A Polygenic Risk Score of Lipolysis-Increasing Alleles Determines Visceral Fat Mass and Proinsulin Conversion

Daniela Kempe-Teufel,^{1,2,3} Fausto Machicao,^{2,4} Jürgen Machann,^{2,3,5} Anja Böhm,^{1,2,3} Fritz Schick,^{2,3,5} Andreas Fritsche,^{1,2,3} Norbert Stefan,^{1,2,3} Martin Hrabě de Angelis,^{2,4,6} Hans-Ulrich Häring,^{1,2,3} and Harald Staiger^{2,3,7}

¹Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetology, Angiology, Nephrology and Clinical Chemistry, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany; ²German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD), 85764 Neuherberg, Germany; ³Institute for Diabetes Research and Metabolic Diseases of the Helmholtz Centre Munich at the University of Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany; ⁴Institute of Experimental Genetics, Helmholtz Center Munich, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany; ⁵Department of Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology, Section on Experimental Radiology, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany; ⁶Chair of Experimental Genetics, Technical University Munich, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany; and ⁷Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Department of Pharmacy and Biochemistry, Eberhard Karls University Tübingen, 72076 Tübingen, Germany

ORCiD numbers: 0000-0002-9507-5333 (H. Staiger).

Context: Primary dysregulation of adipose tissue lipolysis caused by genetic variation and independent of insulin resistance could explain unhealthy body fat distribution and its metabolic consequences.

Objective: To analyze common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 48 lipolysis-, but not insulin-signaling-related genes, to form polygenic risk scores of lipolysis-associated SNPs, and to investigate their effects on body fat distribution, glycemia, insulin sensitivity, insulin secretion, and proinsulin conversion.

Study Design, Participants, and Methods: SNP array, anthropometric, and metabolic data were available from up to 2789 participants without diabetes of the Tübingen Family study of type 2 diabetes characterized by oral glucose tolerance tests. In a subgroup (n = 942), magnetic resonance measurements of body fat stores were available.

Results: We identified insulin-sensitivity-independent nominal associations (P < 0.05) of SNPs in 10 genes with plasma free fatty acids (FFAs), in 7 genes with plasma glycerol and in 6 genes with both, plasma FFAs and glycerol. A score formed of the latter SNPs (in *ADCY4*, *CIDEA*, *GNAS*, *PDE8B*, *PRKAA1*, *PRKAG2*) was associated with plasma FFA and glycerol measurements ($1.4*10^{-9} \le P \le 1.2*10^{-5}$), visceral adipose tissue mass (P = 0.0326), and proinsulin conversion ($P \le 0.0272$). The more lipolysis-increasing alleles a subject had, the lower was the visceral fat mass and the lower the proinsulin conversion.

Conclusions: We found evidence for a genetic basis of adipose tissue lipolysis resulting from common SNPs in *CIDEA*, AMP-activated protein kinase subunits, and cAMP signaling components. A genetic score of lipolysis-increasing alleles determined lower visceral fat mass and lower proinsulin conversion. (*J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 104: 1090–1098, 2019)

ISSN Print 0021-972X ISSN Online 1945-7197 Printed in USA Copyright © 2019 Endocrine Society Received 20 September 2018. Accepted 7 January 2019. First Published Online 10 January 2019

Abbreviations: AMPK, adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase; AUC, area under the curve; BMI, body mass index; FFA, free fatty acid; GWAS, genome wide association study; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; ISI, insulin-sensitivity index; OGTT, oral glucose tolerance test; PKA, protein kinase A; SNP, single nucleotide polymorphism; TAT, total adipose tissue; TÜF, Tübingen Family; VAT, visceral adipose tissue.

Chronically elevated plasma free fatty acids (FFAs) exert multiple detrimental effects of metabolic relevance summarized under the term lipotoxicity. FFAs provoke tissue inflammation and insulin resistance (1) as well as pancreatic β -cell dysfunction (2). Furthermore, FFAs induce vascular inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and promote atherosclerotic events (3). Therefore, high FFA concentrations are believed to contribute to the metabolic syndrome and type 2 diabetes.

A major reason for elevated plasma FFA concentrations is, apart from chronically high fat intake, increased adipose tissue lipolysis (4). Adipose tissue lipolysis is determined, *e.g.*, by the type of adipocyte with visceral adipocytes having higher lipolytic activity than subcutaneous adipocytes (5), by the adipocyte diameter with large adipocytes having higher lipolytic activity than small adipocytes (6), and by circulating hormones. Insulin and catecholamines are the most important hormonal regulators of lipolysis: catecholamines stimulate, whereas insulin suppresses adipose tissue lipolysis. Because insulin resistance is closely associated with elevated lipolytic rates and *vice versa* (7, 8), data on primary causes of increased lipolysis independent of insulin resistance are hitherto not well described.

In the last decade, the era of genome-wide association studies (GWAS) has provided valuable insights into the genetic architecture of many diseases [see NHGRI-EBI GWAS Catalog (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/gwas/)]. With respect to type 2 diabetes, GWAS not only revealed common genetic variants in more than 200 genes associated with the disease but also collected first evidence for their involvement in disease-related pathophysiological events, such as disproportionate body fat distribution, insulin resistance, and insulin secretion failure (9). Because of a lack of larger studies with *in vivo* measures of lipolysis, however, GWAS addressing primary defects in lipolysis, *i.e.*, insulin-resistance-independent dysregulation of lipolysis, have not yet been performed.

Having now available in the Tübingen Family (TÜF) study for type 2 diabetes genome-wide genotyping data, FFA and glycerol measurements as well as estimates of insulin sensitivity derived from a five-point oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), we asked whether an insulinsensitivity–independent genetic basis of adipose tissue lipolysis exists. To this end, we analyzed 316 common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in 48 lipolysis-, but not insulin-signaling–related genes for insulinsensitivity–independent association with plasma FFA and glycerol concentrations, formed polygenic risk scores of FFA- and/or glycerol-associated SNPs, and investigated their effects on body fat distribution, glycemia, insulin sensitivity, insulin secretion, and proinsulin conversion.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

The study adhered to the ethical guidelines put forth in the Declaration of Helsinki, and the study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Eberhard Karls University Tübingen. All study participants gave their informed written consent to the study.

Subjects

An overall study population of 2789 genotyped German subjects without diabetes with anthropometric and metabolic phenotype data were recruited from the ongoing TUF study (10). TUF currently comprises more than 3500 nonrelated individuals at increased risk for type 2 diabetes characterized by a family history of type 2 diabetes, a body mass index $(BMI) \ge 27 \text{ kg/m}^2$, impaired fasting glycemia, and/or previous gestational diabetes. TUF participants undergo assessment of medical history, smoking status and alcohol consumption habits, physical examination, routine blood tests, and OGTTs. In the genotyped study population, anthropometric data (gender, age, BMI) and glucose measurements were available from all 2789 participants, MRI-derived visceral adipose tissue (VAT) and total adipose tissue (TAT) and MRIspectroscopy-derived intrahepatic lipid data, insulin sensitivity, and insulin secretion measurements, proinsulin conversion, plasma FFA, and plasma glycerol data were available from differently sized subgroups (Table 1). The study population did not include participants taking any medication known to influence glucose tolerance, insulin sensitivity, or insulin secretion.

OGTT

A standardized 75-g OGTT with venous blood sampling at time points 0, 30, 60, 90, and 120 minutes was performed after a 10-hour overnight fast as described earlier (10). Blood was sampled to determine blood glucose, plasma concentrations of FFAs and glycerol and serum concentrations of insulin, proinsulin, and C-peptide.

