

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

***PARTICLE* - the RNA podium for genomic silencers**

Valerie B. O’Leary <sup>1,2\*</sup>, Saak V. Ovsepian <sup>3,4</sup>, Jan Smida <sup>2</sup>, Michael J. Atkinson <sup>2,5</sup>

Department of Medical Genetics, Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Ruská 87, 100 00 Praha 10, Czech Republic <sup>1</sup>; Institute of Radiation Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany <sup>2</sup>; National Institute of Mental Health, Topolova 748, 25067 Klecany, Czech Republic <sup>3</sup>; Institute of Biological and Medical Imaging, Helmholtz Zentrum Munich - German Research Center for Environmental Health, Ingolstaedter Landstrasse 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany <sup>4</sup>, Chair of Radiation Biology, Technical University Munich, Munich, Germany <sup>5</sup>.

\*Corresponding author: Dr. Valerie B. O’Leary.

Email: valerie.oleary@lf3.cuni.cz

Keywords: lncRNA, radiation, triplex, epigenetics, histone, methyltransferase

Running title: LncRNA links epigenetic modifiers

18 **Abstract:**

19 Radiation exposure can evoke cellular stress responses. Emerging recognition that long non-  
20 coding RNAs (lncRNAs) act as regulators of gene expression has broadened the spectra of  
21 molecules controlling the genomic landscape upon alterations in environmental conditions.  
22 Knowledge of the mechanisms responding to low dose irradiation (LDR) exposure is very  
23 limited yet most likely involve subtle ancillary molecular pathways other than those protecting  
24 the cell from direct cellular damage. The discovery that transcription of the lncRNA  
25 *PARTICLE* (promoter of *MAT2A*- antisense radiation- induced circulating lncRNA; *PARTICL*)  
26 becomes dramatically instigated within a day after LDR exposure introduced a new gene  
27 regulator onto the biological landscape. *PARTICLE* affords an RNA binding platform for  
28 genomic silencers such as DNA methyltransferase 1 and histone tri-methyltransferases to reign  
29 in expression of tumour suppressors such as its neighbouring *MAT2A* in *cis* as well as *WWOX*  
30 in *trans*. *In silico* evidence offers scope to speculate that *PARTICLE* exploits the abundance of  
31 Hoogsten bonds that exist throughout mammalian genomes for triplex formation, presumably  
32 a vital feature within this RNA silencer. *PARTICLE* may provide a buffering riboswitch  
33 platform for S-adenosylmethionine. The correlation of *PARTICLE* triplex formation sites  
34 within tumour suppressor genes and their abundance throughout the genome at cancer related  
35 hotspots, offers an insight into potential avenues worth exploring in future therapeutic  
36 endeavours.

37

38

39

40

## 41 **Introduction**

42 Alternative biological responses are induced by low dose irradiation compared to those evoked  
43 by medium- or high-dose exposure with limited knowledge existing on the molecular  
44 mechanisms under influence (Mullenders, Atkinson, Paretzke, Sabatier, & Bouffler, 2009;  
45 Waldren, 2004). Direct cellular damage from ionizing irradiation have been superseded of late  
46 with the focus shifting to subtle non-targeted ancillary stresses instigated predominantly after  
47 low dose radiation (LDR) i.e., milligray range ionisation exposure typically encountered in the  
48 workplace, during medical imaging, and from natural sources (Morgan & Sowa, 2015; Pluder  
49 et al., 2011). The carcinogenic effects of LDR stem from retrospective epidemiological studies  
50 (Morgan & Sowa, 2015; Pluder et al., 2011; Shvarts, Sevo, Tasic, Shani, & Sadetzki, 2010).  
51 Seeking a biomarker sensitive to LDR exposure, an endeavour known as the Dark.Risk project  
52 ultimately sought to collect biological samples from people irradiated in childhood against  
53 *Tinea capitis* for exploration of individual oncogenic susceptibility variances. Recently, a  
54 robust comprehensive review identified several such potential biomarkers of priority with the  
55 view to addressing outstanding biological issues related to the impact of LDR (J. Hall et al.,  
56 2017). Despite the fact that radiation specific messenger RNA (mRNA) profiles were deemed  
57 the sole biomarker at the final stages of development in this regard (J. Hall et al., 2017), the  
58 recent accent of long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) should not go unheeded as it quickly gains  
59 traction in the search for such a naturally occurring molecule by which radiation exposure and  
60 radiotherapeutic outcome can be determined on an individual sensitivity basis. As biological  
61 complexity increases so too does the diversity of synthesized cellular transcripts as seen from  
62 the larger repertoire of lncRNA versus protein-coding RNAs emanating from the human  
63 genome (Taft, Pheasant, & Mattick, 2007). Categorized as RNA transcripts ranging in size  
64 from 200 base pairs (bps) up to 100 kbps with limited coding potential, lncRNAs have a lower

65 expression pattern yet superior tissue-specificity compared to mRNAs, suggestive of their  
66 putative regulatory function (Mondal et al., 2015).

