ELSEVIER



Environment International



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/envint

Association of long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure with traditional and novel lipid measures related to cardiovascular disease risk



Laura A. McGuinn^{a,b,*}, Alexandra Schneider^c, Robert W. McGarrah^d, Cavin Ward-Caviness^a, Lucas M. Neas^a, Qian Di^e, Joel Schwartz^e, Elizabeth R. Hauser^d, William E. Kraus^d, Wayne E. Cascio^a, David Diaz-Sanchez^a, Robert B. Devlin^a

^a National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory, US Environmental Protection Agency, Chapel Hill, NC, USA

^b Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

^c Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany

^d Duke Molecular Physiology Institute, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC, USA

^e Department of Environmental Health, Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Handling editor: Lesa Aylward

Keywords: Fine particulate matter Lipids Cardiovascular disease Air pollution

ABSTRACT

Background: Fine particulate matter ($PM_{2.5}$) exposure is associated with increased morbidity and mortality, particularly for cardiovascular disease. The association between long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ and measures of lipoprotein subfractions remains unclear. Therefore, we examined associations between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and traditional and novel lipoprotein measures in a cardiac catheterization cohort in North Carolina. *Methods:* This cross-sectional study included 6587 patients who had visited Duke University for a cardiac catheterization between 2001 and 2010 and resided in North Carolina. We used estimates of daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations on a 1 km-grid based on satellite measurements. $PM_{2.5}$ predictions were matched to the address of each patient and averaged for the year prior to catheterization date. Serum lipids included HDL, LDL, and triglyceride-rich particle, and apolipoprotein B concentrations (HDL-P, LDL-P, TRL-P, and apoB, respectively). Linear and quantile regression models were used to estimate change in lipoprotein levels with each $\mu g/m^3$ increase in annual average $PM_{2.5}$. Models were adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity, history of smoking, arealevel education, urban/rural status, body mass index, and diabetes.

Results: For a $1-\mu g/m^3$ increment in PM_{2.5} exposure, we observed increases in total and small LDL-P, LDL-C, TRL-P, apoB, total cholesterol, and triglycerides. The percent change from the mean outcome level was 2.00% (95% CI: 1.38%, 2.64%) for total LDL-P and 2.25% (95% CI: 1.43%, 3.06%) for small LDL-P.

Conclusion: Among this sample of cardiac catheterization patients residing in North Carolina, long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was associated with increases in several lipoprotein concentrations. This abstract does not necessarily reflect U.S. EPA policy.

1. Introduction

Long-term air pollution exposure is associated with both cardiovascular disease (CVD) morbidity and mortality. The majority of evidence exists for associations between ambient fine particulate matter $\leq 2.5 \,\mu$ g/m³ (PM_{2.5}) and CVD, particularly among susceptible subgroups such as older adults (Brook et al., 2010; Brook et al., 2017). In fact, about 72% of all PM-related deaths are due to ischemic heart disease and strokes (WHO, 2016). Epidemiological studies have found associations between long-term PM_{2.5} exposure and clinical measures of atherosclerosis such as coronary artery calcification and carotid intimamedia thickness (Kaufman et al., 2016; Kunzli et al., 2005). In addition to increasing systemic inflammation and oxidative stress, $PM_{2.5}$ oxidizes blood lipids, which can potentially lead to progression of atherosclerosis (Araujo, 2010). Assessing associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and atherosclerosis risk markers may provide insight into the pathophysiological pathways by which PM causes adverse cardiovascular outcomes.

Low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) is a well-established mediator of CVD pathogenesis and progression. LDL-C lowering

E-mail address: laura.mcguinn@mssm.edu (L.A. McGuinn).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.001

Received 7 June 2018; Received in revised form 30 October 2018; Accepted 1 November 2018 Available online 13 November 2018 0160-4120/ © 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Environmental Medicine and Public Health, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, One Gustave L. Levy Place, Box 1057, New York, NY 10029, USA.

therapies are major targets of CVD risk reduction strategies. However, LDL-C measures the cholesterol content of LDL particles rather than the total number of particles. Studies have suggested that LDL particles (LDL-P) concentrations—particularly small LDL-P subfractions—may be better markers of CVD risk than LDL-C per se, possibly because of the greater likelihood of smaller particles to enter the arterial wall and cause damage (Blake et al., 2002; Ip et al., 2009; Lamarche et al., 1997; Zaid et al., 2016). A few previous studies have assessed associations between PM_{2.5} and standard lipid measures, including LDL-C (Chuang et al., 2011; Jiang et al., 2016; Yitshak Sade et al., 2016); but very little is known about the association of PM_{2.5} with lipoprotein particle size and concentration.

We previously reported associations between measures of fine particulate matter and cardiovascular disease (McGuinn et al., 2017). The association between long-term air pollution and measures of lipoprotein concentrations, however, remains poorly understood. Therefore, the goal of the current study was to assess associations between annual average PM_{2.5} levels and circulating lipoprotein concentrations in a cohort of cardiac catheterization patients. We assessed associations with total number of LDL particle concentrations, as well as mean particle sizes (small, medium, and large) of lipoproteins, reflecting lipid content. Further, we compared associations among PM_{2.5} and LDL-P measures with those of standard LDL-C. We additionally assessed associations among PM_{2.5} and characteristics (concentration and size) of HDL (HDL-P), triglyceride-rich particle concentrations (TRL-P), and apolipoprotein B (apoB), as well as with standard lipid measures (total cholesterol and triglycerides).

