic brain insulin signaling. Int J Obes (Lond) 32: 275-282, 2008. Hallschmid M, Benedict C, Schultes B, Fehm HL, Born J, and Kern W. Intranasal insulin 2186 144. 2187 reduces body fat in men but not in women. Diabetes 53: 3024-3029, 2004. 2188 Hallschmid M, Higgs S, Thienel M, Ott V, and Lehnert H. Postprandial Administration of 145. 2189 Intranasal Insulin Intensifies Satiety and Reduces Intake of Palatable Snacks in Women. Diabetes 2190 2012. Hallschmid M, Jauch-Chara K, Korn O, Molle M, Rasch B, Born J, Schultes B, and Kern W. 2191 146. 2192 Euglycemic infusion of insulin detemir compared with human insulin appears to increase direct 2193 current brain potential response and reduces food intake while inducing similar systemic effects. 2194 Diabetes 59: 1101-1107, 2010. 2195 Hallschmid M, Schultes B, Marshall L, Molle M, Kern W, Bredthauer J, Fehm HL, and Born J. 147. 2196 Transcortical direct current potential shift reflects immediate signaling of systemic insulin to the 2197 human brain. Diabetes 53: 2202-2208, 2004. 2198 Hallschmid M, Smolnik R, McGregor G, Born J, and Fehm HL. Overweight humans are 148. 2199 resistant to the weight-reducing effects of melanocortin4-10. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 91: 522-525, 2200 2006.

2201 Hansen HH, Fabricius K, Barkholt P, Niehoff ML, Morley JE, Jelsing J, Pyke C, Bjerre Knudsen 149. 2202 L, Farr SA, and Vrang N. The GLP-1 Receptor Agonist Liraglutide Improves Memory Function and 2203 Increases Hippocampal CA1 Neuronal Numbers in a Senescence-Accelerated Mouse Model of 2204 Alzheimer's Disease. J Alzheimers Dis 2015. 2205 Hardy J, and Allsop D. Amyloid deposition as the central event in the aetiology of Alzheimer's 150 2206 disease. Trends Pharmacol Sci 12: 383-388, 1991. 2207 151. Hardy JA, and Higgins GA. Alzheimer's disease: the amyloid cascade hypothesis. Science 256: 2208 184-185, 1992. 2209 152. Hare TA, Camerer CF, and Rangel A. Self-control in decision-making involves modulation of 2210 the vmPFC valuation system. Science 324: 646-648, 2009. 2211 Hasselbalch SG, Knudsen GM, Videbaek C, Pinborg LH, Schmidt JF, Holm S, and Paulson OB. 153. No effect of insulin on glucose blood-brain barrier transport and cerebral metabolism in humans. 2212 2213 Diabetes 48: 1915-1921, 1999. 2214 154. Hasselmo ME. What is the function of hippocampal theta rhythm?--Linking behavioral data 2215 to phasic properties of field potential and unit recording data. *Hippocampus* 15: 936-949, 2005. 2216 Hassing LB, Grant MD, Hofer SM, Pedersen NL, Nilsson SE, Berg S, McClearn G, and 155. 2217 Johansson B. Type 2 diabetes mellitus contributes to cognitive decline in old age: a longitudinal 2218 population-based study. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 10: 599-607, 2004. 2219 156. Haupt A, Thamer C, Staiger H, Tschritter O, Kirchhoff K, Machicao F, Haring HU, Stefan N, 2220 and Fritsche A. Variation in the FTO gene influences food intake but not energy expenditure. Exp Clin 2221 Endocrinol Diabetes 117: 194-197, 2009. 2222 Havrankova J, Brownstein M, and Roth J. Insulin and insulin receptors in rodent brain. 157. 2223 Diabetologia 20 Suppl: 268-273, 1981. 2224 158. Havrankova J, Roth J, and Brownstein M. Insulin receptors are widely distributed in the 2225 central nervous system of the rat. Nature 272: 827-829, 1978. 2226 159. Havrankova J, Roth J, and Brownstein MJ. Concentrations of insulin and insulin receptors in 2227 the brain are independent of peripheral insulin levels. Studies of obese and streptozotocin-treated 2228 rodents. J Clin Invest 64: 636-642, 1979. 2229 160. Hege MA, Stingl KT, Ketterer C, Haring HU, Heni M, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. Working 2230 memory-related brain activity is associated with outcome of lifestyle intervention. Obesity (Silver 2231 Spring) 21: 2488-2494, 2013. 2232 Heni M, Hennige AM, Peter A, Siegel-Axel D, Ordelheide AM, Krebs N, Machicao F, Fritsche 161. 2233 A, Haring HU, and Staiger H. Insulin promotes glycogen storage and cell proliferation in primary 2234 human astrocytes. PLoS One 6: e21594, 2011. 2235 Heni M, Kullmann S, and Fritsche A. Comment on: Teeuwisse et al. Short-Term Caloric 162. 2236 Restriction Normalizes Hypothalamic Neuronal Responsiveness to Glucose Ingestion in Patients With 2237 Type 2 Diabetes. Diabetes 2012;61:3255-3259. Diabetes 62: e5, 2013. 2238 Heni M, Kullmann S, Gallwitz B, Häring HU, Preissl H, and Fritsche A. Dissociation of GLP-1 163. 2239 and insulin association with food processing in the brain: GLP-1 sensitivity despite insulin resistance 2240 in obese humans. Molecular Metabolism 2015. 2241 164. Heni M, Kullmann S, Ketterer C, Guthoff M, Bayer M, Staiger H, Machicao F, Haring HU, 2242 Preissl H, Veit R, and Fritsche A. Differential effect of glucose ingestion on the neural processing of 2243 food stimuli in lean and overweight adults. Hum Brain Mapp 35: 918-928, 2013. 2244 Heni M, Kullmann S, Ketterer C, Guthoff M, Linder K, Wagner R, Stingl KT, Veit R, Staiger H, 165. 2245 Haring HU, Preissl H, and Fritsche A. Nasal insulin changes peripheral insulin sensitivity 2246 simultaneously with altered activity in homeostatic and reward-related human brain regions. 2247 Diabetologia 55: 1773-1782, 2012. 2248 Heni M, Kullmann S, Preissl H, Fritsche A, and Haring HU. Impaired insulin action in the 166. 2249 human brain: causes and metabolic consequences. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2015. 2250 Heni M, Kullmann S, Veit R, Ketterer C, Frank S, Machicao F, Staiger H, Haring HU, Preissl H, 167. 2251 and Fritsche A. Variation in the obesity risk gene FTO determines the postprandial cerebral 2252 processing of food stimuli in the prefrontal cortex. Mol Metab 3: 109-113, 2014.

2253 168. Heni M, Kullmann S, Wagner R, Machicao F, Staiger H, Bruning JC, Häring HU, Small DM, 2254 Preissl H, and Fritsche A. Evidence for Interaction between the Obesity-Risk Gene FTO and Dopamine 2255 D2 Receptor Gene ANKK1/TaqIA. In: Diabetes Supplement2015, p. A42-A42. 2256 Heni M, Schopfer P, Peter A, Sartorius T, Fritsche A, Synofzik M, Haring HU, Maetzler W, 169. 2257 and Hennige AM. Evidence for altered transport of insulin across the blood-brain barrier in insulin-2258 resistant humans. Acta Diabetol 51: 679-681, 2013. 2259 170. Heni M, Wagner R, Kullmann S, Preissl H, and Fritsche A. Response to Comment on Heni et 2260 al. Central insulin administration improves whole-body insulin sensitivity via hypothalamus and 2261 parasympathetic outputs in men. Diabetes 2014;63:4083-4088. Diabetes 64: e8-9, 2015. 2262 171. Heni M, Wagner R, Kullmann S, Veit R, Mat Husin H, Linder K, Benkendorff C, Peter A, 2263 Stefan N, Haring HU, Preissl H, and Fritsche A. Central insulin administration improves whole-body 2264 insulin sensitivity via hypothalamus and parasympathetic outputs in men. Diabetes 63: 4083-4088, 2265 2014. 2266 172. Hennige AM, Sartorius T, Lutz SZ, Tschritter O, Preissl H, Hopp S, Fritsche A, Rammensee 2267 **HG**, **Ruth P**, and Haring HU. Insulin-mediated cortical activity in the slow frequency range is 2268 diminished in obese mice and promotes physical inactivity. Diabetologia 52: 2416-2424, 2009. 2269 Hennige AM, Sartorius T, Tschritter O, Preissl H, Fritsche A, Ruth P, and Haring HU. Tissue 173. 2270 selectivity of insulin detemir action in vivo. Diabetologia 49: 1274-1282, 2006. 2271 174. Herman MA, and Rosen ED. Making Biological Sense of GWAS Data: Lessons from the FTO 2272 Locus. Cell Metab 22: 538-539, 2015. 2273 Hess ME, Hess S, Meyer KD, Verhagen LA, Koch L, Bronneke HS, Dietrich MO, Jordan SD, 175. 2274 Saletore Y, Elemento O, Belgardt BF, Franz T, Horvath TL, Ruther U, Jaffrey SR, Kloppenburg P, and 2275 Bruning JC. The fat mass and obesity associated gene (Fto) regulates activity of the dopaminergic 2276 midbrain circuitry. Nat Neurosci 16: 1042-1048, 2013. 2277 Hill JM, Lesniak MA, Pert CB, and Roth J. Autoradiographic localization of insulin receptors in 176. 2278 rat brain: prominence in olfactory and limbic areas. Neuroscience 17: 1127-1138, 1986. 2279 177. Ho AJ, Stein JL, Hua X, Lee S, Hibar DP, Leow AD, Dinov ID, Toga AW, Saykin AJ, Shen L, 2280 Foroud T, Pankratz N, Huentelman MJ, Craig DW, Gerber JD, Allen AN, Corneveaux JJ, Stephan DA, 2281 DeCarli CS, DeChairo BM, Potkin SG, Jack CR, Jr., Weiner MW, Raji CA, Lopez OL, Becker JT, 2282 Carmichael OT, and Thompson PM. A commonly carried allele of the obesity-related FTO gene is 2283 associated with reduced brain volume in the healthy elderly. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 8404-2284 8409, 2010. 2285 Holst M, Eswaran H, Lowery C, Murphy P, Norton J, and Preissl H. Development of auditory 178. 2286 evoked fields in human fetuses and newborns: a longitudinal MEG study. *Clin Neurophysiol* 116: 1949-1955, 2005. 2287 2288 179. Honda K, Kamisoyama H, Saneyasu T, Sugahara K, and Hasegawa S. Central administration 2289 of insulin suppresses food intake in chicks. Neurosci Lett 423: 153-157, 2007. 2290 Hong M, and Lee VM. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor-1 regulate tau phosphorylation in 180. 2291 cultured human neurons. J Biol Chem 272: 19547-19553, 1997. 2292 181. Hoogenboom WS, Marder TJ, Flores VL, Huisman S, Eaton HP, Schneiderman JS, Bolo NR, 2293 Simonson DC, Jacobson AM, Kubicki M, Shenton ME, and Musen G. Cerebral white matter integrity 2294 and resting-state functional connectivity in middle-aged patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 63: 2295 728-738, 2014. 2296 Hotamisligil GS, Arner P, Caro JF, Atkinson RL, and Spiegelman BM. Increased adipose tissue 182. 2297 expression of tumor necrosis factor-alpha in human obesity and insulin resistance. J Clin Invest 95: 2298 2409-2415, 1995. 2299 183. Hotamisligil GS, Peraldi P, Budavari A, Ellis R, White MF, and Spiegelman BM. IRS-1-2300 mediated inhibition of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase activity in TNF-alpha- and obesity-induced 2301 insulin resistance. Science 271: 665-668, 1996. 2302 Huang CC, Lee CC, and Hsu KS. The role of insulin receptor signaling in synaptic plasticity and 184. 2303 cognitive function. Chang Gung medical journal 33: 115-125, 2010.

