## 1 Major category: Biological Sciences; Minor category: Environmental Sciences

# High productivity in hybrid-poplar plantations without isoprene emission to the atmosphere

- 4 Russell K. Monson<sup>a,1</sup>, Barbro Winkler<sup>2</sup>, Todd N. Rosenstiel<sup>a,3</sup>, Katja Block<sup>2</sup>, Juliane Merl-Pham<sup>4</sup>, Steven H.
- 5 Strauss<sup>5</sup>, Kori Ault<sup>5</sup>, Jason Maxfield<sup>3</sup>, David J.P. Moore<sup>6</sup>, Nicole A. Trahan<sup>6</sup>, Amberly A. Neice<sup>6</sup>, Ian Shiach<sup>6</sup>,
- 6 Greg A. Barron-Gafford<sup>7</sup>, Peter Ibsen<sup>8</sup>, Joel T. McCorkel<sup>9</sup>, Jörg Bernhardt<sup>10</sup>, Joerg-Peter Schnitzler<sup>a,2</sup>
- 7 Competing interest statement: The authors declare no competing interests.
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology and Laboratory of Tree-Ring Research, University of
   Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- 10 <sup>2</sup> Research Unit Environmental Simulation, Institute of Biochemical Plant Pathology, Helmholtz Zentrum
- 11 München, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Biology, Portland State University, Portland, OR 97207, USA
- <sup>4</sup> Research Unit Protein Science, Helmholtz Zentrum München, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Forest Ecosystems and Society, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331 USA
- <sup>6</sup> School of Natural Resources and the Environment, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- <sup>7</sup> School of Geography and Development, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Botany and Plant Science, University of California, Riverside, CA 92507, USA
- <sup>9</sup> Biospheric Sciences Laboratory, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA
- <sup>10</sup> Institute for Microbiology, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt University, 17487 Greifswald, Germany

<sup>a</sup> Corresponding authors: russmonson@email.arizona.edu; rosensti@pdx.edu; jp.schnitzler@helmholtz muenchen.de

22

23 Significance: Leaf isoprene emission, a trait that promotes tree stress tolerance but also negatively affects 24 air quality and climate, has been genetically suppressed in hybrid-poplar cultivars without influencing plantation biomass production in two field trials. Induction of compensatory increases in protective 25 proteomic components and a phenological growth pattern that favors most biomass production during 26 27 less stressful parts of the growing season, likely explain the apparent paradox of high plantation 28 production with low isoprene emission. We show that it is feasible to develop sustainable plantation-scale 29 biomass sources that can serve as fossil-fuel alternatives for energy generation and lignocellulosic resource 30 development, without degrading air quality.

#### 32 Abstract

33 Hybrid-poplar tree plantations provide a source for biofuel and biomass production, but they also, 34 unintentionally, increase forest isoprene emissions. The consequences of increased isoprene emissions 35 include higher rates of tropospheric ozone production, increases in the lifetime of methane, and increases 36 in atmospheric aerosol production, all of which affect the global energy budget and/or lead to the 37 degradation of regional air quality. Using RNA interference (RNAi) to suppress isoprene emission in several 38 gene insertion events of hybrid-poplars, we show that this trait, which is thought to be required for the 39 tolerance of abiotic stress, is not required for high rates of photosynthesis and woody biomass production 40 in the agroforest plantation environment, even in areas with high levels of climatic stress. Biomass 41 production over four years in poplar plantations in Arizona and Oregon was similar among genetic lines 42 that emitted or did not emit significant amounts of isoprene. Lines that had substantially reduced isoprene 43 emission rates also showed decreases in flavonol pigments, which reduce oxidative damage during 44 extremes of abiotic stress; a pattern that would be expected to amplify metabolic dysfunction in the 45 absence of isoprene production in stress-prone climate regimes. However, compensatory increases in the 46 expression of other proteomic components, especially those associated with the production of protective compounds, such as carotenoids and terpenoids, and the fact that most biomass is produced prior to the 47 48 hottest and driest part of the growing season, explain the observed pattern of high biomass production 49 with low isoprene emission. Our results show that it is possible to strategically reduce the deleterious 50 influences of isoprene on the atmosphere, while sustaining woody biomass production in temperate 51 agroforest plantations.

52

Key Words: oxidative stress; thermotolerance; genetically-modified organism; biofuel; lignocellulose;
 hydroxyl radical; climate change; net primary production; cellular signaling; transcription factors

55

#### 56 Introduction

Agroforestry tree plantations have been increasing globally, and are used for diverse purposes, including 57 58 the production of wood and food products, the establishment of wind breaks and biofiltration facilities, 59 and most recently as a feedstock for biofuel production (1-3). The high biomass production rates that can 60 be achieved with fast-growing tree varieties (especially for poplars, eucalypts and palms) are often 61 accompanied by high rates of leaf isoprene emission. Isoprene produced during normal light-dependent 62 metabolism in the chloroplasts of many tree species, especially those with high productivity rates, is 63 volatile and is emitted globally at rates that are similar to methane emissions (4). Unlike, methane, however, emitted isoprene is photochemically-oxidized within hours, not years. Through a series of 64 65 chemical reactions, the atmospheric oxidation of isoprene enhances the production of oxidative 66 pollutants, such as tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$  and organic nitrates (e.g., PAN) in urban and suburban areas, 67 increases the global lifetime of the radiatively-active, trace-gas methane ( $CH_4$ ), and promotes the growth 68 of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) particles, which affect the short-wave radiation budget of the earth 69 (5-8). Using a coupled earth-system and chemistry model, it has been shown that expansion of poplar 70 plantations in Europe to meet 2020 biofuel targets will potentially increase premature human deaths up 71 to 6% and reduce the yield of wheat and maize crops by 9 Mt yr<sup>-1</sup> because of increased isoprene emission 72 and accompanying O<sub>3</sub> production (9,10). In Southeast Asia, isoprene-emitting oil-palm plantations have 73 increased in areal coverage by a factor of 5 over the past 20 years as part of an effort to increase food oil 74 and biofuels (11); causing an increase in near-surface  $O_3$  concentration of 3.5-15 ppbv (12,13).

75 The genetic modification of agroforest trees to minimize leaf isoprene emission is feasible (14). 76 However, these efforts have been largely dismissed in the past with assumptions that the trait: (i) is 77 advantageous for the tolerance of abiotic stresses, such as those due to high temperature, low 78 atmospheric humidity, and acute drought (15,16), and (ii) correlates positively with photosynthetic  $CO_2$ 79 assimilation (17). Removal of the trait has been predicted to result in reduced productivity and declines 80 in plant fitness, especially in the face of future climate change (18-20). Studies of genetically-modified 81 poplars grown in controlled-environment growth conditions, however, indicate that stress interactions 82 are complex. Several studies have shown that although isoprene emission protects trees from abiotic 83 stress, increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration cause a decrease in isoprene biosynthesis, thus 84 reducing that protection (21-23). Increases in global temperatures, however, have the potential to 85 mitigate the elevated  $CO_2$  effect (24,25); increasing the protective effect of isoprene emissions, even in 86 the presence of elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (26). In the case of drought, non-isoprene emitting tobacco plants that have 87 been genetically transformed to produce isoprene, exhibited a reduction in biomass production during

drought, compared to wild-type plants, suggesting a growth cost to the stress protection normally provided by isoprene (27). While it is difficult to generalize about the role of isoprene emissions as a necessary stress-tolerance trait and its cost to biomass production in the face of future global change, most analyses indicate that isoprene emissions from global forests are indeed likely to increase in the future (28).

The cellular mechanisms that enhance stress tolerance and photosynthetic performance due to isoprene emission have not been resolved. Past theories of direct stabilization of chloroplast membranes through the hydrophobic solubilization of isoprene have not been supported (29,30). More recent theories focus on a cellular signaling role that involves modulation of several stress-related gene networks (31,32). There is a need to continue assessing the roles and mechanisms of isoprene protection against abiotic stresses in a broader set of environmental settings and with the synergistic challenges from interacting stresses, such as those that occur under natural field conditions.