2. Methods

2.1. Study population

We used data from a cohort of patients undergoing a cardiac catheterization between 2001 and 2010 at Duke University Hospital in North Carolina (CATHGEN, CATHeterization GENetics Study) (Kraus et al., 2015). Participants in the current study underwent a cardiac catheterization in order to diagnose and treat coronary artery disease. The CATHGEN study collected blood samples from individuals presenting to the catheterization laboratory for concern of coronary artery disease and other cardiovascular conditions. Additional patient information came from medical records and a questionnaire administered upon enrollment in the study. Extent of coronary artery disease was assessed using the coronary artery disease index. Individuals were defined as having significant coronary artery disease (CAD index > 23) if they had > 75% luminal stenosis in one epicardial coronary artery (Bart et al., 1997). The CATHGEN biorepository is monitored and approved by the Duke University Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was received from participants prior to inclusion in the study.

Complete details of the CATHGEN study population have been described previously (Kraus et al., 2015). There were 9334 total participants in the CATHGEN study population. Of those, 7116 resided in North Carolina and had geocode information available. We further excluded individuals with missing lipoprotein outcome data (n = 497) and covariate data (n = 32). This resulted in a final sample of 6587 participants for the current study.

2.2. Lipoprotein measures

Fasting plasma samples were collected at the time of the catheterization visit. Lipoprotein particle concentrations and sizes were measured by nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy at LabCorp using the LP4 NMR LipoProfile (Jeyarajah et al., 2006). Particles were grouped into different sizes based on the amplitude of their lipid methyl group signals (Jeyarajah et al., 2006). Table 1 shows a description of each of the included particle concentration and subclass measures. LDL

Table 1

Parameter	Description	Diameter				
		Range (nm)				
IDI particle (IDI P) concentrations (nmol/L)						
Total LDL-P	Total number of LDL particle concentrations.	19-23				
	Sum of LDL-P subclass measures					
Large LDL-P	Large LDL particle concentrations	21.5-23				
Medium LDL-P	Medium LDL particle concentrations	20.5-21.4				
Small LDL-P	Small LDL particle concentrations	19-20.4				
HDL particle (HDL-F	P) concentrations (µmol/L)					
Total HDL-P	Total number of HDL particle concentrations.	7.5–13				
	Sum of HDL-P subclass measures					
Large HDL-P	Large HDL particle concentrations	10.3-12.0				
Medium HDL-P	Medium HDL particle concentrations	8.7–9.5				
Small HDL-P	Small HDL particle concentrations	7.4–7.8				
TG-rich lipoprotein particle (TRL-P) concentrations (nmol/L)						
Total TRL-P	Total number of TRL-P particle	24-240				
	concentrations. Sum of TRL-P subclass					
	measures					
Large TRL-P	Large TRL-P particle concentrations	50-89				
Medium TRL-P	Medium TRL-P particle concentrations	37–49				
Small TRL-P	Small TRL-P particle concentrations	30–36				
Mean narticle sizes (nm)						
TRL size (nm)	Mass weighted mean TRL particle sizes	30-100				
LDL size (nm)	Mass weighted mean LDL particle sizes	19-22.5				
HDL size (nm)	Mass weighted mean HDL particle sizes	7.4–13				
NMR-derived lipid and apolipoprotein concentrations (mg/dI)						
LDL-C	NMR derived low-density lipoprotein					
101 0	cholesterol					
HDL-C	NMR derived high-density lipoprotein					
	cholesterol					
Total triglycerides	NMR derived total triglycerides					
Total cholesterol	NMR derived total cholesterol					
АроВ	Apolipoprotein B					
-						

HDL indicates high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance; TG, triglyceride.

subclasses include: large (21.5–23 nm), medium (20.5–21.4 nm), and small LDL-P (19–20.4 nm). HDL subclasses include: large (10.3–12 nm), medium (8.7–9.5 nm), and small HDL-P (7.4–7.8 nm). TRL-P subclasses include: large (50–89 nm), medium (37–49 nm), and small TRL-P (30–36 nm). Total LDL-P, HDL-P, and TRL-P are calculated as the sum of the particle concentrations of the LDL, HDL, and TRL-P subclasses. Mean LDL, HDL, and TRL sizes were calculated as mass-weighted averages (Jeyarajah et al., 2006). Concentrations below the limit of detection were assigned the lowest detectable value for that particular measure.

Standard cholesterol and apolipoprotein measures were not available for the current analyses. Therefore, we used NMR-derived measures of LDL-C, HDL-C, total triglycerides, total cholesterol, and apoB. NMR-derived cholesterol measures were highly correlated with standard cholesterol measures in a separate reference population (Matyus et al., 2014) (r = 0.95; information from the testing laboratory).

2.3. Exposure assessment

We used daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations estimated at a 1x1km spatial grid resolution for North Carolina from 2001 to 2010 (Di et al., 2016). The previously developed hybrid prediction model incorporated simulation outputs from a chemical transport model, satellite aerosol optical depth data, meteorological variables, and land-use terms. This prediction model additionally used a neural network to calibrate all the predictors to monitored $PM_{2.5}$. Finally, the model was trained and validated with ten-fold cross-validation (R^2 of 0.84).