2304 185. Hummel T, Sekinger B, Wolf SR, Pauli E, and Kobal G. 'Sniffin' sticks': olfactory performance 2305 assessed by the combined testing of odor identification, odor discrimination and olfactory threshold. 2306 Chem Senses 22: 39-52, 1997. 2307 Huszar D, Lynch CA, Fairchild-Huntress V, Dunmore JH, Fang Q, Berkemeier LR, Gu W, 186. 2308 Kesterson RA, Boston BA, Cone RD, Smith FJ, Campfield LA, Burn P, and Lee F. Targeted disruption 2309 of the melanocortin-4 receptor results in obesity in mice. Cell 88: 131-141, 1997. 2310 187. Ikeda H, West DB, Pustek JJ, Figlewicz DP, Greenwood MR, Porte D, Jr., and Woods SC. 2311 Intraventricular insulin reduces food intake and body weight of lean but not obese Zucker rats. 2312 Appetite 7: 381-386, 1986. 2313 188. Irvine EE, Drinkwater L, Radwanska K, Al-Qassab H, Smith MA, O'Brien M, Kielar C, 2314 Choudhury AI, Krauss S, Cooper JD, Withers DJ, and Giese KP. Insulin receptor substrate 2 is a 2315 negative regulator of memory formation. Learn Mem 18: 375-383, 2011. 2316 Ishibashi K, Kawasaki K, Ishiwata K, and Ishii K. Reduced uptake of (18)F-FDG and (15)O-H2O 189. 2317 in Alzheimer's disease-related regions after glucose loading. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 35: 1380-2318 1385, 2015. 2319 190. Iwen KA, Scherer T, Heni M, Sayk F, Wellnitz T, Machleidt F, Preissl H, Haring HU, Fritsche A, 2320 Lehnert H, Buettner C, and Hallschmid M. Intranasal insulin suppresses systemic but not 2321 subcutaneous lipolysis in healthy humans. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 99: E246-251, 2014. 2322 191. Jauch-Chara K, Friedrich A, Rezmer M, Melchert UH, H GS-E, Hallschmid M, and Oltmanns 2323 KM. Intranasal insulin suppresses food intake via enhancement of brain energy levels in humans. 2324 Diabetes 61: 2261-2268, 2012. 2325 192. Jensen MD, Caruso M, Heiling V, and Miles JM. Insulin regulation of lipolysis in nondiabetic 2326 and IDDM subjects. Diabetes 38: 1595-1601, 1989. 2327 193. Jessen L, Clegg DJ, and Bouman SD. Evaluation of the lack of anorectic effect of 2328 intracerebroventricular insulin in rats. Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp Physiol 298: R43-50. 2329 194. Jonsson EG, Nothen MM, Grunhage F, Farde L, Nakashima Y, Propping P, and Sedvall GC. 2330 Polymorphisms in the dopamine D2 receptor gene and their relationships to striatal dopamine 2331 receptor density of healthy volunteers. *Mol Psychiatry* 4: 290-296, 1999. 2332 195. Kanaya AM, Barrett-Connor E, Gildengorin G, and Yaffe K. Change in cognitive function by glucose tolerance status in older adults: a 4-year prospective study of the Rancho Bernardo study 2333 2334 cohort. Arch Intern Med 164: 1327-1333, 2004. 2335 Kang S, Creagh FM, Peters JR, Brange J, Volund A, and Owens DR. Comparison of 196. 2336 subcutaneous soluble human insulin and insulin analogues (AspB9, GluB27; AspB10; AspB28) on 2337 meal-related plasma glucose excursions in type I diabetic subjects. *Diabetes Care* 14: 571-577, 1991. 2338 197. Karczewska-Kupczewska M, Tarasow E, Nikolajuk A, Stefanowicz M, Matulewicz N, 2339 Otziomek E, Gorska M, Straczkowski M, and Kowalska I. The effect of insulin infusion on the 2340 metabolites in cerebral tissues assessed with proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in young 2341 healthy subjects with high and low insulin sensitivity. *Diabetes Care* 36: 2787-2793, 2013. 2342 198. Karra E, O'Daly OG, Choudhury AI, Yousseif A, Millership S, Neary MT, Scott WR, 2343 Chandarana K, Manning S, Hess ME, Iwakura H, Akamizu T, Millet Q, Gelegen C, Drew ME, Rahman 2344 S, Emmanuel JJ, Williams SC, Ruther UU, Bruning JC, Withers DJ, Zelaya FO, and Batterham RL A 2345 link between FTO, ghrelin, and impaired brain food-cue responsivity. J Clin Invest 123: 3539-3551, 2346 2013. 2347 199. Kern W, Benedict C, Schultes B, Plohr F, Moser A, Born J, Fehm HL, and Hallschmid M. Low 2348 cerebrospinal fluid insulin levels in obese humans. Diabetologia 49: 2790-2792, 2006. 2349 200. Kern W, Born J, Schreiber H, and Fehm HL. Central nervous system effects of intranasally 2350 administered insulin during euglycemia in men. Diabetes 48: 557-563, 1999. 2351 201. Kern W, Peters A, Born J, Fehm HL, and Schultes B. Changes in blood pressure and plasma 2352 catecholamine levels during prolonged hyperinsulinemia. *Metabolism* 54: 391-396, 2005. 2353 202. Kern W, Peters A, Fruehwald-Schultes B, Deininger E, Born J, and Fehm HL. Improving 2354 influence of insulin on cognitive functions in humans. *Neuroendocrinology* 74: 270-280, 2001. 2355 203. Ketterer C, Heni M, Stingl K, Tschritter O, Linder K, Wagner R, Machicao F, Haring HU, 2356 Preissl H, Staiger H, and Fritsche A. Polymorphism rs3123554 in CNR2 reveals gender-specific effects