67

## 68 **LncRNA *PARTICLE***

69 In the quest for the identification of LDR regulated lncRNA candidates, human lncRNA  
70 microarray platform analysis was initially undertaken by the DARK.RISK project team using  
71 total RNA extracted from endothelial, breast cancer and osteosarcoma cell lines exposed 4hr  
72 previously to medium dose exposure. The lncRNA *PARTICLE* (HUGO gene nomenclature  
73 *PARTICL*, promoter of *MAT2A*- antisense radiation- induced circulating lncRNA; NCBI  
74 reference sequence NR\_038942.1) was amongst the preliminary targets selected for  
75 verification and validation. Out of those lncRNAs tested further, it became apparent that the  
76 *PARTICLE* transcript was outstanding for its significantly upregulated expression (almost 30  
77 fold) 24 hours after LDR exposure. Indeed, others have identified radiation sensitive  
78 upregulated lncRNAs such as *lincRNA-p21* (long intergenic noncoding RNA-p21), GAS5  
79 (growth arrest-specific 5), *PANDA* (p21 associated ncRNA DNA damage activated;  
80 *PANDAR*) and *ANRIL* (antisense non-coding RNA in the INK4 locus; *CDKN2B-AS1*) but as  
81 part of a DNA damage response to high dose exposure levels (J. R. Hall et al., 2015; Hung et  
82 al., 2011; Ozgur et al., 2013). Subsequently, *PARTICLE* expression was confirmed as a  
83 ubiquitous tissue responder to LDR but with its higher levels of expression in malignant tissue  
84 compared to healthy control counterparts (O'Leary, Maugg, et al., 2017; O'Leary et al., 2015).

## 85 ***PARTICLE* silencing in *Cis* - and *Trans***

86 Efforts of late to assign lncRNAs on the basis of whether they regulate local gene expression  
87 *in cis* or leave the site of transcription and perform effects *in trans* assumes an either/or scenario  
88 for functional classification purposes (Kopp & Mendell, 2018). In regards to *PARTICLE*,

89 evidence has been gathered providing proof of its capability for local as well as distant  
90 regulatory effects potentially genome wide in human as well as in mouse (O'Leary, Smida, et  
91 al., 2017). *PARTICLE* is transcribed in an antisense direction as a 1432bp transcript within the  
92 *MAT2A* gene promoter on chromosome 2p11.2. Importantly, *MAT2A* encodes the catalytic  
93 subunit of methionine adenosyltransferase (MAT), the enzyme responsible for the production  
94 of s-adenosylmethionine (SAM), the principal cellular methyl donor (Mato, Alvarez, Ortiz, &  
95 Pajares, 1997). Given that *MAT2A* upregulated expression is instigated by LDR within hours  
96 of exposure only to decrease dramatically by 24 hours, the time point at which *PARTICLE*  
97 expression peaks, offered the first indication towards a potential silencing mechanism of  
98 *MAT2A* transcription by nuclear *PARTICLE*. Almost complete ablation of *PARTICLE* via  
99 lentiviral knockdown interference coincided with a concomitant three - fold increase in *MAT2A*  
100 transcript expression (O'Leary et al., 2015). Conversely, overexpressing *PARTICLE* via *in vitro*  
101 transfection significantly downregulated *MAT2A* in comparison to negative controls (O'Leary  
102 et al., 2015). This ability to influence the regulation of its genomic neighbouring gene signified  
103 a direct *in cis* relationship between *PARTICLE* and *MAT2A*. In principal, *PARTICLE* might  
104 control excess availability of methyl groups required for increased DNA damage repair activity  
105 following radiation exposure.

