**Optoacoustic mesoscopy for biomedicine**

Murad Omar, Juan Aguirre, and Vasilis Ntziachristos

Institute for Biological and Medical Imaging & Chair for Biological Imaging,

Helmholtz Zentrum München & Technische Universität München

Ismaningerstr. 22, 81675 München

[v.ntziachristos@tum.de](mailto:v.ntziachristos@tum.de)

**Fuelled by innovation, optical microscopy plays a critical role in the life sciences and medicine, from basic discovery to clinical diagnostics. However, optical microscopy is limited by typical penetration depths of a few hundred micrometres for in vivo interrogations in the visible spectrum. Optoacoustic microscopy complements optical microscopy by imaging the absorption of light, but it is similarly limited by penetration depth. In this Review, we summarize progress in the development and applicability of optoacoustic mesoscopy (OPAM); that is, optoacoustic imaging with acoustic resolution and wide-bandwidth ultrasound detection. OPAM extends the capabilities of optical imaging beyond the depths accessible to optical and optoacoustic microscopy, and thus enables new applications. We explain the operational principles of OPAM, its placement as a bridge between optoacoustic microscopy and optoacoustic macroscopy, and its performance in the label-free visualization of tissue pathophysiology, such as inflammation, oxygenation, vascularization and angiogenesis. We also review emerging applications of OPAM in clinical and biological imaging.**

The penetration depth obtainedwith optical microscopy of tissues depends primarily on the amount of photon scatter. Intravital fluorescence microscopy (for example, confocal microscopy and multiphoton microscopy) cannot typically image beyond a few hundred micrometres in the visible spectral region, or beyond ~1–1.5 mm in the infrared region1-4. Optical coherence tomography5-7 (OCT; developed for imaging tissue morphology), wavefront shaping, beam-profile shaping and phase control of the photon-plane wavefront8,9-11 are being considered for improving the penetration depth of optical microscopy in the 1–2 mm range. To visualize deeper in tissues, optical-clearing methods — which chemically process a sample to make it transparent12-14 — can be used, but because these methods are toxic to tissue this technology is only appropriate for post-mortemapplications. Alternatively, optical mesoscopy15-18 and optical macroscopy16,19,20 of intact tissues allow for in vivo imaging through several millimetres (and even centimetres) of tissue, yet at a rapidly decreasing resolution, owing to photon scatter.

First considered in the 1970s (refs.21,22), optoacoustic (or photoacoustic) imaging is a class of optical (photonic) imaging methods that is insensitive to photon scatter23-25. The technique offers a radically different approach to optical imaging deep inside tissues by resolving the absorption of light on the basis of the detection of ultrasound waves rather than photons. The ultrasound waves are generated within the sample in response to the thermoelastic expansion of tissue moieties that absorbed light of transient energy. Excitation light is delivered with wide-field illumination and yields volumetric tissue excitation. Typically, the origins of the sound waves are reconstructed by using mathematical inversion, yielding an image of the distribution of light absorbers in the sample. Because image formation is governed by ultrasound diffraction, the technique is generally termed acoustic-resolution (AR) optoacoustic imaging and achieves high-resolution optical imaging at much greater depths than optical microscopy, since it is insensitive to photon scatter. Optoacoustic imaging can also be implemented by using focused light for ultrasound excitation, in analogy to illumination practices employed in intravital optical microscopy23,26,27. Termed optical-resolution (OR) optoacoustic (or photoacoustic) microscopy27,28, the method nevertheless obeys in this case the laws of optical diffraction and operates within the specifications that are common to optical microscopy. Since a major drive in the development of optoacoustics has been the ability to offer high-resolution imaging deeper than the limits imposed by optical diffraction, AR methods have received significant attention as the modality that can fundamentally impart a new optical-imaging capability.

AR optoacoustic imaging can be classified in analogy to the macroscopy, mesoscopy and microscopy domains defined for optical imaging19,23,29-32. Following the paradigm of optical mesoscopy15,16,33, optoacoustic mesoscopy (OPAM) has been described as a bridge between optoacoustic macroscopy and optoacoustic microscopy29. Although there aren’t hard boundaries between these imaging domains, there are characteristic technological and operational features that set the domains apart. Optoacoustic macroscopy generally aims at visualization within depths of several centimetres in tissues, for example enabling the non-invasive imaging of breast cancer34-36, intestinal inflammation37 and brown-fat metabolism in humans38. Macroscopic implementations operate with laser pulses at tens of millijoules, and use ultrasound arrays operating at single-digit frequencies in the MHz scale, achieving resolutions in the hundreds of micrometres (Table 1). At these frequencies, whole-body animal imaging is also possible23,39,40. Conversely, mesoscopy involves higher ultrasound frequencies, achieves penetration depths of a few millimetres and resolutions in the several tens of micrometres; performance that is suitable for dermatology, endoscopy and biological-imaging applications. Single-element detectors are preferred for high-quality image performance in OPAM, but mesoscopes are also implemented with high-frequency ultrasound (HFUS) arrays in the few tens of MHz. Finally, microscopy aims at visualizing at resolutions of roughly the scale of cells, requiring either optical focusing or ultrasound frequencies in the upper tens to hundreds of MHz, also typically achieved with single-element detectors29,31,41.

In this Review, we explain the principle of operation of OPAM, and summarize recent progress in technical developments and applications. We posit that bandwidth is a key driving force of imaging performance, and discuss advances in ultrawide-bandwidth (UWB) detection, which offers detailed optical absorption images in the mesoscopic regime. Applied at a single wavelength or at multiple wavelengths, OPAM offers unique label-free imaging of tissue pathophysiology, which can be further complemented by the use of contrast enhancing agents. These capabilities bring new solutions and promising clinical applications to unmet medical imaging needs, in particular in dermatology, endoscopy and intravascular imaging, i.e. imaging areas that require large fields of view and high-resolution imaging through several millimetres of tissue. Moreover they advance observations in biomedical research employing animal models.

**Technology advances in OPAM**

Optoacoustic pressure signals (Pa)are generated inside tissues when chromophores or agents absorb light of varying intensity. Through thermoelastic expansion, the absorbed optical energy is converted to pressure waves at ultrasonic frequencies. When short light pulses (in the nanosecond range) are employed, heat and stress remain approximately confined within the absorbing tissue. In this case, the propagation of the optoacoustic wave is governed by the wave equation42,43

, (1)

where (m/s) is the speed of sound in the medium, the dimensionless Grueneisen parameter, (W/m3) the deposited (absorbed) optical energy per unit volume and unit time, (W/m2) the optical fluence rate, (1/m) the spatially dependent absorption coefficient, and the dimensionless heat conversion efficiency42-44**.** For simplicity, we assume that , and that is constant throughout tissue. If heat-confinement and stress-confinement conditions are fulfilled40,45, then the heating function can be expressed as: , whereby (J/m3) is the absorbed optical energy per unit volume and (J/m2) the optical fluence45. Then, equation (1) can be solved to express the pressure wave reaching a position in space as42,43,46

, (2)

where (Pa) is the spatial distribution of the initial optoacoustic pressure, that is, . is a spherical surface centred at with radius (ref. 46). According to equation (2), a detector placed at position will sense a propagating optoacoustic wave at time , which was generated at any point on the spherical surface at due to the illumination of the medium with a light pulse. For OPAM, the pressure signal (equation (2)) is measured at multiple positions , on or close to the boundary of the object being imaged. Image reconstruction then implies the use of equation (2) to compute the distribution of from measurements obtained at these multiple positions (further mathematical details of this inversion process are provided in Supplementary section 1).

**Geometrical configurations.** Two major geometrical configurations are typically considered for mesoscopic optoacoustic imaging. The first OPAM configuration is geared toward epi-illumination measurements of tissue, and detects ultrasound signals at locations along a line or a two-dimensional grid. Typically, a single detector is scanned to capture optoacoustic signals along the line or grid (**Fig. 1a–d**), giving rise to raster-scan OPAM (RSOM)47-51. RSOM employs broad-beam illumination (**Fig. 1a**), and its image formation and resolution are governed by ultrasonic diffraction and detector specifications. Image formation typically requires mathematical inversion (**Fig. 1e,f**)48,52-54. Multi-element arrays can also be employed as alternatives to single-element detectors55-58. However, OPAM based on single detectors generally offers superior imaging performance compared to multi-element arrays, since higher quality detection characteristics can be achieved with single detectors. For comparison, we note that both OPAM and AR optoacoustic microscopy rely on raster-scan schemes and broad illumination, yet the latter is geared towards resolving finer structures. However, to reach microscopic resolutions, AR optoacoustic microscopy requires higher central frequencies than OPAM (Table 1). Yet because the attenuation of sound by tissue increases with frequency, the use of higher frequencies limits the penetration depth of AR optoacoustic microscopy (with respect to OPAM)30,31,59. Conversely, optical or OR optoacoustic microscopy scans focused light beams (**Fig. 1g,h**), and the resolutions that they achieve depend on the optical focus60-63. Moreover, although image deconvolution or the mathematical processing of images may be used to improve image fidelity or resolution64-66, the formation of OR microscopy images does not necessarily require mathematical inversion1,59,67.

The second OPAM configuration detects optoacoustic signals over a curved (typically, cylindrical or spherical) surface (**Fig. 1i**). Reported implementations involve single-element transducers68 or multi-element ultrasound-transducer arrays rotated around the sample69. Performing OPAM with detection over curved surfaces that enclose the sample leads to better resolution isotropy and image quality than RSOM, because a larger part of the ultrasound wave-front propagating away from the imaged object can be captured. Therefore, datasets collected over curved surfaces typically contain more information than raster scans, and lead to images of enhanced content and quality. Conversely, raster-scan approaches are better suited for a range of clinical applications that only allow one-sided scans, for example when using handheld scanners.

Besides the geometrical configuration, the imaging performance of OPAM also depends on detector specifications and illumination energy that generally differ from the settings used in macroscopy or microscopy (Table 1 and **Fig. 1j,k**), as summarized below.

**Optoacoustic detection.** Detector specifications play a critical role on the image quality of OPAM. An ultrasound detector is characterized by the detector’s geometry , including the active area employed for sound detection (detection cross-section), the ability to focus sound waves, the central frequency of operation, the detection bandwidth, and the sensing principle of operation. Moreover, the selection of single-element or multiple-element detector arrays affects the detection specifications. The most common detector technology employed is piezoelectric sound detection, which is also used in ultrasound imaging70,71, but optical sensing of sound is increasingly considered for high-quality image performance53,72,73.

*Bandwidth, depth and resolution.* The image resolution and imaging depth crucially depend on the bandwidth of the ultrasound wave that is captured47. In general, higher ultrasound frequencies lead to better image resolution, albeit at more superficial depths, owing to the depth-dependent attenuation of high frequency ultrasound in tissue70,74,75 (Supplementary Fig. S1 and Supplementary sections 2 and 5). Therefore, frequency selection enables the transition from microscopy to mesoscopy and macroscopy (**Fig. 1k**). The bandwidth of the generated pressure-wave, see equation (2), depends on the duration of the illuminating pulse and on the geometrical properties of the absorbers in the medium imaged, with narrower pulses exciting broader signals (**Fig. 2a**). The typical bandwidths that are generated in tissues illuminated by ultrafast (<2 ns) pulses reach up to 250–300 MHz, which correspond to resolutions below 10 μm.

Mesoscopy generally operates in the few tens of MHz, allowing imaging at depths of several millimetres (Table 1). This frequency band can be captured by common piezoelectric detectors (**Fig. 2b**), leading to resolutions in the 30–100-μm range. Nevertheless, such detectors do not generally capture the entire bandwidth of the optoacoustic waves generated in tissues by laser pulses of a few nanoseconds. LiNBO3-based single-element detectors have enabled imaging at bandwidths larger than 150 MHz (refs. 32,47,48,54), demonstrating performance gains over narrower bandwidth selection48 (**Fig. 2c**). This ultra-wide bandwidth (UWB) performance leads to mesoscopic systems that reach several millimetres in depth, yet also retains microscopic resolution at more superficial depths. For example, in phantom measurements, RSOM at bandwidths larger than 100 MHz, using <2 ns excitation-pulse widths, exhibited an axial resolution of less than 10 µm at depths of 2–3 mm (refs. 47,48). However, UWB acquisition comes with new requirements for data processing and image reconstruction. In particular, the separation of low and high frequencies improves the reconstruction quality and the representation of the high-frequency components in the UWB‑RSOM image32,48 (**Fig. 2d** and Supplementary Section 4).

Alternatively, Fabry‑Pérot interferometric detectors, also implemented in RSOM geometries, have led to mesoscopic performance with large fields of view at central frequencies and at bandwidths of ~40 MHz (ref. 50), achieving 55–65 µm in resolution and ~10 mm in depth49,50,76. Recently, fibre Bragg gratings (FBGs) have achieved detection bandwidths of ~100 MHz (ref. 77) while maintaining high sensitivity, similarly to piezoelectric transducers with larger detection areas78. FBG technology is therefore also relevant for mesoscopic applications. In particular, the small form factors of FBG-based detectors may allow their integration into intravascular or endoscopic optoacoustic systems.