Patient addresses on the date of their catheterization were matched to the nearest 1 km grid centroid. Daily $PM_{2.5}$ predictions were averaged for the year prior to each patient's study visit date (McGuinn et al.,

2017). We refer to this measure as the annual average $PM_{2.5}$ value throughout the text.

2.4. Covariates

Data on covariates were obtained from the intake questionnaire and medical records. The final adjustment set identified from the directed acyclic graph included: age (continuous), sex, race/ethnicity (non-Hispanic white, African American, other), current/former smoker (yes/ no), body mass index (continuous), history of diabetes, area-level education (high/low), and urban/rural status. Percentage of individuals in the block group without a high school education was obtained from the 2000 U.S. Census and used to define area-level education (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000). Data on urban/rural status were obtained from the 2000 U.S. Census at the census tract level (Rural Health Research Center, 2009).

2.5. Statistical analyses

We assessed correlations between the lipoprotein outcome measures using Pearson correlation coefficients. Total and small LDL-P measures have been most consistently associated with CVD risk in recent studies (Mora et al., 2007; Zaid et al., 2016). Therefore, the main analyses focused on LDL-P particle concentrations and sizes (total, small, medium, and large), as well as standard LDL cholesterol (LDL-C). Secondary analyses included associations between PM_{2.5} and HDL-P and TRL-P total particle concentrations and sizes, as well as standard cholesterol measures including HDL-C, total cholesterol, total triglycerides, and apoB.

Associations between PM2.5 and the included lipoprotein measures were first examined using linear regression modeling. Next, because not everyone is equally responsive to PM2.5, we investigated whether PM2.5 exposure influences lipoprotein levels differently for individuals with low lipoprotein concentrations compared to those with high concentrations. Specifically, we assessed whether annual average PM2.5 was associated with percentiles of the outcome distribution in 20% increments (10th, 30th, 50th, 70th, and 90th) using quantile regression (Bind et al., 2016). For measures with > 10% of concentration below the limit of detection we used three (medium LDL-P and large TRL-P) and four (medium TRL-P) percentile levels. Finally, we assessed if sex (male vs female) and race (white vs. non-white) modified associations among $PM_{2.5}$ and total LDL-P concentrations by including interaction terms between these variables and $PM_{2.5}$. For all analyses, results are presented as the percentage change of the mean outcome variable and 95% confidence intervals per 1-ug/m³ increase in the annual average PM_{2.5} level.

3. Results

3.1. Study population

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population are presented in Table 2. About half of the included participants had clinically significant coronary artery disease. The majority of included CATHGEN participants were male, overweight/obese, and non-Hispanic White. About half of the study population (48%) had a history of smoking, and 29% had a history of diabetes. Urban/rural status was roughly evenly distributed, with 42% of participants residing in urban areas. The average annual average PM_{2.5} level for the study population was 12.5 µg/m³ (SD: 1.2), with a range of 6.9 to 17.4 µg/m³. Those included in the current analyses were slightly more likely to be nonwhite and female compared to those who were excluded (Supplemental Table 1).

Table 2	
Characteristics of the CATHGEN study population.	

Characteristic	Total (n = 6587)		
Annual average PM _{2.5}	12.5 ± 1.2		
Age at time of enrollment	60.8 ± 12.1		
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	30.1 ± 7.3		
Coronary artery disease ^a			
Yes	2950 (50)		
No	2976 (50)		
Sex			
Male	3991 (61)		
Female	2596 (39)		
Race ^b			
Non-Hispanic white	4853 (74)		
African American	1340 (20)		
Other	394 (6)		
History of smoking			
Yes	3152 (48)		
No	3435 (52)		
History of diabetes			
Yes	1886 (29)		
No	4701 (71)		
Area level education ^c			
Low	2693 (41)		
High	3894 (59)		
Urban/rural status ^d			
Urban	2770 (42)		
Rural	3817 (58)		

Data are reported as n (%) or mean \pm SD. LDL-P, low-density lipoprotein particle concentration.

^a Binary measure of coronary artery disease (> 23 CAD index).

^b Other race/ethnicity includes Native American, Hispanic, Asian, and unknown.

 $^{\rm c}$ Low educational attainment includes those who live in block groups where $\geq 25\%$ of males and females have less than a high school education.

^d Urban status was defined as living in a metropolitan urban core census tract.

3.2. Distribution of lipoprotein concentrations

Table 3 summarizes the distribution of the lipoprotein concentrations for the CATHGEN cohort. Mean lipoprotein concentrations are additionally shown for a reference population of 698 healthy adult men (n = 284) and women (n = 414) between the ages of 18 and 84, who were free of disease and not taking any lipid-altering drugs (Matyus et al., 2014). Lipoprotein concentrations differed between the two groups for all of the included measures, except for total LDL-P. CATHGEN participants had on average greater concentrations of small LDL-P and lower concentrations of medium and large LDL-P than the reference population. CATHGEN participants additionally had lower concentrations of total HDL-P than the reference population. The LDL particle size distribution in the CATHGEN cohort ranged from 19 to 23 nm, with an average size of 20.4 nm.