106 Intriguingly, transiently elevated *PARTICLE* was found to accompany diminished transcript  
107 levels and promoter activity in a distantly located tumour suppressor gene known as *WW*  
108 *Domain Containing Oxidoreductase (WWOX)* located on chromosome 16 supporting the  
109 ability of this lncRNA to also provide regulatory control *in trans* (O'Leary, Smida, et al., 2017).  
110 Increased *PARTICLE* levels were found to reduce *WWOX* promoter activity (O'Leary, Maugg,  
111 et al., 2017). Such an effect was evident in the osteosarcoma cell line U2OS yet absent from  
112 other bone cancer cell lines harbouring *FRA16D* breakage within this large gene. Intriguingly,  
113 *PARTICLE* influenced the *WWOX* tumour suppressor and in the absence of *WWOX FRA16D*

114 breakage, it was associated with osteosarcoma metastasis free survival (O'Leary, Maugg, et al.,  
115 2017). To date, there is conflicting evidence of the correlation between WWOX protein loss  
116 and cancer prognosis (Donati et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2010). Studies have been unable to link  
117 the occurrence of genetic modifications in *WWOX* with cancer development, leading to  
118 suggestions of a non-mutational regulatory influence (Pluciennik et al., 2006). *PARTICLE*  
119 association within the *WWOX* gene may forestall *FRA16D* breakage through fork  
120 remodelling/scaffolding yet hindering replication and transcription in tumour cells that lead to  
121 metastasis (Georgakilas et al., 2014). Chromosomal fragile sites such as FRA16D may act as  
122 functional stress sensors cooperating with trans-acting long non-coding elements such as  
123 *PARTICLE* recruited to harness osteosarcoma progression in patients.

124

#### 125 ***PARTICLE* triplex formation**

126 Replication pausing (Hile & Eckert, 2004; Krasilnikova & Mirkin, 2004) and DNA instability  
127 in human cancer (Lu et al., 2015; Wang, Carbajal, Vijg, DiGiovanni, & Vasquez, 2008) has  
128 been associated with the formation of alternative genomic conformations. DNA strands were  
129 initially recognised almost seventy years ago as being capable of engaging in hydrogen bond  
130 interactions that form alternative conformations (non B-forms) which deviate from the  
131 canonical (Watson-Crick, B form) right-handed double helix (Rich & Watson, 1954). Over-  
132 represented in mammalian genomes, non-B DNA forming motifs offer an opportunity for  
133 triplex formation (DNA:DNA:RNA) - requiring a duplex (DNA:DNA) and a single-stranded  
134 nucleotide sequence acting as the third strand eg. RNA (Frank-Kamenetskii & Mirkin, 1995).  
135 The process of predicting putative triplexes in nucleic acid sequence data has been greatly  
136 supported of late by sophisticated software development. Triplexator and Triplex Domain  
137 Finder (TDF) offer highly efficient computational frameworks worth accessing for *in silico*  
138 nucleotide sequence determination of triplex-formation. Computational modelling using

139 Triplexator indicated that a triplex was highly probable between *PARTICLE* and a site within  
140 the *MAT2A* promoter (chromosome 2: 85765239–85765251) (Buske, Bauer, Mattick, &  
141 Bailey, 2012). This triplex was subsequently confirmed using surface plasmon resonance  
142 (SPR) diffraction. Given such *cis* interaction, the quest began to determine as to whether other  
143 potential genomic sites existed for *PARTICLE* triplex formation. Curiously multiple sites (14  
144 in total) were found for *PARTICLE* triplex formation clustered predominantly within the  
145 human and mouse *WWOX* gene (O'Leary, Smida, et al., 2017). Surface plasmon resonance  
146 diffraction and electrophoretic mobility shift assays with high resolution imaging offered proof  
147 consistent with *PARTICLE* triplex formation within human *WWOX*. TDF was deployed to  
148 determine if *PARTICLE* could form triplexes extensively throughout the human genome  
149 (GRCh37/hg19). Over 1600 human genomic locations were predicted from *in silico* analysis  
150 where *PARTICLE* triplex sites might occur. It is tempting to venture that *PARTICLE* may cast  
151 its triplex net wide across every human chromosome. It can be speculated that the relevance of  
152 such extensive widespread triplex formation may be a feature of the *PARTICLE* scaffold  
153 necessary for interaction with protein partners.