Mesoscopy can also be carried out with multi-element ultrasound arrays79. However, it is challenging to manufacture ultrasound element arrays of high sensitivity with central frequencies and bandwidths beyond 20–30 MHz56,80. Capacitive micromachined ultrasound transducers may offer an alternative capable of providing up to double the bandwidth of piezoelectric detector arrays81. Nevertheless, current manufacturing challenges to achieve UWB performance, such as dicing of small ultrasound elements or proper amplification of weak and high frequency signals collected from small ultrasound elements, may limit the use of arrays in high-resolution mesoscopic implementations (**Fig. 3a**,**k**).

*Detection cross-section, sensitivity and resolution.* The detection cross-section ― that is, the active area of detection of ultrasound waves ― also affects the quality of optoacoustic images. Generally, the smaller the cross‑sectional area the better the lateral resolution; however, a physically smaller detection cross‑section also leads to lower detection sensitivity75,82. A preferred solution that addresses this trade-off is what is known as ‘virtual detector’ (**Fig. 1c**), a concept that is based on spherically focused detectors. In this case, the cross-sectional area at the focal point of the detector is assumed to be the active-detection cross-section. Assuming transducers of piezoelectric technology, this implementation offers virtual detectors of very small effective collection area but higher sensitivity compared to the use of an ultrasound element, with actual dimensions equal to the focus area of the focused element (e.g 20 µm radius)41,47. Conversely, spherical focusing is not generally applicable to the detection elements of ultrasound arrays. Therefore, many high quality mesoscopy systems are still implemented with scanning a single detector. Conversely, the use of arrays in mesoscopic applications may limit imaging performance due to limitations not only in the detection frequency and bandwidth but also the minimum active detection area that can be achieved by the elements in the array (**Fig. 3a**,**k**).

The use of optical interferometry50,72,78,83,84 or optical-beam deflection85,86 can serve as an alternative to the virtual-detector principle. Since optical resonators and optical beams can have cross-sections of extremely small physical dimensions, optical detection can provide sound detectors with active areas in the micrometre range (or smaller), offering an advantage over focused piezoelectric elements.

Generally, the bandwidth plays a critical role in defining the axial OPAM resolution, whereas the cross‑section size affects primarily the lateral resolution, especially in RSOM geometries (Supplementary Section 2). In curved geometries, both parameters play a central role in defining the resolution of the OPAM system (Supplementary Section 3). In all cases, the bandwidth, cross-section and overall detector characteristics must be matched to the depth and resolution specifications of the desired application.

*Acceptance angle.* The acceptance angle of the detector employed also plays a critical role in image formation. In analogy to optical lenses with a high numerical aperture, optoacoustic-signal collection over a broader acceptance angle improves the resolution and the overall image quality (**Fig. 2e**). For example, during RSOM imaging of a KPL4 breast tumour xenograft in a mouse, acceptance angles of 15 degrees only allow for visualization of vessels parallel to the detector (**Fig. 2f**). However, increasing the acceptance angle reveals vessels tilted at larger angles with respect to the detector, offering a more accurate representation of the sample imaged (**Fig. 2f**). Geometries that use curved-detection arrangements (**Fig. 1i**) dynamically adapt the acceptance angle (synthetic aperture) by rotating and translating the detector around the sample55,87, effectively improving image quality with respect to systems that only allow for translational displacements of the detector.

**Tomographic image formation.** Similarly to optical macroscopy, image reconstruction on the basis of forward modelling and mathematical inversion of optoacoustic recordings collected over multiple angles (projections) is a necessary step in mesoscopy, because the signals collected are generated within a large, diffusively illuminated volume over time and cannot otherwise form a high-resolution image40,88-93. The forward and inversion methods employed can also affect image quality. Three key aspects of tomographic image reconstruction (Supplementary Section 1) enable imaging features that are not generally found in optical or OR optoacoustic microscopy: first, by using mathematical inversion, a two-dimensional scan suffices for the acquisition of the information needed for a three-dimensional reconstruction; second, reconstruction approaches enable the use of data collected from entire volumes, which leads to higher signal to noise ratios (SNRs) in comparison to methods that seek to optimize resolution by rejecting signals outside a narrow focal point or line (the use of virtual detectors (**Fig. 1c**) and detection elements with large-acceptance angles and apertures is particularly beneficial for achieving a high SNR82); and third, reconstruction approaches can account for the parameters of the experimental set-up, such as the exact angular coverage or the spatial sensitivity characteristics of a transducer (**Fig. 2c**). In particular, model-based inversion methods can describe the characteristics of the experimental setup and of sound propagation in tissue, and are well suited to account for various experimental parameters (Supplementary Section 1)94-105.

**Illumination and spectral unmixing.** Several operational parameters of optoacoustic imaging, such as the penetration depth or the acquisition speed, depend on the energy per pulse and on the repetition rate of the light source. Therefore, the selection of an appropriate illuminator technology also plays a key role in OPAM performance. Repetition rates of typical pulsed-laser sources employed in mesoscopic systems are in the 1–10 kHz range, which leads to scan times in the range of a few seconds to minutes, depending on the desired field of view (FOV) of the image. Faster imaging can be achieved with faster lasers or by using detector arrays, the latter leading to video-rate implementations23. Common mesoscopy implementations illuminate tissue with light pulses of energies in the range of a few hundred micro-joules to a few milli-joules per pulse32,75. For biological measurements, the energies and scanning speeds are restricted by the maximum permitted exposure limits (defined by the American National Standards Institute).

A commonly available wavelength for optoacoustic imaging is 532 nm, generated as a second harmonic of the Nd:YAG crystal. However, a particularly beneficial feature of the optoacoustic method is the ability to illuminate at multiple wavelengths and subsequently use unmixing techniques to resolve the concentration of different photoabsorbers in each voxel of the image106,107. Although most implementations so far use a small number of wavelengths (typically two), an increased number of wavelengths offers improvements in sensitivity and quantification106,108. Tunable sources based on dye lasers or optical parametric oscillators can be employed for illumination at multiple wavelengths109, but typically offer slow tuning rates of several seconds per wavelength. For this reason, recent advances in multispectral optoacoustics are geared toward light sources that achieve fast wavelength tuning (which are especially relevant for eventual clinical applications23).

Spectral unmixing in optoacoustic imaging (reviewed in ref. 107) remains a particularly active research topic. The optoacoustic spectral problem presents a unique challenge, as it is three-dimensional in nature and is affected by optical-fluence attenuation as a function of depth and wavelength (spectral colouring). Even though this effect does not strongly affect superficial measurements, new methods (such as EigenSpectra unmixing106) that employ data analysis in the spectral domain can account for spectral colouring deeper in tissue.

**Illumination in the frequency domain.** An alternative to illumination based on light pulses (time domain) is illumination via continuous-wave (CW) light of modulated intensity (frequency domain), in which case the amplitude and phase of the ultrasound waves are detected at the corresponding modulation frequency. In this case, accurate image formation requires that light is modulated at multiple frequencies; for example, over the same bandwidth as the one generated by ultrafast pulses98,110. Although still in development, frequency-domain illumination may ultimately allow simultaneous illumination at multiple wavelengths carried at different frequencies, with pulse-compression techniques enhancing the SNR (ref. 111), and chirp pulses sweeping through multiple frequencies111,112.

**Mesoscopy applications**

A new range of applications can be uniquely assessed by OPAM. In the following, we discuss the types of imaging contrast available to optoacoustic imaging, and the particular capabilities of OPAM for biological and medical imaging (Table 2).

**Optoacoustic contrast.** Optoacoustic methods resolve optical absorption of chromophores at given regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. For example, in the visible and near-infrared (NIR) spectral range (450–900 nm), haemoglobin and melanin have optical-absorption coefficients that are orders of magnitude greater than those of other intrinsic light absorbers, such as collagen, lipids or water23,26,29. As a result, OPAM can measure vascular parameters, tissue oxygenation or melanin distribution with high contrast without the need for exogenous labels32,51. Moreover, because water and lipids absorb radiation strongly in the 900–1,700 nm wavelength range, it is also possible to image them using appropriate wavelength selection (ref. 113,114). Nevertheless, many label-free OPAM implementations operate in the visible region of the spectrum, where haemoglobin and melanin show the highest optical absorption. Detailed images of microvasculature (concentrated haemoglobin) and melanin distribution can be resolved at a single wavelength. To separate the contributions of different light absorbers (such as oxygenated haemoglobin, deoxygenated haemoglobin, and melanin), the sample can be illuminated at multiple wavelengths, giving rise to maps of optical-absorption spectra, which are then analyzed via spectral-unmixing techniques109. This intrinsic contrast can be employed for resolving and quantifying various pathologies, including tissue hypoxia and inflammation115,116.

Contrast agents have been also employed for imaging a wider range of biological and pathophysiological parameters39,117. Commonly used contrast agents are fluorescent dyes and nanoparticles (in particular, gold nanoparticles and fluorochrome-encapsulating liposomes)44,118-123. Nanoparticles carrying loads of organic fluorochromes yield absorption cross-sections that are comparable to metal nanoparticles, and may show better photostability and lower toxicity than their metal counterparts118,119,124. Targeted optical quenchers have also been considered125.

The use of contrast agents enables imaging of cell permeability, protease and receptor upregulation, inflammation, and several other physiological and biological processes24,39. Melanin, already an excellent endogenous optoacoustic contrast agent, can be expressed exogenously in desired cells by inserting in them the tyrosinase gene (this is analogously to the targeted expression of fluorescent proteins in optical microscopy50,126,127). And an even wider range of biological processes in vivo may become accessible to optoacoustic imaging through the development of reporters, such as photoswitchable labels128,129, that offer detection with higher SNR128,129. Although the use of exogenous contrast agents may prove invaluable for both discovery-driven and translational research, efforts to implement OPAM in clinical settings are currently only focused on imaging with endogenous contrast.

**Dermatology.** Several optoacoustic implementations have been considered for skin imaging, however most implementations employed narrow bandwidths that are inappropriate for high-fidelity cross-sectional imaging59. Portable UWB RSOM has been more recently considered for the quantification of psoriasis-associated skin inflammation without the need for exogenous contrast agents32. Skin illumination performed at 532 nm enabled detailed visualization of skin layers and vascular morphology (**Fig. 3a–d**) reaching axial resolutions of up to 4.5 μm and lateral resolutions of 18.4 μm in the first skin layers (**Fig. 3a**)32, and tens of microns at deeper layers. Different psoriasis and inflammation biomarkers could be quantified in three dimensions, such as elevated epidermal thickness (acanthosis), capillary-loop elongation, capillary-loop dilation, dermal vasculature vasodilation, and increased microvascular volume (**Fig. 3e,f**). The quantification of these features led to the derivation of an RSOM-based objective severity index, which correlated with the psoriasis area severity index (PASI), the current gold standard. However, whereas PASI is determined on the basis of a subjective and qualitative assessment of the skin surface, the objective severity index is based on a quantitative multifactorial assessment of skin features, such as vessel rarefaction, total blood volume, epidermal thickness and fractal number32. This development promises to bring dermatology observations closer to the demands of precision medicine. UWB RSOM was also shown to be capable of quantifying inflammation biomarkers in eczema, vasculitis and nevus-associated vasculature32. The potential of UWB RSOM can be extended by exciting the sample with multiple wavelengths. Images recorded at 20 wavelengths in the 450–650 nm region109 revealed eumelanin in epidermal tissue as well as vascularization and oxygen saturation in deeper dermal layers. Blood-oxygenation measurements ranged from 50% to 84%, in accordance with estimates based on diffuse optical imaging109.

OPAM in the few tens of MHz (that is, at 30–100 μm in resolution) has also been considered for dermatology, but it revealed only a limited subset of morphological and physiological features when compared to UWB RSOM. Raster-scan implementations at <40 MHz could visualize subcutaneous vessels with diameters larger than 50 μm (refs. 53,130-132), but not the microvasculature resolved by UWB RSOM (**Fig. 3g–j**). Likewise, mesoscopy based on a multi-element 24-MHz linear array could only detect general boundaries of the skin or large vessels, but not a detailed view of the vasculature or of capillary loops79 (**Fig. 3k**). Imaging at 20 MHz enabled the visualization of the degradation of surgical sutures, or the localization of externally administered stem cells labelled with gold nanorods in wounds of a rat model of burn injury97,133,134. Optoacoustic imaging at even lower frequencies (10 MHz; ~200 μm in resolution) was applied by using a linear ultrasound array to assess, in laboratory rats, the depth of burns135,136; in addition to allowing for clear demarcation of injury depth, which exhibited good correlation with histological findings, the technology revealed neovascularization below the injured tissue. OPAM of melanomas has also been considered but has so far been limited to the imaging of animal models137,138.