Quantification of body fat and body fat compartments

BMI (in kg/m²) was calculated as weight divided by height squared. Body fat content (in %) was determined by bioelectrical impedance (BIA-101, RJL Systems, Detroit, MI). To quantify TAT and VAT contents exactly (in % body weight, both), whole-body MRI was performed on a 1.5-T whole-body imager (Magnetom Sonata, Siemens Healthineers, Erlangen, Germany) as described (11). Localized stimulated echo acquisition mode ¹H-magnetic resonance spectroscopy was used to determine the content of intrahepatic lipids as previously described (12).

Clinical chemistry

Plasma glucose concentrations (in mmol/L) were measured with a bedside glucose analyzer (glucose oxidase method; Yellow Springs Instruments, Yellow Springs, OH). Plasma FFA and glycerol concentrations (in μ mol/L) were quantified using enzymatic assays from WAKO Chemicals (Neuss, Germany) and Sigma-Aldrich (Munich, Germany), respectively. Serum insulin, proinsulin, and C-peptide concentrations (in pmol/L) were

Table 1. Traits Assessed in the Study Populationand Sample Sizes (64% Women, 36% Men)

Trait	Mean	SD	n
Age, y	43	14	2789
BMI, kg/m ²	31.0	9.3	2789
Body fat, %	34.4	13.0	2656
TAT, % BW	33.7	9.6	940
VAT, % BW	4.04	2.04	942
IHL, %	6.66	6.68	927
Glucose ₀ , mmol/L	5.24	0.56	2789
Glucose ₁₂₀ , mmol/L	6.41	1.61	2789
Glucose _{AUC0-120} , mmol/L*h	14.98	3.14	2778
Insulin _o , pmol/L	90	72	2774
Insulin ₁₂₀ , pmol/L	572	576	2754
Insulin _{AUC0-120} , pmol/L*h	1225	913	2735
FFA ₀ , μmol/L	595	249	2725
FFA ₁₂₀ , µmol/L	95	120	2672
FFA _{iAUC0-120} , μmol/L*h	704	394	2632
Glycerol ₀ , μmol/L	105	68	768
Glycerol ₁₂₀ , μmol/L	49	35	766
Glycerol _{iAUC0-120} , μmol/L*h	88	93	761
HOMA-IR, 10^{-6} mol*U*L ⁻²	3.58	3.11	2774
ISI, $10^{19} L^2 * mol^{-2}$	12.04	8.73	2733
Insulin _{AUC0-30} /glucose _{AUC0-30} , 10^{-9}	53	36	2756
C-peptide _{AUC0-30} /glucose _{AUC0-30} , 10 ⁻⁹	192	74	2706
Proinsulin _o /insulin _o	0.074	0.100	2634
Proinsulin ₃₀ /insulin ₃₀	0.021	0.023	2645
Proinsulin _{AUC0-120} /insulin _{AUC0-120}	0.033	0.032	2539

Subscript numbers indicate time points of the OGTT with 0 = fasting state.

Abbreviations: BW, body weight; HOMA-IR, homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance; IHL, intrahepatic lipids.

determined by commercial chemiluminescence assays for ADVIA Centaur (Siemens Medical Solutions, Fernwald, Germany).

Calculations

Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (in 10^{-6} mol*U*L⁻²) was calculated as c(glucose₀)*c(insulin₀)/22.5 with c = concentration and insulin concentrations converted from pmol/L to µU/mL (13). The OGTT-derived insulin sensitivity index (ISI; in 10¹⁹ L²*mol⁻²) was estimated as proposed by Matsuda and DeFronzo (14): 10,000/[c(glucose_0)*c(insulin_0)*c(glucose_mean)*c (insulin_{mean})]^{1/2}. Insulin secretion was estimated from the OGTT using two recently reported indices (15): area under the curve (AUC) of insulin from 0 to 30 minutes divided by AUC of glucose from 0 to 30 minutes (insulin_{AUC0-30}/glucose_{AUC0-30}) and AUC of C-peptide divided by AUC of glucose both in the same time interval (C-peptide_{AUC0-30}/glucose_{AUC0-30}; in 10⁻⁹, both indices). Both indices were calculated as [c(insulino or C-peptide₀)+c(insulin₃₀ or C-peptide₃₀)]/[c(glucose₀)+c(glucose₃₀)]. AUCs from 0 to 120 minutes of analytes with increasing concentrations during the OGTT (glucose in mmol/L*h, insulin and proinsulin in pmol/L*h) were calculated with the trapezoid method: $0.5^{*}(0.5^{*}c_{0}+c_{30}+c_{60}+c_{90}+0.5^{*}c_{120})$. For the decline of FFA and glycerol concentrations during the entire 120 minutes, inverse area under the curves (iAUCs; in µmol/L*h, both) were calculated according to the formula previously reported (16): 0.5* $[0.5^{(1)}(|c_0-c_{30}|)]+0.5^{(1)}[0.5^{(1)}(|c_{30}-c_{60}|)+c_0-c_{30}]+0.5^{(1)}[0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)}(|c_{60}-c_{90}-c_{90}|)+0.5^{(1)$ c_0-c_{60}]+0.5*[0.5*($|c_{90}-c_{120}|$)+ c_0-c_{90}]. Proinsulin conversion (dimensionless) was estimated at 0 minutes, 30 minutes, and during the entire 120 minutes as $c(\text{proinsulin}_X)/c(\text{insulin}_X)$ with X = 0 minutes, 30 minutes, or AUC from 0 to 120 minutes.

Selection of lipolysis-related genes and of common SNPs therein

Based on information provided by articles that were identified via a stringent PubMed (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed) search using the combination of search terms "regulation," "adipocyte," and "lipolysis[Title]" and subsequent validation of the identified candidate genes by interrogation of OMIM (https:// www.omim.org/) and UniProt (https://www.uniprot.org/), we established a list of 58 genes with strong evidence for involvement in the regulation of lipolysis and at least moderate expression in adipose tissue according to GTEx RNA-Seq data (≥15 transcripts per kilobase million in subcutaneous adipose tissue and/or VAT) (https://www.gtexportal.org/). The list comprised genes encoding lipases and lipase cofactors, lipid vesicle components (perilipins, cell death-inducing DFFA-like effectors), fatty acid binding proteins, cAMP signaling components (adrenergic receptors, calcitonin receptor-like receptor, and RAMP coreceptors, neuropeptide Y receptor Y1, prostaglandin E receptors, heterotrimeric G-proteins, adenylate cyclases, subunits of protein kinase A (PKA), cAMP phosphodiesterases), cyclic GMP signaling components (NO synthases, atrial natriuretic peptide receptors, guanylate cyclases, cyclic GMP phosphodiesterases), subunits of adenosine monophosphate-activated protein kinase (AMPK), TNF receptors, and MAPKs (17). As it was our intention to identify primary genetic defects in lipolysis independent of insulin sensitivity, genes constituting the proximal insulin signaling pathway, such as the insulin receptor, insulin receptor substrates, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, protein kinase B, were a priori excluded. In the genomic loci (gene regions plus 2 kb 5'-flanking regions) of these 58 genes, we looked for common (minor allele frequency ≥ 0.05), biallelic and nonlinked ($r^2 < 0.8$) SNPs with genotyping success rates $\ge 75\%$ and genotypes in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium $(P \ge 0.05)$ available from our recently collected genome-wide genotyping data using the 700-K Infinium Global Screening Array from Illumina (San Diego, CA) (18). Based on these criteria, we selected 311 SNPs in 48 genes. In addition, information provided by the GTEx portal revealed the existence of 373 so-called ciseSNPs, i.e., SNPs acting in cis on the expression of the selected lipolysis-related genes in subcutaneous and/or omental adipose tissue. Because many of these SNPs were in high linkage disequilibrium ($r^2 \ge 0.8$), only 25 SNPs were depicted on the Global Screening Array and subjected to the abovementioned selection and quality criteria. Five cis-eSNPs neither identical nor linked to any of the 311 SNPs selected before survived this procedure and were included in the association analysis. Thus, a total of 316 SNPs was finally selected (17).