2357 on body weight and affects loss of body weight and cerebral insulin action. Obesity (Silver Spring) 22: 2358 925-931, 2014. 2359 Ketterer C, Heni M, Thamer C, Herzberg-Schafer SA, Haring HU, and Fritsche A. Acute, short-204. term hyperinsulinemia increases olfactory threshold in healthy subjects. Int J Obes (Lond) 35: 1135-2360 2361 1138, 2011. 2362 205. Killgore WD, and Yurgelun-Todd DA. Positive affect modulates activity in the visual cortex to 2363 images of high calorie foods. Int J Neurosci 117: 643-653, 2007. 2364 Killick R, Scales G, Leroy K, Causevic M, Hooper C, Irvine EE, Choudhury AI, Drinkwater L, 206. 2365 Kerr F, Al-Qassab H, Stephenson J, Yilmaz Z, Giese KP, Brion JP, Withers DJ, and Lovestone S. 2366 Deletion of Irs2 reduces amyloid deposition and rescues behavioural deficits in APP transgenic mice. 2367 Biochem Biophys Res Commun 386: 257-262, 2009. 2368 207. Kim C, Newton KM, and Knopp RH. Gestational diabetes and the incidence of type 2 2369 diabetes: a systematic review. Diabetes Care 25: 1862-1868, 2002. 2370 208. King GL, and Johnson SM. Receptor-mediated transport of insulin across endothelial cells. 2371 Science 227: 1583-1586, 1985. 2372 Kitabchi AE, Temprosa M, Knowler WC, Kahn SE, Fowler SE, Haffner SM, Andres R, Saudek 209. 2373 C, Edelstein SL, Arakaki R, Murphy MB, Shamoon H, and Diabetes Prevention Program Research G. 2374 Role of insulin secretion and sensitivity in the evolution of type 2 diabetes in the diabetes prevention 2375 program: effects of lifestyle intervention and metformin. *Diabetes* 54: 2404-2414, 2005. 2376 210. Kleinridders A, Ferris HA, Cai W, and Kahn CR. Insulin action in brain regulates systemic 2377 metabolism and brain function. Diabetes 63: 2232-2243, 2014. 2378 Koch L, Wunderlich FT, Seibler J, Konner AC, Hampel B, Irlenbusch S, Brabant G, Kahn CR, 211. 2379 Schwenk F, and Bruning JC. Central insulin action regulates peripheral glucose and fat metabolism in 2380 mice. J Clin Invest 118: 2132-2147, 2008. 2381 Koekkoek PS, Rutten GE, and Biessels GJ. Cognitive disorders in diabetic patients. Handb Clin 212. 2382 Neurol 126: 145-166, 2014. 2383 Kroemer NB, Krebs L, Kobiella A, Grimm O, Vollstadt-Klein S, Wolfensteller U, Kling R, 213. 2384 Bidlingmaier M, Zimmermann US, and Smolka MN. (Still) longing for food: Insulin reactivity 2385 modulates response to food pictures. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2012. 2386 Krug R, Benedict C, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Comparable sensitivity of postmenopausal 214. 2387 and young women to the effects of intranasal insulin on food intake and working memory. J Clin 2388 *Endocrinol Metab* 95: E468-472, 2010. 2389 215. Kuhn AB, Feis DL, Schilbach L, Kracht L, Hess ME, Mauer J, Bruning JC, and Tittgemeyer M. 2390 FTO gene variant modulates the neural correlates of visual food perception. Neuroimage 128: 21-31, 2391 2016. 2392 216. Kullmann S, Frank S, Heni M, Ketterer C, Veit R, Haring HU, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. 2393 Intranasal insulin modulates intrinsic reward and prefrontal circuitry of the human brain in lean 2394 women. Neuroendocrinology 97: 176-182, 2013. 2395 217. Kullmann S, Heni M, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. Insulin action in the human brain: evidence 2396 from neuroimaging studies. J Neuroendocrinol 2015. 2397 218. Kullmann S, Heni M, Linder K, Zipfel S, Haring HU, Veit R, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. Resting-2398 state functional connectivity of the human hypothalamus. Hum Brain Mapp 35: 6088-6096, 2014. 2399 Kullmann S, Heni M, Veit R, Ketterer C, Schick F, Haring HU, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. The 219. 2400 obese brain: Association of body mass index and insulin sensitivity with resting state network 2401 functional connectivity. *Hum Brain Mapp* 33: 1052-1061, 2012. 2402 220. Kullmann S, Heni M, Veit R, Scheffler K, Machann J, Haring HU, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. 2403 Selective Insulin Resistance in Homeostatic and Cognitive Control Brain Areas in Overweight and 2404 Obese Adults. Diabetes Care 2015. 2405 221. Kullmann S, Pape AA, Heni M, Ketterer C, Schick F, Haring HU, Fritsche A, Preissl H, and Veit 2406 R. Functional network connectivity underlying food processing: disturbed salience and visual 2407 processing in overweight and obese adults. Cereb Cortex 23: 1247-1256, 2013. 2408 222. Kullmann S, Schweizer F, Veit R, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. Compromised white matter 2409 integrity in obesity. Obes Rev 2015.

2410 223. Lee CC, Kuo YM, Huang CC, and Hsu KS. Insulin rescues amyloid beta-induced impairment of 2411 hippocampal long-term potentiation. Neurobiol Aging 30: 377-387, 2009. 2412 Lee YH, and White MF. Insulin receptor substrate proteins and diabetes. Archives of 224. 2413 pharmacal research 27: 361-370, 2004. 2414 Li L, and Holscher C. Common pathological processes in Alzheimer disease and type 2 225. 2415 diabetes: a review. Brain Res Rev 56: 384-402, 2007. 2416 226. Lin HV, Plum L, Ono H, Gutierrez-Juarez R, Shanabrough M, Borok E, Horvath TL, Rossetti L, 2417 and Accili D. Divergent regulation of energy expenditure and hepatic glucose production by insulin 2418 receptor in agouti-related protein and POMC neurons. *Diabetes* 59: 337-346, 2010. 2419 227. Lin X, Taguchi A, Park S, Kushner JA, Li F, Li Y, and White MF. Dysregulation of insulin 2420 receptor substrate 2 in beta cells and brain causes obesity and diabetes. J Clin Invest 114: 908-916, 2421 2004. 2422 228. Linder K, Schleger F, Ketterer C, Fritsche L, Kiefer-Schmidt I, Hennige A, Haring HU, Preissl 2423 H, and Fritsche A. Maternal insulin sensitivity is associated with oral glucose-induced changes in fetal 2424 brain activity. *Diabetologia* 57: 1192-1198, 2014. 2425 Linder K, Schleger F, Kiefer-Schmidt I, Fritsche L, Kummel S, Heni M, Weiss M, Haring HU, 229. 2426 Preissl H, and Fritsche A. Gestational Diabetes Impairs Human Fetal Postprandial Brain Activity. J Clin 2427 *Endocrinol Metab* jc20152692, 2015. 2428 Marks DR, Tucker K, Cavallin MA, Mast TG, and Fadool DA. Awake intranasal insulin delivery 230. 2429 modifies protein complexes and alters memory, anxiety, and olfactory behaviors. J Neurosci 29: 2430 6734-6751, 2009. 2431 231. Marty N, Dallaporta M, and Thorens B. Brain glucose sensing, counterregulation, and energy 2432 homeostasis. Physiology (Bethesda) 22: 241-251, 2007. Matsuda M, Liu Y, Mahankali S, Pu Y, Mahankali A, Wang J, DeFronzo RA, Fox PT, and Gao 2433 232. 2434 JH. Altered hypothalamic function in response to glucose ingestion in obese humans. *Diabetes* 48: 2435 1801-1806, 1999. 2436 233. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, and Turner RC. Homeostasis 2437 model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin 2438 concentrations in man. Diabetologia 28: 412-419, 1985. 2439 Mc Allister E, Pacheco-Lopez G, Woods SC, and Langhans W. Inconsistencies in the 234. 2440 hypophagic action of intracerebroventricular insulin in mice. Physiol Behav 151: 623-628, 2015. 2441 McCaffery JM, Haley AP, Sweet LH, Phelan S, Raynor HA, Del Parigi A, Cohen R, and Wing 235. 2442 **RR**. Differential functional magnetic resonance imaging response to food pictures in successful 2443 weight-loss maintainers relative to normal-weight and obese controls. Am J Clin Nutr 90: 928-934, 2444 2009. 2445 236. McConathy J, and Sheline YI. Imaging biomarkers associated with cognitive decline: a review. 2446 *Biol Psychiatry* 77: 685-692, 2015. 2447 McFarlane SI. Insulin therapy and type 2 diabetes: management of weight gain. J Clin 237. 2448 Hypertens (Greenwich) 11: 601-607, 2009. 2449 238. McGowan MK, Andrews KM, and Grossman SP. Chronic intrahypothalamic infusions of 2450 insulin or insulin antibodies alter body weight and food intake in the rat. Physiol Behav 51: 753-766, 2451 1992. 2452 Mehran AE, Templeman NM, Brigidi GS, Lim GE, Chu KY, Hu X, Botezelli JD, Asadi A, 239. 2453 Hoffman BG, Kieffer TJ, Bamji SX, Clee SM, and Johnson JD. Hyperinsulinemia drives diet-induced 2454 obesity independently of brain insulin production. Cell Metab 16: 723-737, 2012. 2455 240. Miller EK. The prefrontal cortex: complex neural properties for complex behavior. Neuron 22: 2456 15-17, 1999. 2457 Moheet A, Emir UE, Terpstra M, Kumar A, Eberly LE, Seaquist ER, and Oz G. Initial 241. 2458 experience with seven tesla magnetic resonance spectroscopy of hypothalamic GABA during 2459 hyperinsulinemic euglycemia and hypoglycemia in healthy humans. Magn Reson Med 71: 12-18, 2460 2014. 2461 242. Moheet A, Mangia S, and Seaguist ER. Impact of diabetes on cognitive function and brain 2462 structure. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2015.