Supplemental Table 2 shows the mean LDL levels by CATHGEN participant characteristics. Several of the included characteristics differed for all three LDL measures; these include, age, sex, body mass index, area-level education, and urban/rural status. Individuals with significant coronary artery disease (CAD) had on average greater concentrations of small LDL-P; however, total LDL-P and LDL-C concentrations did not differ by CAD status.

3.3. Correlation among lipoprotein concentrations

Supplemental Table 3 presents the Pearson correlation coefficients for the particle concentrations and sizes and NMR-derived lipids. There were strong correlations between total LDL particle concentrations with NMR-derived LDL-C (0.96) and total cholesterol (0.89). There were additionally strong correlations between total LDL-P and small LDL-P

Table 3

Descriptive statistics of the included lipoprotein measures.

Lipoprotein concentration	CATHGEN		Healthy reference population ^a	
	Mean ± SD	Range (5th–95th percentile)	Mean ± SD	Range (5th–95th percentile)
LDL particle (LDL-P)				
(nmol/L)				
Total LDL-P	$1452~\pm~445$	836-2277	1454 ± 393	891-2150
Large LDL-P	165 ± 159	0.07-464	$309~\pm~223$	17–748
Medium LDL-P	$132~\pm~209$	0.02-554	$676~\pm~405$	0-1377
Small LDL-P	$1156~\pm~449$	510-1973	$469~\pm~431$	13-1318
HDL particle (HDL-P)				
(µmol/L)				
Total HDL-P	18.4 ± 3.9	12.3-24.9	24.0 ± 3.0	19.2-29.3
Large HDL-P	1.6 ± 1.3	0.4-4.3	2.5 ± 1.9	0.2-6.3
Medium HDL-P	4.3 ± 2.6	1.0-9.2	7.7 ± 2.7	3.7-12.6
Small HDL-P	12.4 ± 4.0	5.7-18.8	13.8 ± 3.4	8.1–19.6
rig-rich hpoprotein				
(TPL P) (pmol/L)				
Total TRL-P	133 + 738	30.0-268	125 + 616	42_239
Large TRL-P	27 + 51	0.0-13.0	29 ± 65	0.0-12.8
Medium TRL-P	194 + 206	0.0-59.7	179 ± 162	0 3-48 4
Small TRL-P	38.6 ± 32.5	0.07-98.8	565 ± 375	7 3-124
NMR-derived lipid and	0010 2 0210	0107 9010		/10 121
apolipoprotein (mg/dL)				
LDL-C	97.8 ± 31.6	54.1-155	111 ± 30.7	63–163
HDL-C	44.3 ± 11.8	29.0-66.2	61.1 ± 14.4	41-88
Total triglycerides	106 ± 83.6	30.2-249	119 ± 89.8	53-127
Total cholesterol	166 ± 39.7	110-237	194 ± 36.5	116-209
Apolipoprotein B	81.0 ± 24.6	47.2-126	87.1 ± 23.6	53-127

All *p*-values for *t*-tests comparing the means between the two populations were < 0.05, except for total LDL-P. HDL, high-density lipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; NMR, nuclear magnetic resonance.

^a Comparison reference population includes healthy adult men (n = 284) and women (n = 414) between the ages of 18 and 84 (Matyus et al., 2014).

(0.80). NMR derived HDL-C was strongly correlated with both total (0.74) and large HDL-P (0.76), while total HDL-P was moderately correlated with small HDL-P (0.71). There were additionally positive correlations between NMR-derived total triglycerides and all of the TRL-P measures (0.74–0.84), except small TRL-P.

3.4. LDL-related associations with PM_{2.5}

Fig. 1 shows the adjusted associations among $PM_{2.5}$ and measures of LDL particle concentrations and sizes, and NMR-derived LDL-C. The results are reported as the percentage change from the mean outcome level per 1-µg/m³ increase in annual average $PM_{2.5}$. As seen in this figure, long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was most strongly associated with greater total and small LDL particle concentrations. We observed associations with medium LDL-P, however results were imprecise and the 95% confidence interval included the null. Finally, we additionally observed increases in NMR-derived LDL-C levels with each 1-µg/m³ increase in annual average $PM_{2.5}$. The results for LDL-C were similar in effect size to the total and small LDL-P results.

We conducted stratified analyses in order to assess if the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and total LDL-P differed by sex and race. In stratified models, we observed stronger associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and LDL-P levels for women (% change for women: 2.53%, 95% CI 1.55%, 3.50%) compared to men (% change for men: 1.67%, 95% CI 0.84%, 2.51%), however the interaction was not significant. We additionally observed slight differences for whites vs. non-whites in our study population (% change for whites: 2.26%, 95% CI 1.55%, 2.97%; non-whites: 1.34%, 95% CI -0.12%, 2.79%), although this interaction was also not significant.



Fig. 1. Associations between $1-\mu g/m^3$ increases in annual average PM_{2.5} and measures of total particle concentrations and sizes of LDL-P and NMR-derived LDL-C. Results are adjusted for race/ethnicity, history of smoking, sex, age, area level education, urban/rural status, body mass index, and diabetes.