100 In this study, we used gene silencing through RNA interference (RNAi) to reduce leaf isoprene 101 emission to negligible levels in several genetic lines (independent gene insertions) of hybrid poplar, which 102 were grown in multiple-year field trials at two geographically distinct plantation sites, where we evaluated 103 stress tolerance, remodeling of cellular metabolism, photosynthetic function and woody biomass 104 production. One site was located near Corvallis, Oregon, USA, and was intended as an analog to 105 commercial poplar plantations that are located in the Pacific Northwest of the USA. For example, the 106 largest commercial poplar plantation in North America (10,118 ha and 7.5 million trees) was in operation 107 for over twenty years (1992-2017) near Boardman, Oregon; located 312 km NE from our experimental 108 plantation near Corvallis. The second site was located near Tucson, Arizona (USA), and was intended to 109 test whether biomass production is compromised by elimination of isoprene emission in one of the 110 hottest and least humid climates in North America. We tested the hypothesis that isoprene biosynthesis 111 and emission is a required trait for high rates of aboveground woody biomass production in the 112 agroforestry plantation environment.

113

#### 114 Results

Eighteen genetically-modified poplar lines and one wild-type control (CN) were grown for three years in the Oregon plantation (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Three of the modified lines were isopreneemitting empty-vector (EV) controls and fifteen lines were modified for suppressed isoprene emissions. For clarity, we refer to the three EV lines and one CN line, together, as isoprene-emitting (IE) genotypes and the fifteen low isoprene emitters as isoprene-reduced (IR) genotypes. Reductions in isoprene

emission were nearly complete in some IR lines, and only slightly reduced in others, based on statistical 120 121 metrics provided by Analysis of Variance (ANOVA for genotype effect on isoprene emission rate: F=56.978, 122 df=12, P<0.001; Fig. 1A,C). The reduction in leaf isoprene emission did not result in reduced rates of 123 photosynthesis (ANOVA for genotype effect on photosynthesis: F=0.90, df=8, P=0.52; Fig. 1B,D), or woody 124 aboveground biomass production (Fig. 2) (ANOVA for genotype effect on biomass: F=1.075, df=18, 125 P=0.375; ANOVA for year effect on biomass: F=33.259, df=1, P<0.001; ANOVA for genotype x year effect 126 on biomass: F=0.872, df=18, P=0.6138). For example, in the Oregon plantation, biomass production in 127 lines IR-70 and IR-88, which exhibited negligible rates of isoprene emission, had photosynthesis rates (Fig. 128 1B) and aboveground biomass production rates (Fig 2B) that were not substantially different from the 129 four IE lines. Eight genetic lines representing IE and IR trees from the Oregon plantation were excavated 130 in spring 2015, after three years of growth, for sampling of cumulative belowground biomass. 131 Belowground production did not differ systematically among the IR and IE lines (ANOVA for genotype 132 effect (biomass log transformed): F=1.9832, df=7, P=0.0652; see Fig. S2 in Supplementary Material).

133 Four of the genetic lines (CN, EV-9, IR-41 and IR-70) were grown for four years in an experimental 134 plantation near Tucson, Arizona (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S1). Maximum daily air temperatures 135 during the four warmest months of the summer (June, July, August and September) averaged  $35.6 \pm 2.1$ 136 (s.d.) °C across the four years (2013-2016) of experimental observation. Twelve trees from each genetic 137 line were exposed to high fertilization and irrigation rates during 2012 and 2013 and reduced or eliminated 138 fertilization and irrigation during 2014 and 2015. With this experimental design we aimed to examine 139 biomass production under conditions of both high (well-fertilized, well-watered) and low (light 140 fertilization, limited-watering) growth potential. Continuous monitoring of canopy temperatures 141 throughout most of the growing season in 2014 revealed that maximum daily leaf temperature exceeded 142 32 °C on most days and was ~40 °C on many days (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S3 A-C). Direct 143 observations of individual leaf temperatures with thermocouples attached to the underside of leaves 144 during mid-summer in 2014, confirmed that even with replete irrigation and high transpiration rates, leaf 145 temperatures were within 6-7 °C of maximum midday air temperatures, and spent much of the midday 146 period above 35 °C (see Supplementary Material, Fig. S3D).

147 In the Arizona plantation, when considering the three lines influenced by RNAi treatments – the 148 empty-vector IE control and two IR lines – we observed no significant differences in annual aboveground 149 biomass production during or following four years of growth (Fig. 2; ANOVA for genotype effect on 150 biomass: F=1.2575, df=2, P=0.2878; see Supplementary Material Sections S2 and S3 for details of the 151 ANOVA analysis). There were, however, some effects of genotype and year on specific patterns of biomass 152 increase. The elevated levels of fertilization and irrigation during the first two years of growth culminated 153 in higher production in all lines during the second year of the experiment, compared to all other years 154 (ANOVA for genotype effects on biomass: F=109, df=12 blocks, P<0.05). The only clear effect of genotype 155 on aboveground biomass in the Arizona plantation was caused by the wild-type CN line growing 156 approximately 85% more than the other lines in Year 2 (ANOVA for all four genotypes: 2014; F=4.9, df=12 157 blocks, P=0.05) (Fig. 2D). Even during this year, however, we observed no significant differences in woody 158 biomass production between the empty-vector (EV-9) line, which emits isoprene at the same rate as the 159 CN line, and the two IR lines (ANOVA for three selected genotypes: F=1.2, df=12 blocks, P=0.28). Thus, the 160 lower growth rates exhibited by the modified lines does not appear to be due to the emission of isoprene, 161 but rather to the genetic modification process. In Years 3 (2015) and 4 (2016), when fertilizer and irrigation 162 were reduced, there were no differences in production between the IE and IR lines in the Arizona 163 plantation. We concluded that overall the presence of isoprene emission had no effect on biomass 164 increase during all four years in the Arizona plantation, but that genetic manipulation, independent of 165 effects on isoprene emission, impaired biomass production in the three genetically-modified lines (EV and 166 two IR lines), compared to the wild-type CN line, when grown in the high-resource conditions presented 167 in Year 2.

168 A subset of five plants from each of the four genetic lines in the Arizona plantation were selected for 169 root excavation at the end of Year 4. There was a trend toward lower mean belowground biomasses in 170 the IR lines, compared to the IE lines (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S2), but the trend could not be 171 justified as statistically significant (F=4.234, df=1, P=0.056). Furthermore, when considering all four lines 172 together, an effect of genotype was not observed (F= 1.525, df= 3, p=0.246). Due to the statistical 173 weakness of the observation of slightly lower belowground biomass in the IR lines, we have not taken this 174 result to a deeper level of interpretation. However, it may indicate that not all poplar lines are equally 175 suitable for genetic modification aimed at maximizing production. If so, more work is justified to 176 determine if this variation could be used as a criterion for future genotype selection.

Seasonal growth of aboveground biomass began in early April at the Arizona plantation and biweekly observations showed rapid increases in stem-diameter increment until the middle of May (see Supplemental Material, Fig. S4). The early-season rapid growth phase occurred while maximum daily temperatures fluctuated between 20-31°C. During the hottest and driest part of the growing season, when maximum canopy temperatures were 35-40°C, diameter growth of all branches in the four lines slowed from an average of 2 mm day<sup>-1</sup> prior to May 20, to 0.3 mm day<sup>-1</sup> after May 20. There were no significant differences in growth between the IE and IR lines at any date during the growing season.

184 Leaf net photosynthesis rates in the Arizona trees decreased at temperatures above 25°C (Fig 3). 185 Rates of photosynthesis were slightly lower in the EV and IR lines, compared to the wild-type CN line, 186 during the June sampling campaign, when seasonal temperatures were at a maximum. However, the net 187 assimilation rates were not significantly different between the EV and IR lines, despite high isoprene 188 emissions from the EV line. Thus, when all four lines, together, were analyzed for an effect of isoprene 189 emission on photosynthesis rate there was no significant difference (ANOVA for effect of genotype on 190 photosynthesis at 27°C: F=0.2519 df=3, P=0.86). During April, when ambient temperatures were still 191 relatively cool, and late-September, when canopy temperatures persisted above 30°C, there was also no 192 evidence of improved photosynthetic performance in the IE lines, compared to the IR lines. Leaf 193 concentrations of total flavonoids and a leaf-nitrogen index were significantly different between IE and IR 194 lines when sampled at the end of the warmest parts of the growing season (see Section S.6 and Fig. S5, 195 Supplemental Material). No significant differences between IE and IR trees were observed for leaf 196 anthocyanin or chlorophyll content in all field conditions (Section S.6).