2463 Molnar G, Farago N, Kocsis AK, Rozsa M, Lovas S, Boldog E, Baldi R, Csajbok E, Gardi J, 243. 2464 Puskas LG, and Tamas G. GABAergic neurogliaform cells represent local sources of insulin in the 2465 cerebral cortex. J Neurosci 34: 1133-1137, 2014. Moloney AM, Griffin RJ, Timmons S, O'Connor R, Ravid R, and O'Neill C. Defects in IGF-1 2466 244. 2467 receptor, insulin receptor and IRS-1/2 in Alzheimer's disease indicate possible resistance to IGF-1 and 2468 insulin signalling. Neurobiol Aging 31: 224-243, 2010. 2469 245. Moran C, Beare R, Phan TG, Bruce DG, Callisaya ML, Srikanth V, and Alzheimer's Disease 2470 **Neuroimaging I.** Type 2 diabetes mellitus and biomarkers of neurodegeneration. *Neurology* 2015. 2471 246. Morton GJ, Cummings DE, Baskin DG, Barsh GS, and Schwartz MW. Central nervous system 2472 control of food intake and body weight. *Nature* 443: 289-295, 2006. 2473 247. Mosconi L, Sorbi S, de Leon MJ, Li Y, Nacmias B, Myoung PS, Tsui W, Ginestroni A, Bessi V, 2474 Fayyazz M, Caffarra P, and Pupi A. Hypometabolism exceeds atrophy in presymptomatic early-onset 2475 familial Alzheimer's disease. J Nucl Med 47: 1778-1786, 2006. 2476 248. Moult PR, and Harvey J. Hormonal regulation of hippocampal dendritic morphology and 2477 synaptic plasticity. Cell adhesion & migration 2: 269-275, 2008. 2478 Mountjoy KG, Mortrud MT, Low MJ, Simerly RB, and Cone RD. Localization of the 249. 2479 melanocortin-4 receptor (MC4-R) in neuroendocrine and autonomic control circuits in the brain. Mol 2480 Endocrinol 8: 1298-1308, 1994. 2481 250. Muller TD, Tschop MH, and Hofmann S. Emerging function of fat mass and obesity-2482 associated protein (fto). PLoS genetics 9: e1003223, 2013. 2483 251. Muntzel MS, Anderson EA, Johnson AK, and Mark AL. Mechanisms of insulin action on 2484 sympathetic nerve activity. Clinical and experimental hypertension 17: 39-50, 1995. 2485 Musen G, Jacobson AM, Bolo NR, Simonson DC, Shenton ME, McCartney RL, Flores VL, and 252. 2486 **Hoogenboom WS**. Resting-state brain functional connectivity is altered in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 2487 61: 2375-2379, 2012. 2488 253. Nagaraja TN, Patel P, Gorski M, Gorevic PD, Patlak CS, and Fenstermacher JD. In normal rat, 2489 intraventricularly administered insulin-like growth factor-1 is rapidly cleared from CSF with limited 2490 distribution into brain. Cerebrospinal fluid research 2: 5, 2005. 2491 254. Nooyens AC, Baan CA, Spijkerman AM, and Verschuren WM. Type 2 diabetes and cognitive 2492 decline in middle-aged men and women: the Doetinchem Cohort Study. Diabetes Care 33: 1964-2493 1969, 2010. 2494 Novak V, Last D, Alsop DC, Abduljalil AM, Hu K, Lepicovsky L, Cavallerano J, and Lipsitz LA. 255. 2495 Cerebral blood flow velocity and periventricular white matter hyperintensities in type 2 diabetes. 2496 Diabetes Care 29: 1529-1534, 2006. 2497 256. Novak V, Milberg W, Hao Y, Munshi M, Novak P, Galica A, Manor B, Roberson P, Craft S, 2498 and Abduljalil A. Enhancement of vasoreactivity and cognition by intranasal insulin in type 2 2499 diabetes. Diabetes Care 37: 751-759, 2014. 2500 Nummenmaa L, Hirvonen J, Hannukainen JC, Immonen H, Lindroos MM, Salminen P, and 257. 2501 Nuutila P. Dorsal striatum and its limbic connectivity mediate abnormal anticipatory reward 2502 processing in obesity. PLoS One 7: e31089, 2012. 2503 258. Obici S, Feng Z, Karkanias G, Baskin DG, and Rossetti L. Decreasing hypothalamic insulin 2504 receptors causes hyperphagia and insulin resistance in rats. Nat Neurosci 5: 566-572, 2002. 2505 259. Obici S, Zhang BB, Karkanias G, and Rossetti L. Hypothalamic insulin signaling is required for 2506 inhibition of glucose production. Nat Med 8: 1376-1382, 2002. 2507 260. Ohara T, Doi Y, Ninomiya T, Hirakawa Y, Hata J, Iwaki T, Kanba S, and Kiyohara Y. Glucose 2508 tolerance status and risk of dementia in the community: the Hisayama study. Neurology 77: 1126-2509 1134, 2011. 2510 Oitzl MS, Champagne DL, van der Veen R, and de Kloet ER. Brain development under stress: 261. 2511 hypotheses of glucocorticoid actions revisited. Neurosci Biobehav Rev 34: 853-866, 2010. 2512 Okamoto H, Nakae J, Kitamura T, Park BC, Dragatsis I, and Accili D. Transgenic rescue of 262. 2513 insulin receptor-deficient mice. J Clin Invest 114: 214-223, 2004. 2514 263. Olivo G, Wiemerslage L, Nilsson EK, Solstrand Dahlberg L, Larsen AL, Olaya Bucaro M, 2515 Gustafsson VP, Titova OE, Bandstein M, Larsson EM, Benedict C, Brooks SJ, and Schioth HB. Resting2516 State Brain and the FTO Obesity Risk Allele: Default Mode, Sensorimotor, and Salience Network 2517 Connectivity Underlying Different Somatosensory Integration and Reward Processing between 2518 Genotypes. Front Hum Neurosci 10: 52, 2016. 2519 Onaivi ES, Ishiguro H, Gong JP, Patel S, Perchuk A, Meozzi PA, Myers L, Mora Z, Tagliaferro 264. 2520 P, Gardner E, Brusco A, Akinshola BE, Liu QR, Hope B, Iwasaki S, Arinami T, Teasenfitz L, and Uhl 2521 GR. Discovery of the presence and functional expression of cannabinoid CB2 receptors in brain. Ann 2522 *N Y Acad Sci* 1074: 514-536, 2006. 2523 Ott A, Stolk RP, Hofman A, van Harskamp F, Grobbee DE, and Breteler MM. Association of 265. 2524 diabetes mellitus and dementia: the Rotterdam Study. Diabetologia 39: 1392-1397, 1996. 2525 266. Ott V, Benedict C, Schultes B, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Intranasal administration of insulin 2526 to the brain impacts cognitive function and peripheral metabolism. Diabetes Obes Metab 14: 214-2527 221, 2012. 2528 267. Ott V, Lehnert H, Staub J, Wonne K, Born J, and Hallschmid M. Central nervous insulin 2529 administration does not potentiate the acute glucoregulatory impact of concurrent mild 2530 hyperinsulinemia. *Diabetes* 64: 760-765, 2015. 2531 Page KA, Arora J, Qiu M, Relwani R, Constable RT, and Sherwin RS. Small decrements in 268. 2532 systemic glucose provoke increases in hypothalamic blood flow prior to the release of 2533 counterregulatory hormones. Diabetes 58: 448-452, 2009. 2534 269. Page KA, Chan O, Arora J, Belfort-Deaguiar R, Dzuira J, Roehmholdt B, Cline GW, Naik S, 2535 Sinha R, Constable RT, and Sherwin RS. Effects of fructose vs glucose on regional cerebral blood flow 2536 in brain regions involved with appetite and reward pathways. JAMA 309: 63-70, 2013. 2537 Page KA, Seo D, Belfort-DeAguiar R, Lacadie C, Dzuira J, Naik S, Amarnath S, Constable RT, 270. 2538 Sherwin RS, and Sinha R. Circulating glucose levels modulate neural control of desire for high-calorie 2539 foods in humans. J Clin Invest 121: 4161-4169, 2011. Parachikova A, Agadjanyan MG, Cribbs DH, Blurton-Jones M, Perreau V, Rogers J, Beach TG, 2540 271. 2541 and Cotman CW. Inflammatory changes parallel the early stages of Alzheimer disease. Neurobiol 2542 Aging 28: 1821-1833, 2007. 2543 Paranjape SA, Chan O, Zhu W, Horblitt AM, Grillo CA, Wilson S, Reagan L, and Sherwin RS. 272. 2544 Chronic reduction of insulin receptors in the ventromedial hypothalamus produces glucose 2545 intolerance and islet dysfunction in the absence of weight gain. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 301: 2546 E978-983, 2011. 2547 Park CR, and Johnson LH. Effect of insulin on transport of glucose and galactose into cells of 273. 2548 rat muscle and brain. Am J Physiol 182: 17-23, 1955. 2549 274. Pedersen J. Diabetes and pregnancy: Blood sugar of newborn infants Copenhagen: Danish 2550 Science Press, 1952. 2551 275. Perrin C, Knauf C, and Burcelin R. Intracerebroventricular infusion of glucose, insulin, and the 2552 adenosine monophosphate-activated kinase activator, 5-aminoimidazole-4-carboxamide-1-beta-D-2553 ribofuranoside, controls muscle glycogen synthesis. *Endocrinology* 145: 4025-4033, 2004. 2554 276. Plagemann A. A matter of insulin: developmental programming of body weight regulation. J 2555 Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 21: 143-148, 2008. 2556 Pocai A, Lam TK, Gutierrez-Juarez R, Obici S, Schwartz GJ, Bryan J, Aguilar-Bryan L, and 277. 2557 Rossetti L. Hypothalamic K(ATP) channels control hepatic glucose production. Nature 434: 1026-2558 1031, 2005. 2559 278. Porte D, Jr., Baskin DG, and Schwartz MW. Insulin signaling in the central nervous system: a 2560 critical role in metabolic homeostasis and disease from C. elegans to humans. Diabetes 54: 1264-2561 1276, 2005. 2562 279. Preissl H, Lowery CL, and Eswaran H. Fetal magnetoencephalography: viewing the 2563 developing brain in utero. Int Rev Neurobiol 68: 1-23, 2005. 2564 280. Puig J, Blasco G, Daunis IEJ, Molina X, Xifra G, Ricart W, Pedraza S, Fernandez-Aranda F, and 2565 Fernandez-Real JM. Hypothalamic damage is associated with inflammatory markers and worse 2566 cognitive performance in obese subjects. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 100: E276-281, 2015. 2567 281. Querfurth HW, and LaFerla FM. Alzheimer's disease. N Engl J Med 362: 329-344, 2010.