Statistical analysis and generation of polygenic scores

Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of genotype distribution was tested by χ^2 test with one degree of freedom. Prior to association analysis, all continuous variables were inverse-normal transformed to ensure normal distribution of data. Linear regression analysis was performed with the standard least squares method choosing the trait of interest (FFA concentration, glycerol concentration, other metabolic traits) as outcome variable, the SNP genotype or the genetic score (in the additive inheritance

model, both) as independent variable and gender, age, BMI and ISI (or insulin concentration) as confounding variables as indicated. When testing 316 SNPs in parallel, a Bonferronicorrected P value < 0.000163 was considered statistically significant. Unweighted polygenic scores were generated by summing up all nominally (P < 0.05) lipolysis-increasing alleles. In the analysis testing associations of the polygenic scores, the significance threshold was set at P < 0.05. We did not correct for the metabolic traits tested in parallel because these were not independent. In the multiple linear regression models, the study was sufficiently powered $(1-\beta \ge 0.8$, two-sided P < 0.05) to detect SNP effects on fasting FFA concentrations $\geq 2.5\%$ in the overall population (N = 2725) and on fasting glycerol concentrations $\geq 6.5\%$ in the subgroup with glycerol measurements (n=768) taking into account the chosen minor allele frequency threshold ≥ 0.05 . For all analysis, the statistical software JMP 13.0.0 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used.

Results

The study population's phenotypes assessed in this study and their sample sizes are presented in Table 1.

Single SNP analysis

To find insulin sensitivity-independent associations of SNPs with lipolysis, we analyzed 316 Global Screening Array-derived SNPs (including 5 cis-eSNPs) in 48 hypothesis-driven candidate genes for association with fasting FFAs, FFAs at time point 120 minutes of the OGTT, iAUC of FFAs during the entire OGTT, fasting glycerol, glycerol at time point 120 minutes and iAUC of glycerol during the OGTT. Gender, age, BMI, and ISI (or instead of ISI, the insulin concentration at the respective time point/-interval) were included in the multiple linear regression models as confounding variables. Applying Bonferroni correction for multiple testing, we identified 62 SNPs with nominal associations (0.000163 $\leq P <$ 0.05), but no SNP with significant association (P <0.000163; Table 2) (17). Twenty-eight SNPs in 10 genes (GNAI1, GNAI3, GUCY1B3, MAPK6, MGLL, NOS3, PDE5A, PRKAR2B, PTGER3, PTGER4) were nominally associated with plasma FFAs, 27 SNPs in 7 genes (ADCY3, ADCY5, PDE3B, PDE8A, PLIN4, PRKAR1A, PRKAR2A) with plasma glycerol, and 7 SNPs in 6 genes (ADCY4, CIDEA, GNAS, PDE8B, PRKAA1, PRKAG2) with both, plasma FFAs and glycerol (Table 2, Figs. 1a and 1b). Screening the GWAS collection of the Type-2 Diabetes Knowledge Portal of the Accelerating Medicines Partnership (http://www.type2diabetesgenetics.org/), we found suggestive genome-wide evidence ($P < 10^{-6}$) for association with anthropometric/metabolic traits for 6 of these SNPs: 5 SNPs (rs1541984, rs11676272, rs12891732, rs8014112, rs6026584) were associated with body height, two with BMI and childhood obesity (rs1541984, rs11676272), one with hip and waist circumference (rs11676272), and one with total cholesterol and low-density-lipoprotein cholesterol (rs518076; Table 2).

Polygenic scores and their associations with FFAs and glycerol

Based on the single SNP analysis, we generated four different polygenic scores by summing up the nominally lipolysis-increasing alleles. The FFA-Only Score contained all alleles exclusively associated with increased FFA, but not with glycerol concentrations. Analogously, the Glycerol-Only Score comprised only alleles associated with increased glycerol, but not with FFA concentrations. The FFA&Glycerol Score contained only alleles associated with both, increased FFA and increased glycerol concentrations, and the Overall Score comprised all FFA- and/or glycerol-increasing alleles. The allele distribution of these scores are provided in an online repository (17). Refraining from correction for multiple testing, all scores were significantly associated with at least four of six FFA and glycerol measurements (FFA₀, FFA₁₂₀, FFA_{iAUC0-120}, glycerol₀, glycerol₁₂₀, glycerol_{iAUC0-120}; $9.3*10^{-11} \le P \le 0.0324$) (17). The increase in fasting FFAs ranged from +3.3 µmol/L (Glycerol-Only Score) to +12.5 µmol/L (FFA&Glycerol Score) per risk allele, the increase in fasting glycerol ranged from +1.3 µmol/L (FFA-Only Score) to +5.5 µmol/L (FFA&Glycerol Score) per risk allele (17).

Associations of polygenic scores with body fat distribution, glycemia, insulin sensitivity, insulin secretion, and proinsulin conversion

After appropriate adjustment, neither the Overall Score nor the FFA-Only Score nor the Glycerol-Only Score were associated with body fat distribution (BMI, bioelectrical impedance-derived body fat content, TAT, VAT, intrahepatic lipids), glycemia (glucose₀, glucose₁₂₀, glucose_{AUC0-120}), insulin sensitivity (homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance, ISI), insulin secretion (insulinAUC0-30/glucoseAUC0-30, C-peptideAUC0-30/glucoseAUC0-30) or proinsulin conversion (proinsulin₀/insulin₀, proinsulin₃₀/insulin₃₀, proinsulin_{AUC0-120}/insulin_{AUC0-120}; Table 3). By contrast, the FFA&Glycerol Score was significantly associated with VAT content (P = 0.0326; Fig. 2) and proinsulin conversion (proinsulin₃₀/insulin₃₀ P =0.0272, proinsulin_{AUC0-120}/insulin_{AUC0-120} P = 0.0174; Table 3). The more lipolysis-increasing alleles a subject had, the lower was his visceral fat mass and the lower his proinsulin conversion. The effect size of the score on VAT content was -0.06% body weight per risk allele (Fig. 2).

Discussion

The primary aim of this study was to investigate whether common SNPs exist in humans that determine, independently of the individual's insulin sensitivity, variation in lipolysis rates and, if so, whether they affect body

Table 2. SNPs Nominally Associa	ited With Measures of Lipolysis
---------------------------------	---------------------------------