OPAM opens a way to assessing and quantifying chronic inflammation, angiogenesis, injury and healing in a label-free mode, and it may allow the visualization of tissue response to drugs, cosmetics, and environmental factors such as temperature and chemicals. The method could be useful for studying how systemic diseases manifest in the skin, such as during the progression of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases and metabolic diseases. Unlike OCT (refs. 139-141) or high-frequency skin ultrasound142-144, which primarily reveal tissue interfaces and tissue morphology, OPAM visualizes specific molecules (such as haemoglobin and melanin) and can resolve functional contrast over a larger FOV than optical microscopy, thus complementing existing skin-imaging methods, such as OCT, high frequency ultrasound imaging or reflectance confocal imaging.

**Intravascular optoacoustic imaging.** OPAM has also demonstrated potential for extending the capabilities of intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) in interventional cardiology. IVUS is performed by rotating and translating (pull back) a miniaturized ultrasound detector within a catheter system inserted into the lumen of blood vessels145-147. The detector transmits and records ultrasound waves, forming three‑dimensional ultrasound images of the vessel wall. These images are used to characterize the presence and burden of atheromatous plaques in arteries, and potentially to assess the placement or condition of a stent. Optoacoustic images can be formed by adding an optical light guide (optical fibre) to the ultrasound system and by using the ultrasound detector both for detecting ultrasound and optoacoustic signals. The resulting hybrid ultrasound–optoacoustic catheter (**Fig. 4a**) combines morphology information based on ultrasound contrast, with complementary optoacoustic measurements of morphology, molecular content, and pathophysiology.

Hybrid IVUS–optoacoustic implementations have resolved, for example, lipid-rich regions in excised aortas of New Zealand rabbits fed with a mild cholesterol diet148-150. With multi-wavelength illumination of atherosclerotic human coronary arteries at wavelengths around 1,200 nm, the hybrid method yielded spectra matching those of cholesterol oleate and cholesterol linoleate151, and coronary lipids have also been imaged in vivo at 1,700 nm in a swine model of coronary arterial disease (**Fig. 4b**)114 and in atherosclerotic arteries in a rabbit model152,153. One disadvantage of OPAM at these wavelengths is the need to clear the water (and blood) from the vessel lumen, because water strongly attenuates optical excitation at >1,000 nm (ref. 114). Clearance is achieved by administering a bolus of deuterated-water saline during imaging114,154 (this is analogous to the clearance procedure of blood for intravascular OCT147). Since data must be acquired along long vessel segments during flushing, scan times of a few seconds or less are needed. However the speed of OPAM implementations is restricted by the repetition rate (typically in the kHz range) of the laser. Therefore a major current challenge of prototype hybrid IVUS–optoacoustic implementations is the need to accelerate repetition rates or to use frequency-domain illumination and reduce scan times (an important prerequisite for clinical applications).

**Endoscopy.** OPAM features developed and tested in dermatology can be translated to endoscopic imaging of the gastrointestinal tract and of other tissue lumens and cavities. Two types of miniaturized scanning systems have been considered: a raster-scan geometry (**Fig. 1d**) in a small, forward-looking scope155, and geometries and scanning approaches based on design elements from intravascular-imaging systems. The forward-looking design may limit the FOV of mesoscopy, and may be better suited for optical or optoacoustic-microscopy implementations, possibly combined with white-light endoscopy. In the approaches inspired by intravascular imaging, a single detector is rotated around its own axis to radially scan hollow organs such as the oesophagus or the colon, and at the same time it is pulled back through the lumen in order to generate images of the entire organ. Variations on this principle have been proposed, including rotation of both the detector and the optical illuminators (**Fig. 4c–e)**156, rotation only of the illuminator and detection based on a stationary omnidirectional detector78, and rotation of a parabolic mirror to direct both optical and acoustic energy from/to tissue (**Fig. 4f–h**)157-159.

Optoacoustic endoscopy has been employed to image the vascularization of the oesophagus in adult New Zealand rabbits in vivo158(at 584 nm; 36 MHz; 55 µm axially and 80 µm laterally) and the lymphatic system surrounding the gastrointestinal tract (at 640 nm) after contrast enhancement with Evans-blue dye157. Replacing line-scan geometries with curved-scanning improves upon problems of limited view angle and enhances image quality160. The combination of optical and acoustic focus at 20 MHz allows hybrid microscopy and mesoscopy imaging156. Further miniaturization can be achieved by using microring resonators161; a ring-array endoscope for ex vivo imaging of a swine oesophagus was also employed, however at a reduced resolution (320 μm; 6 MHz)162.

OPAM endoscopy could be employed for staging disease, for evaluating the extent of tumour borders and for detecting the presence of early-stage disease that manifests as sub-surface pathophysiological alterations163,164 (Table 2). In analogy to optoacoustic dermoscopy, optoacoustic endoscopy may contribute to quantifying cancer-related and inflammation-related biomarkers, such as total blood volume, vessel dilation, vascular rarefaction during surveillance studies, and tissue oxygenation and viability during surgical procedures23,26,157. OPAM endoscopy could be implemented on its own or in combination with other modalities such as OCT165.

**Translational imaging.** By extending the penetration depth of optical microscopy, mesoscopy can provide new abilities in studying tissues. In particular, mesoscopy visualizes volumes that are at least two orders of magnitude larger than the volumes accessible by optical microscopy, and thus in principle it can detect the spatial heterogeneity of optical contrast, which would be difficult with other methods, as outlined in what follows.

*Cancer*. Two major motivations for applying OPAM to cancer have been the label-free imaging of angiogenesis and hypoxia (**Fig. 5a,b**), which are not possible by any other imaging method, and the visualization of much larger volumes than those imaged by microscopy. Raster-scan implementations of OPAM have enabled label‑free imaging of angiogenesis in melanoma mouse models137,166 and the monitoring of drug-induced vascular disruption in human colorectal adenocarcinoma xenografts76,166,167. In contrast to optical microscopy, RSOM visualized microvasculature deeper in tissue and over a larger FOV without the need to remove the skin or to implant optical windows5,168, yielding a non-invasive alternative to optical methods, albeit at lower resolutions than optical microscopy. Cancer OPAM using linear arrays is also possible138 (680 nm; 21 MHz). Moreover, the use of multiple wavelengths allows for measurements of tissue oxygenation and consequently of hypoxia109,169, which has diagnostic and theranostic implications. Similarly, RSOM imaging using two wavelengths (584 nm and 764 nm; 50 MHz) distinguished between the darker tumour cells (B16 melanoma cells) and the vasculature59.

In addition to intrinsic tissue absorption, mesoscopic imaging of cancer can benefit from the use of exogenous contrast (in analogy to multispectral optoacoustic tomography23,26,44). For example, tyrosinase‑expressing K562 leukaemia cells and 293T human embryonic kidney cells were imaged in high detail at depths of 10 mm (RSOM at 22 MHz and 600 nm; **Fig. 5c,d**)50. Likewise, labelled optical quenchers were employed to visualize in high detail the expression of αvβ3-integrin in U87 spheroids and in subcutaneously implanted U87 tumors125. Curved geometry OPAM may be better suited for a more detailed visualization of cancer (**Fig. 1i**), by resolving features through the entire mass of solid tumours at a more isotropic resolution than RSOM167. The imaging of enhanced-permeability-and-retention effects has also been shown with curved-geometry implementations, by imaging the distribution of exogenously administered gold nanoparticles167.

*Neuroimaging***.** OPAM is also becoming popular in neuroimaging investigations in animal models, primarily due to the unique combination of contrast, penetration, resolution and fast scan times. Real‑time volumetric OPAM was recently developed for brain imaging, based on a hemispherical curved-geometry transducer array of 256 or 512 detector elements (**Fig. 5e**). Frequencies at the border‑line between mesoscopy and macroscopy (~10 MHz) enabled real-time ex vivo monitoring of calcium dynamics and neurovascular coupling in living zebrafish brains expressing the calcium indicator GCaMP5G (**Fig. 5f–i**)170. However, imaging the mouse brain structure and perfusion has only been possible so far with macroscopic implementations171.

The cortical vasculature of mice has also been imaged in vivo through the intact scalp, at depths of ~1–2 mm, by using RSOM at 20 MHz (refs. 172,173 ) or 50 MHz (refs. 174,175), involving dye-laser illumination at 561 nm and 570 nm, respectively. Major vascular landmarks, including the sagittal sinus, middle cerebral artery and coronal suture were recorded at lateral and axial resolutions of, respectively, 70 μm and 54 µm (**Fig. 5j**). Although visible wavelengths only allowed the imaging of superficial cortex signals52, deeper imaging was achieved at longer wavelengths. Vascular landmarks deeper in the brain, including the sinus rectus and the inferior sagittal sinus, the latter seen extending to a depth of 3.1 mm (ref. 52), were visible at wavelengths larger than 630 nm. At even longer wavelengths (889 nm) the inferior sagittal sinus was seen extending down to a greater depth (~3.7 mm)52. Nevertheless, in addition to imaging vascular landmarks, an additional key attraction for brain OPAM is the imaging of haemodynamic responses, recorded as changes in the concentrations of oxygenated and deoxygenated haemoglobin over time170,172,176-181.

*Other applications***.** Several studies have demonstrated the potential of OPAM for other biomedical applications, such as the imaging of cardiovascular dynamics in a mouse heart in vivo by using a linear array operating at 30 MHz (ref. 57), and video-rate scans of the heart (532 nm) and of heart oxygenation (559 nm) at 50 MHz (ref. 58). RSOM at 22 MHz can be used for analysing organ development, by imaging the cardiovascular system of mouse embryos both inside the mother and ex vivo(**Fig. 5k**)49. Inflammation responses associated with rheumatoid arthritis have also been visualized115. RSOM at 50 MHz of endothelial cells incubated with gold nanorods conjugated to intercellular adhesion molecule-1 showed that, after treatment, the rods bound selectively to cells with the pro‑inflammatory cytokine interferon gamma182,183.

Curved-geometry mesoscopy55,80 at 24 MHz has been used to image the highly detailed distribution of gold nanoparticles within murine kidneys80 ex vivo(**Fig. 5l**) without the need for organ clearing. However, in this study the distribution of the IRDye 800CW was only possible at 6 MHz because of the larger volume occupied by the fluorochrome (compared with the gold nanoparticles). Multispectral curved-geometry studies at 15 MHz have visualized fluorescent proteins labelling the imaginary disks of *Drosophila melanogaster* and adult zebrafish in vivoat resolutions of ~35 µm68. Higher-quality images of *Drosophila melanogaster* and juvenile zebrafish (**Fig. 6a**) at 7–15 µm in resolution were achieved at depths of 2–3 mm by using RSOM and curved-geometry implementations at 50–100 MHz (refs. 47*,*87).

**Microscopy and mesoscopy**

**OPAM vs. microscopy**. AR optoacoustic imaging exchanges depth for resolution, and aims at high-resolution interrogations through several millimetres of tissue. AR optoacoustic microscopy requires high frequencies and bandwidths that may reach the Gigahertz range31. However, the increasing attenuation of ultrasound signals at increasing frequency forces high-resolution AR optoacoustic microscopy to image only at depths from a few tens of micrometres to hundreds of micrometres. At lower frequencies, reduction in ultrasound attenuation allow for a gradual shift from AR optoacoustic microscopy to AR optoacoustic mesoscopy, the latter reaching depths of several millimetres, but at resolutions that range in the several tens of micrometres (**Fig. 1j**). Moreover, compared to microscopy approaches, larger fields of view and volumes are imaged in the mesoscopic regime (at similar scan times). For this reason, light-source specifications also change between microscopy and mesoscopy, with the latter generally delivering up to several orders of magnitude higher energy in tissue, for deeper visualization (**Fig. 1k**). Therefore OPAM enables biological imaging in vivo at regimes largely inaccessible to optical microscopy and optoacoustic microscopy (**Table 1** and **Fig. 1j,k)**.

In contrast to the scalability seen within AR optoacoustic microscopy and mesoscopy, OR optoacoustic microscopy involves fields of view and penetration depths that are similar to optical microscopy29. Therefore, the application span of OR optoacoustic microscopy may be limited considering the rich contrast and high sensitivity already achieved by optical-microscopy techniques developed for a broad range of tissue-imaging and cellular-imaging applications. Nevertheless, a distinct difference between optical and optoacoustic microscopy is label-free imaging. Label-free optoacoustic microscopy visualizes microvasculature and more generally tissue absorption, whereas label-free optical microscopy may resolve cellular morphology (on the basis of auto-fluorescence) or collagen structures184 (via tissue-anisotropy contrast).