2568 282. Ragvin A, Moro E, Fredman D, Navratilova P, Drivenes O, Engstrom PG, Alonso ME, de la 2569 Calle Mustienes E, Gomez Skarmeta JL, Tavares MJ, Casares F, Manzanares M, van Heyningen V, 2570 Molven A, Njolstad PR, Argenton F, Lenhard B, and Becker TS. Long-range gene regulation links genomic type 2 diabetes and obesity risk regions to HHEX, SOX4, and IRX3. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2571 2572 107: 775-780, 2010. 2573 283. Rahmouni K, Morgan DA, Morgan GM, Liu X, Sigmund CD, Mark AL, and Haynes WG. 2574 Hypothalamic PI3K and MAPK differentially mediate regional sympathetic activation to insulin. J Clin 2575 Invest 114: 652-658, 2004. 2576 284. Raji CA, Ho AJ, Parikshak NN, Becker JT, Lopez OL, Kuller LH, Hua X, Leow AD, Toga AW, and 2577 Thompson PM. Brain structure and obesity. *Hum Brain Mapp* 2009. 2578 285. Ramnanan CJ, Edgerton DS, and Cherrington AD. Evidence against a physiologic role for 2579 acute changes in CNS insulin action in the rapid regulation of hepatic glucose production. *Cell Metab* 2580 15: 656-664, 2012. 2581 286. Rasch B, and Born J. About sleep's role in memory. *Physiol Rev* 93: 681-766, 2013. 2582 287. Rasgon NL, Kenna HA, Wroolie TE, Kelley R, Silverman D, Brooks J, Williams KE, Powers BN, 2583 Hallmayer J, and Reiss A. Insulin resistance and hippocampal volume in women at risk for 2584 Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 32: 1942-1948, 2011. 2585 288. Reger MA, Watson GS, Frey WH, 2nd, Baker LD, Cholerton B, Keeling ML, Belongia DA, 2586 Fishel MA, Plymate SR, Schellenberg GD, Cherrier MM, and Craft S. Effects of intranasal insulin on 2587 cognition in memory-impaired older adults: modulation by APOE genotype. Neurobiol Aging 27: 451-2588 458, 2006. 2589 289. Reger MA, Watson GS, Green PS, Baker LD, Cholerton B, Fishel MA, Plymate SR, Cherrier 2590 MM, Schellenberg GD, Frey WH, 2nd, and Craft S. Intranasal insulin administration dose-2591 dependently modulates verbal memory and plasma amyloid-beta in memory-impaired older adults. J 2592 Alzheimers Dis 13: 323-331, 2008. 2593 290. Reger MA, Watson GS, Green PS, Wilkinson CW, Baker LD, Cholerton B, Fishel MA, Plymate 2594 SR, Breitner JC, DeGroodt W, Mehta P, and Craft S. Intranasal insulin improves cognition and 2595 modulates beta-amyloid in early AD. Neurology 70: 440-448, 2008. 2596 291. Reiman EM, Caselli RJ, Yun LS, Chen K, Bandy D, Minoshima S, Thibodeau SN, and Osborne 2597 D. Preclinical evidence of Alzheimer's disease in persons homozygous for the epsilon 4 allele for 2598 apolipoprotein E. N Engl J Med 334: 752-758, 1996. 2599 Reiman EM, Chen K, Alexander GE, Caselli RJ, Bandy D, Osborne D, Saunders AM, and 292. 2600 Hardy J. Functional brain abnormalities in young adults at genetic risk for late-onset Alzheimer's 2601 dementia. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 101: 284-289, 2004. 2602 293. Ren H, Lu TY, McGraw TE, and Accili D. Anorexia and impaired glucose metabolism in mice 2603 with hypothalamic ablation of Glut4 neurons. *Diabetes* 64: 405-417, 2015. 2604 294. Rivera EJ, Goldin A, Fulmer N, Tavares R, Wands JR, and de la Monte SM. Insulin and insulin-2605 like growth factor expression and function deteriorate with progression of Alzheimer's disease: link 2606 to brain reductions in acetylcholine. J Alzheimers Dis 8: 247-268, 2005. 2607 295. Roberts RO, Knopman DS, Cha RH, Mielke MM, Pankratz VS, Boeve BF, Kantarci K, Geda YE, 2608 Jack CR, Jr., Petersen RC, and Lowe VJ. Diabetes and elevated hemoglobin A1c levels are associated 2609 with brain hypometabolism but not amyloid accumulation. J Nucl Med 55: 759-764, 2014. 2610 296. Rolls ET. The functions of the orbitofrontal cortex. Brain Cogn 55: 11-29, 2004. 2611 297. Roth CL, Hinney A, Schur EA, Elfers CT, and Reinehr T. Association analyses for dopamine 2612 receptor gene polymorphisms and weight status in a longitudinal analysis in obese children before 2613 and after lifestyle intervention. BMC pediatrics 13: 197, 2013. 2614 298. Rusinek H, Ha J, Yau PL, Storey P, Tirsi A, Tsui WH, Frosch O, Azova S, and Convit A. Cerebral 2615 perfusion in insulin resistance and type 2 diabetes. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 35: 95-102, 2015. 2616 299. Russell-Jones D, Danne T, Hermansen K, Niswender K, Robertson K, Thalange N, Vasselli JR, 2617 Yildiz B, and Haring HU. Weight-sparing effect of insulin detemir: a consequence of central nervous 2618 system-mediated reduced energy intake? Diabetes Obes Metab 2015. 2619 Russell-Jones D, and Khan R. Insulin-associated weight gain in diabetes--causes, effects and 300. 2620 coping strategies. Diabetes Obes Metab 9: 799-812, 2007.

2621 301. Rutgers DR, Klijn CJ, Kappelle LJ, and van der Grond J. Cerebral metabolic changes in 2622 patients with a symptomatic occlusion of the internal carotid artery: a longitudinal 1H magnetic 2623 resonance spectroscopy study. J Magn Reson Imaging 11: 279-286, 2000. Sabri O, Hellwig D, Schreckenberger M, Schneider R, Kaiser HJ, Wagenknecht G, Mull M, 2624 302. 2625 and Buell U. Influence of diabetes mellitus on regional cerebral glucose metabolism and regional 2626 cerebral blood flow. Nucl Med Commun 21: 19-29, 2000. 2627 303. Sanchez-Alavez M, Tabarean IV, Osborn O, Mitsukawa K, Schaefer J, Dubins J, Holmberg 2628 KH, Klein I, Klaus J, Gomez LF, Kolb H, Secrest J, Jochems J, Myashiro K, Buckley P, Hadcock JR, 2629 Eberwine J, Conti B, and Bartfai T. Insulin causes hyperthermia by direct inhibition of warm-sensitive 2630 neurons. Diabetes 59: 43-50, 2010. 2631 Saper CB. The hypothalamus. Academic Press, San Diego 1990. 304. 2632 305. Saraiva LM, Seixas da Silva GS, Galina A, da-Silva WS, Klein WL, Ferreira ST, and De Felice 2633 FG. Amyloid-beta triggers the release of neuronal hexokinase 1 from mitochondria. PLoS One 5: 2634 e15230, 2010. 2635 306. Sartorius T, Peter A, Heni M, Maetzler W, Fritsche A, Haring HU, and Hennige AM. The brain 2636 response to peripheral insulin declines with age: a contribution of the blood-brain barrier? PLoS One 2637 10: e0126804, 2015. 2638 307. Sato T, Hanyu H, Hirao K, Kanetaka H, Sakurai H, and Iwamoto T. Efficacy of PPAR-gamma 2639 agonist pioglitazone in mild Alzheimer disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 32: 1626-1633, 2011. 2640 Sauseng P, Klimesch W, Doppelmayr M, Hanslmayr S, Schabus M, and Gruber WR. Theta 308. 2641 coupling in the human electroencephalogram during a working memory task. *Neurosci Lett* 354: 123-2642 126, 2004. 2643 309. Savva GM, Wharton SB, Ince PG, Forster G, Matthews FE, Brayne C, Medical Research 2644 Council Cognitive F, and Ageing S. Age, neuropathology, and dementia. N Engl J Med 360: 2302-2645 2309, 2009. 2646 310. Scherer T, and Buettner C. Yin and Yang of hypothalamic insulin and leptin signaling in 2647 regulating white adipose tissue metabolism. Rev Endocr Metab Disord 12: 235-243, 2011. 2648 Scherer T, O'Hare J, Diggs-Andrews K, Schweiger M, Cheng B, Lindtner C, Zielinski E, 311. 2649 Vempati P, Su K, Dighe S, Milsom T, Puchowicz M, Scheja L, Zechner R, Fisher SJ, Previs SF, and 2650 Buettner C. Brain insulin controls adipose tissue lipolysis and lipogenesis. Cell Metab 13: 183-194, 2651 2011. 2652 Schilling TM, Ferreira de Sa DS, Westerhausen R, Strelzyk F, Larra MF, Hallschmid M, 312. 2653 Savaskan E, Oitzl MS, Busch HP, Naumann E, and Schachinger H. Intranasal insulin increases regional 2654 cerebral blood flow in the insular cortex in men independently of cortisol manipulation. Hum Brain 2655 *Mapp* 2013. 2656 313. Schmidt H, Kern W, Giese R, Hallschmid M, and Enders A. Intranasal insulin to improve 2657 developmental delay in children with 22q13 deletion syndrome: an exploratory clinical trial. J Med 2658 Genet 46: 217-222, 2009. 2659 314. Scholtz S, Miras AD, Chhina N, Prechtl CG, Sleeth ML, Daud NM, Ismail NA, Durighel G, 2660 Ahmed AR, Olbers T, Vincent RP, Alaghband-Zadeh J, Ghatei MA, Waldman AD, Frost GS, Bell JD, le 2661 Roux CW, and Goldstone AP. Obese patients after gastric bypass surgery have lower brain-hedonic 2662 responses to food than after gastric banding. Gut 63: 891-902, 2014. 2663 Schwartz MW, Figlewicz DF, Kahn SE, Baskin DG, Greenwood MR, and Porte D, Jr. Insulin 315. 2664 binding to brain capillaries is reduced in genetically obese, hyperinsulinemic Zucker rats. Peptides 11: 2665 467-472, 1990. 2666 316. Schwartz MW, Seeley RJ, Tschop MH, Woods SC, Morton GJ, Myers MG, and D'Alessio D. 2667 Cooperation between brain and islet in glucose homeostasis and diabetes. Nature 503: 59-66, 2013. 2668 Seaquist ER. The Impact of Diabetes on Cerebral Structure and Function. Psychosom Med 77: 317. 2669 616-621, 2015. 2670 Seaquist ER, Chen W, Benedict LE, Ugurbil K, Kwag JH, Zhu XH, and Nelson CA. Insulin 318. 2671 reduces the BOLD response but is without effect on the VEP during presentation of a visual task in 2672 humans. J Cereb Blood Flow Metab 27: 154-160, 2007.