ADC/3 2 rs1541984 x Height, BM, Julichood obesity ADC/4 14 rs112891732 x x Height, BM, Julichood obesity ADC/4 14 rs12891732 x x Height, BM, Julichood obesity ADC/4 14 rs312254 x	Gene	Chr	SNP	FFA	Glyc	GWAS Phenotypes ($P < 10^{-6}$) ^a
ADC/9 2 rs11676272 x Height, BM, Ibi, weist, childhood obesity ADC/4 14 rs1221254 x	ADCY3	2	rs1541984		Х	Height, BMI, childhood obesity
ADC/4 14 rs12891732 x x Height ADC/4 14 rs3014112 x Height ADC/4 (ciceSNP) 14 rs3014112 x Height ADC/4 (ciceSNP) 18 rs7504200 x x — GNA11 7 rs7576571 x — — GNA11 7 rs71057571 x — — GNA3 20 rs8125112 x — — GNA5 20 rs8125112 x — — GNA5 20 rs8125172 x — — GNA5 20 rs8025584 x x — GNA5 20 rs8025575 x — — GNA5 20 rs802557 x — — GUC/1783 4 rs3176571 x — — GUC/182 x X — — MAPK6 15	ADCY3	2	rs11676272		Х	Height, BMI, hip, waist, childhood obesity
ADC/4 14 rs212254 x	ADCY4	14	rs12891732	Х	Х	Height
ADC/4 (c5:=5NP) 14 rs8014112 x Height CDEA (c5:=5NP) 18 rs7504200 x x GNA11 7 rs757571 x GNA11 7 rs7518076 x LDL cholesterol, cholesterol GNA3 20 rs8125112 x GNA5 20 rs6026567 x GNA5 20 rs6026567 x GNA5 20 rs6026567 x GNA5 20 rs60205584 x MAPK6 15 rs447367 x MAPK6 15 rs447367 x MAPK6 15 rs405910 x MEGL 3 rs10251507 x MEGL 3 rs1026345 x	ADCY4	14	rs3212254	х		
ADC/S 3 rs11927367 x GNA11 7 rs7776571 x GNA11 7 rs7776571 x GNA11 7 rs7776571 x GNA3 1 rs518076 x LDL cholesterol, cholesterol GNA5 20 rs6026567 x GNA5 20 rs6026567 x GNA5 20 rs6026567 x GNA5 20 rs6026567 x MAPK6 15 rs4447367 x MAPK6 15 rs181907 x MAPK6 15 rs10831607 x MAPK6 15 rs1083167 x M253 7 rs7830 x M254 1 rs7103368 x PD538 11 rs7103465 x <td>ADCY4 (cis-eSNP)</td> <td>14</td> <td>rs8014112</td> <td>Х</td> <td></td> <td>Height</td>	ADCY4 (cis-eSNP)	14	rs8014112	Х		Height
CDEA (csc-eSNP) 18 rs 7504200 x x	ADCY5	3	rs11927367		Х	—
GNAJ1 7 rs7776571 x — — GNAJ2 7 rs7776571 x — — GNAJ3 1 rs718076 x ULD cholesterol, cholesterol GNAJ5 20 rs612512 x — — GNAJ5 20 rs6120567 x — — MAPK6 15 rs1447367 x — — MGLL 3 rs164910 x — — MGLL 3 rs164910 x — — MGL 4 rs1790575 x — — MGL 4 rs1790575 x — — MGL 3 rs1705710 x — — MGL 4 rs1790575 x — — MGL 4 rs1790575 x — — MGL 4 rs1705710 x — — MGL 4 rs1709368 x — — PDE38 11 rs711431 x — — PDE38 11 rs7109368 x — — PDE5A 4 rs163446 x — No data available PDE6A 15 rs126452 x — PDE5A 4 rs1708216 x — — PDE6A 15 rs126452 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126498 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126498 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126498 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126498 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126499 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126499 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126499 x — PDE6BA 15 rs17683162 x — PDE6BA 15 rs126499 x — PDE6BA 15 rs17683162 x — PDE6BA 15 rs17675770 X — PDE6BA 15 rs177378288 X — PDE7BA 10 r Ts1773668 x — PDE7BA 10 r Ts1773668 x — PDE7BA 10 r Ts1773669 x — PDE7BA 10 r Ts17757992 x — PDE7BA 10 r Ts17757992 x — PDE7BA	CIDEA (cis-eSNP)	18	rs7504200	Х	Х	—
GNA11 7 rs916905 x — GNA3 1 rs518076 x LDL cholesterol, cholesterol GNA5 20 rs8125112 x — GNA5 20 rs6026567 x — GNA5 20 rs919197 x — GNA5 20 rs919197 x — GUCY183 4 rs3796575 x — MARK6 15 rs10851507 x — MARK6 15 rs10851507 x — MGLL 3 rs10251507 x — MGS3 7 rs7830 X — PDE38 11 rs71107010 x — PDE38 15 rs1109368 x — PDE34 4 rs10012485 x No data available PDE38 5 rs12652928 x — PDE38 5 rs12652929 x <t></t>	GNAI1	7	rs7776571	Х		—
GNA3 1 rs518076 x LDL cholesterol, cholesterol GNA5 20 rs6026567 x — — GNA5 20 rs6026567 x — — GNA5 20 rs6026564 x x — — GNA5 20 rs6026564 x x — — MAPK6 15 rs10851507 x — — MAPK6 15 rs10851507 x — — MGLL 3 rs664910 x — — MGLL 3 rs664910 x — — MGLL 3 rs664910 x — — MGL 3 rs664910 x — — DE58 11 rs7114131 x — — DE58 11 rs7114131 x — — DE58 11 rs7114131 x — — DE58 4 rs6534146 x M DE58 5 rs126534146 x — — DE58 7 rs126542 X — — — DE58 7 rs127429 X — — MCAG2 7 rrs17173197 X — — MCAG2 7 rrs17173	GNAI1	7	rs916905	х		—
GNAS 20 rs8125112 x GNAS 20 rs5026567 x GNAS 20 rs919197 x GNAS 20 rs919197 x GUCY182 4 rs3796575 x MAPK6 15 rs1447367 x MARK6 15 rs1447367 x MGLL 3 rs105710 x MGL 3 rs1070710 x MGL 3 rs100710 x PDE58 11 rs7114131 x PDE54 4 rs502146 x PDE58 15 rs12552148 x PDE68 5 rs12652928 x PDE68 5 rs12652929 x PDE68 5 rs12652929 x </td <td>GNAI3</td> <td>1</td> <td>rs518076</td> <td>х</td> <td></td> <td>LDL cholesterol, cholesterol</td>	GNAI3	1	rs518076	х		LDL cholesterol, cholesterol
GNAS 20 rsb02b5b/ x — GNAS 20 rsb02b5b/ x — GNAS 20 rsb19197 x — GNAS 20 rsb19197 x — GNAS 20 rsb19197 x — MAPK6 15 rsb02b5107 x — MAPK6 15 rsb02b5107 x — MGLL 3 rsb64910 x — NOS3 7 rs7830 X — PDE3B 11 rs7119368 X — PDE3A 4 rsb0248 X — PDE4A 15 rs182452 X — PDE5A 4 rsb215448 X — PDE6B 5 rs1262928 X — PDE6B 5 rs1263146 X No data available PVE4B 5 rs1263149 X — <	GNAS	20	rs8125112	Х		—
GNAS 20 r5002634 x x — Height GNAS 20 r5019197 x — — GUCY183 4 r3796575 x — — MAPK6 15 r514847367 x — — MARK6 15 r510851507 x — — MGLL 3 r510851507 x — — MGLL 3 r5164910 x — — MGLL 3 r5164910 x — — PDE38 11 r57114131 x — — PDE38 11 r57114131 x — — PDE58 11 r57109368 x — — PDE58 11 r57109368 x — — PDE58 11 r57109368 x — — PDE58 15 r512534146 x Modata available PDE68 15 r512534146 x — — PDE58 5 r512653988 x — — PDE88 5 r512654989 x — — PDE88 5 r51263989 x — — PDE88 5 r51263919 x — — PDE88 5 r51254989 x — — PDE88 5 r51263919 x — — PDE88 5 r51263919 x — — PDE88 5 r5249429 x X — — PDE88 5 r51263917 x — — PDE88 5 r51263917 x — — PDE88 7 r523639 x — — PDE88 7 r523639 x — — PMKAG2 7 r52374229 x — — PMKAG2 7 r517173197 x — — PMKAG2 7 r51717310 x X — — PMKAG2 7 r51717310 x X — — PMKAG2 7 r51726263 x — — PMKAG2 7 r51726263 x — — PMKAG2 7 r51726670 x — — PMKAG2 7 r51726270 x — — PMKAG2 7 r517732688 x — — PMKAG2 7 r5187571 x — — PMKAG2 7 r5187575 x — — PMKAG2 7 r51875757575 x — — PMKAG2 7 r5187575757575 x — — PMKAG2 7 r5187575757575757575757575757575757575757	GNAS	20	rs6026567	Х		
GNAS 20 15/19/19/1 X — MAPK6 15 rs10851507 x — MAPK6 15 rs10851507 x — MGLL 3 rs6160410 x — MGL 3 rs664910 x — NOS3 7 rs7830 x — PDE3B 11 rs7109368 x — PDE5A 4 rs10012485 x — PDE5A 4 rs10012485 x — PDE5A 4 rs62019481 x — PDE6B 5 rs12652028 x — PDE8B 5 rs12651494 x — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x No data available PLNA 19 rs109717 X — PDE8B 5 rs107429 X No data available	GNAS	20	rs6026584	Х	Х	Height
GUC / 17.83 4 FS / 965/9 X — MAPK6 15 rs / 447367 x — MARK6 15 rs / 10851507 x — MGLL 3 rs / 1705710 x — MGL 3 rs / 1705710 x — PDE38 11 rs / 184362 X — PDE5A 4 rs / 15021848 X — PDE5A 15 rs / 15625228 X — PDE6B 5 rs / 1562515498 X — PDE8B 5 rs / 1563162 X — PDE8B 5 rs / 1699717 X — PDE8B 5 rs / 1699717 X — PIKAG2 7 rs / 173190 X	GIVAS	20	rs919197		Х	—
MARKo 15 F54447367 X — MGLL 3 rs11705710 X — MGLL 3 rs164910 X — MGL 3 rs64910 X — NDS3 7 rs7830 X — NDS3 7 rs7830 X — NDS3 7 rs7830 X — PDE3B 11 rs7114131 X — PDE5A 4 rs1012485 X — PDE5A 4 rs6534146 X No data available PDE5A 5 rs1854452 X — PDE6B 5 rs12652928 X — PDE8B 5 rs12652928 X — PDE8B 5 rs1042819 X — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 X No data available PUNM 19 rs1099717 X — PDE8B 5 rs10429 X No data available PNKAG2 7 rs17173197 X — PRKAG2 7 rs17173197 X — PRKAG2 7 rs17272888	GUCY183	4	rs3/965/5	Х		—
MARKo 15 (10831307) x — — MGL 3 (510831307) x — — PDE3A 11 (57114131) x — — PDE3B 11 (57114131) x — — PDE5A 4 (5534146 x Moda available PDE5A 4 (5534146 x Moda available PDE5A 15 (5125128) x — — PDE8A 15 (52019481) x — PDE8B 5 (512515498 x — — PDE8B 5 (51263919 x — — PDE8B 5 (51263919 x — — PDE8B 5 (510942819 x — — PDE8B 7 (5017429 x X No data available PRKAG2 7 (5107429 x — — PRKAG2 7 (511713197 x — — PRKAG2 7 (51171320 x X — — PRKAG2 7 (51171320 x X — — PRKAG2 7 (51171320 x X — — PRKAG2 7 (5125915 x — — PRKAG2 7 (5125915 x — — PRKAG2 7 (51269153 X — —	MAPKO	15	rs444/36/	X		—
MGLL 3 ISTRUSTIO X — MGLL 3 rs664910 x — NDS3 7 rs7830 x — NDS3 7 rs7830 x — NDS3 7 rs7830 x — PDE58 11 rs7103568 x — PDE5A 4 rs61012485 x — PDE5A 4 rs6134146 x — PDE6A 15 rs11854452 x — PDE6B 5 rs12652928 x — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x — — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x — — PDE8B 5 rs109177 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1713197 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs237429 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs17173197 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs2374299	NAPKO		ISIU85I507	X		
JNGLL 3 1500430 x PDE3B 11 rs7114131 x PDE3B 11 rs7114131 x PDE3B 11 rs7109368 x PDE5A 4 rs6534146 x No data available PDE5A 4 rs6534146 x PDE5A 4 rs6534146 x PDE5A 4 rs6534146 x PDE6A 15 rs61019481 x PDE8B 5 rs12515498 x PDE8B 5 rs1261942819 x PDE8B 5 rs106917 x PDE8B 5 rs249429 x x No data available PINA 19 rs1609717 x PRKAG2 7 rs274229 x X No data available PINAG2 7 rs274229 x PRKAG2 7 rs1713197 X PRKAG2 7 rs17370828 x PRKAG2 7	MGLL	3	ISTT/05/10 rc664010	X		
NO.53 7 157.830 X — — PDE3B 11 rs7114131 X — — PDE5A 4 rs6534146 X — — PDE5A 4 rs6534146 X — — PDE5A 4 rs653146 X — — PDE5A 4 rs65219481 X — — PDE5B 5 rs12515498 X — — PDE8B 5 rs12652928 X — — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 X — — PDE8B 5 rs209429 X X No data available PIKAG2 7 rs21717137 X — — PIKAG2 7 rs217429 X — — <t< td=""><td>NOCO</td><td>כ ד</td><td>15004910</td><td>X</td><td></td><td>—</td></t<>	NOCO	כ ד	15004910	X		—