**Hybrid optical and optoacoustic imaging.** The combination of OPAM and optical microscopy or OR optoacoustic microscopy extends optical interrogation to deeper tissues175,185-187, offering visualization across scales that are not accessible by any of the techniques on their own. By using broadband frequency detection, optoacoustic systems can reach resolutions spanning a few micrometres within the first 1–1.5 mm in depth to tens of micrometres several millimetres deep29, thus spanning the whole mesoscopy range. Moreover, recent hybrid implementations capitalize on the extended scale offered by combining OPAM and optical and/or OR optoacoustic microscopy (**Fig. 6a**). In particular, RSOM has been combined with OR optoacoustic and multiphoton microscopy for multiscale imaging of zebrafish (**Fig. 6b,c**) and mouse tissue187,188. In another implementation, mesoscopy at 24 MHz was combined with selective-plane illumination microscopy (SPIM) for multiscale imaging of model organisms common in developmental biology (**Fig. 6d**)67,186. The hybrid system enabled imaging of transgenic zebrafish, and showed that for larger fish (2 months old) the optoacoustic method provides higher resolution than SPIM, since the latter is affected by photon scattering67,186.

Hybrid imaging modalities not only merge scales but can also combine the contrast offered by the two modalities. For example, systems combining optoacoustics and ultrasound189,190 can visualize the internal organs of zebrafish (**Fig. 6e**)189. A multimodal system combining fluorescence, autofluorescence, second-harmonic and third-harmonic generation, and spectral absorption optoacoustics, showcased the benefits of having extended readings of diverse contrast in a single device184. Moreover, the imaging of DsRed (by using RSOM at 50 MHz and at 15/61 µm axial/lateral resolutions; **Fig. 6f–h**) or of mCherry (with curved-geometry OPAM) in labelled drosophila melanogaster pupae68,191 and zebrafish68 points to the additional possibility of highly detailed fluorescence protein microscopy corroborated by hybrid OPAM imaging at larger scales (yet lesser resolution). Furthermore, mesoscopy images obtained over a large FOV can be used to guide microscopy examinations to specific points of interest on the mesoscopy image187.

**Outlook**

Optoacoustic macroscopy is emerging as a clinical modality for detecting cancer34,192-201 (such as metastatic melanoma202,203) and for disease staging (as shown in Crohn’s disease 37,204,205), but operates with characteristics that generate a performance gap when compared to the resolution and FOV captured by optical or optoacoustic microscopy (**Fig. 1j,k**; **Table 1**). With its unique combination of high resolution and the capacity to image through several millimetres of tissue, OPAM bridges microscopic and macroscopic imaging. Unlike optical macroscopy or optoacoustic macroscopy, penetration depth in the mesoscopic regime is primarily limited by the attenuation of high-ultrasound frequencies, rather than by light attenuation in tissue74. The frequency bandwidth detected plays a central role in the resolution achieved as a function of depth. UWB OPAM at ~200 MHz in bandwidth can image morphological and pathophysiological features over depths of a few millimetres, compared to implementations at narrower bandwidths (**Fig. 4**). Nevertheless, the resolution achieved is not uniform with depth. Since higher ultrasound frequencies undergo higher attenuation, OPAM generally detects a narrower bandwidth compared to the requirements of AR optoacoustic microscopy. Likewise, ultrawide-bandwidth OPAM essentially offers hybrid microscopy–mesoscopy performance. Importantly, by enabling imaging at volumes that could be two orders of magnitude larger than those typical of microscopy methods, OPAM’s performance cannot be matched by using purely optical-imaging methods.

OPAM exploits contrast that is fundamentally different from other high-resolution imaging modalities such as HFUS or OCT, which primarily resolve tissue morphology on the basis of the reflection of sound or light from tissue interfaces. In contrast, optoacoustic imaging visualizes optical absorption from tissue molecules or from nanoparticles, which means that it offers unique morphological, functional and molecular contrast that may complement HFUS or OCT. With spectral unmixing, multispectral OPAM can sense and differentiate multiple molecules and particles along the spectral dimension23, revealing functional and molecular characteristics of the tissue that HFUS and OCT cannot sense. Moreover, OPAM may allow imaging through several millimetres of tissue (depending on the frequency employed), unlike the fundamentally limited depth (because of photon scatter) offered by OCT.

An important development in OPAM relates to the imaging of biomarkers of pathophysiology and disease. For example, single-wavelength UWB OPAM can provide quantitative measurements of inflammatory biomarkers (such as vessel dilation, tortuosity and total blood volume) without the need for exogenous labels32; such metrics may facilitate precision-medicine implementations in dermatology and endoscopy, for a more rigorous and objective monitoring of disease progression and treatment efficacy206. The addition of multi-wavelength illumination and spectral unmixing can extend label-free imaging capabilities to the imaging of oxygenation and hypoxia109, fat, lipids and water content150,151,207 and melanin109. OPAM can thus monitor, in a label-free mode, an array of biomolecules and features relevant to health and disease.

The imaging features of OPAM could be clinically useful in the assessment of systemic skin conditions32,130,137, in oncology32,75,137, in cardiovascular medicine, and for studies of metabolic disorders such as diabetes. For example, many diseases involving inflammation and alterations in metabolism, such as cancer, psoriasis, tissue remodelling and diabetes, involve perturbations in angiogenesis and in tissue oxygenation208. Moreover, the development of hybrid OPAM systems could further extend imaging capabilities by combining the advantages of microscopy and mesoscopy and by enabling multiscale label-free imaging of tissue pathophysiology. The further development of contrast agents and targeted probes for optoacoustic imaging may also expand the biological and clinical applications of OPAM. Hybrid OPAM systems already permit specific imaging of an extended range of biological targets and functions, such as receptor and enzyme expression23,39. Dedicated contrast-enhancement strategies, such as reporter genes50,126,127 and photoswitchable proteins128,129,209, are also applicable to optoacoustic imaging. Such developments can expand the range of molecules and processes that can be visualized by OPAM in the laboratory, and enable biological imaging at depths inaccessible to optical microscopy.

To achieve the full potential of OPAM, further advances in system hardware and in computational algorithms are required. The detection sensitivity of optoacoustic imaging is fundamentally limited by current detection technologies, which were originally designed to meet the needs of ultrasonography. In ultrasound imaging, the power of the ultrasound pulses emitted can generally be increased to compensate for weak detector sensitivity. However, this practice is not generally possible in optoacoustic imaging because of ANSI-defined laser-safety limits. Therefore, detectors of increased sensitivity are required for OPAM. Also needed are better light sources that enable illumination at multiple wavelengths via nanosecond-range pulse widths. Tunable lasers and high-energy pulsed-light sources that operate at multiple wavelengths remain expensive, and generally have low repetition rates (<10 kHz) and wavelength tuning speeds (>1 sec) that limit the implementation of multispectral RSOM. The laser-repetition rate limits the scanning speed of RSOM and the FOV that can be inspected in a certain time period. Conversely, although the use of multi-element ultrasound arrays could accelerate scan times, high performance OPAM based on array technology is challenging to achieve because of difficulties in balancing high bandwidths, small detection areas and high-sensitivity by using array technology. Moreover, improvements in tomographic reconstruction and image analysis can also improve image quality and quantification, for example via techniques superior to simple filtered back‑projection, including model-based approaches or methods that account for fluence effects or for the electrical or total impulse response of the system131. We expect that the emergence of clinical applications based on optoacoustic technology will drive advances in the design and fabrication of light detectors and light sources, thus improving the performance of mesoscopy.

**References**

1 Helmchen, F. & Denk, W. Deep tissue two-photon microscopy. *Nature methods* **2**, 932-940 (2005).

2 Theer, P., Hasan, M. T. & Denk, W. Two-photon imaging to a depth of 1000 µm in living brains by use of a Ti: Al 2 O 3 regenerative amplifier. *Optics letters* **28**, 1022-1024 (2003).

3 Smith, A. M., Mancini, M. C. & Nie, S. Second window for in vivo imaging. *Nature nanotechnology* **4**, 710 (2009).

4 Hong, G. *et al.* Ultrafast fluorescence imaging in vivo with conjugated polymer fluorophores in the second near-infrared window. *Nature communications* **5** (2014).

5 Vakoc, B. J. *et al.* Three-dimensional microscopy of the tumor microenvironment in vivo using optical frequency domain imaging. *Nature medicine* **15**, 1219-1223 (2009).

6 Drexler, W. *et al.* Ultrahigh-resolution ophthalmic optical coherence tomography. *Nature medicine* **7**, 502 (2001).

7 Fujimoto, J. G. Optical coherence tomography for ultrahigh resolution in vivo imaging. *Nature biotechnology* **21**, 1361 (2003).

8 Čižmár, T., Mazilu, M. & Dholakia, K. In situ wavefront correction and its application to micromanipulation. *Nature Photonics* **4**, 388-394 (2010).

9 Chaigne, T. *et al.* Controlling light in scattering media non-invasively using the photoacoustic transmission matrix. *Nature Photonics* **8**, 58-64 (2014).

10 Vellekoop, I. M., Lagendijk, A. & Mosk, A. Exploiting disorder for perfect focusing. *Nature photonics* **4**, 320-322 (2010).

11 Katz, O., Small, E. & Silberberg, Y. Looking around corners and through thin turbid layers in real time with scattered incoherent light. *Nature photonics* **6**, 549-553 (2012).

12 Ertürk, A. *et al.* Three-dimensional imaging of solvent-cleared organs using 3DISCO. *Nature protocols* **7**, 1983 (2012).

13 Hama, H. *et al.* ScaleS: an optical clearing palette for biological imaging. *Nature neuroscience* **18**, 1518 (2015).

14 Dodt, H.-U. *et al.* Ultramicroscopy: three-dimensional visualization of neuronal networks in the whole mouse brain. *Nature methods* **4**, 331 (2007).

15 Farkas, D. L. Invention and commercialization in optical bioimaging. *Nature biotechnology* **21**, 1269-1271 (2003).

16 Weissleder, R. & Pittet, M. J. Imaging in the era of molecular oncology. *Nature* **452**, 580-589 (2008).

17 Vinegoni, C., Pitsouli, C., Razansky, D., Perrimon, N. & Ntziachristos, V. In vivo imaging of Drosophila melanogaster pupae with mesoscopic fluorescence tomography. *Nature methods* **5**, 45 (2008).

18 Sharpe, J. *et al.* Optical projection tomography as a tool for 3D microscopy and gene expression studies. *Science* **296**, 541-545 (2002).

19 Ntziachristos, V., Ripoll, J., Wang, L. V. & Weissleder, R. Looking and listening to light: the evolution of whole-body photonic imaging. *Nature biotechnology* **23**, 313-320 (2005).

20 Weissleder, R. A clearer vision for in vivo imaging. *Nature biotechnology* **19**, 316-316 (2001).

21 Maugh, T. H. Photoacoustic spectroscopy: New uses for an old technique. *Science* **188**, 38-39 (1975).

22 Rosencwaig, A. & Gersho, A. Theory of the photoacoustic effect with solids. *Journal of Applied Physics* **47**, 64-69 (1976).

23 Taruttis, A. & Ntziachristos, V. Advances in real-time multispectral optoacoustic imaging and its applications. *Nature Photonics* **9**, 219-227 (2015).

24 Taruttis, A., van Dam, G. M. & Ntziachristos, V. Mesoscopic and macroscopic optoacoustic imaging of cancer. *Cancer research* **75**, 1548-1559 (2015).

25 Wang, L. V. & Yao, J. A practical guide to photoacoustic tomography in the life sciences. *Nature methods* **13**, 627 (2016).

26 Wang, L. V. & Hu, S. Photoacoustic tomography: in vivo imaging from organelles to organs. *Science* **335**, 1458-1462 (2012).

27 Maslov, K., Zhang, H. F., Hu, S. & Wang, L. V. Optical-resolution photoacoustic microscopy for in vivo imaging of single capillaries. *Optics letters* **33**, 929-931 (2008).

28 Yao, J., Maslov, K. I., Zhang, Y., Xia, Y. & Wang, L. V. Label-free oxygen-metabolic photoacoustic microscopy in vivo. *Journal of biomedical optics* **16**, 076003 (2011).

29 Ntziachristos, V. Going deeper than microscopy: the optical imaging frontier in biology. *Nature methods* **7**, 603-614 (2010).

30 Strohm, Eric M., Berndl, E. S. L. & Kolios, Michael C. Probing Red Blood Cell Morphology Using High-Frequency Photoacoustics. *Biophysical Journal* **105**, 59-67, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2013.05.037> (2013).

31 Strohm, E. M., Berndl, E. S. L. & Kolios, M. C. High frequency label-free photoacoustic microscopy of single cells. *Photoacoustics* **1**, 49-53, doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacs.2013.08.003> (2013).

32 Aguirre, J. S., Mathias; Garzorz, Natalie; Omar, Murad; Buehler, Andreas; Eyerich, Kilian; Ntziachristos, Vasilis. Precision assessment of label-free psoriasis biomarkers with ultra-broadband optoacoustic mesoscopy. *Nature Biomedical Engineering* **1**, doi:10.1038/s41551-017-0068 (2017).

33 Alanentalo, T. *et al.* High-resolution three-dimensional imaging of islet-infiltrate interactions based on optical projection tomography assessments of the intact adult mouse pancreas. *Journal of biomedical optics* **13**, 054070 (2008).