3.5. HDL-P, TRL-P, and NMR-derived lipids associations

Fig. 2 shows the adjusted associations among $PM_{2.5}$ and HDL-P and TRL-P total particle concentrations and sizes, as well as NMR-derived HDL-C, total triglycerides, total cholesterol, and apoB. There were consistent elevated associations among long-term $PM_{2.5}$ and triglyceride-rich particle concentrations and sizes, particularly for total and medium TRL-P. These associations were similar to those for NMR-derived total triglyceride. There were less consistent associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and the HDL-P measures. However, there were more significant associations for total and medium HDL-P, as well as HDL-C. Finally, we observed greater total cholesterol and apoB concentrations associated with each 1- μ g/m³ increase in annual average $PM_{2.5}$.

3.6. Quantile regression analyses

We additionally investigated whether $PM_{2.5}$ exposure influences lipoprotein levels differently for individuals with low concentrations compared to those with greater lipoprotein concentrations. Fig. 3 (A–C) shows the associations between $PM_{2.5}$ by quantiles of the lipoprotein outcome distribution (with breaks at the 10th, 30th, 50th, 70th, and 90th percentiles). The associations were heterogeneous across quantiles. There was an increasing trend for total, small, and medium LDL-P, and LDL-C (Fig. 3A). The percentage change from the mean outcome level per 1-µg/m³ increase in $PM_{2.5}$ ranged from 1.22% (10th percentile) to 2.94% (90th percentile) for total LDL-P and 0.94% (10th percentile) to 4.41% (90th percentile) for small LDL-P.

The relationships for HDL were less consistent. However, there was an increasing trend for medium HDL-P (Fig. 3B). Finally, there was an increasing trend across quantiles for several of the TRL-P measures and NMR-derived total triglycerides (Fig. 3C). The percentage change from the mean outcome level per $1-\mu g/m^3$ increase in PM_{2.5} ranged from 1.58% (10th percentile) to 4.36% (90th percentile) for total TRL-P and 1.09% (10th percentile) to 7.42% (90th percentile) for total triglycerides.

4. Discussion

Among this sample of cardiac catheterization patients residing in North Carolina and undergoing coronary angiography, long-term ambient air $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was associated with increases in several standard and novel blood lipoprotein concentrations. The use of NMR technology is an emerging way to characterize lipoprotein particle concentrations. While the clinical advantages of these new measures



Fig. 2. Associations between 1-µg/m³ increases in annual average PM_{2.5} and measures of HDL-P, TRL-P, and NMR-derived HDL-C, total triglycerides, total cholesterol, and ApoB. Results are adjusted for race/ethnicity, history of smoking, sex, age, area level education, urban/rural status, body mass index, and diabetes.

when compared with standard cholesterol measures remain debated (Allaire et al., 2017; Steffen et al., 2015), NMR-based measures of blood lipoprotein particle size and concentration are predictive of future cardiovascular events in a cardiac catheterization cohort (May et al., 2016), and offer a novel and more refined approach to measuring the relationship between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and changes in blood lipoprotein composition. We found associations between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ and total and small LDL-P, and NMR-derived LDL-C. Additionally, our findings showed elevated associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and measures of TRL-P and NMR-derived total cholesterol and triglycerides.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the impact of long-term PM_{2.5} exposure on LDL particle concentrations and sizes. Our findings showed significant associations for total and small LDL-P concentrations. We additionally observed associations with medium LDL-P. However, the results were imprecise and 41% of the medium LDL-P measures were below the LOD, thus these specific findings should be interpreted with caution. Our findings between PM_{2.5} and elevated total and small LDL-P concentrations were similar for NMR-derived LDL-C, which is expected given the strong correlations between total LDL-P and NMR-derived LDL-C in our study population. Other studies have found strong correlations between total LDL-P and LDL-C using standard methods, although correlations were not as strong as those observed in our study between LDL-P and NMR-derived LDL-C (Matyus et al., 2014). The positive correlations between total LDL-P and small LDL-P is additionally expected given that small LDL-P makes up the majority of total LDL-P concentrations in this study population. This positive correlation between total and small LDL-P has additionally been observed in previous studies (Zaid et al., 2016).

A few previous studies have assessed associations between longterm air pollution exposure and LDL using standard LDL cholesterol measures. Studies in China (Jiang et al., 2016), Taiwan (Chuang et al., 2011), California (Chen et al., 2016), and Israel (Yitshak Sade et al., 2016) have found consistent associations between intermediate and long-term air pollution exposure and elevated LDL-C. Findings from these studies showed inconsistent effects for short-term exposures. Using particle concentrations to assess the association between air pollution and LDL levels may be more clinically meaningful given that total particle number is thought to potentially be a better predictor of cardiovascular disease than standard cholesterol measures alone, particularly for individuals with discordant LDL-P/LDL-C levels (Otvos et al., 2011; Zaid et al., 2016). Further, previous studies have specifically found smaller dense LDL particles to be strongly associated with coronary artery disease (Blake et al., 2002; Kuller et al., 2002; Lamarche et al., 1997), possibly because smaller dense particles can more easily move between the endothelial cells and are more easily oxidized, thus increasing susceptibility to atherosclerosis. Therefore, these particle concentration measures may be a better predictor of potential adverse outcomes associated with $PM_{2.5}$ exposure than traditional measures of blood cholesterol and may also help in understanding the underlying mechanisms between $PM_{2.5}$ and cardiovascular disease.