LLDD 11 IST 14131 X — PDE3B 11 rs10012485 x — PDE5A 4 rs5034146 x — PDE5A 4 rs534146 x — PDE8A 15 rs11854452 x — PDE8B 5 rs12652928 x — PDE8B 5 rs12515498 x — PDE8B 5 rs17683162 x — PDE8B 5 rs17683162 x — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x — PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x — PDE4C 7 rs5017429 x No data available PIMM 19 rs1099717 x — PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x — PRKAG2 7 rs1773197 x — PRKAG2 7 rs173728288 x —		/ 11	15/03U rc711/121	Х	Y	—
Inc.DD In Is /	PDESB	11	rs7109368		X	
InLLAM Is no cital of a structure of the stru	PDESD PDESA	1	rc10012485	×	X	
Incl. And PDE8A 15 rs10534452 x	PDE5A	4	rs653/1/6	×		No data available
Inclusion Instant Security x	PDF8A	15	rs11854452	~	Y	
PDE8B 5 rs12652028 x	PDF8A	15	rs62019481		X	
PDE3B 5 rs34802194 x	PDF8R	5	rs12652928		x	
PDE8B 5 rs12515498 x	PDF8B	5	rs34802194	x	X	_
PDE8B 5 rs17683162 x	PDF8B	5	rs12515498		x	_
PDE8B 5 rs10942819 x	PDE8B	5	rs17683162		X	_
PDE8B 5 rs90684 x No data available PLIM4 19 rs1609717 X — PRKAA1 5 rs2049429 X X No data available PRKAG2 7 rs5017429 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs17173197 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs12374229 X No data available PRKAG2 7 rs17771300 X — PRKAG2 7 rs17773668 X — PRKAG2 7 rs73728288 X — PRKAG2 7 rs73728288 X — PRKAG2 7 rs7372828 X — PRKAG2 7 rs75037571 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 X X — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 X	PDE8B	5	rs10942819	х		_
PLINA 19 rs1609717 x — PRKAA11 5 rs249429 x X No data available PRKAG2 7 rs5017429 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs517173197 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs238039 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs238039 x — PRKAG2 7 rs1771300 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs11771368 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x — — PRKAG2	PDE8B	5	rs90684		х	No data available
PRKAA1 5 rs249429 x x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs5017429 x PRKAG2 7 rs5017429 x PRKAG2 7 rs17173197 x PRKAG2 7 rs2538039 x PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs12726070 x PRKAG2 7 rs1177300 x x PRKAG2 7 rs1177300 x x PRKAG2 7 rs1177300 x x PRKAG2 7 rs11773668 x PRKAG2 7 rs5065526 x PRKAG2 7 rs56057571 x PRKAG2 7 rs7537928 x x PRKAG2 7 rs1323508 x PRKAG2 7 rs13233608	PLIN4	19	rs1609717		х	_
PRKAG2 7 rs5017429 x PRKAG2 7 rs17173197 x PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x PRKAG2 7 rs4726070 x PRKAG2 7 rs11771300 x x PRKAG2 7 rs11773668 x PRKAG2 7 rs17732628 x PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x PRKAG2 7 rs5037571 x PRKAG2 7 rs5637571 x PRKAG2 7 rs57379928 x x PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x PRKAG2 7 rs1226852 x PRKAG2 7 rs13236	PRKAA1	5	rs249429	х	Х	No data available
PRKAG2 7 rs17173197 x — PRKAG2 7 rs2538039 x — PRKAG2 7 rs2538039 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs27374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs1771330 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs11771368 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1772828 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs56337571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12659153 x — — PRKAG2 7	PRKAG2	7	rs5017429		Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs2538039 x — PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x Mo data available PRKAG2 7 rs1771330 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs11771368 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs11773668 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs5037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs5637571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs7372828 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1323808 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1975158 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs1975935 X — — PRKAG2 <	PRKAG2	7	rs17173197		Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs2374229 x No data available PRKAG2 7 rs4726070 X — PRKAG2 7 rs11771300 X X — PRKAG2 7 rs11771300 X X — PRKAG2 7 rs11773668 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs5037571 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs513225852 X X — — PRKAG2 7 rs1323568 X — — — PRKAG2 7 rs1950343 X — — — PRKAG2 7 rs1971588 X — — — PRKAG2 7 rs1975935 X	PRKAG2	7	rs2538039		х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs4726070 x — PRKAG2 7 rs11771330 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs11773668 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs5048724 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x X — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 X — — PRKAG2	PRKAG2	7	rs2374229		Х	No data available
PRKAG2 7 rs11771330 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs117713668 x — PRKAG2 7 rs177215320 x — PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 x — PRKAG2 7 rs73728288 x — PRKAG2 7 rs5037571 x — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — PRKAG2 7 rs59648724 x — PRKAG2 7 rs5637928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1921583 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12676153 X — —	PRKAG2	7	rs4726070		Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs11773668 x — PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x — PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 x — PRKAG2 7 rs73728288 x — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — PRKAG2 7 rs56948724 x — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x X — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x X — PRKAG2 7 rs13238608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1269153 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 X — — PRKAG2 <td>PRKAG2</td> <td>7</td> <td>rs11771330</td> <td>Х</td> <td>Х</td> <td>—</td>	PRKAG2	7	rs11771330	Х	Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs79215320 x — PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 x — PRKAG2 7 rs73728288 x — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — PRKAG2 7 rs56037571 x — PRKAG2 7 rs5648724 x — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12650343 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1971588 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 X — — PRKAG2 7 rs2286562 X — <td< td=""><td>PRKAG2</td><td>7</td><td>rs11773668</td><td></td><td>Х</td><td>—</td></td<>	PRKAG2	7	rs11773668		Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs6965926 x	PRKAG2	7	rs79215320		Х	—
PRKAG2 / rs/3/28288 x	PRKAG2	7	rs6965926	х		—
PRKAG2 7 rs5603/5/1 x — PRKAG2 7 rs9648724 x — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1323608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs128562 x — — PRKAR2A 3	PRKAG2	/	rs/3/28288		Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs9648/24 x — PRKAG2 7 rs75379928 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12258562 x — — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x Mo data available PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — — PTGER3	PRKAG2	/	rs56037571	Х		—
PRKAG2 7 rs/53/9928 x x PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x PRKAG2 7 rs6950343 x PRKAG2 7 rs6950343 x PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x PRKAG2 7 rs1265137 x PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs622721 x PTGER3 1 rs726764 x PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x	PRKAG2	/	rs9648724	Х		—
PRKAG2 7 rs13225852 x x — PRKAG2 7 rs13233608 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs6950343 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs6950343 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — — PRKAG2 7 rs1226552 x — — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x Mo data available PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — —	PRKAG2	/	rs/53/9928	Х	Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs13233008 x — PRKAG2 7 rs6950343 x — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — PRKAG2 7 rs1286562 x — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 — — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PRKAG2	/ 7	rs13225852	X	Х	—
PRKAG2 7 rs0950343 x — PRKAG2 7 rs11971588 x — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — PRKAG2 7 rs1286562 x — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PKKAG2	/ 7	IS13233608	Х		
PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — PRKAG2 7 rs12669153 x — PRKAG2 7 rs4725435 x — PRKAR1A 17 rs2286562 x — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x — PRGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PRKAGZ DPKAG2	7	150950545 rc11071599		X	—
PRKAG2 7 rs12009135 x — PRKAG2 7 rs4725435 x — PRKAR1A 17 rs2286562 x — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	DDVAG2	7	rc12660152		X	—
PRKAR1A 17 rs2286562 x — PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PRKAGZ	7	rc/725/25		X	
PRKAR2A 3 rs7647812 x — PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PRKAR1A	/ 17	rs2286562		×	
PRKAR2B 7 rs975935 x No data available PTGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PRKAR2A	3	rs7647812		×	
PTGER3 1 rs1536537 x — PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PRKAR2R	7	rs975935	Y	~	No data available
PTGER3 1 rs622721 x — PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PTGFR3	, 1	rs1536537	x		
PTGER3 1 rs726764 x — PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PTGER3	1	rs622721	x		_
PTGER3 1 rs2206343 x — PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PTGER3	1	rs726764	x		_
PTGER3 1 rs8179390 x — PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PTGER3	1	rs2206343	x		_
PTGER4 5 rs4133101 x —	PTGER3	1	rs8179390	x		_
	PTGER4	5	rs4133101	х		_