154

### 155 **A triplex regulatory docking platform linking silencing methylation mechanisms**

156 *DNA methyltransferase interaction with PARTICLE:* The first indication that *PARTICLE*  
157 triplex formation serves as a platform for gene repressors such as DNA methyltransferase came  
158 from observations of increased DNA methylation in the 'shore' region (456 bp upstream) of a  
159 *MAT2A* promoter CpG island 108368 on chromosome 2: 85765695–85766983 (NCBI Homo  
160 sapiens build number 37 version 2; GRCh37/hg19 assembly). The transcription initiation site  
161 for *MAT2A* resides within this region at position chromosome 2: 85766100 orientated in a  
162 forward direction (NCBI refseq NM\_005911). The sequence for *PARTICLE* also overlaps this  
163 CpG island from position chromosome 2:85765818 for 123 bp, orientated in the antisense

164 complementary direction. *PARTICLE* was subsequently found to similarly influence the  
165 methylation status of a *WWOX* promoter CpG island 105476 on chromosome 16. The  
166 transcription initiation site for *WWOX* likewise resides within this region at position  
167 chromosome 16: 78133327 orientated in a forward direction. *PARTICLE* interacts with G9a  
168 (Euchromatic histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2 (EHMT2)), predicted to maintain a  
169 cooperative partnership with DNA methyltransferase 1 (DNMT1) for chromatin binding  
170 activity (O'Leary et al., 2015). Currently, the mechanism by which DNA methyltransferase  
171 (DNMT) enzymes are directed to CpG island sites that they are meant to silence is not well  
172 understood. Our findings established direct interaction between *PARTICLE* and the  
173 maintenance DNA methyltransferase DNMT1 coinciding with increased enzyme activity, a  
174 global shift in the methylome and an upsurge in *MAT2A* and *WWOX* CpG island methylation.  
175 The *PARTICLE* triplex may govern CpG island methylation to instigate DNMT transcriptional  
176 suppression of *MAT2A* and *WWOX*.

177 *Histone methyltransferase interaction with PARTICLE:* Chromatin immunoprecipitation and  
178 RNA pulldown proved that this lncRNA binds to the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2)  
179 subunit Suppressor of Zeste 12 (SUZ12) (O'Leary et al., 2015). It has emerged that SUZ12 is  
180 key for locating the PRC2 catalytic subunit responsible for trimethylation (me3) of histone 3  
181 at lysine 27 (H3K27) during heterochromatin formation (Cao & Zhang, 2004). Intriguingly,  
182 PRC2 lacks the ability to target genomic regions by itself, relying instead on lncRNAs such as  
183 *PARTICLE* for guidance to active chromatin sites. In support of the recognized role of lncRNAs  
184 in genomic architectural regulation (Joh, Palmieri, Hill, & Motamedi, 2014) and given the  
185 interaction between *PARTICLE* and SUZ12 (O'Leary et al., 2015), it can be envisioned that  
186 *PARTICLE* may provide an epigenetic modifying platform to control chromatin structure on  
187 chromosome 2 and chromosome 16 at the *MAT2A* and *WWOX* loci respectively and potentially  
188 other genomic locations that remain to be analysed. *PARTICLE* and LDR act together to



189 enhance the H3K27me3 modification. ChIP-seq analysis revealed 24,946 genomic regions  
190 with significantly increased H3K27me3 modification in a breast cancer cell line over-  
191 expressing *PARTICLE*. ChIP-seq tracking revealed an enhancement of the H3K27me3  
192 modification within all autosomal chromosomes and X-chromosome upon *PARTICLE*  
193 overexpression. Of note, there appeared to be significant H3K27me3 enrichment along a 1.1  
194 Mb stretch spanning the *WWOX* locus on chromosome 16 in *PARTICLE* overexpressing (OE)  
195 cells versus controls. ChIP-seq tracking revealed a shift in the position and intensity of the  
196 H3K27me3 signal upstream of the *MAT2A* promoter CpG island (the established *PARTICLE*  
197 triplex region). Enriched H3K27me3 clustering domains from OE samples were merged with  
198 TDF data for predicted *PARTICLE* triplex sites within the human genome. This revealed that  
199 the *PARTICLE* 627–646 bp domain had significantly higher potential to bind the target  
200 H3K27me3 modified domains than randomly chosen similarly sized regions within the human  
201 genome ( $p = 0.00001$ ). This would suggest that H3K27me3 modifying enzymes might be  
202 guided to specific *PARTICLE* triplex sites to exert their function. *PARTICLE* is capable of  
203 enhancing the histone repressive modification mark across the human genome and specifically  
204 within *MAT2A* and *WWOX* tumour suppressor genes presumably via its provision of a triplex  
205 binding platform (O'Leary, Smida, et al., 2017). Combining the analytical integration power of  
206 *INGENUITY* using ChIP-seq H3K27me3 data and TDF evidence revealed the significance of  
207 the *PARTICLE* triplex podium and genes associated with malignancy.