34 Piras, D., Xia, W., Steenbergen, W., van Leeuwen, T. G. & Manohar, S. Photoacoustic imaging of the breast using the twente photoacoustic mammoscope: present status and future perspectives. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* **16**, 730-739 (2010).

35 Diot, G. *et al.* Multispectral Optoacoustic Tomography (MSOT) of Human Breast Cancer. *Clinical Cancer Research* **23**, 6912-6922 (2017).

36 Oraevsky, A. *et al.* in *Photons Plus Ultrasound: Imaging and Sensing 2018.* 104942Y (International Society for Optics and Photonics).

37 Knieling, F. *et al.* Multispectral Optoacoustic Tomography for Assessment of Crohn’s Disease Activity. *New England Journal of Medicine* **376**, 1292-1294 (2017).

38 Reber, J. *et al.* Non-invasive measurement of brown fat metabolism based on optoacoustic imaging of hemoglobin gradients. *Cell metabolism* **27**, 689-701. e684 (2018).

39 Weber, J., Beard, P. C. & Bohndiek, S. E. Contrast agents for molecular photoacoustic imaging. *Nature Methods* **13**, 639-650 (2016).

40 Xu, M. & Wang, L. V. Photoacoustic imaging in biomedicine. *Review of scientific instruments* **77**, 041101 (2006).

41 Li, M.-L., Zhang, H. F., Maslov, K., Stoica, G. & Wang, L. V. Improved in vivo photoacoustic microscopy based on a virtual-detector concept. *Optics letters* **31**, 474-476 (2006).

42 Westervelt, P. J. & Larson, R. S. Laser‐excited broadside array. *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America* **54**, 121-122 (1973).

43 Diebold, G., Sun, T. & Khan, M. Photoacoustic monopole radiation in one, two, and three dimensions. *Physical review letters* **67**, 3384 (1991).

44 Ntziachristos, V. & Razansky, D. Molecular imaging by means of multispectral optoacoustic tomography (MSOT). *Chemical reviews* **110**, 2783-2794 (2010).

45 Xia, J., Yao, J. & Wang, L. V. Photoacoustic tomography: principles and advances. *Electromagnetic waves (Cambridge, Mass.)* **147**, 1 (2014).

46 Deán-Ben, X. L. & Razansky, D. On the link between the speckle free nature of optoacoustics and visibility of structures in limited-view tomography. *Photoacoustics* **4**, 133-140 (2016).

47 Omar, M., Gateau, J. & Ntziachristos, V. Raster-scan optoacoustic mesoscopy in the 25–125 MHz range. *Optics letters* **38**, 2472-2474 (2013).

48 Omar, M., Soliman, D., Gateau, J. & Ntziachristos, V. Ultrawideband reflection-mode optoacoustic mesoscopy. *Optics letters* **39**, 3911-3914 (2014).

49 Laufer, J. *et al.* In vivo photoacoustic imaging of mouse embryos. *Journal of biomedical optics* **17**, 061220 (2012).

50 Jathoul, A. P. *et al.* Deep in vivo photoacoustic imaging of mammalian tissues using a tyrosinase-based genetic reporter. *Nature Photonics* (2015).

51 Park, J. *et al.* Delay-multiply-and-sum-based synthetic aperture focusing in photoacoustic microscopy. *Journal of biomedical optics* **21**, 036010 (2016).

52 Laufer, J., Zhang, E., Raivich, G. & Beard, P. Three-dimensional noninvasive imaging of the vasculature in the mouse brain using a high resolution photoacoustic scanner. *Applied optics* **48**, D299-D306 (2009).

53 Zhang, E. Z. *et al.* Multimodal photoacoustic and optical coherence tomography scanner using an all optical detection scheme for 3D morphological skin imaging. *Biomedical Optics Express* **2**, 2202-2215, doi:10.1364/BOE.2.002202 (2011).

54 Aguirre, J. *et al.* Broadband mesoscopic optoacoustic tomography reveals skin layers. *Optics letters* **39**, 6297-6300 (2014).

55 Gateau, J., Chekkoury, A. & Ntziachristos, V. High-resolution optoacoustic mesoscopy with a 24 MHz multidetector translate-rotate scanner. *Journal of biomedical optics* **18**, 106005 (2013).

56 Vionnet, L. *et al.* 24-MHz scanner for optoacoustic imaging of skin and burn. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* **33**, 535-545 (2014).

57 Zemp, R., Song, L., Bitton, R., Shung, K. & Wang, L. Realtime photoacoustic microscopy of murine cardiovascular dynamics. *Optics express* **16**, 18551-18556 (2008).

58 Wang, L., Maslov, K., Xing, W., Garcia-Uribe, A. & Wang, L. V. Video-rate functional photoacoustic microscopy at depths. *Journal of biomedical optics* **17**, 106007 (2012).

59 Zhang, H. F., Maslov, K., Stoica, G. & Wang, L. V. Functional photoacoustic microscopy for high-resolution and noninvasive in vivo imaging. *Nature biotechnology* **24**, 848 (2006).

60 Fiolka, R., Wicker, K., Heintzmann, R. & Stemmer, A. Simplified approach to diffraction tomography in optical microscopy. *Optics express* **17**, 12407-12417 (2009).

61 Wicker, K., Mandula, O., Best, G., Fiolka, R. & Heintzmann, R. Phase optimisation for structured illumination microscopy. *Optics express* **21**, 2032-2049 (2013).

62 Betzig, E. *et al.* Imaging intracellular fluorescent proteins at nanometer resolution. *Science* **313**, 1642-1645 (2006).

63 Manley, S. *et al.* High-density mapping of single-molecule trajectories with photoactivated localization microscopy. *Nature methods* **5**, 155-157 (2008).

64 Prevedel, R. *et al.* Simultaneous whole-animal 3D imaging of neuronal activity using light-field microscopy. *Nature methods* **11**, 727-730 (2014).

65 Gustafsson, M. G. Surpassing the lateral resolution limit by a factor of two using structured illumination microscopy. *Journal of microscopy* **198**, 82-87 (2000).

66 Kim, T. *et al.* White-light diffraction tomography of unlabelled live cells. *Nature Photonics* **8**, 256-263 (2014).

67 Keller, P. J. Imaging morphogenesis: technological advances and biological insights. *Science* **340**, 1234168 (2013).

68 Razansky, D. *et al.* Multispectral opto-acoustic tomography of deep-seated fluorescent proteins in vivo. *Nature Photonics* **3**, 412-417 (2009).

69 Gateau, J., Caballero, M. Á. A., Dima, A. & Ntziachristos, V. Three‐dimensional optoacoustic tomography using a conventional ultrasound linear detector array: Whole‐body tomographic system for small animals. *Medical physics* **40** (2013).

70 Szabo, T. L. *Diagnostic ultrasound imaging: inside out*. (Academic Press, 2004).

71 Cobbold, R. S. *Foundations of biomedical ultrasound*. (Oxford University Press, 2006).

72 Zhang, E. Z. & Beard, P. C. in *Proc. SPIE.* 78991F.

73 Nuster, R. *et al.* Hybrid photoacoustic and ultrasound section imaging with optical ultrasound detection. *Journal of biophotonics* **6**, 549-559 (2013).

74 Deán-Ben, X. L., Razansky, D. & Ntziachristos, V. The effects of acoustic attenuation in optoacoustic signals. *Physics in medicine and biology* **56**, 6129 (2011).

75 Schwarz, M. S., Dominik; Omar, Murad; Buehler, Andreas; Aguirre, Juan; Ntziachristos, Vasilis. Optoacoustic Dermoscopy of the Human Skin: Tuning Excitation Energy for Optimal Detection Bandwidth with Fast and Deep Imaging in vivo. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* (2017).

76 Laufer, J. *et al.* In vivo preclinical photoacoustic imaging of tumor vasculature development and therapy. *Journal of biomedical optics* **17**, 056016 (2012).

77 Wissmeyer, G., Soliman, D., Shnaiderman, R., Rosenthal, A. & Ntziachristos, V. All-optical optoacoustic microscope based on wideband pulse interferometry. *Optics letters* **41**, 1953-1956 (2016).

78 Rosenthal, A. *et al.* Sensitive interferometric detection of ultrasound for minimally invasive clinical imaging applications. *Laser & Photonics Reviews* **8**, 450-457 (2014).

79 Schwarz, M., Buehler, A. & Ntziachristos, V. Isotropic high resolution optoacoustic imaging with linear detector arrays in bi‐directional scanning. *Journal of biophotonics* **8**, 60-70 (2015).

80 Chekkoury, A. *et al.* Optical mesoscopy without the scatter: broadband multispectral optoacoustic mesoscopy. *Biomedical optics express* **6**, 3134-3148 (2015).

81 Rebling, J., Warshavski, O., Meynier, C. & Razansky, D. Optoacoustic characterization of broadband directivity patterns of capacitive micromachined ultrasonic transducers. *Journal of biomedical optics* **22**, 041005 (2017).

82 Oraevsky, A. A. & Karabutov, A. A. in *Proc. SPIE.* 228-239.

83 Zhang, E., Laufer, J. & Beard, P. Backward-mode multiwavelength photoacoustic scanner using a planar Fabry-Perot polymer film ultrasound sensor for high-resolution three-dimensional imaging of biological tissues. *Applied optics* **47**, 561-577 (2008).

84 Beard, P. C., Hurrell, A. M. & Mills, T. N. Characterization of a polymer film optical fiber hydrophone for use in the range 1 to 20 MHz: A comparison with PVDF needle and membrane hydrophones. *IEEE Transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control* **47**, 256-264 (2000).

85 Maswadi, S. M. *et al.* All-optical optoacoustic microscopy based on probe beam deflection technique. *Photoacoustics* **4**, 91-101 (2016).

86 Paltauf, G., Schmidt-Kloiber, H., Köstli, K. & Frenz, M. Optical method for two-dimensional ultrasonic detection. *Applied Physics Letters* **75**, 1048-1050 (1999).

87 Omar, M. *et al.* Optical imaging of post-embryonic zebrafish using multi orientation raster scan optoacoustic mesoscopy. *Light: Science & Applications* **6**, e16186 (2017).

88 Xu, M. & Wang, L. V. Universal back-projection algorithm for photoacoustic computed tomography. *Physical Review E* **71**, 016706 (2005).

89 Kostli, K. *et al.* Optoacoustic imaging using a three-dimensional reconstruction algorithm. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* **7**, 918-923 (2001).

90 Karaman, M., Li, P.-C. & O'Donnell, M. Synthetic aperture imaging for small scale systems. *IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control* **42**, 429-442 (1995).

91 Köstli, K. P. & Beard, P. C. Two-dimensional photoacoustic imaging by use of Fourier-transform image reconstruction and a detector with an anisotropic response. *Applied optics* **42**, 1899-1908 (2003).

92 Oraevsky, A. A., Andreev, V. G., Karabutov, A. A. & Esenaliev, R. O. in *Proc. SPIE.* 256-267.

93 Kruger, R. A., Liu, P., Fang, Y. & Appledorn, C. R. Photoacoustic ultrasound (PAUS)—reconstruction tomography. *Medical physics* **22**, 1605-1609 (1995).

94 Rosenthal, A., Razansky, D. & Ntziachristos, V. Fast semi-analytical model-based acoustic inversion for quantitative optoacoustic tomography. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* **29**, 1275-1285 (2010).

95 Caballero, M. Á. A., Rosenthal, A., Gateau, J., Razansky, D. & Ntziachristos, V. Model-based optoacoustic imaging using focused detector scanning. *Optics letters* **37**, 4080-4082 (2012).

96 Dean-Ben, X. L., Buehler, A., Ntziachristos, V. & Razansky, D. Accurate model-based reconstruction algorithm for three-dimensional optoacoustic tomography. *IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging* **31**, 1922-1928 (2012).

97 Aguirre, J. *et al.* A low memory cost model based reconstruction algorithm exploiting translational symmetry for photoacoustic microscopy. *Biomedical optics express* **4**, 2813-2827 (2013).

98 Mohajerani, P., Tzoumas, S., Rosenthal, A. & Ntziachristos, V. Optical and optoacoustic model-based tomography: Theory and current challenges for deep tissue imaging of optical contrast. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine* **32**, 88-100 (2015).

99 Anastasio, M. A., Zhang, J., Modgil, D. & La Rivière, P. J. Application of inverse source concepts to photoacoustic tomography. *Inverse Problems* **23**, S21 (2007).

100 Huang, C., Wang, K., Nie, L., Wang, L. V. & Anastasio, M. A. Full-wave iterative image reconstruction in photoacoustic tomography with acoustically inhomogeneous media. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* **32**, 1097-1110 (2013).

101 Anastasio, M. A. *et al.* Half-time image reconstruction in thermoacoustic tomography. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* **24**, 199-210 (2005).