197 We conducted analyses of the leaf proteome in order to study the overall scope of genetic 198 modification that occurred, either directly or indirectly, as a result of isoprene suppression. Proteomic 199 analysis provides insight into the protein composition of the leaf at a point in time, which in turn, 200 reflects the biochemical and functional conditions within which plant metabolism takes place. Unlike 201 genomic analyses, which reflect long-term generational changes in gene composition, or metabolomic 202 analyses, which reflect short-term (milliseconds-to-minutes) changes in metabolic substrates and 203 products, proteomic analyses provide insight into changes in overall metabolic potential due to gene 204 expression (at a time-scale of seconds-to-hours). Analysis of the proteome in leaves from the Arizona 205 plantation at predawn and noon in June 2013 (the first year of the growth analysis), when temperatures 206 were at a seasonal maximum, revealed numerous differences among the IE and IR lines (Table 1, Fig. 4). 207 Proteomic profiles of the IE and IR lines were distinguished by the orthogonal partial least square (OPLS) 208 method with 10% explained variance (Fig 4A). In total we identified 169 discriminant proteins – 113 209 lower-expressed and 56 higher-expressed – in the IR lines, compared to IE lines (Fig. 4B, C). Principal 210 component analysis revealed that both suppression of isoprene emission (operating at the scale of 211 ontogeny) and time of day (operating at the scale of diurnal environmental variation) operated as 212 primary controls over proteome expression patterns. Most of the expression differences between the IR 213 and IE lines were found during pre-dawn sampling – 38 proteins were exclusively upregulated and 88 214 proteins were exclusively downregulated at pre-dawn, compared to 8 exclusively upregulated and 10 215 exclusively downregulated at noon (Fig. 4D, E). A total of 10 proteins were upregulated and 15 were

216 downregulated in both the pre-dawn and noon samples. The ten most regulated proteins for pre-dawn 217 samples and mid-day samples, respectively, are presented in Table 1. Two of the most repressed 218 proteins (negative log<sub>2</sub>-fold IR/IE change) were associated with isoprene synthase (Potri.007G118400.1 219 and Potri.017G041700.1), confirming the effective nature of the RNAi treatments in causing suppression 220 of this enzyme. Significant (P≤0.05 between IR and IE lines) downregulation in the absence of isoprene 221 emissions occurred for proteins involved in the phenylpropanoid pathway and flavonoid biosynthesis. 222 Significant upregulation occurred for several proteins involved in the biosynthesis of terpenoids and 223 carotenoids, and one protein involved in the biosynthesis of  $\alpha$ -tocopherol (vitamin E). Some proteins 224 involved in the methylerithritol phosphate (MEP) and jasmonic acid pathways were also among those 225 exhibiting increases in expression in the face of isoprene suppression. A complete list of proteomic 226 changes is provided in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material.

227 A Voronoi treemap analysis provided pathway-wide patterns of proteomic rearrangements (Fig. 4, 228 F,G). In the case of flavonoid biosynthesis, the entire pathway was consistently and highly downregulated 229 in the IR trees. The phenylpropanoid pathway, which supplies flavonoid biosynthesis with substrate, was 230 differentially regulated. Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL), the first enzyme in the pathway, was 231 downregulated in IR trees, similar to the pattern for flavonoid biosynthesis, but cinnamyl-alcohol 232 dehydrogenase (CAD) content, one of the last enzymes in the pathway was upregulated. Interestingly, 233 CAD represents the first step toward commitment to lignin biosynthesis. Thus, suppression of isoprene 234 emissions may cause a shift away from flavonoid biosynthesis and toward lignin biosynthesis; favoring 235 woody biomass production. In the case of terpenoid biosynthesis, enzymes were consistently and highly 236 upregulated across each associated pathway in the IR trees. For the MEP pathway, which provides 237 precursors to terpenoid biosynthesis, protein expression was moderately and consistently increased (or 238 in the case of one protein, unchanged) in the IR trees. These patterns indicate a shift in the IR trees away 239 from the synthesis of volatile isoprene and toward the synthesis of higher terpenoids (i.e., lutein and  $\beta$ -240 carotene). In the jasmonic acid pathway, changes in protein expression were mixed; with some proteins 241 increasing and some decreasing. The fact that the proteomic rearrangements that we observed were 242 evident during the first year of growth indicates a relatively quick response to the genomic and 243 environmental conditions of the genetically-modified trees.

244

#### 245 Discussion

246 Our study has revealed that it is possible to genetically modify agroforestry poplar trees to reduce 247 plantation isoprene emissions, without compromising woody biomass production. Several past studies

248 have shown that suppression of isoprene emissions is correlated with reduced tolerance of high 249 temperature (33,34) and oxidative stress (35,36) in isolated leaves; leaf temperatures as low as 30°C and 250 moderate levels of water stress have been shown to inhibit photosynthetic performance in the absence 251 of isoprene emission (37,38). These observations have led to a general hypothesis that isoprene emissions 252 protect leaves, even under relatively modest levels of abiotic stress (39,40). The conclusions from our 253 study show that when grown in poplar plantations under natural field conditions, any physiological benefit 254 of isoprene emission with regard to stress tolerance and above-ground productivity, is either not relevant, 255 or is subject to compensation by alternative protective pathways following RNAi suppression and subsequent proteomic and metabolomic adjustments (also see 20). 256

257 There is evidence in our observations that, when considered alone, might predict reductions in 258 plantation productivity in the face of suppressed isoprene emissions; the opposite of what we observed. 259 For example, in early June, when maximum canopy temperatures were often greater than 40°C (see Fig. 260 S3), net photosynthesis rates were significantly suppressed in the two IR lines, compared to the isoprene-261 emitting CN line (Fig. 3). These results might be used to conclude that suppression of isoprene emission 262 resulted in decreased photosynthetic performance in the IR trees during the hottest part of the growing 263 season. However, there were no significant differences between the two IR lines and the EV line, which 264 serves as the true control to the RNAi lines and also emits isoprene at natural levels. Thus, the 265 photosynthetic temperature-response curves shown in Figure 3 do not, in fact, predict poor performance 266 of IR trees in the plantation environment.

267 Separately, we observed that flavonol and anthocyanin compounds were reduced more in the IR lines, than in the IE empty-vector control (EV-9), in the Arizona plantation. Suppression of flavonol and 268 269 anthocyanin synthesis has been observed in these same IR lines in past studies of greenhouse- and 270 chamber-grown trees (20,41). These compounds have been shown to provide greater tolerance of 271 drought stress in poplar trees (42), and they reduce the load of photochemically-generated oxidative 272 compounds, such as H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, during heat stress (20). Furthermore, exogenous fumigation of the isoprene 273 non-emitting species Arapidopsis thaliana with isoprene (43), and genetic transformation of the isoprene 274 non-emitting species, Arabidopsis thaliana and Nicotiana tabacum, to emit isoprene (32,44), caused an 275 increase in expression of the pathways that produce flavonols and anthocyanins, and increased drought 276 resistance in the case of Nicotiana tabacum (44). Thus, there are reasons to predict that reductions in 277 flavonol and anthocyanin synthesis in the IR trees from our studies should have negatively influenced their 278 tolerance of high temperatures and drought. Given this, it is of interest that we could not find consistent 279 evidence of a negative influence of high seasonal temperatures and low atmospheric humidities during

all four years, and imposed drought during Year 4, on the growth of IR trees in the Arizona and Oregonplantations.

282 We offer two explanations for the lack of an observed isoprene effect on photosynthetic function 283 and biomass production in the natural plantation environment. Together, the explanations provide room 284 for the co-existence of past findings of a positive role of isoprene emission in facilitating abiotic stress 285 tolerance, and our observations of no influence on observed biomass accumulation. First, most of the 286 woody biomass was produced during the early part of the growing season, prior to the mid-summer 287 occurrence of extremely hot and dry weather. The phenological context of tree growth in mid-latitude, 288 Northern Hemisphere forests has seldom been considered in past discussions of the physiological benefit 289 of isoprene emission. Most studies have focused on isolated, fully-expanded leaves observed during an 290 artificially-imposed, acute stress. The preponderance of this type of study in the isoprene-emission 291 literature has largely shaped current views about how this trait provides its greatest adaptive potential. 292 The assumption that plant persistence and fitness is improved through greater tolerance of acute abiotic 293 stress during that portion of the growing season that is least favorable for growth, is embedded in a larger 294 assumption that isoprene emission enhances survivability, not productivity. Thus, even considering the 295 extensive past literature, it is not necessarily expected that removal of the trait will result in reduced 296 productivity and fitness, especially during the less stressful periods during the growing season. Our 297 research is consistent with this view - that loss of the trait will have less, or no, impact on biomass 298 production during the most productive portion of the growing season, but may enhance fitness during 299 extreme climate events. Placing isoprene emissions within a proper adaptive context will sharpen our 300 ability to understand how forest communities and landscapes will respond to future climate shifts, which 301 are expected to increase the frequency of anomalously hot and dry weather episodes.