We additionally found associations between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and increases in triglyceride-rich particle concentrations and sizes, as well as with NMR-derived total triglycerides and cholesterol. This is consistent with a few previous studies that found associations between long-term air pollution exposure and increased levels of standard measures of total triglycerides and cholesterol (Shanley et al., 2016; Sorensen et al., 2015). Elevated triglyceride levels are independently associated with increased risk of cardiovascular events (Rosenson et al., 2014). Moreover, recent genetic studies suggest that triglycerides may also have a causal relationship with cardiovascular disease (Holmes et al., 2015; Triglyceride Coronary Disease Genetics et al., 2010). Thus, in addition to being associated with a more atherogenic LDL particle profile, long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure may shift the triglyceride-rich particle profile to potentially atherogenic subclasses.

Long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was also associated with increased apolipoprotein B concentrations. Although LDL accounts for approximately 90% of apoB particles, apoB is also found on other atherogenic lipoproteins such as very low-density lipoproteins and intermediate density lipoproteins and, as such, apoB concentrations predict cardiovascular risk better than LDL-C alone (Sniderman et al., 2011).

We observed inconsistent associations with HDL particle concentrations and sizes. Our results showed increased levels of total and medium HDL-P and HDL-C with each $1-\mu g/m^3$ increase in annual average PM_{2.5}, and null associations with small and large HDL-P concentrations. Bell et al. (2017) assessed associations between short, intermediate, and long-term air pollutant exposure and measures of HDL-C and HDL-P. Findings from their study showed inverse associations between intermediate and long-term air pollution and HDL-C and HDL-P. Mean total HDL-P and HDL-C levels in their study population were $34.0 \mu mol/L$ and 51.0 mg/dL, respectively, which are on average higher than the observed levels in our study population.

The Clean Air Act mandates that air quality standards be set to



Fig. 3. Associations between 1-µg/m³ increases in annual average PM_{2.5} and quantiles of the distribution of lipoprotein measures. A, total particle concentrations and sizes of LDL-P and NMR-derived LDL-C. B, total particle concentrations and sizes of HDL-P and NMR-derived HDL-C. C, total particle concentrations and sizes of TRL-P and NMR-derived triglycerides, total cholesterol, and ApoB. Results are adjusted for race/ethnicity, history of smoking, sex, age, area level education, urban/rural status, body mass index, and diabetes.

protect susceptible populations (EPA, 2007). Several previous studies have shown that not everyone is equally responsive to air pollution (Sacks et al., 2011). Thus, it is important for epidemiological studies to assess the health effects of air pollution exposure in potentially sensitive populations. Therefore, we investigated whether everyone in the CATHGEN population was equally responsive to $PM_{2.5}$ using quantile regression. The advantage of using quantile regression is that we were able to use the entire distribution of the lipoprotein concentrations, in order to identify participants who were most and least responsive. Our results showed an increasing trend for several of the LDL, TRL-P and total triglyceride measures, with the greatest percent change in the highest percentiles of these outcome measures. This is consistent with a recent study that found an increasing trend between black carbon and $PM_{2.5}$ with standard measures of LDL and triglycerides using quantile regression (Bind et al., 2016). Our study is not without limitations. First, standard cholesterol measures were not available for the current analyses. We relied on NMR-derived LDL-C, HDL-C, total triglyceride, and total cholesterol measures, which were highly correlated with standard cholesterol measures in a separate reference population. Next, we made use of an area level PM_{2.5} measure, thus resulting in some amount of exposure misclassification. However, the use of modeled air pollution data at a fine spatial resolution should help to reduce this error. Further, spatial clustering is of concern in air pollution analyses such as these. In our assessment of the data we did not observe any significant clustering. Additionally, we may have inadequately controlled for all potential confounders as we did not have information on medication use, including lipid-lowering drugs, or measures of individual-level SES.

Catheterization patients represent a selective population; thus, results may not be generalizable to the general population. For instance, in comparing levels of lipoprotein concentrations from CATHGEN with those from a healthy reference population we found significant differences between the two groups for all measures, except total LDL-P (Supplementary Table 1). Whether similar changes in lipoprotein particle profiles with long-term PM_{2.5} exposure occur in healthier individuals remains to be explored. An additional concern is the potential for selection bias. It is theoretically possible that air pollution exposure could impact selection into the study if people who reside in areas of higher air pollution are more likely to have a heart condition, and thus more likely to need an intervention such as catheterization. Factors, such as race/ethnicity and SES, could additionally impact selection into the study and are associated with air pollution exposure. We adjusted for race/ethnicity and an area-level SES measure in our analyses.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to link long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure with measures of LDL particle concentration and size. A few previous studies have assessed associations with standard cholesterol measures; however, it has recently been suggested that novel measures of particle concentrations may be more clinically relevant to assigning risk of atherosclerosis. Further, we used an improved exposure assessment method that helped to minimize measurement error by combining GEOS-Chem predictions with AOD data, monitored values of $PM_{2.5}$, meteorological values, and land use terms at a fine spatial resolution. Finally, CATHGEN is an at-risk population with cardiovascular disease, a group known to be especially susceptible to $PM_{2.5}$.