102 La Rivière, P. J., Zhang, J. & Anastasio, M. A. Image reconstruction in optoacoustic tomography for dispersive acoustic media. *Optics Letters* **31**, 781-783 (2006).

103 Wang, K. & Anastasio, M. A. in *Handbook of Mathematical Methods in Imaging* 781-815 (Springer New York, 2011).

104 Wang, K., Su, R., Oraevsky, A. A. & Anastasio, M. A. Investigation of iterative image reconstruction in three-dimensional optoacoustic tomography. *Physics in medicine and biology* **57**, 5399 (2012).

105 Cox, B. T., Arridge, S. R., Köstli, K. P. & Beard, P. C. Two-dimensional quantitative photoacoustic image reconstruction of absorption distributions in scattering media by use of a simple iterative method. *Applied Optics* **45**, 1866-1875 (2006).

106 Tzoumas, S. *et al.* Eigenspectra optoacoustic tomography achieves quantitative blood oxygenation imaging deep in tissues. *Nature communications* **7** (2016).

107 Cox, B., Laufer, J. G., Arridge, S. R. & Beard, P. C. Quantitative spectroscopic photoacoustic imaging: a review. *Journal of Biomedical Optics* **17**, 061202 (2012).

108 Tzoumas, S., Deliolanis, N., Morscher, S. & Ntziachristos, V. Unmixing molecular agents from absorbing tissue in multispectral optoacoustic tomography. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* **33**, 48-60 (2014).

109 Schwarz, M., Buehler, A., Aguirre, J. & Ntziachristos, V. Three‐dimensional multispectral optoacoustic mesoscopy reveals melanin and blood oxygenation in human skin in vivo. *Journal of biophotonics* **9**, 55-60 (2016).

110 Mohajerani, P., Kellnberger, S. & Ntziachristos, V. Frequency domain optoacoustic tomography using amplitude and phase. *Photoacoustics* **2**, 111-118 (2014).

111 Kellnberger, S., Deliolanis, N. C., Queirós, D., Sergiadis, G. & Ntziachristos, V. In vivo frequency domain optoacoustic tomography. *Optics letters* **37**, 3423-3425 (2012).

112 Telenkov, S., Mandelis, A., Lashkari, B. & Forcht, M. Frequency-domain photothermoacoustics: Alternative imaging modality of biological tissues. *Journal of Applied Physics* **105**, 102029 (2009).

113 VanderLaan, D., Karpiouk, A., Yeager, D. & Emelianov, S. Real-Time Intravascular Ultrasound and Photoacoustic Imaging. *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonics, Ferroelectrics, and Frequency Control* (2016).

114 Wu, M. *et al.* Real-time volumetric lipid imaging in vivo by intravascular photoacoustics at 20 frames per second. *Biomedical optics express* **8**, 943-953 (2017).

115 Beziere, N. *et al.* Optoacoustic imaging and staging of inflammation in a murine model of arthritis. *Arthritis & rheumatology* **66**, 2071-2078 (2014).

116 Mallidi, S., Luke, G. P. & Emelianov, S. Photoacoustic imaging in cancer detection, diagnosis, and treatment guidance. *Trends in biotechnology* **29**, 213-221 (2011).

117 Liu, Y., Nie, L. & Chen, X. Photoacoustic molecular imaging: from multiscale biomedical applications towards early-stage theranostics. *Trends in biotechnology* **34**, 420-433 (2016).

118 Beziere, N. *et al.* Dynamic imaging of PEGylated indocyanine green (ICG) liposomes within the tumor microenvironment using multi-spectral optoacoustic tomography (MSOT). *Biomaterials* **37**, 415-424 (2015).

119 Lozano, N., Al-Ahmady, Z. S., Beziere, N. S., Ntziachristos, V. & Kostarelos, K. Monoclonal antibody-targeted PEGylated liposome-ICG encapsulating doxorubicin as a potential theranostic agent. *International journal of pharmaceutics* **482**, 2-10 (2015).

120 Wilson, K., Homan, K. & Emelianov, S. Biomedical photoacoustics beyond thermal expansion using triggered nanodroplet vaporization for contrast-enhanced imaging. *Nature communications* **3**, 618 (2012).

121 Luke, G. P., Yeager, D. & Emelianov, S. Y. Biomedical applications of photoacoustic imaging with exogenous contrast agents. *Annals of biomedical engineering* **40**, 422-437 (2012).

122 Copland, J. A. *et al.* Bioconjugated gold nanoparticles as a molecular based contrast agent: implications for imaging of deep tumors using optoacoustic tomography. *Molecular Imaging & Biology* **6**, 341-349 (2004).

123 Conjusteau, A. *et al.* in *Biomedical Optics 2006.* 60860K-60860K-60869 (International Society for Optics and Photonics).

124 Gindy, M. E. & Prud'homme, R. K. Multifunctional nanoparticles for imaging, delivery and targeting in cancer therapy. *Expert opinion on drug delivery* **6**, 865-878 (2009).

125 Haedicke, K. *et al.* Sonophore labeled RGD: a targeted contrast agent for optoacoustic imaging. *Photoacoustics* **6**, 1-8 (2017).

126 Stritzker, J. *et al.* Vaccinia virus-mediated melanin production allows MR and optoacoustic deep tissue imaging and laser-induced thermotherapy of cancer. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **110**, 3316-3320 (2013).

127 Paproski, R. J., Forbrich, A. E., Wachowicz, K., Hitt, M. M. & Zemp, R. J. Tyrosinase as a dual reporter gene for both photoacoustic and magnetic resonance imaging. *Biomedical optics express* **2**, 771-780 (2011).

128 Stiel, A. C. *et al.* High-contrast imaging of reversibly switchable fluorescent proteins via temporally unmixed multispectral optoacoustic tomography. *Optics letters* **40**, 367-370 (2015).

129 Yao, J. *et al.* Multiscale photoacoustic tomography using reversibly switchable bacterial phytochrome as a near-infrared photochromic probe. *Nature methods* **13**, 67-73 (2016).

130 Bost, W., Lemor, R. & Fournelle, M. Optoacoustic Imaging of subcutaneous microvasculature with a class one laser. *IEEE transactions on medical imaging* **33**, 1900-1904 (2014).

131 Kirillin, M., Perekatova, V., Turchin, I. & Subochev, P. Fluence compensation in raster-scan optoacoustic angiography. *Photoacoustics* (2017).

132 Zhang, E., Laufer, J., Pedley, R. & Beard, P. In vivo high-resolution 3D photoacoustic imaging of superficial vascular anatomy. *Physics in medicine and biology* **54**, 1035 (2009).

133 Aguirre, J. *et al.* The potential of photoacoustic microscopy as a tool to characterize the in vivo degradation of surgical sutures. *Biomedical optics express* **5**, 2856-2869 (2014).

134 Nam, S. Y., Chung, E., Suggs, L. J. & Emelianov, S. Y. Combined ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging to noninvasively assess burn injury and selectively monitor a regenerative tissue-engineered construct. *Tissue Engineering Part C: Methods* **21**, 557-566 (2015).

135 Aizawa, K., Sato, S., Saitoh, D., Ashida, H. & Obara, M. Photoacoustic monitoring of burn healing process in rats. *Journal of biomedical optics* **13**, 064020 (2008).

136 Ida, T. *et al.* Real-time photoacoustic imaging system for burn diagnosis. *Journal of biomedical optics* **19**, 086013 (2014).

137 Zhou, Y., Xing, W., Maslov, K. I., Cornelius, L. A. & Wang, L. V. Handheld photoacoustic microscopy to detect melanoma depth in vivo. *Optics letters* **39**, 4731-4734 (2014).

138 Zhou, Y. *et al.* Handheld photoacoustic probe to detect both melanoma depth and volume at high speed in vivo. *Journal of biophotonics* **8**, 961-967 (2015).

139 Welzel, J., Lankenau, E., Birngruber, R. & Engelhardt, R. Optical coherence tomography of the human skin. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* **37**, 958-963 (1997).

140 Welzel, J. Optical coherence tomography in dermatology: a review. *Skin Research and Technology* **7**, 1-9 (2001).

141 Zhao, Y. *et al.* Phase-resolved optical coherence tomography and optical Doppler tomography for imaging blood flow in human skin with fast scanning speed and high velocity sensitivity. *Optics letters* **25**, 114-116 (2000).

142 Vogt, M. & Ermert, H. Development and evaluation of a high-frequency ultrasound-based system for in vivo strain imaging of the skin. *IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control* **52**, 375-385 (2005).

143 Turnbull, D. H. *et al.* A 40–100 MHz B-scan ultrasound backscatter microscope for skin imaging. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology* **21**, 79-88 (1995).

144 Serup, J., Keiding, J., Fullerton, A., Gniadecka, M. & Gniadecki, R. High-frequency ultrasound examination of skin: introduction and guide. (2006).

145 Mintz, G. S. *et al.* American College of Cardiology clinical expert consensus document on standards for acquisition, measurement and reporting of intravascular ultrasound studies (IVUS). *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **37**, 1478-1492 (2001).

146 Nair, A. *et al.* Coronary plaque classification with intravascular ultrasound radiofrequency data analysis. *Circulation* **106**, 2200-2206 (2002).

147 Jang, I.-K. *et al.* Visualization of coronary atherosclerotic plaques in patients using optical coherence tomography: comparison with intravascular ultrasound. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **39**, 604-609 (2002).

148 VanderLaan, D., Karpiouk, A. B., Yeager, D. & Emelianov, S. Real-time intravascular ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging. *IEEE transactions on ultrasonics, ferroelectrics, and frequency control* **64**, 141-149 (2017).

149 Jansen, K. *et al.* Spectroscopic intravascular photoacoustic imaging of lipids in atherosclerosis. *Journal of biomedical optics* **19**, 026006 (2014).

150 Wang, P. *et al.* High-speed intravascular photoacoustic imaging of lipid-laden atherosclerotic plaque enabled by a 2-kHz barium nitrite raman laser. *Scientific reports* **4**, 6889 (2014).

151 Jansen, K., Wu, M., van der Steen, A. F. & van Soest, G. Lipid detection in atherosclerotic human coronaries by spectroscopic intravascular photoacoustic imaging. *Optics express* **21**, 21472-21484 (2013).

152 Wang, B. *et al.* In vivo intravascular ultrasound-guided photoacoustic imaging of lipid in plaques using an animal model of atherosclerosis. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology* **38**, 2098-2103 (2012).

153 Zhang, J., Yang, S., Ji, X., Zhou, Q. & Xing, D. Characterization of lipid-rich aortic plaques by intravascular photoacoustic tomography. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* **64**, 385-390 (2014).

154 Hui, J. *et al.* Real-time intravascular photoacoustic-ultrasound imaging of lipid-laden plaque in human coronary artery at 16 frames per second. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 1417 (2017).

155 Ansari, R., Beard, P. C., Zhang, E. Z. & Desjardins, A. E. in *SPIE BiOS.* 97080L-97080L-97081 (International Society for Optics and Photonics).

156 He, H., Wissmeyer, G., Ovsepian, S. V., Buehler, A. & Ntziachristos, V. Hybrid optical and acoustic resolution optoacoustic endoscopy. *Optics Letters* **41**, 2708-2710 (2016).

157 Yang, J.-M. *et al.* Simultaneous functional photoacoustic and ultrasonic endoscopy of internal organs in vivo. *Nature medicine* **18**, 1297-1302 (2012).

158 Yang, J. M. *et al.* Three-dimensional photoacoustic endoscopic imaging of the rabbit esophagus. *PloS one* **10**, e0120269 (2015).

159 Yang, J.-M. *et al.* Photoacoustic endoscopy. *Optics letters* **34**, 1591-1593 (2009).

160 He, H., Buehler, A. & Ntziachristos, V. Optoacoustic endoscopy with curved scanning. *Optics letters* **40**, 4667-4670 (2015).

161 Chen, S.-L., Ling, T., Baac, H. W. & Guo, L. J. in *SPIE BiOS.* 78992T-78992T-78996 (International Society for Optics and Photonics).

162 Yuan, Y., Yang, S. & Xing, D. Preclinical photoacoustic imaging endoscope based on acousto-optic coaxial system using ring transducer array. *Optics letters* **35**, 2266-2268 (2010).

163 Barendse, R. *et al.* Endoscopic mucosal resection vs transanal endoscopic microsurgery for the treatment of large rectal adenomas. *Colorectal disease* **14**, e191-e196 (2012).

164 Soetikno, R. M., Gotoda, T., Nakanishi, Y. & Soehendra, N. Endoscopic mucosal resection. *Gastrointestinal endoscopy* **57**, 567-579 (2003).

165 Yang, Y. *et al.* Integrated optical coherence tomography, ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging for ovarian tissue characterization. *Biomedical optics express* **2**, 2551-2561 (2011).

166 Omar, M., Schwarz, M., Soliman, D., Symvoulidis, P. & Ntziachristos, V. Pushing the optical imaging limits of cancer with multi-frequency-band raster-scan optoacoustic mesoscopy (RSOM). *Neoplasia* **17**, 208-214 (2015).