2673 319. Seaguist ER, Damberg GS, Tkac I, and Gruetter R. The effect of insulin on in vivo cerebral 2674 glucose concentrations and rates of glucose transport/metabolism in humans. Diabetes 50: 2203-2675 2209, 2001. Selkoe DJ. The molecular pathology of Alzheimer's disease. Neuron 6: 487-498, 1991. 2676 320. 2677 321. Sesti G, Federici M, Hribal ML, Lauro D, Sbraccia P, and Lauro R. Defects of the insulin 2678 receptor substrate (IRS) system in human metabolic disorders. FASEB J 15: 2099-2111, 2001. 2679 322. Sevgi M, Rigoux L, Kuhn AB, Mauer J, Schilbach L, Hess ME, Gruendler TO, Ullsperger M, 2680 Stephan KE, Bruning JC, and Tittgemeyer M. An Obesity-Predisposing Variant of the FTO Gene 2681 Regulates D2R-Dependent Reward Learning. J Neurosci 35: 12584-12592, 2015. 2682 323. Shah GN, and Mooradian AD. Age-related changes in the blood-brain barrier. Exp Gerontol 2683 32: 501-519, 1997. 2684 324. Sheline YI, and Raichle ME. Resting state functional connectivity in preclinical Alzheimer's 2685 disease. Biol Psychiatry 74: 340-347, 2013. 2686 325. Shemesh E, Rudich A, Harman-Boehm I, and Cukierman-Yaffe T. Effect of intranasal insulin 2687 on cognitive function: a systematic review. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 97: 366-376, 2011. 2688 Siep N, Roefs A, Roebroeck A, Havermans R, Bonte ML, and Jansen A. Hunger is the best 326. 2689 spice: an fMRI study of the effects of attention, hunger and calorie content on food reward processing in the amygdala and orbitofrontal cortex. *Behav Brain Res* 198: 149-158, 2009. 2690 2691 327. Singh-Manoux A, Gimeno D, Kivimaki M, Brunner E, and Marmot MG. Low HDL cholesterol 2692 is a risk factor for deficit and decline in memory in midlife: the Whitehall II study. Arterioscler Thromb 2693 Vasc Biol 28: 1556-1562, 2008. 2694 Sinha R, and Jastreboff AM. Stress as a common risk factor for obesity and addiction. Biol 328. 2695 *Psychiatry* 73: 827-835, 2013. Sisley S, Gutierrez-Aguilar R, Scott M, D'Alessio DA, Sandoval DA, and Seeley RJ. Neuronal 2696 329. 2697 GLP1R mediates liraglutide's anorectic but not glucose-lowering effect. J Clin Invest 124: 2456-2463, 2698 2014. 2699 330. Smeets PA, de Graaf C, Stafleu A, van Osch MJ, and van der Grond J. Functional MRI of 2700 human hypothalamic responses following glucose ingestion. *Neuroimage* 24: 363-368, 2005. 2701 331. Smeets PA, Vidarsdottir S, de Graaf C, Stafleu A, van Osch MJ, Viergever MA, Pijl H, and van 2702 der Grond J. Oral glucose intake inhibits hypothalamic neuronal activity more effectively than 2703 glucose infusion. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 293: E754-758, 2007. 2704 Smemo S, Tena JJ, Kim KH, Gamazon ER, Sakabe NJ, Gomez-Marin C, Aneas I, Credidio FL, 332. 2705 Sobreira DR, Wasserman NF, Lee JH, Puviindran V, Tam D, Shen M, Son JE, Vakili NA, Sung HK, 2706 Naranjo S, Acemel RD, Manzanares M, Nagy A, Cox NJ, Hui CC, Gomez-Skarmeta JL, and Nobrega 2707 MA. Obesity-associated variants within FTO form long-range functional connections with IRX3. 2708 Nature 507: 371-375, 2014. 2709 333. Sobngwi E, Boudou P, Mauvais-Jarvis F, Leblanc H, Velho G, Vexiau P, Porcher R, Hadjadj S, 2710 Pratley R, Tataranni PA, Calvo F, and Gautier JF. Effect of a diabetic environment in utero on 2711 predisposition to type 2 diabetes. *Lancet* 361: 1861-1865, 2003. 2712 334. Speakman JR. The "Fat Mass and Obesity Related" (FTO) gene: Mechanisms of Impact on 2713 Obesity and Energy Balance. Curr Obes Rep 4: 73-91, 2015. 2714 335. Steen E, Terry BM, Rivera EJ, Cannon JL, Neely TR, Tavares R, Xu XJ, Wands JR, and de la 2715 Monte SM. Impaired insulin and insulin-like growth factor expression and signaling mechanisms in 2716 Alzheimer's disease--is this type 3 diabetes? J Alzheimers Dis 7: 63-80, 2005. 2717 336. Stefan N, Kantartzis K, Machann J, Schick F, Thamer C, Rittig K, Balletshofer B, Machicao F, 2718 Fritsche A, and Haring HU. Identification and characterization of metabolically benign obesity in 2719 humans. Arch Intern Med 168: 1609-1616, 2008. 2720 337. Stingl KT, Kullmann S, Ketterer C, Heni M, Haring HU, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. Neuronal 2721 correlates of reduced memory performance in overweight subjects. *Neuroimage* 60: 362-369, 2011. 2722 338. Stingl KT, Rogic M, Stingl K, Canova C, Tschritter O, Braun C, Fritsche A, and Preissl H. The 2723 temporal sequence of magnetic brain activity for food categorization and memorization--an 2724 exploratory study. Neuroimage 52: 1584-1591, 2010.

2725 339. Stralfors P, Bjorgell P, and Belfrage P. Hormonal regulation of hormone-sensitive lipase in 2726 intact adipocytes: identification of phosphorylated sites and effects on the phosphorylation by 2727 lipolytic hormones and insulin. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 81: 3317-3321, 1984. 2728 Swardfager W, Lanctot K, Rothenburg L, Wong A, Cappell J, and Herrmann N. A meta-340. 2729 analysis of cytokines in Alzheimer's disease. Biol Psychiatry 68: 930-941, 2010. Talbot K. Brain insulin resistance in Alzheimer's disease and its potential treatment with GLP-2730 341. 2731 1 analogs. *Neurodegenerative disease management* 4: 31-40, 2014. 2732 342. Talbot K, Wang HY, Kazi H, Han LY, Bakshi KP, Stucky A, Fuino RL, Kawaguchi KR, 2733 Samoyedny AJ, Wilson RS, Arvanitakis Z, Schneider JA, Wolf BA, Bennett DA, Trojanowski JQ, and 2734 Arnold SE. Demonstrated brain insulin resistance in Alzheimer's disease patients is associated with 2735 IGF-1 resistance, IRS-1 dysregulation, and cognitive decline. J Clin Invest 122: 1316-1338, 2012. Tan ZS, Beiser AS, Fox CS, Au R, Himali JJ, Debette S, Decarli C, Vasan RS, Wolf PA, and 2736 343. 2737 Seshadri S. Association of metabolic dysregulation with volumetric brain magnetic resonance 2738 imaging and cognitive markers of subclinical brain aging in middle-aged adults: the Framingham 2739 Offspring Study. Diabetes Care 34: 1766-1770, 2011. 2740 344. Taniguchi CM, Emanuelli B, and Kahn CR. Critical nodes in signalling pathways: insights into 2741 insulin action. Nature reviews Molecular cell biology 7: 85-96, 2006. 2742 345. Tataranni PA, Gautier JF, Chen K, Uecker A, Bandy D, Salbe AD, Pratley RE, Lawson M, 2743 **Reiman EM, and Ravussin E**. Neuroanatomical correlates of hunger and satiation in humans using 2744 positron emission tomography. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 96: 4569-4574, 1999. 2745 346. Teeuwisse WM, Widya RL, Paulides M, Lamb HJ, Smit JW, de Roos A, van Buchem MA, Pijl 2746 H, and van der Grond J. Short-term caloric restriction normalizes hypothalamic neuronal 2747 responsiveness to glucose ingestion in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes* 61: 3255-3259, 2012. 2748 Ten Kulve JS, Veltman DJ, van Bloemendaal L, Barkhof F, Deacon CF, Holst JJ, Konrad RJ, 347. 2749 Sloan JH, Drent ML, Diamant M, and RG IJ. Endogenous GLP-1 mediates postprandial reductions in 2750 activation in central reward and satiety areas in patients with type 2 diabetes. Diabetologia 2015. 2751 Thaler JP, Yi CX, Schur EA, Guyenet SJ, Hwang BH, Dietrich MO, Zhao X, Sarruf DA, Izgur V, 348. 2752 Maravilla KR, Nguyen HT, Fischer JD, Matsen ME, Wisse BE, Morton GJ, Horvath TL, Baskin DG, 2753 Tschop MH, and Schwartz MW. Obesity is associated with hypothalamic injury in rodents and 2754 humans. J Clin Invest 122: 153-162, 2011. 2755 Thambisetty M, Beason-Held LL, An Y, Kraut M, Metter J, Egan J, Ferrucci L, O'Brien R, and 349. 2756 **Resnick SM**. Impaired glucose tolerance in midlife and longitudinal changes in brain function during 2757 aging. Neurobiol Aging 34: 2271-2276, 2013. 2758 350. Thambisetty M, Jeffrey Metter E, Yang A, Dolan H, Marano C, Zonderman AB, Troncoso JC, 2759 Zhou Y, Wong DF, Ferrucci L, Egan J, Resnick SM, and O'Brien RJ. Glucose intolerance, insulin 2760 resistance, and pathological features of Alzheimer disease in the Baltimore Longitudinal Study of 2761 Aging. JAMA neurology 70: 1167-1172, 2013. 2762 Toepel U, Knebel JF, Hudry J, le Coutre J, and Murray MM. The brain tracks the energetic 351. 2763 value in food images. Neuroimage 44: 967-974, 2009. 2764 352. Tomasi D, and Volkow ND. Striatocortical pathway dysfunction in addiction and obesity: 2765 differences and similarities. Crit Rev Biochem Mol Biol 48: 1-19, 2013. 2766 353. Tomasi T, Sledz D, Wales JK, and Recant L. Insulin half-life in normal and diabetic subjects. 2767 Revue de neuropsychiatrie infantile et d'hygiene mentale de l'enfance 14: 315-317, 1966. 2768 354. Torsoni MA, Carvalheira JB, Pereira-Da-Silva M, de Carvalho-Filho MA, Saad MJ, and 2769 Velloso LA. Molecular and functional resistance to insulin in hypothalamus of rats exposed to cold. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 285: E216-223, 2003. 2770 2771 355. Townsend M, Mehta T, and Selkoe DJ. Soluble Abeta inhibits specific signal transduction 2772 cascades common to the insulin receptor pathway. J Biol Chem 282: 33305-33312, 2007. Tribl G, Howorka K, Heger G, Anderer P, Thoma H, and Zeitlhofer J. EEG topography during 2773 356. 2774 insulin-induced hypoglycemia in patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Eur Neurol 36: 2775 303-309, 1996.