208

209 **The influence of *PARTICLE* on the central methyl donor S-adenosylmethionine – SAM**  
210 **and the riboswitch.**

211 Riboswitches are RNA elements that change conformation upon binding to a metabolite and  
212 modulate gene expression *in cis*. In bacteria, it has been shown that the SAM-II riboswitch  
213 forms a triple helix that creates a highly specific binding pocket for S-adenosylmethionine

214 (Conrad, 2014). *PARTICLE* suppression of *MAT2A* influences SAM availability. Knockdown  
215 *PARTICLE* and intracellular SAM levels escalate dramatically with additional effects observed  
216 post LDR exposure (O'Leary et al., 2015). Methyl groups are transferred from s-  
217 adenosylmethionine to cytosine by DNMTs with the quantity of methylated DNA proportional  
218 to enzyme activity. While DNMT activity did not differ with *PARTICLE* knockdown, a  
219 significant increase in DNMT activity was noted when *PARTICLE* was over-expressed  
220 perhaps in attempts to boost methyl group clearance/transfer. The SAM-II riboswitch in  
221 proteobacteria is located upstream of genes involved in methionine and SAM biosynthesis and  
222 its structural responsiveness to SAM binding has been demonstrated. *PARTICLE* via triplex  
223 formation with *MAT2A* may generate a platform of sorts for SAM to buffer against  
224 overproduction in response to radiation. Whether *PARTICLE* is the *bono fide* riboswitch of  
225 eukaryotes awaits experimental validation and as such remains the subject of speculation.

#### 226 ***PARTICLE* intracellular distribution and extracellular transport via exosomes**

227 Unlike the majority of lncRNAs which show defined intracellular expression patterns across  
228 cell types, *PARTICLE* displays varying distribution patterns between malignant tissues as  
229 shown by *in situ* hybridisation (O'Leary, Maugg, et al., 2017; O'Leary et al., 2015). For  
230 example, in the metastatic breast cancer MDA-MB-361, higher levels of *PARTICLE* are found  
231 in the cytosol compared to the nucleus in sham irradiated cells. Following exposure 24 hr  
232 previously to LDR, a substantially increased signal intensity for *PARTICLE* was noted in these  
233 cellular compartments yet cytosolic predominance remained. In contrast, the prevalence of this  
234 lncRNA within the nucleus of U2OS osteosarcoma cells was striking especially following  
235 LDR. Intriguing, differential *PARTICLE* expression was revealed across osteosarcoma cell  
236 lines in response to radiation associated with a *WWOX* FRA16D breakage background.

237 Through the use of bromouridine tracing and north western detection, the discovery was made  
238 that *PARTICLE* transports out of the irradiated cell (O'Leary et al., 2015). Exosome isolation  
239 from culture media and radiotherapy patient plasma revealed the upregulated expression of  
240 *PARTICLE* in exosomes post radiation exposure. *In silico* analysis brought to light an  
241 'exosomal signalling code', be it truncated than previously reported (O'Leary et al., 2015), but  
242 none the less evident throughout the length of this lncRNA. *PARTICLE* is transported via  
243 exosomes into the bloodstream post radiotherapy presumably for uptake by both neighbouring  
244 and distant tissue. The implications of this have yet to be deciphered, with *in vitro* evidence  
245 pointing towards its influence on cellular radiation sensitization (O'Leary et al., 2015).

246

#### 247 ***PARTICLE* activates the silencer- EZH2**

248 PRC2 is one of the two classes of polycomb-group proteins which play a crucial role in  
249 regulating chromatin structure and gene expression. It contributes to chromatin compaction  
250 and catalyses the methylation of H3K27. Composed of Suppressor of Zeste 12 (SUZ12), Eed,  
251 Ezh2 and Jarid2, this complex is associated with transcriptional repression via the assistance  
252 of lncRNAs which act as the genomic 'global positioning system (GPS)', navigating PRC2  
253 to the correct chromatin landing location. *PARTICLE* binds to SUZ12, the protein unit key  
254 for locating the PRC2 catalytic subunit responsible for trimethylation of H3K27 during  
255 heterochromatin formation. ChIP-seq evidence revealed the double pronged approach of  
256 *PARTICLE* for supporting transcriptional repression. Integrated genomics viewer screenshots  
257 for ChIP-seq data of *PARTICLE* overexpression compared to wild type radiated breast cancer  
258 cells, revealed the absence of H3K27me3 marks along the promoter regions of all members of  
259 the PRC2 complex including EZH2 (O'Leary, Hain, et al., 2017). This demonstrated the ability  
260 of *PARTICLE* to influence the expression of the transcriptional repressor and as such identifies

261 this lncRNA as a key upstream regulator of the principal cellular silencer post exposure to  
262 LDR.