302 With regard to the second explanation, past studies have shown that suppression of isoprene 303 emission by RNAi transformation in these same poplar lines, results in remodeling of the chloroplast 304 proteome and metabolome in a way that increases the scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and 305 thus minimizes the oxidative damage expected from suppression of isoprene biosynthesis (45,46). Thus, 306 while several past studies have shown that isoprene acts as a positive signal that enhances stress tolerance 307 (32, 43, 44), the studies by Veikova et al. (45) and Ghirardo et al. (46) showed that the suppression of 308 isoprene emission can also cause compensatory increases in pathways, such as those for the terpenoids 309 lutein and  $\beta$ -carotene, that take over some of isoprene's protective capacity. In our study, we observed 310 similar proteomic changes that could compensate for the loss of isoprene biosynthesis and thus protect 311 the trees from abiotic stress due to high leaf temperatures and low atmospheric humidity. We observed

312 an overall and consistent upregulation of proteins in the pathways that control terpenoid, carotenoid and 313  $\alpha$ -tocopherol biosynthesis in the IR trees (Table 1, Fig. 4). The upregulated expression of components in 314 these pathways may take on oxidative protection functions similar to those of flavonols and anthocyanins, 315 which are suppressed, along with the phenylpropanoid pathway, in the absence of isoprene signaling. Our 316 results contribute to a paradigm shift that is occurring in the community of researchers considering 317 isoprene-stress relations (20,31,44). Whereas, past research provided evidence of a direct role of isoprene 318 in protecting photosynthetic membranes and protein complexes from oxidative attack, more recent 319 research is pointing to an additional, and potentially more important role of isoprene as a cellular signaling 320 molecule. In this new hypothesis, isoprene takes on the role to control the expression of pathways that 321 potentially compete for chloroplast substrates and produce multiple compounds with roles in protection 322 from climate stress, oxidative stress, herbivore stress, and the multiple interactions of these stresses with 323 intrinsic plant growth regulators. In the absence of isoprene emissions, the phenylpropanoid pathway is 324 suppressed and the isoprenoid pathways that produce complex terpenoids and carotenoids are enhanced 325 (for flux rates, see 46). The compensatory expression of these pathways in the IE and IR trees may explain 326 the lack of our observed response of tree growth to the suppression of isoprene emissions. Clearly, the 327 interactions of multiple pathways under the influence of isoprene signaling is complex. Our work sets the stage for further research into the potential for trait tradeoffs in the area of isoprene emission, stress 328 329 tolerance and plant growth. The changes in protein expression, and the new chemical products that they 330 produce, if incorporated into new lines of cultivated poplar, will likely have several higher-order influences 331 on the global environment and human health, including the potential for new modes of influencing 332 atmospheric photochemistry (depending on the nature and amount of terpenoid biosynthesis) and 333 associated effects on agricultural production and human respiratory health.

334 Planted poplar plantations used for woody biomass production currently occupy ~9.4 million ha, 335 globally, an area which has increased from ~3.9 million ha since 2004 (47,48). The impacts of continued 336 climate change and the expansion of plantation forests are predicted to cause significant increases in 337 regional and global biogenic isoprene emissions (28,49). Isoprene emissions from forests have been linked 338 to several photochemical processes that alter the oxidative capacity of the lower atmosphere and increase 339 the burden of atmospheric greenhouse gases, such as methane and ozone (7). The heterogeneous 340 condensation of oxidized derivatives of isoprene causes increased growth rates in secondary aerosol 341 particles in the atmosphere, which affect the earth's radiative balance (50,51). Our study represents the 342 first field trial of genetically-modified poplar trees with negligible rates of isoprene emission. If future 343 efforts are successful in reducing forest plantation isoprene emissions, we can expect multiple opposing

344 and complex influences on positive and negative climate forcings. In the lower atmosphere, isoprene 345 exerts several influences on climate-relevant processes: (1) it amplifies rates of  $O_3$  production in nitrogen 346 oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>)-rich urban and suburban areas, (2) it promotes rates of O<sub>3</sub> destruction in low-NO<sub>x</sub> rural and 347 remote areas, (3) it catalyzes hydroxyl-radical recycling pathways which affect the atmospheric lifetime 348 of CH<sub>4</sub>, and (4) it is oxidized to multiple products that contribute to the growth of SOA particles. The size 349 distribution and density of SOA particles not only affects earth-surface climate, but also the distribution 350 of direct and diffuse photosynthetically-active photon fluxes within the canopy environments of forests; 351 further complicating evaluations of projected global gross primary productivity and its relationship to 352 isoprene emissions from native forests. Recent efforts have been initiated to evaluate the integrated 353 effects of these atmospheric influences (52), and it is this type of complex photochemical modeling that 354 is most likely to provide comprehensive predictions of how future changes in plantation isoprene 355 emissions might influence global climate.

356 Decisions concerning regional land-use are also likely to influence global plantation isoprene 357 emissions and associated climate effects. A recent analysis of global shifts in forest coverage showed a 358 small influence on isoprene emissions during the period 2000-2015 (1.5% decrease), though specific 359 regional influences, such as in the tropics, were greater (53). Future patterns of land-use change, 360 particularly those involving replacement of native forests with cultivated plantations, are likely to cause 361 increases in isoprene emission, concomitant with increases in tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> production and SOA 362 particle growth (28). Our research provides an alternative perspective on these potential outcomes. The 363 fact that cultivars of poplar can be produced, in a way that reduces isoprene emission while preserving 364 high rates of biomass production, provides optimism toward achieving greater environmental 365 sustainability while expanding sources for fossil-fuel alternatives and lignocellulosic resources. We 366 anticipate several challenges as the findings of our studies are transferred into forestry practice. First, expanded research is needed to establish whether our results apply to the diversity of genotypes, species 367 368 and environments in which commercial trees are grown. Second, it is desirable that both conventional 369 and recombinant DNA approaches to genetic manipulation are explored given the existence of regulatory 370 and market constraints to the use of genetic engineering for commercial applications (54). Interestingly, 371 CRISPR gene editing may be a more efficient means for isoprene reduction than the RNAi method that we 372 studied, and may be exempt from regulation in the USA and several other countries—making it easier to 373 employ (55).

374

#### 375 Methods

376 Genetic modification of poplar lines. The down-regulation of isoprene emission by RNA interference in 377 grey poplar (Populus x canescens) was performed with the same poplar (No. 7171-B4, Institute de la 378 Recherche Agronomique, INRA, Nancy France) and Agrobacterium tumefaciens (C58C1/pMP90) strains as 379 described earlier (18). Sense and antisense gene fragments of a 160 bp isoprene synthase-specific gene 380 segment of the transit peptide sequence (position 21-181) were cloned as a self-complementary hairpin 381 construct into the pKANNIBAL vector, followed by transfer into the binary vector pART27. The RNAi 382 cassette and the empty pART27 vector were then used to transform Agrobacterium tumefaciens by 383 electroporation. The final transformation of P. x canescens with both constructs was conducted as 384 described by Meilan and Ma (56). Regenerated plantlets were PCR-verified (see Supplementary Materials, 385 Section S1) and maintained on media without antibiotics. Wild-type control and transgenic lines were 386 amplified by micropropagation on half-concentrated Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium (57). Eight-week 387 old rooted shoots were transferred to small pots containing commercial potting-soil and used for 388 molecular screening.

389 Isoprene emission potential of transformants was initially assessed by measuring headspace 390 isoprene accumulation in eight-week old rooted in vitro cultures (see Supplementary Materials, Section 391 S1). From these efforts, 18 transformant genotypes were ultimately selected for further clonal 392 propagation. Bulk propagation of this transgenic material was done by a commercial facility (Broadacres 393 Nursery, Hubbard OR) via clonal propagation of both dormant and green (actively growing) cuttings in a 394 greenhouse. Bare stem cuttings from these greenhouse-grown transformants were the ultimate source 395 of all subsequent field-planting stock. The wild-type control (CN) and empty-vector control (EV) lines were 396 shown to retain relatively high rates of isoprene emission, whereas the transformed, RNAi lines (IR) were 397 shown to emit various rates of reduced isoprene emission, ranging to near-negligible levels.

Field sites. The Oregon plantation was located on the grounds of Oregon State University's Peavy
Arboretum (44.659° latitude N, 123.235° longitude W). The Arizona plantation was located on the grounds
of the University of Arizona's Biosphere 2 campus near Oracle, Arizona (32.344° latitude N, 110.510°
longitude W). See Supplementary Materials, Section S2, for further details of the plantations.