167 Chekkoury, A. *et al.* High-Resolution Multispectral Optoacoustic Tomography of the Vascularization and Constitutive Hypoxemia of Cancerous Tumors. *Neoplasia* **18**, 459-467 (2016).

168 Ellenbroek, S. I. & Van Rheenen, J. Imaging hallmarks of cancer in living mice. *Nature Reviews Cancer* **14**, 406-418 (2014).

169 Kim, C. *et al.* In vivo molecular photoacoustic tomography of melanomas targeted by bioconjugated gold nanocages. *ACS nano* **4**, 4559-4564 (2010).

170 Deán-Ben, X. L. *et al.* Functional optoacoustic neuro-tomography for scalable whole-brain monitoring of calcium indicators. *Light: Science & Applications* **5**, e16201 (2016).

171 Deán-Ben, X. L. & Razansky, D. Adding fifth dimension to optoacoustic imaging: volumetric time-resolved spectrally enriched tomography. *Light: Science & Applications* **3**, e137 (2014).

172 Stein, E. W., Maslov, K. & Wang, L. V. Noninvasive, in vivo imaging of blood-oxygenation dynamics within the mouse brain using photoacoustic microscopy. *Journal of biomedical optics* **14**, 020502 (2009).

173 Stein, E. W., Maslov, K. & Wang, L. V. Noninvasive, in vivo imaging of the mouse brain using photoacoustic microscopy. *Journal of applied physics* **105**, 102027 (2009).

174 Rebling, J. *et al.* in *Proc. of SPIE Vol.* 100644T-100641.

175 Estrada, H., Turner, J., Kneipp, M. & Razansky, D. Real-time optoacoustic brain microscopy with hybrid optical and acoustic resolution. *Laser Physics Letters* **11**, 045601 (2014).

176 Liao, L.-D. *et al.* Imaging brain hemodynamic changes during rat forepaw electrical stimulation using functional photoacoustic microscopy. *Neuroimage* **52**, 562-570 (2010).

177 Liao, L.-D. *et al.* Transcranial imaging of functional cerebral hemodynamic changes in single blood vessels using in vivo photoacoustic microscopy. *Journal of Cerebral Blood Flow & Metabolism* **32**, 938-951 (2012).

178 Deng, Z., Wang, Z., Yang, X., Luo, Q. & Gong, H. In vivo imaging of hemodynamics and oxygen metabolism in acute focal cerebral ischemic rats with laser speckle imaging and functional photoacoustic microscopy. *Journal of biomedical optics* **17**, 081415 (2012).

179 Yao, J. & Wang, L. V. Photoacoustic brain imaging: from microscopic to macroscopic scales. *Neurophotonics* **1**, 011003-011003 (2014).

180 Wang, D., Wu, Y. & Xia, J. Review on photoacoustic imaging of the brain using nanoprobes. *Neurophotonics* **3**, 010901-010901 (2016).

181 Hu, S. Listening to the brain with photoacoustics. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics* **22**, 117-126 (2016).

182 Kim, K. *et al.* Photoacoustic imaging of early inflammatory response using gold nanorods. *Applied physics letters* **90**, 223901 (2007).

183 Ha, S., Carson, A., Agarwal, A., Kotov, N. A. & Kim, K. Detection and monitoring of the multiple inflammatory responses by photoacoustic molecular imaging using selectively targeted gold nanorods. *Biomedical optics express* **2**, 645-657 (2011).

184 Seeger, M., Karlas, A., Soliman, D., Pelisek, J. & Ntziachristos, V. Multimodal optoacoustic and multiphoton microscopy of human carotid atheroma. *Photoacoustics* **4**, 102-111 (2016).

185 Estrada, H., Sobol, E., Baum, O. & Razansky, D. Hybrid optoacoustic and ultrasound biomicroscopy monitors’ laser-induced tissue modifications and magnetite nanoparticle impregnation. *Laser Physics Letters* **11**, 125601 (2014).

186 Lin, H. C. A. *et al.* Selective plane illumination optical and optoacoustic microscopy for postembryonic imaging. *Laser & Photonics Reviews* **9**, L29-L34 (2015).

187 Soliman, D., Tserevelakis, G. J., Omar, M. & Ntziachristos, V. Combining microscopy with mesoscopy using optical and optoacoustic label-free modes. *Scientific reports* **5** (2015).

188 Tserevelakis, G. J., Soliman, D., Omar, M. & Ntziachristos, V. Hybrid multiphoton and optoacoustic microscope. *Optics letters* **39**, 1819-1822 (2014).

189 Li, G., Li, L., Zhu, L., Xia, J. & Wang, L. V. Multiview Hilbert transformation for full-view photoacoustic computed tomography using a linear array. *Journal of biomedical optics* **20**, 066010 (2015).

190 Harrison, T. *et al.* Combined photoacoustic and ultrasound biomicroscopy. *Optics Express* **17**, 22041-22046, doi:10.1364/OE.17.022041 (2009).

191 Xi, L., Zhou, L. & Jiang, H. C-scan photoacoustic microscopy for invivo imaging of Drosophila pupae. *Applied Physics Letters* **101**, 013702 (2012).

192 Xie, B. *et al.* Optoacoustic detection of early therapy-induced tumor cell death using a targeted imaging agent. *Clinical Cancer Research*, clincanres. 1029.2017 (2017).

193 Tomaszewski, M. R. *et al.* Oxygen Enhanced Optoacoustic Tomography (OE-OT) Reveals Vascular Dynamics in Murine Models of Prostate Cancer. *Theranostics* **7**, 2900 (2017).

194 Jose, J. *et al.* Initial results of imaging melanoma metastasis in resected human lymph nodes using photoacoustic computed tomography. *Journal of biomedical optics* **16**, 096021 (2011).

195 Pramanik, M., Ku, G., Li, C. & Wang, L. V. Design and evaluation of a novel breast cancer detection system combining both thermoacoustic (TA) and photoacoustic (PA) tomography. *Medical physics* **35**, 2218-2223 (2008).

196 Kolkman, R. G. M., Hondebrink, E., Steenbergen, W., Leeuwen, T. G. J. M. v. & Mul, F. F. M. d. Photoacoustic mammography laboratory prototype: imaging of breast tissue phantoms. *Journal of biomedical optics* **9**, 1172 (2004).

197 Jose, J., Manohar, S., Kolkman, R. G., Steenbergen, W. & van Leeuwen, T. G. Imaging of tumor vasculature using Twente photoacoustic systems. *Journal of biophotonics* **2**, 701-717 (2009).

198 Heijblom, M. *et al.* Imaging tumor vascularization for detection and diagnosis of breast cancer. *Technology in cancer research & treatment* **10**, 607-623 (2011).

199 Heijblom, M. *et al.* Visualizing breast cancer using the Twente photoacoustic mammoscope: what do we learn from twelve new patient measurements? *Optics express* **20**, 11582-11597 (2012).

200 Gurka, M. K. *et al.* Identification of pancreatic tumors in vivo with ligand-targeted, pH responsive mesoporous silica nanoparticles by multispectral optoacoustic tomography. *Journal of controlled release* **231**, 60-67 (2016).

201 Valluru, K. S. & Willmann, J. K. Clinical photoacoustic imaging of cancer. *Ultrasonography* **35**, 267 (2016).

202 Stoffels, I. *et al.* Metastatic status of sentinel lymph nodes in melanoma determined noninvasively with multispectral optoacoustic imaging. *Science translational medicine* **7**, 317ra199-317ra199 (2015).

203 Neuschmelting, V., Lockau, H., Ntziachristos, V., Grimm, J. & Kircher, M. F. Lymph node micrometastases and in-transit metastases from melanoma: in vivo detection with multispectral optoacoustic imaging in a mouse model. *Radiology* **280**, 137-150 (2016).

204 Waldner, M. J. *et al.* Multispectral optoacoustic tomography in Crohn’s disease: noninvasive imaging of disease activity. *Gastroenterology* **151**, 238-240 (2016).

205 Knieling, F. *et al.* Multispectral Optoacoustic Tomography in Crohn’s disease-A First-in-human Diagnostic Clinical Trial. *Journal of Nuclear Medicine* **58**, 379-379 (2017).

206 Lev-Tov, H. Dive deep, stay focused! *Science Translational Medicine* **9**, eaan4292 (2017).

207 Allen, T. J., Hall, A., Dhillon, A. P., Owen, J. S. & Beard, P. C. Spectroscopic photoacoustic imaging of lipid-rich plaques in the human aorta in the 740 to 1400 nm wavelength range. *Journal of biomedical optics* **17**, 061209 (2012).

208 Liotta, L. A., Steeg, P. S. & Stetler-Stevenson, W. G. Cancer metastasis and angiogenesis: an imbalance of positive and negative regulation. *Cell* **64**, 327-336 (1991).

209 Filonov, G. S. *et al.* Deep‐Tissue Photoacoustic Tomography of a Genetically Encoded Near‐Infrared Fluorescent Probe. *Angewandte Chemie International Edition* **51**, 1448-1451 (2012).

210 Zhang, E. Z. *et al.* Multimodal photoacoustic and optical coherence tomography scanner using an all optical detection scheme for 3D morphological skin imaging. *Biomedical optics express* **2**, 2202-2215 (2011).

211 Deán-Ben, X. L. & Razansky, D. Functional optoacoustic human angiography with handheld video rate three dimensional scanner. *Photoacoustics* **1**, 68-73 (2013).

212 Zabihian, B. *et al.* In vivo dual-modality photoacoustic and optical coherence tomography imaging of human dermatological pathologies. *Biomedical optics express* **6**, 3163-3178 (2015).

213 Castelino, R. F., Hynes, M., Munding, C. E., Telenkov, S. & Foster, F. S. Combined frequency domain photoacoustic and ultrasound imaging for intravascular applications. *Biomedical Optics Express* **7**, 4441-4449 (2016).

214 Ji, X., Xiong, K., Yang, S. & Xing, D. Intravascular confocal photoacoustic endoscope with dual-element ultrasonic transducer. *Optics express* **23**, 9130-9136 (2015).

215 Jansen, K., Van der Steen, A. F., Van Beusekom, H. M., Oosterhuis, J. W. & Van Soest, G. Intravascular photoacoustic imaging of human coronary atherosclerosis. *Optics Letters* **36**, 597-599 (2011).

216 Karpiouk, A. B., Wang, B. & Emelianov, S. Y. Development of a catheter for combined intravascular ultrasound and photoacoustic imaging. *Review of Scientific Instruments* **81**, 014901 (2010).

217 Yang, J.-M. *et al.* A 2.5-mm diameter probe for photoacoustic and ultrasonic endoscopy. *Optics express* **20**, 23944-23953 (2012).

218 Subochev, P. *et al.* Simultaneous photoacoustic and optically mediated ultrasound microscopy: phantom study. *Optics letters* **37**, 4606-4608 (2012).

219 Subochev, P., Orlova, A., Shirmanova, M., Postnikova, A. & Turchin, I. Simultaneous photoacoustic and optically mediated ultrasound microscopy: an in vivo study. *Biomedical optics express* **6**, 631-638 (2015).

220 Subochev, P., Fiks, I. & Frenz, M. Simultaneous triple-modality imaging of diffuse reflectance, optoacoustic pressure and ultrasonic scattering using an acoustic-resolution photoacoustic microscope: feasibility study. *Laser Physics Letters* **13**, 025605 (2016).

221 Subochev, P. Cost-effective imaging of optoacoustic pressure, ultrasonic scattering, and optical diffuse reflectance with improved resolution and speed. *Optics letters* **41**, 1006-1009 (2016).

222 Liu, M. *et al.* In vivo three dimensional dual wavelength photoacoustic tomography imaging of the far red fluorescent protein E2-Crimson expressed in adult zebrafish. *Biomedical Optics Express* **4**, 1846-1855, doi:10.1364/BOE.4.001846 (2013).

**Acknowledgements**

The authors would like to thank Dr. Chapin Rodriguez for proof reading the manuscript and his valuable suggestions and Dr. Luis Den Bean for his useful comments and suggestions. V.N. acknowledges funding from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, Germany (Leibniz Prize 2013; NT 3/10-1), funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 687866 (INNODERM), and  from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 732720 (ESOTRAC). The content of this manuscript reflects only the author’s view and the European commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information provided.

**Author contributions**

All authors contributed to writing the paper, revising it, and approving the final version.

**Competing interests**

V.N. is a share holder in iThera Medical GmbH which commercializes optoacoustic mesoscopy, who however didn’t support this manuscript.