### 263 **Why all this silence?**

264 To survive radiation-induced damage, cells mount complex responses that rely on alterations  
265 in baseline levels of gene expression. Such transcription signatures are recognised as being  
266 related to measurements of individual radiation sensitivity (Amundson et al., 2008). While the  
267 up-regulation of the p53 pathway has been shown consistently across studies, the down-  
268 regulated expression of genes involved in mitosis represents a pathway of radiation response  
269 broadly conserved among cancer cell lines. Such coordinated under expression across an entire  
270 NCI-60 panel of genes has been previously shown to be the most conspicuous signature  
271 response to low - medium dose ionizing radiation exposure (Amundson et al., 2008). Recently,  
272 antisense lncRNAs including *PARTICLE* have been implicated in the silencing of tumour  
273 suppressor genes through epigenetic remodelling events (Figure 1). Characterization of  
274 lncRNAs involved in the development or maintenance of oncogenic states (Table 1) may define  
275 them as potential early biomarkers for the emergence of cancer or indicators of patients'  
276 sensitivity to radiation assisting the improvement of radiotherapeutic outcome.

### 277 **Concluding remarks**

278 It remains to be elucidated as to whether lncRNAs such as *PARTICLE* represent an indicator  
279 triggered as part of the cellular stress response to radiation exposure or a molecule assisting in  
280 stronger adaptation to environmental strain. Given the identification of thousands of yet to be  
281 characterised lncRNAs, it is with confidence that *PARTICLE* will serve to offer an insight into  
282 other such non-coding RNAs as a prototype mechanism defining LDR instigation of the dark  
283 matter of the genome.

284

285 **Funding**

286 This work was supported by the EURATOM Fission, European Commission 7th Framework  
287 Programme, Dark. Risk project (contract number 323216).

288

289 **Conflict of interest**

290 The authors confirm that there is no conflict of interest to declare.

291

292 **Data sharing statement**

293 Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this  
294 study.

295

296 **Authors contribution**

297 VBOL wrote the manuscript, designed and conducted the research; SVO designed the graphics;  
298 JS undertook bioinformatic analysis and corrected the manuscript; MJA directed the research.

299

300

301 **Figure legend:**

302 **Figure 1:** Schematic overview of the lncRNA *PARTICLE* which has the ability to form  
303 triplexes (centre) with various sites within the human genome. *PARTICLE* binds to DNA  
304 methyltransferase 1 and histone H3K27 tri-methyltransferases for gene silencing via  
305 transcriptional regulation. *PARTICLE* exists in exosomes and undergoes extracellular transport  
306 with involvement in cell-cell communication. *PARTICLE* influences the levels of S-  
307 adenosylmethionine (SAM) in the cell and may act as a metabolic eukaryotic riboswitch.

308 **Table legend:**

309 **Table 1:** Summary of the biological or developmental impact of *PARTICLE* on target genes,  
310 proteins or cofactors as is currently known. Refer to main text for abbreviation definitions.