In conclusion, we found associations between long-term $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and several of the included lipoprotein measures, including total and small LDL-P and apoB. The results of our study may help in understanding the underlying mechanisms between $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and cardiovascular disease.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge and thank all CATHGEN staff and participants for making this work possible.

Sources of funding

This research was supported by intramural research funding by the U.S. EPA; Health Effects Institute (Research Agreement #4946-RFPA10-3/14-7); National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (award number T32ES007018); and an appointment to the Internship/ Research Participation Program at Office of Research and Development (National Health and Environmental Effects Research Laboratory), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education through an interagency agreement between the U.S. Department of Energy and EPA.

Disclosures

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer

The research described in this article has been reviewed by the Environmental Protection Agency and approved for publication. The contents of this article do not necessarily represent Agency policy nor does mention of trade names or commercial products constitute endorsement or recommendation for use.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.11.001.

References

Allaire, J., Vors, C., Couture, P., Lamarche, B., 2017. LDL particle number and size and cardiovascular risk: anything new under the sun? Curr. Opin. Lipidol. 28, 261–266.

- Araujo, J.A., 2010. Particulate air pollution, systemic oxid ative stress, inflammation, and atherosclerosis. Air Qual. Atmos. Health 4, 79–93.
- Bart, B.A., Shaw, L.K., McCants Jr., C.B., Fortin, D.F., Lee, K.L., Califf, R.M., et al., 1997. Clinical determinants of mortality in patients with angiographically diagnosed ischemic or nonischemic cardiomyopathy. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 30, 1002–1008.
- Bell, G., Mora, S., Greenland, P., Tsai, M., Gill, E., Kaufman, J.D., 2017. Association of air pollution exposures with high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and particle number: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 37, 976–982.
- Bind, M.A., Peters, A., Koutrakis, P., Coull, B., Vokonas, P., Schwartz, J., 2016. Quantile regression analysis of the distributional effects of air pollution on blood pressure, heart rate variability, blood lipids, and biomarkers of inflammation in elderly American men: the normative aging study. Environ. Health Perspect. 124, 1189–1198.
- Blake, G.J., Otvos, J.D., Rifai, N., Ridker, P.M., 2002. Low-density lipoprotein particle concentration and size as determined by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy as predictors of cardiovascular disease in women. Circulation 106, 1930–1937.
- Brook, R.D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope 3rd, C.A., Brook, J.R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-Roux, A.V., et al., 2010. Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: an update to the scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 121, 2331–2378.
- Brook, R.D., Newby, D.E., Rajagopalan, S., 2017. Air pollution and cardiometabolic disease: an update and call for clinical trials. Am. J. Hypertens. 31, 1–10.
- Chen, Z., Salam, M.T., Toledo-Corral, C., Watanabe, R.M., Xiang, A.H., Buchanan, T.A., et al., 2016. Ambient air pollutants have adverse effects on insulin and glucose homeostasis in Mexican Americans. Diabetes Care 39, 547–554.
- Chuang, K.J., Yan, Y.H., Chiu, S.Y., Cheng, T.J., 2011. Long-term air pollution exposure and risk factors for cardiovascular diseases among the elderly in Taiwan. Occup. Environ. Med. 68, 64–68.
- Di, Q., Kloog, I., Koutrakis, P., Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Schwartz, J., 2016. Assessing PM_{2.5} exposures with high spatiotemporal resolution across the continental United States. Environ. Sci. Technol. 50, 4712–4721.
- Holmes, M.V., Asselbergs, F.W., Palmer, T.M., Drenos, F., Lanktree, M.B., Nelson, C.P., et al., 2015. Mendelian randomization of blood lipids for coronary heart disease. Eur. Heart J. 36, 539–550.
- Ip, S., Lichtenstein, A.H., Chung, M., Lau, J., Balk, E.M., 2009. Systematic review: association of low-density lipoprotein subfractions with cardiovascular outcomes. Ann. Intern. Med. 150, 474–484.
- Jeyarajah, E.J., Cromwell, W.C., Otvos, J.D., 2006. Lipoprotein particle analysis by nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. Clin. Lab. Med. 26, 847–870.
- Jiang, S., Bo, L., Gong, C., Du, X., Kan, H., Xie, Y., et al., 2016. Traffic-related air pollution is associated with cardio-metabolic biomarkers in general residents. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 89, 911–921.
- Kaufman, J.D., Adar, S.D., Barr, R.G., Budoff, M., Burke, G.L., Curl, C.L., et al., 2016. Association between air pollution and coronary artery calcification within six metropolitan areas in the USA (the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis and air pollution): a longitudinal cohort study. Lancet 388, 696–704.
- Kraus, W.E., Granger, C.B., Sketch Jr., M.H., Donahue, M.P., Ginsburg, G.S., Hauser, E.R., et al., 2015. A guide for a cardiovascular genomics biorepository: the CATHGEN experience. J. Cardiovasc. Transl. Res. 8, 449–457.
- Kuller, L., Arnold, A., Tracy, R., Otvos, J., Burke, G., Psaty, B., et al., 2002. Nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy of lipoproteins and risk of coronary heart disease in the cardiovascular health study. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 22, 1175–1180.
- Kunzli, N., Jerrett, M., Mack, W.J., Beckerman, B., LaBree, L., Gilliland, F., et al., 2005. Ambient air pollution and atherosclerosis in Los Angeles. Environ. Health Perspect. 113, 201–206.
- Lamarche, B., Tchernof, A., Moorjani, S., Cantin, B., Dagenais, G.R., Lupien, P.J., et al., 1997. Small, dense low-density lipoprotein particles as a predictor of the risk of ischemic heart disease in men. Prospective results from the Quebec Cardiovascular Study. Circulation 95, 69–75.
- Matyus, S.P., Braun, P.J., Wolak-Dinsmore, J., Jeyarajah, E.J., Shalaurova, I., Xu, Y., et al., 2014. NMR measurement of LDL particle number using the Vantera clinical analyzer. Clin. Biochem. 47, 203–210.
- May, H.T., Anderson, J.L., Winegar, D.A., Rollo, J., Connelly, M.A., Otvos, J.D., et al., 2016. Utility of high density lipoprotein particle concentration in predicting future major adverse cardiovascular events among patients undergoing angiography. Clin. Biochem. 49, 1122–1126.
- McGuinn, L.A., Ward-Caviness, C., Neas, L.M., Schneider, A., Di, Q., Chudnovsky, A., et al., 2017. Fine particulate matter and cardiovascular disease: comparison of assessment methods for long-term exposure. Environ. Res. 159, 16–23.
- Mora, S., Szklo, M., Otvos, J.D., Greenland, P., Psaty, B.M., Goff Jr., D.C., et al., 2007. LDL particle subclasses, LDL particle size, and carotid atherosclerosis in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis (MESA). Atherosclerosis 192, 211–217.
- Otvos, J.D., Mora, S., Shalaurova, I., Greenland, P., Mackey, R.H., Goff Jr., D.C., 2011. Clinical implications of discordance between low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and particle number. J. Clin. Lipidol. 5, 105–113.
- Rosenson, R.S., Davidson, M.H., Hirsh, B.J., Kathiresan, S., Gaudet, D., 2014. Genetics and causality of triglyceride-rich lipoproteins in atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. 64, 2525–2540.
- Rural Health Research Center, 2009. Rural-Urban Commuting Area Codes (RUCAS). Available. http://depts.washington.edu/uwruca/, Accessed date: 16 December 2017.