Abbreviations: Chr, chromosome; Glyc, glycerol; LDL, low-density lipoprotein.

^aSignificance level for suggestive evidence of association with anthropometric and/or metabolic traits in genome-wide association studies.



Figure 1. Number of (a) SNPs and (b) SNP-containing genes nominally associated with measures of lipolysis. Glyc, glycerol.

fat distribution, glycemia, insulin sensitivity, insulin secretion, or proinsulin conversion. To avoid influences of insulin sensitivity, we excluded genes from the lipolysisregulating gene list that are involved in proximal insulin signaling (insulin receptor, insulin receptor substrates, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase, protein kinase B) and adjusted all association analysis for ISI or, alternatively, the insulin concentration at the respective time point of the OGTT.

No single SNP among the 316 SNPs tested showed insulin-sensitivity-independent effects on lipolysis strong enough to become significant upon Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. This would point to, if at all, rather modest effect sizes of the SNPs. However, combining the 62 nominally lipolysis-associated SNPs to different polygenic scores resulted in associations with FFA and/or glycerol concentrations with individual *P* values even passing the genome-wide significance level $(P < 5*10^{-8})$. This finding reveals the existence of a primary impact of common genetic variation on lipolysis and demonstrates additivity of effects among at least some of these SNPs. One of the best effects sizes reached was an increase in ~12.5 µmol/L fasting FFAs per risk allele (with the FFA&Glycerol Score) meaning that subjects with 10 risk alleles (~9% of the study population) have on average 50 μ mol/L higher fasting FFAs than subjects with 6 risk alleles [~14% of the study population; (17)]. Based on the mean fasting FFA concentration of the study population, *i.e.*, 595 μ mol/L, a difference of 50 μ mol/L appears clinically meaningful.

We observed that there were SNPs/genes showing exclusive association with FFA, but not with glycerol concentrations and, *vice versa*, SNPs/genes showing association with glycerol, but not with FFA concentrations (Table 2, Figs.1a and 1b. This unexpected finding is probably because of power limitations of our study, *e.g.*, given by the different sample sizes of our FFA (n = \sim 2700) and glycerol (n = \sim 770) measurements and the unequal coverage of genes by SNPs [*e.g.*, one *PRKAA1*-SNP, 77 *PRKAG2*-SNPs; (17)].