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322 **References**

- 323 Amundson, S. A., Do, K. T., Vinikoor, L. C., Lee, R. A., Koch-Paiz, C. A., Ahn, J., . . .  
324 Fornace, A. J., Jr. (2008). Integrating global gene expression and radiation survival  
325 parameters across the 60 cell lines of the National Cancer Institute Anticancer Drug  
326 Screen. *Cancer Res*, 68(2), 415-424. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-2120
- 327 Buske, F. A., Bauer, D. C., Mattick, J. S., & Bailey, T. L. (2012). Triplexator: detecting  
328 nucleic acid triple helices in genomic and transcriptomic data. *Genome Res*, 22(7),  
329 1372-1381. doi:10.1101/gr.130237.111
- 330 Cao, R., & Zhang, Y. (2004). SUZ12 is required for both the histone methyltransferase  
331 activity and the silencing function of the EED-EZH2 complex. *Mol Cell*, 15(1), 57-67.  
332 doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2004.06.020
- 333 Conrad, N. K. (2014). The emerging role of triple helices in RNA biology. *Wiley Interdiscip*  
334 *Rev RNA*, 5(1), 15-29. doi:10.1002/wrna.1194
- 335 Donati, V., Fontanini, G., Dell'Omodarme, M., Prati, M. C., Nuti, S., Lucchi, M., . . .  
336 Aqeilan, R. I. (2007). WWOX expression in different histologic types and subtypes of  
337 non-small cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res*, 13(3), 884-891. doi:10.1158/1078-  
338 0432.CCR-06-2016
- 339 Frank-Kamenetskii, M. D., & Mirkin, S. M. (1995). Triplex DNA structures. *Annu Rev*  
340 *Biochem*, 64, 65-95. doi:10.1146/annurev.bi.64.070195.000433
- 341 Georgakilas, A. G., Tsantoulis, P., Kotsinas, A., Michalopoulos, I., Townsend, P., &  
342 Gorgoulis, V. G. (2014). Are common fragile sites merely structural domains or  
343 highly organized "functional" units susceptible to oncogenic stress? *Cell Mol Life Sci*,  
344 71(23), 4519-4544. doi:10.1007/s00018-014-1717-x

345 Hall, J., Jeggo, P. A., West, C., Gomolka, M., Quintens, R., Badie, C., . . . Cardis, E. (2017).  
346 Ionizing radiation biomarkers in epidemiological studies - An update. *Mutat Res*, 771,  
347 59-84. doi:10.1016/j.mrrev.2017.01.001

348 Hall, J. R., Messenger, Z. J., Tam, H. W., Phillips, S. L., Recio, L., & Smart, R. C. (2015).  
349 Long noncoding RNA lincRNA-p21 is the major mediator of UVB-induced and p53-  
350 dependent apoptosis in keratinocytes. *Cell Death Dis*, 6, e1700.  
351 doi:10.1038/cddis.2015.67

352 Hile, S. E., & Eckert, K. A. (2004). Positive correlation between DNA polymerase alpha-  
353 primase pausing and mutagenesis within polypyrimidine/polypurine microsatellite  
354 sequences. *J Mol Biol*, 335(3), 745-759.

355 Hung, T., Wang, Y., Lin, M. F., Koegel, A. K., Kotake, Y., Grant, G. D., . . . Chang, H. Y.  
356 (2011). Extensive and coordinated transcription of noncoding RNAs within cell-cycle  
357 promoters. *Nat Genet*, 43(7), 621-629. doi:10.1038/ng.848

358 Joh, R. I., Palmieri, C. M., Hill, I. T., & Motamedi, M. (2014). Regulation of histone  
359 methylation by noncoding RNAs. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1839(12), 1385-1394.  
360 doi:10.1016/j.bbagr.2014.06.006

361 Kopp, F., & Mendell, J. T. (2018). Functional Classification and Experimental Dissection of  
362 Long Noncoding RNAs. *Cell*, 172(3), 393-407. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2018.01.011

363 Krasilnikova, M. M., & Mirkin, S. M. (2004). Replication stalling at Friedreich's ataxia  
364 (GAA)<sub>n</sub> repeats in vivo. *Mol Cell Biol*, 24(6), 2286-2295.

365 Lu, S., Wang, G., Bacolla, A., Zhao, J., Spitzer, S., & Vasquez, K. M. (2015). Short Inverted  
366 Repeats Are Hotspots for Genetic Instability: Relevance to Cancer Genomes. *Cell*  
367 *Rep*. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.039

368 Mato, J. M., Alvarez, L., Ortiz, P., & Pajares, M. A. (1997). S-adenosylmethionine synthesis:  
369 molecular mechanisms and clinical implications. *Pharmacol Ther*, 73(3), 265-280.



370 Mondal, T., Subhash, S., Vaid, R., Enroth, S., Uday, S., Reinius, B., . . . Kanduri, C. (2015).  
371 MEG3 long noncoding RNA regulates the TGF-beta pathway genes through  
372 formation of RNA-DNA triplex structures. *Nat Commun*, 6, 7743.  
373 doi:10.1038/ncomms8743

374 Morgan, W. F., & Sowa, M. B. (2015). Non-targeted effects induced by ionizing radiation:  
375 mechanisms and potential impact on radiation induced health effects. *Cancer Lett*,  
376 356(1), 17-21. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2013.09.009