- Sacks, J.D., Stanek, L.W., Luben, T.J., Johns, D.O., Buckley, B.J., Brown, J.S., et al., 2011. Particulate matter-induced health effects: who is susceptible? Environ. Health Perspect. 119, 446–454.
- Shanley, R.P., Hayes, R.B., Cromar, K.R., Ito, K., Gordon, T., Ahn, J., 2016. Particulate air pollution and clinical cardiovascular disease risk factors. Epidemiology 27, 291–298. Sniderman, A.D., Williams, K., Contois, J.H., Monroe, H.M., McQueen, M.J., de Graaf, J.,
- et al., 2011. A meta-analysis of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B as markers of cardiovascular risk. Circ. Cardiovasc. Qual. Outcomes 4, 337–345.
- Sorensen, M., Hjortebjerg, D., Eriksen, K.T., Ketzel, M., Tjonneland, A., Overvad, K., et al., 2015. Exposure to long-term air pollution and road traffic noise in relation to cholesterol: a cross-sectional study. Environ. Int. 85, 238–243.
- Steffen, B.T., Guan, W., Remaley, A.T., Paramsothy, P., Heckbert, S.R., McClelland, R.L., et al., 2015. Use of lipoprotein particle measures for assessing coronary heart disease risk post-American Heart Association/American College of Cardiology guidelines: the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis. Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol. 35, 448–454.

Triglyceride Coronary Disease Genetics C, Emerging Risk Factors C, Sarwar, N., Sandhu,

M.S., Ricketts, S.L., Butterworth, A.S., et al., 2010. Triglyceride-mediated pathways and coronary disease: collaborative analysis of 101 studies. Lancet 375, 1634–1639.

- U.S. Census Bureau, 2000. Summary Files 1 and 3. Available: https://www.census.gov/ census2000/sumfile1.html, Accessed date: 2 October 2017.
- U.S. EPA (Environmental Protection Agency), 2007. The Plain English Guide to the Clean Air Act EPA-456/K-07-001. Available. https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/ 2015-08/documents/peg.pdf, Accessed date: 16 October 2017.
- WHO (World Health Organization), 2016. Ambient (outdoor) Air Quality and Health. http://www.Who.Int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs313/en/, Accessed date: 7 December 2017.
- Yitshak Sade, M., Kloog, I., Liberty, I.F., Schwartz, J., Novack, V., 2016. The association between air pollution exposure and glucose and lipids levels. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 101, 2460–2467.
- Zaid, M., Miura, K., Fujiyoshi, A., Abbott, R.D., Hisamatsu, T., Kadota, A., et al., 2016. Associations of serum LDL particle concentration with carotid intima-media thickness and coronary artery calcification. J. Clin. Lipidol. 10 (1195–1202), e1191.