402 Measurement of biomass production and leaf gas exchange rates. At the completion of the field trail at 403 the Oregon plantation, and before the initiation of spring-bud break the following year, whole trees were 404 harvested and weighed for total biomass. Aboveground stems were coppiced and the root ball for each 405 tree was removed with a mini-excavator and washed of all soil and debris. All tissues were subsequently 406 dried at 60 C for 6 days in a large capacity wood-drying convection kiln (OSU School of Forestry), before 407 weighing on a high-capacity balance (Mettler Toledo, MS12001L). In the Arizona plantation, trees were 408 coppiced, dried and weighed in December at the end of each growing season, for successive-year 409 determination of aboveground biomass. Harvested, leafless stems were dried at room temperature for 6 410 weeks, before weighing on a high-capacity balance (Sartorius, model LA 3400, Bradford, MA, USA). For 411 the Arizona trees, the crown stool and roots were excavated for five representative trees of each of the four genetic lines at the end of the 4-year growth period for determination of total non-shoot biomass 412 413 (see Supplementary Material, Section S3 for details).

414 Isoprene emission rates and net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rates were measured at both plantation sites using 415 a portable photosynthesis system for leaf CO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O gas exchange (LiCor Inc., model 6400, Lincoln, NE, USA) 416 equipped with the broadleaf cuvette' (6 cm<sup>2</sup>) including the LED light system set for leaf temperature between 27-30 °C, light level of 1800 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> PPFD and with chamber CO<sub>2</sub> mole fraction set to 400 417 418 µmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (25). In the Arizona studies, the gas-exchange system was connected to a chemiluminescence 419 fast isoprene sensor (FIS, Hills Scientific, Boulder, CO, USA) for measurement of cuvette isoprene 420 concentrations. A flow of  $O_3$  to the reaction cell of the FIS was used to provide the oxidant needed to elicit 421 a chemiluminescent reaction with isoprene, and photon counts were detected and converted to isoprene 422 concentration using calibrations against a diluted standard tank (5  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup>, Airgas Inc). In the Oregon 423 studies, gas aliquots from the gas-exchange system chamber were analyzed for isoprene concentration 424 by direct-injection onto a gas chromatograph with a reducing gas detector (GC-RGD; Peak Performer 1, 425 Peak Laboratories LLC, Mountain View, CA). The system was calibrated using gas-phase dilutions of 426 standard isoprene (99%, Sigma Aldrich).

427 Proteome measurements. One fully-developed leaf was cut from each of twelve trees of each genotype on July 3<sup>rd</sup> 2013 at the Arizona plantation, and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen prior to dawn (0415-428 429 0530 h) and at midday (1200-1400 h). Frozen leaves were homogenized and 50 mg leaf powder of three 430 plants from each genotype was pooled for analysis, resulting in 4 replicates per genotype per harvest time. 431 Samples were extracted and prepared for analysis as described in the Supplementary Materials, Section 432 S7. Sub-samples of 10 μg were subjected to filter-aided sample preparation (FASP) (58). LC-MSMS analysis 433 of each individual sub-sample was performed on a Q Exactive HF mass spectrometer (ThermoFisher 434 Scientific) online coupled to an Ultimate 3000 nano-RSLC (Dionex). Tryptic peptides were separated in a 435 non-linear acetonitrile gradient over 85 min on a C18 analytical column (nanoEase MZ HSS T3 Column, 436 100Å, 1.8 µm, 75 µm x 250 mm, Waters). Precursor and TOP10 fragment spectra were acquired in the 437 Orbitrap mass detector at 60000 or 15000 resolution, respectively. Generated raw-files containing all

438 acquired spectra were loaded to the Progenesis QI for proteomics software (v3.0, Nonlinear Dynamics 439 Ltd, part of Waters, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK) for label-free quantification and analyzed as previously 440 described (59,60). Features of only one charge or features with more than seven charges were excluded. 441 The raw abundances of the remaining features were normalized to allow for the correction of factors 442 resulting from experimental variation. All MS/MS spectra were exported as MASCOT generic files and 443 used for identification by Mascot search engine (v 2.5.1, Matrix Science, London, UK) in a Populus 444 trichocarpa protein database (v3, 30197159 residues; 73016 sequences). The search parameters were 10 445 ppm peptide mass and 0.02 Da MS/MS tolerance, one missed cleavage allowed. For the identification and 446 guantification of the leaf proteins, carbamidomethylation was set as a fixed modification, and methionine 447 oxidation and deamination of asparagine/glutamine as variable modification. A MASCOT-integrated decoy database search calculated a FDR of 0.45%, applying the MASCOT Percolator algorithm to 448 449 distinguish between correct and incorrect spectrum identifications (61). The peptide assignments were 450 re-imported into the Progenesis QI software. After summing up the abundances of all unique peptides 451 that were allocated to each protein, the identification and quantification results were exported. Voronoi 452 Treemaps as introduced by Bernhardt et al. (62) were used to visualize the proteomics results. The Voroni 453 Treemaps subdivide the 2D plane into subsections according to the hierarchical data structure of gene 454 functional assignments as taken from the corresponding Arabidopsis thaliana orthologs, which were 455 obtained from the POPGENIE database (http://www.popgenie.org).

456 **Data availability.** The mass spectrometry proteomics data have been deposited to the

457 ProteomeXchange Consortium (63) via the PRIDE partner repository with the dataset identifier

458 PXD013252. The remaining data for the biomass changes and photosynthesis rates, associated

459 protocols, code, and materials in the paper and supplementary materials are available from the

460 corresponding authors (R.K.M., T.N.R. and J.-P.S.) upon reasonable request.

#### 461 Acknowledgements

462 RKM acknowledges financial support from the Macrosystems Program in the Emerging Frontiers Section 463 of the U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF Award 1065790), the Ecosystems Program in the Division 464 of Environmental Biology (NSF Award 1754430), and the Water, Environmental and Energy Solutions 465 (WEES) program supported by the Technology and Research Initiative Fund (TRIF) from the State of 466 Arizona. J-PS acknowledges financial support from the German Ministry of Education and Research 467 project 'PRO-BIOPA' (no. 0315412). TNR acknowledges financial support from Portland General Electric 468 and Portland State University. SHS acknowledges financial support from the U.S. Department of

- Agriculture National Institute of Food and Agriculture (#2013-67009-21008) and the Tree Biosafety and
- 470 Genomics Research Cooperative at Oregon State University.
- 471

### 472 References

- 1. A. Limayem, S.C. Ricke, Lignocellulosic biomass for bioethanol production: Current perspectives,
- 474 potential issues and future prospects. *Progress in Energy and Combustion Science* **38**, 449-467 (2012).
- 475 2. I. Porth, Y.A. El-Kassaby, Using *Populus* as a lignocellulosic feedstock for bioethanol. *Biotechnology*476 *Journal* 10, 510-524 (2015).
- 477 3. M.J. Stolarski, M. Krzyzaniak, M. Luczyński, D. Zaluski, S. Szczikowski, J. Tworkowski, J. Golaszewski,
- 478 Lignocellulosic biomass from short rotation woody crops as a feedstock for second-generation
- bioethanol production. *Industrial Crops and Products* **75**, 66-75 (2015).
- 480 4. A.B. Guenther, X. Jiang, C.L. Heald, T. Sakulyanotvittaya, T. Duhl, L.K. Emmons, X. Wang, The Model of
- 481 Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated
- 482 framework for modeling biogenic emissions. *Geoscientific Model Development* **5**, 1471-1492 (2012).
- 483 5. J.D. Fuentes, M. Lerdau, R. Atkinson, D. Baldocchi, J.W. Bottenheim, P. Ciccioli, B. Lamb, C. Geron, L.
- 484 Gu, A. Guenther, et al. Biogenic hydrocarbons in the atmosphere boundary layer: a review. *Bulletin of* 485 *the American Meteorological Society* **81,** 1537–1575 (2000).
- 486 6. J. Lelieveld, P. Crutzen, F. Dentener, Changing concentration, lifetime and climate forcing of
  487 atmospheric methane. *Tellus, Series B.* 50, 128–150 (1998).
- 7. N. Poisson, M. Kanakidou, P.J. Crutzen, P.J. Impact of non-methane hydrocarbons on tropospheric
  chemistry and the oxidizing power of the global troposphere: three-dimensional modelling results. *Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry* **36**, 157–230 (2000).
- 491 8. A. Arneth, S. Sitch, A. Bondeau, K. Butterbach-Bahl, P. Foster, N. Gedney, N. deNoblet-Ducoudre, I.C.
  492 Prentice, M. Sanderson, K. Thonicke, R. Wania, S. Zaehle, From biota to chemistry and climate: towards
- a comprehensive description of trace gas exchange between the biosphere and atmosphere.
   Biogeosciences 7, 121-149 (2010).
- 495 9. K. Ashworth, O. Wild, C.N. Hewitt, Impacts of biofuel cultivation on mortality and crop yields. *Nature*496 *Climate Change* 3, 492-496 (2013).
- 10. K. Ashworth, O. Wild, A.S.D. Eller, C.N. Hewitt, Impact of biofuel poplar cultivation on ground-level
- 498 ozone and premature human mortality depends on cultivar selection and planting location.
   499 *Environmental Science and Technology* 49, 8566-8575 (2015).
- 500 11. Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO): Livestock, Crop and Fertilizer Data, Food and Agricultural
- 501 *Organization of the United Nations: Statistics Division*, http://faostat3.fao.org/home/E (2015).
- 502 12. S.J. Silva, C.L. Heald, J.A. Geddes, K.G. Austin, P.S. Kasibhatla, M.E. Marlier, Impacts of current and
   503 projected oil palm plantation expansion on air quality over Southeast Asia. *Atmospheric Chemistry and* 504 *Physics* 16, 10621-10635 (2016).
- J.A. Pyle, N.J. Warwick, N.R.P. Harris, The impact of local surface processes in Borneo on
   atmospheric composition at wider spatial scales: coastal processes, land use change and air quality.