In this context, the fact that we also detected SNPs/ genes associated with both, FFA and glycerol concentrations (Table 2, Figs.1a and 1b) led us to assume that these SNPs/genes, summed up to the FFA&Glycerol Score, were the ones most robustly associated with lipolysis. These genes were ADCY4, CIDEA, GNAS, PDE8B, PRKAA1, and PRKAG2. Some of them are involved in cAMP signaling: GNAS encodes the α -subunit of the heterotrimeric stimulatory G-protein (G $\alpha_{\rm S}$) that activates adenylate cyclases; ADCY4 encodes one of the adipocyte adenylate cyclase isoforms that, upon $G\alpha_S$ binding, synthesize cAMP (19); and PDE8B encodes a high-affinity cAMP-specific phosphodiesterase isoform with rather restricted tissue expression that hydrolyses cAMP (20). The cAMP/PKA pathway is well known to act downstream of G-protein-coupled receptors, such as β -adrenergic receptors and prostaglandin E receptors, and to stimulate lipolysis via hormone-sensitive lipase activation. PRKAA1 and PRKAG2 encode two subunits of AMPK, a sensor of cellular energy load that is activated when cellular ATP levels drop and vice versa AMP levels rise and that acts as a central metabolic switch activating energy-providing catabolic pathways [including lipolysis (21)] whereas inhibiting energy-consuming anabolic pathways. Finally, CIDEA encodes the cell deathinducing DFFA-like effector A, a protein strongly expressed in adipose and mammary tissue that was described to bind to lipid droplets and to regulate their fusion thus favoring lipid deposition and counteracting lipolysis (22).

With respect to metabolic end points, we identified VAT-reducing and proinsulin-conversion-reducing effects of the FFA&Glycerol Score. Whereas reduction in VAT mass caused by genetically increased lipolysis is obvious and plausible, the mechanistic underpinnings of the score's association with proinsulin conversion are Associations of Genetic Scores With Body Fat Distribution, Glycemia, Insulin Sensitivity, Insulin Secretion, and Proinsulin Conversion

Table 3.

	Overall 5	core	FFA-On	y Score	Glycerol-O	nly Score		FFA&Glyce	ol Score	a	
Trait	Standardized eta	P _{add} n	Standardized β	P _{add} n	Standardized eta	$P_{\rm add}$	n Sta	indardized eta	$P_{ m add}$	c	Adjustment
BMI,kg/m ²	-0.0131	0.5 2400	-0.0049	0.8 2532	-0.0098	0.6 26	504 -	-0.0285	0.1	2756	Gender, age
Body fat,%	-0.0131	0.4 2290	0.0078	0.6 2411	-0.0239	0.1 24	- 184	-0.0128	0.4	2623	Gender, age
TAT,% BW	-0.0064	0.8 817	0.0046	0.9 854	-0.0066	0.8	- 86	-0.0077	0.8	932	Gender, age
VAT, % BW	-0.0172	0.5 819	0.0067	0.8 856	-0.0036	0.9 8	88	-0.0495	0.0326	934	Gender, age
IHL, %	-0.0364	0.3 805	-0.0247	0.5 842	-0.0111	0.7 8	- 22	-0.0571	0.067	919	Gender, age
Glucose _o , mmol/L	-0.0044	0.8 2400	-8.5E-05	1.0 2532	0.0031	0.9 26	504 -	-0.0172	0.3	2756	Gender, age, BMI
Glucose ₁₂₀ , mmol/L	0.0077	0.7 2400	0.0136	0.5 2532	-0.0126	0.5 26	504	0.0085	0.6	2756	Gender, age, BMI
Glucose _{AUC0-120} , mmol/L*h	0.0014	0.9 2391	-0.0009	1.0 2522	0.0038	0.8 25	594 -	-0.0025	0.9	2745	Gender, age, BMI
HOMA-IR, 10 ⁻⁶ mol*U*L ⁻²	-0.0024	0.9 2385	0.0026	0.9 2517	0.0069	0.6 25	- 689	-0.0200	0.2	2741	Gender, age, BMI
ISI, 10 ¹⁹ L ² *mol ⁻²	0.0131	0.4 2351	-0.0009	1.0 2482	0.0043	0.8 25	551	0.0235	0.098	2702	Gender, age, BMI
Insulin _{AUC0-30} /glucose _{AUC0-30} , 10 ⁻⁹	-0.0020	0.9 2351	0.0079	0.6 2482	-0.0062	0.6 25	551 -	-0.0035	0.8	2700	Gender, age, BMI,
											ISI
C-peptide _{AUC0-30} /glucose _{AUC0-30} , 10 ⁻⁹	0.0035	0.8 2297	0.0131	0.4 2424	-0.0055	0.7 24	- E6t	-0.0121	0.4	2639	Gender, age, BMI, ISI
Proinsulin _o /insulin ₀	0.0228	0.3 2259	0.0140	0.5 2386	0.0031	0.9 24	156	0.0206	0.3	2604	Gender, age, BMI
Proinsulin ₃₀ /insulin ₃₀	0.0314	0.1 2272	0.0129	0.5 2398	0.0078	0.7 24	168	0.0418	0.0272	2614	Gender, age, BMI
Proinsulin _{AUC0-120} /insulin _{AUC0-120}	0.0325	0.1 2180	0.0159	0.4 2305	0.0025	0.9 23	367	0.0451	0.0174	2510	Gender, age, BMI
The affact sizes niven are the standardized h	ata coafficiants (st	() (B) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C	Jarivad from the	multiple lipear	adrassion modals	D values in	poldface	indicate static	inally ciar	ificant a	scociations
	בומ התבווורובווה? י						י וייי	נוומרמוב אמוש	נורמווץ אוטו		
Abbreviations: BW, body weight; HOMA-IR,	homeostasis mode	assessment of	insulin resistanc	e; IHL, intrahepa	atic lipds; P _{add,} P v	alue of the	additive	inheritance mo	del; n, nu	imber (si	mple size).



r=0.07, p=0.0326, N=934

Figure 2. Association of the FFA&Glycerol Score with VAT mass. The score was formed by summing up FFA- and glycerol-increasing alleles (= risk alleles) as described in the Results section. VAT was quantified by magnetic resonance imaging as described in the Methods section. The effect size given is the absolute effect size per risk allele in % of body weight. BW, body weight.

currently unclear. FFAs released from adipose tissue could directly provoke pancreatic β -cell dysfunction including proinsulin conversion failure. This could, for example, result from the well-known long-term effects of FFA on β -cell viability (lipotoxicity) (23). The finding, however, that insulin secretion was not impaired by the lipolysis-increasing alleles (Table 3) argues against this possibility. On the other hand, the association of the FFA&Glycerol Score with proinsulin conversion could be independent of lipolysis and just reflect a specific role of genes included in this score, such as those encoding cAMP signaling components in proinsulin conversion. In line with this suggestion, incretin-stimulated cAMP signaling in β -cells was reported to affect proinsulin processing (24). The observation that we did not see any associations of the other three scores on metabolic traits despite their good associations with FFA and glycerol measurements could be the result of the exclusion of the most robust lipolysis-regulating SNPs contained in the FFA&Glycerol Score, as in the case of the FFA-Only and the Glycerol-Only Scores, or due the dilution of these SNPs, as in the case of the Overall Score.

As major limitations of our study, we acknowledge the limited sample size of our glycerol measurements and the lack of replication. The latter is certainly because of the lack of sufficiently sized studies with FFA and glycerol measurements during a five-point OGTT. Such studies are urgently needed to identify and verify SNP effects on lipolysis similar to those reported here.