Fig. 1 | Scanning implementations and operational regimes of OPAM. a–d, Raster scan OPAM (RSOM). a, A wide-field illuminating light beam excites ultrasound waves in the sample. Black dots represent point absorbers. b, The generated ultrasound signals are detected within confined volumes generated by the focal points of spherically focused transducers. c, The detection process is approximated by using point detectors (termed virtual detectors), which are assumed to be located at the acoustic focus. d, Raster-scan pattern, shown on a simplified schematic representing the skin. e, Raw data generated from three point absorbers, as schematized in panels a–c. f, The mathematical inversion of the raw data in panel e generates an accurate tomographic representation of the point objects. g,h, Optoacoustic microscopy uses focused illumination, whereas RSOM uses broad-beam illumination. i, Curved-geometry OPAM. All scanning implementations can be realized with detector arrays instead of single-element transducers; however, single-element transducers lead to better image quality. j,k, Operational characteristics of OPAM, compared to optoacoustic microscopy and macroscopy, for depth and resolution (j) and for energy and detection bandwidth (k).




Fig. 2 | Effects of detector bandwidth, detector aperture and angle of acceptance on the performance of optoacoustic imaging. a, Experimental data of the optoacoustic bandwidth generated by illuminating a 10 µm microsphere with 1.8-ns and 8-ns laser pulses. The use of shorter pulses generates a much broader range of frequencies from the same sample. b, Comparison of bandwidth responses of detectors with nominal central frequencies of 25 MHz, 50 MHz and 100 MHz (these three bandwidths correspond to actual detectors measured at the Institute for Biological and Medical Imaging & the Chair for Biological Imaging HMGU/TUM in Munich, Germany). c, Experimental images of the human-skin capillary loops reconstructed by using increasing bandwidths of 30 MHz, 70 MHz, 110 MHz and 170 MHz, exhibiting improvements in resolution and image quality with increasing bandwidth. d, Images obtained from a KPL4 breast-tumour xenograft in a mouse in vivo using reconstructions based on all frequencies (10–90 MHz), a low-frequency sub-band (10–30 MHz), a high-frequency sub-band (30–90 MHz), and the combination of low-frequency and high-frequency sub-bands following equalization (merged; green, small vessels; red, large vessels). Separate reconstruction and visualization of low and high frequencies enables better representation of the high-frequency details, which may not be clearly visible otherwise (that is, when comparing the 10–90 MHz to the 10–30 MHz image). e, Depending on aperture and focusing characteristics, a detector can collect signals over different angles of acceptance. Inset, zoom in on the focal region. f, Experimental results of increasing angle of acceptance for imaging a KPL4 breast-tumour xenograft in a mouse. As the acceptance angle increases from 15° to 60°, the vasculature and other image features are better resolved. Scale bars: c, 500 µm; d and f, 2 mm. Panel a reproduced with permission from ref. 47. Panel b courtesy of Dominik Soliman. Panel c reproduced with permission from ref. 32.





Fig. 3 | Skin imaging using OPAM. a–f, RSOM of healthy and psoriatic skin at 180 MHz in bandwidth. a, Representative image of healthy skin. EP, epidermis; DR, dermis; CL, capillary loops; VP, vascular plexus. b–d, Maximum-intensity projections of the skin layers in panel a; epidermis (b), capillary loops (c) and vascular plexus (d). e,f, Comparison of psoriatic skin (e) and healthy skin (f) imaged at 50 MHz. g, Morphological OPAM imaging of the skin vasculature, shown from the top, imaged using a 39-MHz optical detector. h, Maximum-intensity projection of the vasculature in the human palm, using RSOM at 35 MHz. i, Hybrid RSOM (red) – OCT(grey scale) image of human skin. RSOM was acquired by using an optical detector with a –3 dB bandwidth of 39 MHz; arrows point to vessels in the vascular plexus. j, Coronal images of the skin, acquired with RSOM using a 35-MHz single-element PZT detector. k, OPAM of the human skin using a 24-MHz linear array multi-element transducer; arrow ‘1’ points to a mole, arrow ‘2’ points to the skin surface, and arrows ‘3’ point to the upper and lower boundaries of a vessel. In i–k the lower bandwidth precludes the image performance and resolution seen in panel a (180 MHz in bandwidth). Scale bars, 500 µm (a–d, i, j), 200 µm (e–f), 1 mm (g,h,k). Panels a–f reproduced with permission from ref. 32, g,i from ref. 210, h,j from ref. 130, and k from ref. 56.



**Fig. 4 | Optoacoustic endoscopy.** **a,** Schematic of an optoacoustic intravascular catheter. An intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) transducer is combined with a miniaturized optoacoustic endoscope inside a polyethylene (PE) sheath. The optical signal (red) and the acoustic signal (green) are rotated using a parabolic mirror. APOF, angle-polished optical fibre; MTC, metal torque coil. **b**, Representative hybrid optoacoustic–ultrasound image of coronary arterial disease in a swine,obtained at a wavelength of 1,700 nm*.* The yellow dashed lines demarcate a stent visualized on the basis of lipid contrast. **c–e**, Optoacoustic endoscopy based on rotating both the ultrasound detector (UST) and the illumination source. **c**, Photograph of the distal end of the catheter. **d**,**e**, Axial (**d**) and sagittal (**e**) images of a swine oesophagus, obtained with the system in panel **c**. M, mucosa; SM, submucosa; MP, muscularis propria. **f**, Optoacoustic endoscopy based on a parabolic rotating mirror yet a static detector and illumination source. The mirror rotates both the acoustic field and the optical-illumination beam. GM, geared micromotor; JB, jewel bearings; MN, magnets; OF, optical fibre; PM, plastic membrane; SM, scanning mirror. **g**, Radial maximum-intensity optoacoustic projection spanning 360° of a rabbit oesophagus, showing total haemoglobin concentration, obtained used the system in panel **f** at 584 nm. **h**, Functional radial maximum-intensity projection showing oxygen saturation (sO2) for the image from panel **g** by using data collected at 562 nm and 584 nm. Scale bars, 1 mm (**b**), 1 mm (**e**), 1 cm (**g**, **h**). Panels **a**,**b** reproduced with permission from ref. 114. Panels **c**–**e**, courtesy of Andreas Buehler. Panels **f** reproduced with permission from ref. 159, and **g**,**h** from ref. 157.




Fig. 5 | Translational imaging with OPAM. a, Maximum-intensity projection RSOM image of a KPL4 breast-cancer xenograft in mice at 532 nm, showing detailed vasculature (red: 10–30 MHz; green: 30–90 MHz). b, Oxygen saturation (sO2) image of the white-box region on panel a. The sO2 image was produced by imaging at four wavelengths (520, 540, 560 and 590 nm), followed by linear unmixing. c,d, RSOM images of tyrosinase-expressing K562 cells (central yellow mass) embedded subcutaneously in the mouse flank. Images were taken at day 0 (right after cell implantation) in panel c and at day 15 in panel d. e, Spherical detector array for volumetric optoacoustic imaging. UST, ultrasound transducer (consisting of 256 elements); FB, fibre bundle; CN, connecting cables. f, Real-time volumetric mesoscopic optoacoustic imaging of a zebrafish brain in resting state at 10 MHz using the detector shown in panel e. g–i, Activation patterns evoked in response to pentylenetetrazole neurostimulation at the time points shown. j, Non-invasive optoacoustic imaging of the cortex vasculature in a mouse brain, arrows indicate feeding/draining vessels in the mouse’s somatosensory cortex; SS, sagital sinus; CS, coronal suture. k, Maximum-intensity projection images using interferometric RSOM at 22 MHz of the thorax and abdominal cavity of a mouse on embryonic day 15.5 ex vivo. l, Imaging of murine kidneys ex vivo using curved geometry OPAM. The mouse had been injected with gold nanorods 15 minutes prior to euthanasia. Spectrally unmixed images of gold nanorods overlaid in yellow over a single-wavelength optoacoustic image at 765 nm. Inset, magnification of the region pointed to by an arrow. Scale bars, 1 mm (a–d), 1 mm (j–l). Panels c,d reprinted with permission from ref.50. Panel e reproduced with permission from ref. 211. Panels f–I reproduced with permission from ref. 170, j from ref. 172, k from ref. 49, and l from ref. 80.



Fig. 6 | OPAM in development applications. a, Maximum-intensity projection obtained using curved-geometry mesoscopy, showing the side view of a juvenile zebrafish (21 days old). b, Maximum-intensity projection of an RSOM image of a larvae zebrafish (6 days old), showing the central melanocyte stripe (C), the eyes (E), lateral melanocyte stripe (L), and the inner organs (O). c, Zoom-in of the region marked by a white box in b, by using hybrid optoacoustic–multiphoton microscopy. The optoacoustic-microscopy signal is shown in red, marking the melanocytes. The second-harmonic generation signal is shown in blue, and denotes different muscle structures (myomeres) constituting the musculature of the fish body. The third-harmonic-generation signal is shown in green, and denotes the regions between the myomeres most probably representing myosepta, which are thin sheets of connective tissue separating and supporting the myomeres. d, Hybrid imaging combining OPAM (brown) and single-sheet illumination microscopy (SPIM, green) of an adult zebrafish (2 months old), showing that the resolution of OPAM is higher than that of SPIM when imaging adult fish, due to the increased photon scattering over few-days-old fish. e, Hybrid OPAM–ultrasound microscopy of a juvenile zebrafish. Red: OPAM; green, ultrasound microscopy; TV, tail vein. f–h, Different cross sections through a Drosophila melanogaster pupae, imaged at 50 MHz and 532 nm. Scale bars, 1 mm (a,b,d,e), 100 µm (c,f). Panel a reproduced with permission from ref. 87, b,c from ref. 187, d from ref. 186, e from ref. 189, and f–h from ref. 191.

Table 1 | Typical technical and operational characteristics of state-of-the-art implementations of optoacoustic microscopy, mesoscopy and macroscopy.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | OR optoacoustic  microscopy | AR  optoacoustic  microscopy | AR optoacoustic  mesoscopy | AR optoacoustic  macroscopy |
| Image formation | Scanning | Scanning | Mathematical  inversion | Mathematical inversion |
| Illumination  Energy per pulse  Repetition rate  Pulse width | Diffraction-focused  <1 µJ  10–500 kHz  0.003–10 ns | Unfocused or  moderately focused  <10 µJ  10–500 kHz  0.3–10 ns | Wide field  0.01–10 mJ  1–500 kHz  1–10 ns | Wide field  >10 mJ  10–100 Hz  20–200 ns |
| Detection  (typical) | Single detector | Single detector | Single detector  or detector array | Detector array |
| Bandwidth | ~10–100 MHz | >~50 MHz | ~10–200 MHz | <~10 MHz |
| Resolution\*  Lateral  Axial | Optical diffraction  <3 µm  <30 µm | Acoustic diffraction  <50 µm  <30 µm | Acoustic diffraction  <100 µm  10–100 µm | Acoustic diffraction  100–500 µm  100–500 µm |
| Depth\* | <1 mm | 1–2 mm | 0–10 mm | >10 mm |
| Primary limitation | Photon scatter | Ultrasound  attenuation | Ultrasound  attenuation | Light  attenuation |

\*Typical values (rather than absolute boundaries).

**Table 2 | Example applications of OPAM.**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Area and disease** | **Features imaged** | **Dimensions of feature offered** | **References** |
| Dermatology  *Cancer*  *Psoriasis*  *Eczema*  *Burn*  *Cosmetics* | Inflammation  Acanthosis  Melanin-layer thickness  Lesion borders and depth  Rarefaction  Total blood volume  Vasculature morphology  Angiogenesis  Vasodilation  Oxygenation and hypoxia  Hair and hair follicles | Depth imaged, 1–4 mm in burn depth or in the dermis  Area scanned, > 0.25–1 cm2 | 32,54,130,137,138,210,212 |
| Intravascular imaging  *Plaque characterization*  *Assessment of vessel-wall condition* | Lipids  Haematoma  Vascularization  Plaque morphology  Inflammation | Depth imaged,  5–7 mm in plaque depth  Area scanned, 360 degrees x 5–10 cm in length | 113,114,148-154,207,213-216 |
| Endoscopy  *Cancer staging*  *Barret’s oesophagus*  *Colitis / Crohn’s disease* | Wall and tumour morphology  Vascular morphology  Angiogenesis  Oxygenation and hypoxia  Total blood volume | Depth imaged, 3–4mm  Area scanned, 360 degrees x 5-10 cm in length | 156,157,159,160,217 |
| Small-animal imaging  *Neuroimaging*  *Cancer*  *Vascular disease*  *Organ visualization* | Oxygenation and hypoxia  Perfusion  Vascular morphology  Tissue morphology  Neuronal activity (with labels)  External labels | Depth imaged, 7–8 mm  Volume scanned, < 1 cm3 | 51,59,76,83,87,125,132,167,218-221, 52,175,185,49,57,58,80 |
| Developmental biology  *Phenotyping adult organisms*  *Functional imaging* | Deep-seated fluorescent proteins or optoacoustic reporters  Tissue morphology  Vascularization  Oxygenation and hypoxia | Depth imaged,  4–5 mm, whole-body  Volume scanned, < 25 mm3 | 47,49,68,73,87,186,189,222 |