- 507 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Science 366, 3210–3224
  508 (2011).
- 14. K. Behnke, R. Grote, N. Brüggemann, I. Zimmer, G. Zhou, M. Elobeid, D. Janz, A. Polle, J.-P.
- 510 Schnitzler, Isoprene emission-free poplars: a chance to reduce the impact from poplar plantations on 511 the atmosphere. *New Phytologist* **194**, 70–82 (2012).
- 512 15. F. Loreto, J.-P. Schnitzler, Abiotic stresses and induced BVOCs. *Trends in Plant Science* 15, 154–166
  513 (2010).
- 514 16. M. Haworth, S.L. Cosentino, G. Marino, C. Brunetti, D. Scordia, G. Testa, E. Riggi, G. Avola, F. Loreto,
  515 M. Centritto, Physiological responses of *Arundo donax* ecotypes to drought: a common garden study.
  516 *Global Change Biology Bioenergy* 9, 132-143 (2017).
- 517 17. A.S.D. Eller, J. De Gouw, M. Graus, R.K. Monson, Variation among different genotypes of hybrid
  518 poplar with regard to leaf volatile organic compound emissions. *Ecological Applications* 22, 1865–1875
  519 (2012).
- 18. K. Behnke, B. Ehlting, M. Teuber, M. Bauerfeind, S. Louis, R. Hasch, A. Polle, J. Bohlman, J.-P.
- 521 Schnitzler, Transgenic, non-isoprene emitting poplar don't like it hot. *Plant Journal* **51**, 485-499 (2007).
- 522 19. K. Behnke, E. Kleist, R. Uerlings, J. Wildt, H. Rennenberg, J.-P. Schnitzler, RNAi mediated suppression
  523 of isoprene biosynthesis impacts ozone tolerance. *Tree Physiology* 29, 725-736 (2009).
- 524 20. K. Behnke, A. Kaiser, I. Zimmer, N. Brügemann, D. Janz, A. Polle, et al., RNAi-mediated suppression
  525 of isoprene emission in poplar transiently impacts phenolic metabolism under high temperature and
  526 high light intensities: a transcriptomic and metabolomic analysis. *Plant Molecular Biology* **74**, 61-75
  527 (2010).
- 528 21. E. Vanzo, J. Werner, Z. Li, A. Albert, M.A. Domagalska, A. Ghirardo, B. Niederbacher, J. Frenzel, G.T.S.
  529 Beemster, H. Asard, H. Rennenberg, T.D. Sharkey, J.-P. Schnitzler, Facing the future: Effects of short-term
  530 climate extremes on isoprene-emitting and non-emitting Poplar. *Plant Physiology* 169, 570-575 (2015).
- 531 22. T.N. Rosenstiel, M. Potosnak, K. Griffin, R. Fall, R.K. Monson, Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> uncouples growth and
   isoprene emission in a model agriforest ecosystem. *Nature* 421, 256-259 (2003).
- 533 23. D.A. Way, J.-P. Schnitzler, R.K. Monson, R.B. Jackson, Enhanced isoprene-related tolerance of heat534 and light-stressed photosynthesis at low, but not high, CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Oecologia* 166, 273-82
  535 (2011).
- 536 24. M.J. Potosnak, L. Lestourgeon, O. Nunez, Increasing leaf temperature reduces the suppression of
  537 isoprene emission by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Science of the Total Environment* 481, 352–359
  538 (2014).
- 539 25. R.K. Monson, A.A. Neice, N.A. Trahan, I. Shiach, J.T. McCorkel, D.J.P. Moore, Interactions between
  540 temperature and intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in controlling leaf isoprene emission rates. *Plant, Cell &*541 *Environment* 39, 2404–2413 (2016).
- 542 26. A.T. Lantz, C. Solomon, L. Gog, A.M. McClain, S.M. Weraduwage, J.A. Cruz, T.D. Sharkey, Isoprene
- suppression by CO<sub>2</sub> is not due to Triose Phosphate Utilization (TPU) limitation. *Frontiers in Forest Global Change* 2, (2019) doi: 10.3389/ffgc.2019.00008.

- 545 27. A.C. Ryan, C.N. Hewitt, M. Possell, C.E. Vickers, A. Purnell, P.M. Mullineaux, W.J. Davies, I.C. Dodd,
- Isoprene emission protects photosynthesis but reduces plant productivity during drought in transgenic
   tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum*) plants. *New Phytologist* 201, 205-216 (2013).
- 548 28. T.D. Sharkey, R.K. Monson, The future of isoprene emission from leaves, canopies and landscapes. 549 *Plant, Cell & Environment* **37**, 1727-1740 (2014).
- 550 29. B.A. Logan, T.J. Anchordoquy, R.K. Monson, R.S. Pan, The effect of isoprene on the properties of 551 spinach thylakoids and phosphatidylcholine liposomes. *Plant Biology* **1**, 602-606 (1999).
- 552 30. C.M. Harvey, Z.R. Li, H. Tjellstrom, G.J. Blanchard, T.D. Sharkey, Concentration of isoprene in 553 artificial and thylakoid membranes. *Journal of Bioenergetics and Biomembranes* **47**, 419-429 (2015).
- 554 31. E. Vanzo, J. Merl-Pham, V. Velikova, A. Ghirardo, C. Lindermayr, S.M. Hauck, J. Bernhardt, K. Riedel,
  555 J. Durner, J.-P. Schnitzler, Modulation of protein S-nitrosylation by isoprene emission in poplar. *Plant*556 *Physiology* **170**, 1945-1961 (2016).
- 557 32. Z. Zuo, S.M. Weraduwage, A.T. Lantz, L.M. Sanchez, S.E. Weise, J. Wang, K.L. Childs, T.D. Sharkey,
- Isoprene acts as a signaling molecule in gene networks important for stress responses and plant growth.
   *Plant Physiology* 180, 124-152 (2019).
- 560 33. E.L. Singsaas, M. Lerdau, K. Winter, T.D. Sharkey, Isoprene increases thermotolerance of isoprene-561 emitting species. *Plant Physiology* **115**, 1413-1420 (1997).
- 562 34. J. Peñuelas, J. Llusia, D. Asensio, S. Munne-Bosch, S. Linking isoprene with plant thermotolerance, 563 antioxidants and monoterpene emissions. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **28**, 278-286 (2005).
- 35. H.P. Affek, D. Yakir, Protection by isoprene against singlet oxygen in leaves. *Plant Physiology* 129,
  269–277 (2002).
- 36. C.E. Vickers, M. Possell, C.I. Cojocariu, V.B. Velikova, J. Laothawornkitkul, A. Ryan, P.M. Mullineaux,
  C.N. Hewitt, Isoprene synthesis protects transgenic tobacco plants from oxidative stress. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 32, 520-531 (2009).
- 37. V. Velikova, F. Loreto, On the relationship between isoprene emission and thermotolerance in
   *Phragmites australis* leaves exposed to high temperatures and during the recovery from a heat stress.
   *Plant Cell & Environment* **29**, 218, 227 (2005)
- 571 *Plant, Cell & Environment* **28**, 318-327 (2005).
- 572 38. M. Haworth, S. Catola, G. Marino, C. Brunetti, M. Michelozzi, E. Riggi, G. Avola, S.L. Cosentino, F.
- 573 Loreto, M. Centritto, Moderate drought stress induces increased foliar dimethylsulphoniopropionate
- 574 (DMSP) concentration and isoprene emission in two contrasting ecotypes of Arundo donax. Frontiers in
- 575 *Plant Science* **8**, Article no. 1016 (2017).
- 576 39. T.D. Sharkey, Effects of moderate heat stress on photosynthesis: importance of thylakoid reactions,
- rubisco deactivation, reactive oxygen species, and thermotolerance provided by isoprene. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 28, 269-277 (2005).
- 40. C.E. Vickers, J. Gershenzon, M.T. Lerdau, F. Loreto, A unified mechanism of action for volatile
  isoprenoids in plant abiotic stress. *Nature Chemical Biology* 5, 283-291 (2009).
- 581 41. M. Kaling, B. Kanawati, A. Ghirardo, A., Albert, J.B. Winkler, W. Heller, C. Barta, F. Loreto, P. Schmitt-
- 582 Kopplin, J.-P. Schnitzler, UV-B mediated metabolic rearrangements in poplar revealed by non-targeted 583 metabolomics. *Plant, Cell & Environment* **38**, 892–904 (2015).