377 Mullenders, L., Atkinson, M., Paretzke, H., Sabatier, L., & Bouffler, S. (2009). Assessing  
378 cancer risks of low-dose radiation. *Nat Rev Cancer*, 9(8), 596-604.  
379 doi:10.1038/nrc2677

380 O'Leary, V. B., Hain, S., Maugg, D., Smida, J., Azimzadeh, O., Tapio, S., . . . Atkinson, M. J.  
381 (2017). Long non-coding RNA PARTICLE bridges histone and DNA methylation.  
382 *Sci Rep*, 7(1), 1790. doi:10.1038/s41598-017-01875-1

383 O'Leary, V. B., Maugg, D., Smida, J., Baumhoer, D., Nathrath, M., Ovsepian, S. V., &  
384 Atkinson, M. J. (2017). The long non-coding RNA PARTICLE is associated with  
385 WWOX and the absence of FRA16D breakage in osteosarcoma patients. *Oncotarget*,  
386 8(50), 87431-87441. doi:10.18632/oncotarget.21086

387 O'Leary, V. B., Ovsepian, S. V., Carrascosa, L. G., Buske, F. A., Radulovic, V., Niyazi, M., .  
388 . . Anastasov, N. (2015). PARTICLE, a Triplex-Forming Long ncRNA, Regulates  
389 Locus-Specific Methylation in Response to Low-Dose Irradiation. *Cell Rep*, 11(3),  
390 474-485. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2015.03.043

391 O'Leary, V. B., Smida, J., Buske, F. A., Carrascosa, L. G., Azimzadeh, O., Maugg, D., . . .  
392 Atkinson, M. J. (2017). PARTICLE triplexes cluster in the tumor suppressor WWOX  
393 and may extend throughout the human genome. *Sci Rep*, 7(1), 7163.  
394 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-07295-5

395 Ozgur, E., Mert, U., Isin, M., Okutan, M., Dalay, N., & Gezer, U. (2013). Differential  
396 expression of long non-coding RNAs during genotoxic stress-induced apoptosis in  
397 HeLa and MCF-7 cells. *Clin Exp Med*, 13(2), 119-126. doi:10.1007/s10238-012-  
398 0181-x

399 Pluciennik, E., Kusinska, R., Potemski, P., Kubiak, R., Kordek, R., & Bednarek, A. K.  
400 (2006). WWOX--the FRA16D cancer gene: expression correlation with breast cancer  
401 progression and prognosis. *Eur J Surg Oncol*, 32(2), 153-157.  
402 doi:10.1016/j.ejso.2005.11.002

403 Pluder, F., Barjaktarovic, Z., Azimzadeh, O., Mortl, S., Kramer, A., Steininger, S., . . . Tapio,  
404 S. (2011). Low-dose irradiation causes rapid alterations to the proteome of the human  
405 endothelial cell line EA.hy926. *Radiat Environ Biophys*, 50(1), 155-166.  
406 doi:10.1007/s00411-010-0342-9

407 Rich, A., & Watson, J. D. (1954). Some Relations between DNA and Rna. *Proc Natl Acad*  
408 *Sci U S A*, 40(8), 759-764.

409 Shvarts, S., Sevo, G., Tasic, M., Shani, M., & Sadetzki, S. (2010). The tinea capitis campaign  
410 in Serbia in the 1950s. *Lancet Infect Dis*, 10(8), 571-576. doi:10.1016/S1473-  
411 3099(10)70107-9

412 Taft, R. J., Pheasant, M., & Mattick, J. S. (2007). The relationship between non-protein-  
413 coding DNA and eukaryotic complexity. *Bioessays*, 29(3), 288-299.  
414 doi:10.1002/bies.20544

415 Waldren, C. A. (2004). Classical radiation biology dogma, bystander effects and paradigm  
416 shifts. *Hum Exp Toxicol*, 23(2), 95-100. doi:10.1191/0960327104ht425oa

417 Wang, G., Carbajal, S., Vijg, J., DiGiovanni, J., & Vasquez, K. M. (2008). DNA structure-  
418 induced genomic instability in vivo. *J Natl Cancer Inst*, 100(24), 1815-1817.  
419 doi:10.1093/jnci/djn385

420 Yang, J., Cogdell, D., Yang, D., Hu, L., Li, H., Zheng, H., . . . Zhang, W. (2010). Deletion of  
421 the WWOX gene and frequent loss of its protein expression in human osteosarcoma.  
422 *Cancer Lett*, 291(1), 31-38. doi:10.1016/j.canlet.2009.09.018

423