In conclusion, we found evidence for a genetic basis of adipose tissue lipolysis due to common SNPs in *CIDEA*,

in AMPK subunits and in components of the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway, and independent of insulin sensitivity. Moreover, a genetic score of lipolysis-increasing alleles determined lower visceral fat mass and lower proinsulin conversion.

Acknowledgments

We thank all study participants for their cooperation. We gratefully acknowledge the excellent technical assistance of Alke Guirguis, Melanie Weisser and Roman-Georg Werner. Furthermore, we thank Jennifer Kriebel and Harald Grallert (Molecular Epidemiology, Helmholtz Center Munich) for generating the Global Screening Array data.

Financial Support: The study was supported in part by a grant (01GI0925) from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) to the German Center for Diabetes Research (DZD e.V.).

Correspondence and Reprint Requests: Harald Staiger, PhD, Institute for Diabetes Research and Metabolic Diseases of the Helmholtz Center Munich at the University of Tübingen, Otfried-Müller-Str. 10, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany. E-mail: harald.staiger@med.uni-tuebingen.de.

Disclosure Summary: The authors have nothing to disclose.

References

- 1. Sears B, Perry M. The role of fatty acids in insulin resistance. *Lipids Health Dis.* 2015;14(1):121.
- Biden TJ, Boslem E, Chu KY, Sue N. Lipotoxic endoplasmic reticulum stress, β cell failure, and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;25(8):389–398.
- Eguchi K, Manabe I. Toll-like receptor, lipotoxicity and chronic inflammation: the pathological link between obesity and cardiometabolic disease. J Atheroscler Thromb. 2014;21(7):629–639.
- Ebbert JO, Jensen MD. Fat depots, free fatty acids, and dyslipidemia. Nutrients. 2013;5(2):498–508.
- Engfeldt P, Arner P. Lipolysis in human adipocytes, effects of cell size, age and of regional differences. *Horm Metab Res Suppl.* 1988; 19:26–29.
- Cattaneo AG, Caviezel F, Pozza G. Basal and norepinephrinestimulated lipolysis in differently sized human isolated adipocytes: comparison of three modalities for results expression. *Folia Histochem Cytobiol*. 1990;28(4):225–237.
- 7. Arner P, Langin D. Lipolysis in lipid turnover, cancer cachexia, and obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2014;25(5):255–262.
- 8. Yu YH, Ginsberg HN. Adipocyte signaling and lipid homeostasis: sequelae of insulin-resistant adipose tissue. *Circ Res.* 2005;**96**(10): 1042–1052.
- 9. Morris AP. Progress in defining the genetic contribution to type 2 diabetes susceptibility. *Curr Opin Genet Dev.* 2018;50:41–51.
- Stefan N, Machicao F, Staiger H, Machann J, Schick F, Tschritter O, Spieth C, Weigert C, Fritsche A, Stumvoll M, Häring HU. Polymorphisms in the gene encoding adiponectin receptor 1 are associated with insulin resistance and high liver fat. *Diabetologia*. 2005;48(11):2282–2291.
- Machann J, Thamer C, Schnoedt B, Haap M, Haring HU, Claussen CD, Stumvoll M, Fritsche A, Schick F. Standardized assessment of whole body adipose tissue topography by MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2005;21(4):455–462.

- Machann J, Thamer C, Schnoedt B, Stefan N, Haring HU, Claussen CD, Fritsche A, Schick F. Hepatic lipid accumulation in healthy subjects: a comparative study using spectral fat-selective MRI and volume-localized 1H-MR spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med.* 2006; 55(4):913–917.
- 13. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia*. 1985;28(7):412–419.
- 14. Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. *Diabetes Care*. 1999;22(9):1462–1470.
- 15. Herzberg-Schäfer SA, Staiger H, Heni M, Ketterer C, Guthoff M, Kantartzis K, Machicao F, Stefan N, Häring HU, Fritsche A. Evaluation of fasting state-/oral glucose tolerance test-derived measures of insulin release for the detection of genetically impaired β-cell function. *PLoS One.* 2010;5(12):e14194.
- 16. Ordelheide AM, Böhm A, Kempe-Teufel D, Wagner R, Machicao F, Heni M, Stefan N, Fritsche A, Häring HU, Staiger H. Common variation in the sodium/glucose cotransporter 2 gene SLC5A2 does neither affect fasting nor glucose-suppressed plasma glucagon concentrations. *PLoS One.* 2017;**12**(5):e0177148.
- 17. Kempe-Teufel D, Machicao F, Machann J, Böhm A, Schick F, Fritsche A, Stefan N, Hrabě de Angelis M, Häring HU, Staiger H. A polygenic risk score of lipolysis-increasing alleles determines visceral fat mass, insulin sensitivity and proinsulin conversion. DZD Repository 2018. Deposited 18 September 2018. www.dzd-ev.de/fileadmin/DZD/PDF/ Supplements_of_DZD_publications/staiger_2018.pdf.
- Rozman J, Rathkolb B, Oestereicher MA, Schütt C, Ravindranath AC, Leuchtenberger S, Sharma S, Kistler M, Willershäuser M, Brommage R, Meehan TF, Mason J, Haselimashhadi H, Hough T, Mallon AM, Wells S, Santos L, Lelliott CJ, White JK, Sorg T, Champy MF, Bower LR, Reynolds CL, Flenniken AM, Murray SA,

Nutter LMJ, Svenson KL, West D, Tocchini-Valentini GP, Beaudet AL, Bosch F, Braun RB, Dobbie MS, Gao X, Herault Y, Moshiri A, Moore BA, Kent Lloyd KC, McKerlie C, Masuya H, Tanaka N, Flicek P, Parkinson HE, Sedlacek R, Seong JK, Wang CL, Moore M, Brown SD, Tschöp MH, Wurst W, Klingenspor M, Wolf E, Beckers J, Machicao F, Peter A, Staiger H, Häring HU, Grallert H, Campillos M, Maier H, Fuchs H, Gailus-Durner V, Werner T, Hrabe de Angelis M; IMPC Consortium. Identification of genetic elements in metabolism by high-throughput mouse phenotyping. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9(1):288.

- Serazin-Leroy V, Morot M, de Mazancourt P, Giudicelli Y. Differences in type II, IV, V and VI adenylyl cyclase isoform expression between rat preadipocytes and adipocytes. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2001;1550(1):37–51.
- Hayashi M, Shimada Y, Nishimura Y, Hama T, Tanaka T. Genomic organization, chromosomal localization, and alternative splicing of the human phosphodiesterase 8B gene. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2002;297(5):1253–1258.
- Frühbeck G, Méndez-Giménez L, Fernández-Formoso JA, Fernández S, Rodríguez A. Regulation of adipocyte lipolysis. *Nutr Res Rev.* 2014;27(1):63–93.
- 22. Puri V, Ranjit S, Konda S, Nicoloro SM, Straubhaar J, Chawla A, Chouinard M, Lin C, Burkart A, Corvera S, Perugini RA, Czech MP. Cidea is associated with lipid droplets and insulin sensitivity in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008;105(22):7833–7838.
- Eitel K, Staiger H, Brendel MD, Brandhorst D, Bretzel RG, Häring HU, Kellerer M. Different role of saturated and unsaturated fatty acids in beta-cell apoptosis. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2002; 299(5):853–856.
- 24. Wang L, Liu Y, Yang J, Zhao H, Ke J, Tian Q, Zhang L, Wen J, Wei R, Hong T. GLP-1 analog liraglutide enhances proinsulin processing in pancreatic β-cells via a PKA-dependent pathway. *Endocrinology*. 2014;155(10):3817–3828.