- 42. N.R. Street, O. Skogstrom, A. Sjodin, J. Tucker, M. Rodriguez-Acosta, P. Nilsson, S. Jansson, G. Taylor,
  The genetics and genomics of the drought response in *Populus*. *Plant Journal* 48, 321–341 (2006).
- 43. C.M. Harvey, T.D. Sharkey, (2016) Exogenous isoprene modulates gene expression in unstressed
   Arabidopsis thaliana plants. Plant, Cell & Environment **39**, 1251–1263.
- 44. M. Tattini, V. Velikova, C. Vickers, C. Brunetti, M. DiFernando, A. Trivellini, S. Fineschi, G. Agati, F.
- 589 Ferrini, F. Loreto, Isoprene production in transgenic tobacco alters isoprenoid, non-structural
- carbohydrate and phenylpropanoid metabolism, and protects photosynthesis from drought stress.
- 591 *Plant, Cell & Environment* **37,** 1950-1964 (2014).
- 45. V. Velikova, A. Ghirardo, E. Vanzo, J. Merl, S.M. Hauck, J.-P. Schnitzler, Genetic manipulation of
  isoprene emissions in poplar plants remodels the chloroplast proteome. *Journal of Proteome Research*13, 2005-2018 (2014).
- 46. A. Ghirardo, L.P. Wright, Z. Bi, M. Rosenkranz, P. Pulido, M. Rodriguez-Concepcion, U. Niinemets, N.
  Bruggemann, J. Gershenzon, J.-P. Schnitzler, Metabolic flux analysis of plastidic isoprenoid biosynthesis
  in poplar leaves emitting and nonemitting isoprene. Plant Physiology 165: 37-51 (2014).
- 598 47. FAO: Poplars and Other Fast-Growing Trees -Renewable Resources for Future Green Economies.
- 599 Synthesis of Country Progress Reports. 25<sup>th</sup> Session of the International Poplar Commission, Berlin,
- Federal Republic of Germany, 13-16 September 2016. Working Paper IPC/15. Forestry Policy and
  Resources Division, FAO, Rome (2016).
- 48. FAO: Synthesis of Country Progress Reports received prepared for the 22<sup>nd</sup> Session of the
- International Poplar Commission, jointly hosted by FAO and the National Poplar Commissions of Chile
   and Argentina; Santiago, Chile, 29 November 2 December, 2004. *International Poplar Commission Working Paper IPC/3*. Forest Resources Division, FAO, Rome (2004).
- 49. C. Wiedinmyer, X.X. Tie, A.B. Guenther, R. Neilson, C. Granier, Future changes in biogenic isoprene
  emissions: How might they affect regional and global atmospheric chemistry? *Earth Interactions* 10,
  Article number 3 (2006).
- 50. A.G. Carlton, C. Wiedinmyer, J.H. Kroll, A review of Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA) formation from isoprene. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* **9**, 4987-5005 (2009).
- 51. C.E. Scott, S.A. Monks, D.V. Spracklen, S.R. Arnold, P.M. Foster, A. Rap, K.S. Carslaw, M.P.
- 612 Chipperfield, C.L.S. Reddington, C. Wilson, Impact on short-lived climate forcers (SLCFs) from a realistic
- 613 land-use change scenario via changes in biogenic emissions. *Faraday Discussions* **200**, 101-120 (2017).
- 52. K.H. Bates, D.J. Jacob, A new model mechanism for atmospheric oxidation of isoprene: global effects
  on oxidants, nitrogen oxides, organic products, and secondary organic aerosol. Atmospheric Chemistry
  and Physics **19**, 9613-9640 (2019).
- 53. W.H. Chen, A.B. Guenther, X.M. Wang, Y.H. Chen, D.S. Gu, M. Chang, S.Z. Zhou, L.L. Wu, Y.Q. Zhang,
- Regional to global biogenic isoprene emission responses to changes in vegetation from 2000 to 2015.
  Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres 123, 3757-3771.
- 54. S.H. Strauss, A. Costanza, A. Séguin, Genetically engineered trees: paralysis from good intentions. *Science* **349**, 794-795 (2015).
- 55. J.D. Wolt, K. Wang, B. Yang, The regulatory status of genome-edited crops. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* **14**, 510-518 (2016).

- 56. R. Meilan, C. Ma, Poplar (*Populus* spp.). *Methods in Molecular Biology* **344**, 143-151 (2006).
- 57. J.C. Leplé, A.C.M. Brasileiro, M.F. Michel, F. Delmotte, L. Jouanin, Transgenic poplars: Expression of chimeric genes using four different constructs. *Plant Cell Report* **11**, 137-141 (1992).
- 58. J.R. Wisniewski, A. Zougman, N. Nagaraj, M. Mann, Universal sample preparation method for proteome analysis. *Nature Methods* **6**, 359-360 (2009).
- 59. S.M. Hauck, J. Dietter, R.L. Kramer, F. Hofmaier, J.K. Zipplies, B. Amann, A. Feuchtinger, C.A. Deeg,
- 630 M. Ueffing, Deciphering membrane-associated molecular processes in target tissue of auto-immune
- 631 uveitis by label-free quantitative mass spectrometry. *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics* 9, 2292-2305
  632 (2010).
- 633 60. J. Merl, M. Ueffing, S.M. Hauck, C. von Toerne, Direct comparison of MS-based label-free and SILAC
  634 quantitative proteome profiling strategies in primary retinal Müller cells. *Proteomics* 12, 1902–1911
  635 (2012).
- 636 61. M. Brosch, L. Yu, T. Hubbard, J. Choudhary, Accurate and sensitive peptide identification with 637 Mascot Percolator. Journal of Proteome Research **8**, 3176-3181 (2009).
- 638 62. J. Bernhardt, S. Funke, M. Hecker, J. Siebourg, Visualizing gene expression data via Voronoi
- Treemaps. In: 2009 6<sup>th</sup> International Symposium on Voronoi Diagrams (Anton, F., ed.), IEEE Copenhagen,
  Denmark, (2009). DOI: 10.1109/ISVD.2009.33
- 63. E.W. Deutsch, A. Csordas, Z. Sun, Y. Jarnuczak, Y. Perez-Riverol, T. Ternent, D.S. Campbell, M. Bernal-
- Llinares, S. Okuda, S. Kawano, R.L. Moritz, J.J. Carver, M. Wang, Y. Ishihama, N. Bandeira, H. Hermjakob,
- A. Vizcaíno, The ProteomeXchange consortium in 2017: supporting the cultural change in proteomics
- 644 public data deposition. *Nucleic Acids Research* **45**, D1100-D1106 (2017).
- 645

## 646 Additional information

647 Supplementary information is available for this paper at:

#### 648 Figure Legends

649 Figure 1. A. Maximum observed leaf isoprene emission rates in thirteen hybrid poplar genetic lines 650 growing in Oregon. Horizontal lines within each box represent median values, boxes represent the limits 651 of the second (lower) and third (upper) quartiles, respectively; vertical lines represent upper and lower 652 ranges and dots represent extreme outliers (n=5 trees for each line). B. Maximum observed leaf net 653 photosynthesis rates in nine of the thirteen genetic lines growing in Oregon. C. Maximum observed leaf 654 isoprene emission rates in four hybrid poplar genetic lines growing in Arizona. **D.** Maximum observed leaf 655 net photosynthesis rates in the four genetic lines growing in Arizona. In all cases, n=5 trees. CN=wild-type 656 control, EV=empty-vector control, IR=isoprene reduced. All measurements were made on separate trees 657 for each genetic type and plantation.