2776 Tschritter O, Haupt A, Preissl H, Ketterer C, Hennige AM, Sartorius T, Machicao F, Fritsche 357. 2777 A, and Haring HU. An Obesity Risk SNP (rs17782313) near the MC4R Gene Is Associated with 2778 Cerebrocortical Insulin Resistance in Humans. J Obes 2011: 283153, 2011. 2779 Tschritter O, Hennige AM, Preissl H, Grichisch Y, Kirchhoff K, Kantartzis K, Machicao F, 358. 2780 Fritsche A, and Haring HU. Insulin effects on beta and theta activity in the human brain are 2781 differentially affected by ageing. Diabetologia 52: 169-171, 2009. 2782 359. Tschritter O, Hennige AM, Preissl H, Porubska K, Schafer SA, Lutzenberger W, Machicao F, 2783 Birbaumer N, Fritsche A, and Haring HU. Cerebrocortical beta activity in overweight humans 2784 responds to insulin detemir. PLoS One 2: e1196, 2007. 2785 360. Tschritter O, Preissl H, Hennige AM, Sartorius T, Grichisch Y, Stefan N, Guthoff M, Dusing S, 2786 Machann J, Schleicher E, Cegan A, Birbaumer N, Fritsche A, and Haring HU. The insulin effect on 2787 cerebrocortical theta activity is associated with serum concentrations of saturated nonesterified 2788 Fatty acids. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94: 4600-4607, 2009. 2789 361. Tschritter O, Preissl H, Hennige AM, Sartorius T, Stingl KT, Heni M, Ketterer C, Stefan N, 2790 Machann J, Schleicher E, Fritsche A, and Haring HU. High cerebral insulin sensitivity is associated 2791 with loss of body fat during lifestyle intervention. *Diabetologia* 55: 175-182, 2011. 2792 Tschritter O, Preissl H, Hennige AM, Stumvoll M, Porubska K, Frost R, Marx H, Klosel B, 362. 2793 Lutzenberger W, Birbaumer N, Haring HU, and Fritsche A. The cerebrocortical response to 2794 hyperinsulinemia is reduced in overweight humans: a magnetoencephalographic study. Proc Natl 2795 Acad Sci U S A 103: 12103-12108, 2006. 2796 Tschritter O, Preissl H, Yokoyama Y, Machicao F, Haring HU, and Fritsche A. Variation in the 363. 2797 FTO gene locus is associated with cerebrocortical insulin resistance in humans. Diabetologia 50: 2798 2602-2603, 2007. 2799 364. Tuulari JJ, Karlsson HK, Hirvonen J, Hannukainen JC, Bucci M, Helmio M, Ovaska J, Soinio M, 2800 Salminen P, Savisto N, Nummenmaa L, and Nuutila P. Weight loss after bariatric surgery reverses 2801 insulin-induced increases in brain glucose metabolism of the morbidly obese. Diabetes 62: 2747-2802 2751, 2013. 2803 365. **Unger JW, Livingston JN, and Moss AM**. Insulin receptors in the central nervous system: 2804 localization, signalling mechanisms and functional aspects. Prog Neurobiol 36: 343-362, 1991. 2805 366. Ursache A, Wedin W, Tirsi A, and Convit A. Preliminary evidence for obesity and elevations 2806 in fasting insulin mediating associations between cortisol awakening response and hippocampal 2807 volumes and frontal atrophy. Psychoneuroendocrinology 37: 1270-1276, 2012. 2808 367. van Bloemendaal L, RG IJ, Ten Kulve JS, Barkhof F, Konrad RJ, Drent ML, Veltman DJ, and 2809 Diamant M. GLP-1 receptor activation modulates appetite- and reward-related brain areas in 2810 humans. Diabetes 2014. 2811 368. van Bloemendaal L, Ten Kulve JS, la Fleur SE, Ijzerman RG, and Diamant M. Effects of 2812 glucagon-like peptide 1 on appetite and body weight: focus on the CNS. J Endocrinol 221: T1-16, 2813 2014. 2814 369. van de Sande-Lee S, Pereira FR, Cintra DE, Fernandes PT, Cardoso AR, Garlipp CR, Chaim EA, 2815 Pareja JC, Geloneze B, Li LM, Cendes F, and Velloso LA. Partial reversibility of hypothalamic 2816 dysfunction and changes in brain activity after body mass reduction in obese subjects. Diabetes 60: 2817 1699-1704, 2011. 2818 van den Berg E, Reijmer YD, de Bresser J, Kessels RP, Kappelle LJ, and Biessels GJ. A 4 year 370. 2819 follow-up study of cognitive functioning in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Diabetologia* 53: 2820 58-65, 2009. 2821 371. van der Laan LN, de Ridder DT, Viergever MA, and Smeets PA. The first taste is always with 2822 the eyes: a meta-analysis on the neural correlates of processing visual food cues. *Neuroimage* 55: 2823 296-303, 2011. 2824 372. van Golen LW, Huisman MC, Ijzerman RG, Hoetjes NJ, Schwarte LA, Lammertsma AA, and 2825 Diamant M. Cerebral blood flow and glucose metabolism measured with positron emission 2826 tomography are decreased in human type 1 diabetes. Diabetes 62: 2898-2904, 2013. 2827 373. van Golen LW, Ijzerman RG, Huisman MC, Hensbergen JF, Hoogma RP, Drent ML, 2828 Lammertsma AA, and Diamant M. Cerebral Blood Flow and Glucose Metabolism in Appetite-Related

2829 Brain Regions in Type 1 Diabetic Patients After Treatment With Insulin Detemir and NPH Insulin: A 2830 randomized-controlled crossover trial. Diabetes Care 2013. 2831 van Golen LW, Veltman DJ, RG IJ, Deijen JB, Heijboer AC, Barkhof F, Drent ML, and Diamant 374. 2832 M. Effects of insulin detemir and NPH insulin on body weight and appetite-regulating brain regions in 2833 human type 1 diabetes: a randomized controlled trial. *PLoS One* 9: e94483, 2014. 2834 375. van Houten M, Posner BI, Kopriwa BM, and Brawer JR. Insulin-binding sites in the rat brain: 2835 in vivo localization to the circumventricular organs by quantitative radioautography. *Endocrinology* 2836 105: 666-673, 1979. 2837 376. Van Vugt DA, Krzemien A, Alsaadi H, Frank TC, and Reid RL. Glucose-induced inhibition of 2838 the appetitive brain response to visual food cues in polycystic ovary syndrome patients. Brain Res 2839 1558: 44-56, 2014. 2840 377. Verdile G, Fuller SJ, and Martins RN. The role of type 2 diabetes in neurodegeneration. 2841 Neurobiol Dis 2015. 2842 378. Vidarsdottir S, Smeets PA, Eichelsheim DL, van Osch MJ, Viergever MA, Romijn JA, van der 2843 Grond J, and Pijl H. Glucose ingestion fails to inhibit hypothalamic neuronal activity in patients with 2844 type 2 diabetes. Diabetes 56: 2547-2550, 2007. 2845 Vogt MC, and Bruning JC. CNS insulin signaling in the control of energy homeostasis and 379. 2846 glucose metabolism - from embryo to old age. Trends Endocrinol Metab 24: 76-84, 2013. 2847 380. Vogt MC, Paeger L, Hess S, Steculorum SM, Awazawa M, Hampel B, Neupert S, Nicholls HT, 2848 Mauer J, Hausen AC, Predel R, Kloppenburg P, Horvath TL, and Bruning JC. Neonatal insulin action 2849 impairs hypothalamic neurocircuit formation in response to maternal high-fat feeding. Cell 156: 495-2850 509, 2014. 2851 381. Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Tomasi D, and Baler RD. The addictive dimensionality of obesity. Biol 2852 *Psychiatry* 73: 811-818, 2013. 2853 Wajchenberg BL. Subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue: their relation to the metabolic 382. 2854 syndrome. Endocr Rev 21: 697-738, 2000. 2855 Watson GS, Cholerton BA, Reger MA, Baker LD, Plymate SR, Asthana S, Fishel MA, Kulstad 383. 2856 JJ, Green PS, Cook DG, Kahn SE, Keeling ML, and Craft S. Preserved cognition in patients with early 2857 Alzheimer disease and amnestic mild cognitive impairment during treatment with rosiglitazone: a 2858 preliminary study. Am J Geriatr Psychiatry 13: 950-958, 2005. 2859 Weiss PA, Hofmann H, Purstner P, Winter R, and Lichtenegger W. Fetal insulin balance: 384. 2860 gestational diabetes and postpartal screening. Obstet Gynecol 64: 65-68, 1984. 2861 385. White MF. Regulating insulin signaling and beta-cell function through IRS proteins. Canadian 2862 journal of physiology and pharmacology 84: 725-737, 2006. 2863 386. White MF, and Kahn CR. The insulin signaling system. J Biol Chem 269: 1-4, 1994. 2864 387. Whitmer RA, Karter AJ, Yaffe K, Quesenberry CP, Jr., and Selby JV. Hypoglycemic episodes 2865 and risk of dementia in older patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. JAMA 301: 1565-1572, 2009. 2866 388. **WHO**. Obesity and overweight. World Health Organization, Available at: 2867 http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs311/en/. 2868 , 2015. 2869 389. Wiemerslage L, Nilsson EK, Solstrand Dahlberg L, Ence-Eriksson F, Castillo S, Larsen AL, 2870 Bylund SB, Hogenkamp PS, Olivo G, Bandstein M, Titova OE, Larsson EM, Benedict C, Brooks SJ, and 2871 Schioth HB. An obesity-associated risk allele within the FTO gene affects brain activity for areas 2872 important for emotion, impulse control, and reward in response to food images. Eur J Neurosci 2016. 2873 390. Willette AA, Johnson SC, Birdsill AC, Sager MA, Christian B, Baker LD, Craft S, Oh J, Statz E, 2874 Hermann BP, Jonaitis EM, Koscik RL, La Rue A, Asthana S, and Bendlin BB. Insulin resistance predicts 2875 brain amyloid deposition in late middle-aged adults. *Alzheimers Dement* 11: 504-510 e501, 2015. 2876 391. Willette AA, Modanlo N, Kapogiannis D, and Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging I. Insulin 2877 resistance predicts medial temporal hypermetabolism in mild cognitive impairment conversion to 2878 Alzheimer disease. Diabetes 64: 1933-1940, 2015.