Figure 2. A. Summary of total harvestable shoot biomass for binned genetic lines of trees grown in Oregon
in 2011 and 2012 and harvested spring 2015. Boxes, whiskers and symbols are as described for Figure 1.
B. Summary of total harvestable shoot biomass for individual genetic lines grown in Oregon in 2011 and
2012 and harvested spring 2015. C, D, E and F. Annual shoot biomass production in four consecutive years
for the four genetic lines grown in Arizona. For all cases, n=12 trees for each genotype and plantation.
CN=wild-type control, EV=empty-vector control, IR=isoprene reduced

Figure 3. Rates of net photosynthetic carbon assimilation as a function of leaf temperature observed at
 three times during the growing season for the four genetic lines of poplar grown at the Arizona plantation.
 Points represent the mean (n = 5 separate trees) and vertical bars represent ± standard error. CN=wild type control, EV=empty-vector control, IR=isoprene reduced

668 Figure 4. Whole proteome comparison of isoprene-emitting (IE) and isoprene-reduced (IR) leaves 669 sampled during predawn and midday (noon) for trees grown in Arizona. A. Score plot of OPLS (Orthogonal 670 PLS modeling) showing distribution of principal components. Samples were categorized as isoprene-671 emitting (IE) and isoprene-reduced (IR), and according to the respective sampling time (predawn, noon). 672 The ellipse indicates the tolerance of the analysis based on Hotelling's  $T^2$  with P=0.05. **B**, **C**. Volcano plots 673 showing the magnitude of differential protein abundance in IR and IE genotypes (log<sub>2</sub> fold change) 674 compared with the measure of statistical significance  $(-\log_{10} [p-value, beta binominal test])$ . Vertical 675 dashed lines indicate a log<sub>2</sub>-fold change of ±0.5 and the dashed horizontal line indicates the significance 676 level of P≤0.05. Proteins that fit both criteria are shown as red dots. Exemplary proteins with the highest 677 significance and log<sub>2</sub>-fold change, respectively, are specifically identified by abbreviations (see Table 1 for 678 complete protein names) according to Ptrichocarpa\_210\_v3.0\_defline. The relative differences between

IR and IE lines are indicated on to the x-axis as a ratio (IR/IE) in the positive or negative direction. **D**, **E**. Venn plots indicating the number of significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) up- (D,  $\log_2$ -fold change IR/IE > 0) and downregulated proteins ( $\log_2$ -fold change IR/IE <0) at predawn and noon, as well as constitutive proteins, i.e. observed at both sampling events.

**Figure 5.** Voronoi Treemaps showing the overall proteome changes of IE and IR leaves. The total area of each map is subdivided into main categories, then the main categories into subcategories and the subcategories into equally sized cells representing significantly changed proteins. Protein expression changes are displayed according to their functional categories and log2 ratios for IR/IE, and are colorcoded: red = increased ratios, grey = unchanged ratios, and blue = decreased ratios. All measurements were made on separate trees for each genetic type and plantation as described in the main text.











**Table 1.** A list of the ten most down-regulated (ranked by  $log_2$ -fold change IR/IE <0) and upregulated ( $log_2$ -fold change IR/IE >0) at predawn and noon. Only those proteins with confirmed annotations were included. Protein abbreviations refer to those shown in Figure 4. See Table S1 in Supplementary Materials for full list of regulated proteins.

Predawn								
Downregulated								
Potri ID	log 2 (IR/IE)	-Log 10 (Padi)	Pathway		Enzyme/Protein			
007G118400.1	-3.372	8.29	isoprene biosynthesis	Is	oprene synthase (ISPS)			
017G041700.1	-3.241	11.04	isoprene biosynthesis	Is	oprene synthase (ISPS)			
009G133300.1	-1.975	1.56	anthocyanin biosynthesis	Aı	nthocyanidin 3-O-glycosyltransferase			
001G051600.1	-1.694	2.63	flavonoid biosynthesis	N	aringenin-chalcone synthase (CHS)			
007G018900.1	-1.461	5.21	fatty-acid biosynthesis	Sc	oluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH)			
013G022100.1	-1.342	2.31	9-lipoxygenase pathway	Li	noleate 9S-lipoxygenase			
014G145100.1	-1.188	2.96	flavonoid biosynthesis	N	laringenin-chalcone synthase (CHS)			
005G229500.1	-1.119	1.44	leucocyanidin biosynthesis	Di	Dihydrokaempherol 4-reductase			
005G162800.1	-1.079	1.80	chorismate biosynthesis	3-	-deoxy-7-phosphoheptulonate synthase			
003G173000.1	-1.010	2.71	aerobic respiration	U	biquinone reductase			
Upregulated								
016G066100.1	1.966	2.00	sucrose biosynthesis	Sι	ucrose-phosphate phosphatase (SPP)			
005G025700.1	1.928	3.56	terpenoid biosynthesis	Rı	ubber elongation factor protein (REF)			
001G055300.1	1.201	3.00	terpenoid biosynthesis	Rı	ubber elongation factor protein (REF)			
004G135300.1	1.099	1.54	betalamic acid biosynthesis	St	izolobate synthase			
004G140800.1	1.080	1.45	nitrate reduction	Fe	erredoxin-nitrite reductase			
002G081800.1	0.952	3.19	ethanol degradation	Al	dehyde dehydrogenase (NAD <sup>+</sup> )			
018G088600.1	0.812	1.62	triacylglycerol degradation	Tr	iacylglycerol lipase			
014G148700.1	0.776	3.56	lycopene biosynthesis	9,	9'-dicis-zeta-carotene desaturase (ZDS)			
007G044300.1	0.708	3.38	carotenoid biosynthesis	Ze	ea-epoxidase (ZEP)			
009G111600.1	0.598	4.89	methylerythritol phosphate	4-	hydroxy-3-methylbut-2-enyl			
			pathway	di	phosphate (ispH)			
Midday								
Downregulated								
Potri ID	log 2	-Log 10	Pathway		Enzyme/Protein			
	(IR/IE)	(P <sub>adj</sub> )						
017G041700.1	-3.655	10.86	isoprene biosynthesis		Isoprene synthase (ISPS)			
007G018900.1	-2.241	7.66	fatty acid biosynthesis		Soluble epoxide hydrolase (sEH)			
001G449500.1	-0.710	4.18	starch biosynthesis		Glucose-starch glucosyltransferase (WAXY)			
004G139700.1	-0.922	4.05	flavonoid biosynthesis		Flavanone 3-dioxygenase (F3H)			
007G118400.1	-3.039	3.94	isoprene biosynthesis		Isoprene synthase (ISPS)			
016G091100.1	-0.816	2.85	phenylpropanoid biosynthesis		Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL)			
019G130700.1	-0.720	2.01	phenylpropanoid biosynthesis		Trans-cinnamate 4-monooxygenase			

016G057400.1	-0.508	1.99	starch/sucrose metabolism	Glucan endo-1,3-beta-D-glucosidase			
001G462800.1	-0.817	1.75	alkaloid biosynthesis	Tetrahydroberine oxidase			
008G038200.1	-1.058	1.73	phenylpropanoid biosynthesis	Phenylalanine ammonia-lyase (PAL)			
Upregulated							
005G025700.1	1.694	6.15	terpenoid biosynthesis	Rubber elongation factor protein (REF)			
001G055300.1	1.044	3.49	terpenoid biosynthesis	Rubber elongation factor protein (REF)			
004G102000.1	0.702	3.48	jasmonic acid biosynthesis	4-coumarate-CoA-ligase (4CL)			
007G044300.1	0.506	3.08	carotenoid biosynthesis	Zea-epoxidase (ZEP)			
002G081800.1	0.795	2.94	ethanol degradation	Aldehyde dehydrogenase (NAD⁺)			
014G148700.1	0.526	2.85	lycopene biosynthesis	9,9'-dicis-zeta-carotene desaturase (ZES)			
011G099400.1	0.668	2.76	terpenoid biosynthesis	11-oxo-β-amarin 30-oxidase			
018G088600.1	1.021	2.41	triacylglycerol degradation	Triacylglycerol lipase			
002G018300.1	2.087	1.87	phenylpropanoid biosynthesis	Cinnamyl-alcohol dehydrogenase			
				(CAD)			
018G040200.1	0.594	1.72	vitamin E (tocopherol) biosynthesis	(delta-)tocopherol cyclase			