2879 392. Willette AA, Xu G, Johnson SC, Birdsill AC, Jonaitis EM, Sager MA, Hermann BP, La Rue A, 2880 Asthana S, and Bendlin BB. Insulin resistance, brain atrophy, and cognitive performance in late 2881 middle-aged adults. Diabetes Care 36: 443-449, 2013. Williams KW, and Elmquist JK. From neuroanatomy to behavior: central integration of 2882 393. 2883 peripheral signals regulating feeding behavior. Nat Neurosci 15: 1350-1355, 2012. 2884 394. Wilson RI, and Nicoll RA. Endocannabinoid signaling in the brain. Science 296: 678-682, 2002. 2885 Winkler JK, Woehning A, Schultz JH, Brune M, Beaton N, Challa TD, Minkova S, Roeder E, 395. 2886 Nawroth PP, Friederich HC, Wolfrum C, and Rudofsky G. TaqIA polymorphism in dopamine D2 2887 receptor gene complicates weight maintenance in younger obese patients. Nutrition 28: 996-1001, 2888 2012. 396. 2889 Wolf PA, Beiser A, Elias MF, Au R, Vasan RS, and Seshadri S. Relation of obesity to cognitive 2890 function: importance of central obesity and synergistic influence of concomitant hypertension. The 2891 Framingham Heart Study. Curr Alzheimer Res 4: 111-116, 2007. 2892 397. Woods SC, Lotter EC, McKay LD, and Porte D, Jr. Chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of 2893 insulin reduces food intake and body weight of baboons. Nature 282: 503-505, 1979. 2894 Woods SC, and Porte D, Jr. Effect of intracisternal insulin on plasma glucose and insulin in the 398. 2895 dog. Diabetes 24: 905-909, 1975. 2896 399. Wozniak M, Rydzewski B, Baker SP, and Raizada MK. The cellular and physiological actions 2897 of insulin in the central nervous system. Neurochemistry international 22: 1-10, 1993. 2898 400. Xia W, Wang S, Spaeth AM, Rao H, Wang P, Yang Y, Huang R, Cai R, and Sun H. Insulin 2899 Resistance-Associated Interhemispheric Functional Connectivity Alterations in T2DM: A Resting-State 2900 fMRI Study. BioMed research international 2015: 719076, 2015. 2901 Zhang H, Hao Y, Manor B, Novak P, Milberg W, Zhang J, Fang J, and Novak V. Intranasal 401. 2902 insulin enhanced resting-state functional connectivity of hippocampal regions in type 2 diabetes. 2903 Diabetes 64: 1025-1034, 2015. 2904 402. Zhao WQ, and Alkon DL. Role of insulin and insulin receptor in learning and memory. Mol 2905 Cell Endocrinol 177: 125-134, 2001. 2906 403. Zhao WQ, De Felice FG, Fernandez S, Chen H, Lambert MP, Quon MJ, Krafft GA, and Klein 2907 WL. Amyloid beta oligomers induce impairment of neuronal insulin receptors. FASEB J 22: 246-260, 2908 2008. 2909 404. Zhao Z, Xiang Z, Haroutunian V, Buxbaum JD, Stetka B, and Pasinetti GM. Insulin degrading 2910 enzyme activity selectively decreases in the hippocampal formation of cases at high risk to develop 2911 Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiol Aging 28: 824-830, 2007.

2912

¹²⁵I-labeled insulin receptor distribution of rat brain







Brain regions associated with Alzheimers Disease





Insulin sensitive brain regions

















- Memory
- Mood
- Peripheral insulin sensitivity
- Postprandial thermogenesis
- Blood pressure
 - Food intake
 - Body weight
 - Gluconeogenesis
 - Lipolysis
 - Olfaction







Overlap between regions affected by cerebral insulin resistance and AD (red dashed circles)



Table 1. Insulin administration techniques for the investigation of brain insulin action				
Method	Advantage(s)	Limitation(s)		
Endogenous stimulation of insulin release				
Mixed-meal tolerance test	The intake of a defined meal stimulates endogenous insulin secretion. The mixture of different nutrients in one meal resembles real-life situations.	A large number of physiological reactions are triggered that might modify insulin secretion or act directly on the brain due to the rewarding properties of food.		
Oral glucose tolerance test (oGTT)	The oGTT is a more standardized way of energy intake. After oral ingestion of a 75g glucose solution, blood glucose rises and a number of endocrine factors are released into the circulation, including insulin.	Glucose, insulin and other circulating factors act directly on peripheral tissues, making it difficult to differentiate these peripheral effects from central actions.		
Intravenous glu cose tolerance test	Insulin secretion is stimulated by an intravenous glucose bolus. This has the advantage of stimulating insulin release without major effects on a number of other endocrine systems.	The route of administration does not reflect the physiological situation. This technique introduces a sharp non-physiological rise in circulating insulin levels.		
Exogenous insulin administration				
Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp	Iv. infused insulin continuously reaches the brain, while glucose is kept constant at e.g. normal fasting levels.	Insulin effects are not limited to the brain but occur in most tissues throughout the body, rendering the dissection of peripheral and central effects difficult.		
Intranasal Insulin	Insulin enters the nasal cavity and is transported to the CNS, bypassing the blood brain barrier. Hence, systemic exposure is minimized compared to other administration paradigms, disentangling peripheral from central insulin effects.	Very small amounts of the intranasally administered insulin are absorbed into circulation. The route of administration does not reflect the physiological situation.		

Table 2. Neuroimaging methods for the investigation of brain insulin action in vivo in humans			
Technique	Measured signal/resolution	Methodological details	
Functional MRI	Indirect measures of neuronal activity: Cerebral blood flow (CBF) Blood oxygen level dependent signal (BOLD) Time resolution: seconds Spatial resolution: at 3 Tesla approx. 2-3 mm	BOLD relies on the different magnetic properties of oxygenated and deoxygenated hemoglobin. Due to increases in brain activity, the ratio between oxy- to deoxyhemoglobin changes after an enhanced release of oxygen and increased local CBF. The subsequent decrease in the concentration of deoxygenated hemoglobin, which is paramagnetic, attenuates the local distortion of the magnetic field. Using arterial spin labelling, the direct change in CBF can be measured, providing an absolute quantification of the neural signal (ml/100g brain tissue/min). Arterial blood water flowing into the brain is marked (magnetically 'labeled') by a radiofrequency pulse. The decay of that signal is then measured as a proxy for neural activity.	
PET	Probe tissue concentrations of particular molecules of interest using radioactive tracers <i>Time resolution: seconds</i> <i>Spatial resolution: approx. 0.5-1 cm</i>	Uses the application of radioactive tracers and measures signals by detection of gamma rays. PET typically uses isotopes with a short half-live, which are incorporated either into compounds normally used by the body as glucose or water or into molecules that bind to receptors. Examples: cerebral blood flow by H2 ¹⁵ O; glucose metabolism by ¹⁸ F fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)	
EEG	Neuronal activity Time resolution: <1ms Spatial resolution: >1mm	Measures electric activity of neurons, mostly originating within the cortex, using electrodes attached to the head.	
MEG	Neuronal activity Time resolution: <1ms Spatial resolution: >1mm	Measures the magnetic field generated by electric activity, mostly originating within the cortex, by superconducting sensors distributed in a helmet covering the whole head.	
Abbreviations: FEG, electroencenbalography: MEG, magnetoencenbalography: MRL magnetic resonance imaging: PET, position emission tomography			

Abbreviations: EEG, electroencephalography; MEG, magnetoencephalography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; PET, position emission tomography