

A compendium answering over 140 questions on COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2

Journal:	Allergy
Manuscript ID	ALL-2020-00680
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	28-May-2020
Complete List of Authors:	RIGGIONI, CARMEN; Hospital Sant Joan de Déu, Pediatric Allergy and Clinical Immunology Department; Sant Joan de Déu Research Foundation, Pediatric Allergy and Clinical Immunology Department Comberiati, Pasquale; University of Verona, Paediatrics Giovannin, Mattia; Anna Meyer Chidren's University Hospital, Allergy Unit, Department of Pediatrics Agache, Ioana; Transylvania University of Brasov, Allergy and Clinical Immunology; Theramed Healthcare, Akdis, Mubeccel; University of Zürich, Swiss Institute of Allergy and Asthma Research (SIAF) Alves-Correia, Magna ; University of Porto, Faculty of Medicine Anto, Josep; ISGLoBAL, Centre for Research in Environmental Epidemiology (CREAL) Arcolaci, Alessandra ; Borgo Roma Hospital, Allergy Unit and Asthma Center Azkur, Ahmet; Kirikkale University Faculty of Medicine, Pediatrics Beken, Burcin; Trakya University Faculty of Medicine, Department of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology Boccabella, Cristina; Catholic University of the Sacred Heart Rome Campus, Cardiovascular and Thoracic Sciences Bousquet, Jean; Université Versailles, St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, 9. MACVIA-LR, Contre les Maladies Chroniques pour un Vieillissement Actifen Languedoc Roussillon, European Innovation Partnership on Active and Healthy Ageing Reference Site, and INSERM, VIMA: Ageing and Chronic Diseases, Epidemiological and Public Health Approaches, U1168, Paris, and UVSQ, UMR-S 1168 Breiteneder, Heimo; Medical University of Vienna, Pathophysiology Carvalho, Daniela ; University of Lisbon, Public Health Research Center De las Vecillas , Leticia; Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, Allergy Diamant, Zuzana; Institute for Clinical Science, Skane University Hospital, Respiratory Medicine and Allergology; Charles University, Department of Respiratory Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine Eguiluz-Gracia, Ibon; IBIMA-Regional University Hospital of Málaga, UMA, Malaga, Allergy Unit Eiwegger, Thomas; The Hospital for Sick Children, Department of Paediatrics Eyerich, Stefanie; Technical University and Helmholt

1 2 3 4	
5 6 7	
8 9 10 11	
12 13 14	
15 16 17	
18 19 20 21	
21 22 23 24	
25 26 27	
28 29 30	
31 32 33 34	
35 36 37	
38 39 40 41	
42 43 44	
45 46 47	
48 49 50 51	
52 53 54	
55 56 57 58	
58 59 60	

	Contor for Allergy and Environment ZALIM)
	Center for Allergy and Environment ZAUM)
	Fokkens, Wytske; University of Amsterdam, ENT
	Gao, Ya-dong; Wuhan University Zhongnan Hospital, Allergology
	Hannachi, Farah; Hospital Centre of Luxembourg, Immuno-Allergolo Unit
	Johnston, Sebastian; Imperial College London, * Department of
	Respiratory Medicine
	Jutel, Marek; Wroclaw Medical University, Department of Clinical Immunology; ALL-MED Medical Research Institute,, Clinical Immuno Karavelia, Aspasia ; General Hopsital of Chania, Department of
	Otorhinolaryngology
	Klimek, Ludger; Zentrum für Rhinologie und Allergologie,
	Studienzentrum; Zentrum für Rhinologie und Allergologie,
	Studienzentrum
	Moya, Beatriz; Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Allergy
	Nadeau, Kari; Stanford University, Pediatrics
	O'Hehir, Robin; Monash University, Allergy, Immunology and Respire Medicine
	O'Mahony, Liam; University College Cork National University of Irela Medicine and Microbiology
	Pfaar, Oliver; University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Germany., Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and
	Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy Sanak, Marek; Jagiellonian University Medical College, Department of
	Internal Medicine
	Schwarze, Jürgen; University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Centre for Inflammation Research; University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, Child Li and Health
	Sokolowska, Milena; Swiss Institute of Allergy and Asthma Research University of Zurich, SIAF; CK-CARE, Christine Kühne Center of Aller Research and Education
	Torres, María José; Universidad de Málaga Facultad de Medicina, Alle Unit; Regional University Hospital of Malaga, Allergy Unit
	Van de Veen, Willem; University of Zurich, Swiss Institute of Allergy Asthma Research
	van Zelm, Menno; Monash University, Immunology and Pathology Wang, De Yun; Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University
	Singapore, Department of Otolaryngology
	Zhang, Luo; Beijing TongRen Hospital, Capital Medical University, ,
	Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery,
	Jimenez-Saiz, Rodrigo; National Centre for Biotechnology, Immunology
	and Oncology; McMaster University, Pathology and Molecular Medic Akdis, Cezmi; University of Zurich, Swiss Institute of Allergy and Ast Research (SIAF)
Keywords:	virus, allergy treament, vaccines, basic immunology, clinical immunology, epidemiology, infections, asthma treatment

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts





Dear Editor,

On behalf of the Junior Member Assembly (JMA) of the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI), I am pleased to submit the manuscript entitled: "A compendium answering over 140 questions on COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2" to be considered for publication in Allergy. The manuscript is currently not under consideration by any other journal. All the authors read it and concurred with its submission to Allergy.

This compendium answers pressing questions formulated by clinicians and scientists of the JMA on SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and allergy. A total of 144 questions grouped in 9 sections were answered by experts in the field providing a comprehensive and practical overview of COVID-19 and allergic disease. In the light of the current pandemic, this manuscript represents a unique and reliable resource that responds to the urgent need for scientific and clinical information on COVID-19.

Sincerely,

C POLO R. Jiménez-Saiz, Ph.D. Dept. Immunology & Oncology National Center for Biotechnology (CNB-CSIC) Madrid, 28049, Spain Phone: +34 915854852 r.jimenez.saiz@csic.es

McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC) Dept. Pathology & Molecular Medicine McMaster University Hamilton, ON L8S 4L8, Canada jimenez@mcmaster.ca

1 2		
3 4 5	1	Title: A compendium answering over 140 questions on COVID-19 and SARS-CoV-2
6	2	Authors: Carmen Riggioni ^{1,2,*} (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8745-0228), Pasquale
7 8	3	Comberiati ^{3,4,*} (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5209-9733), Mattia Giovannini ^{5,*}
9	4	(https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9568-6882), Ioana Agache ⁶ (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7994-
10	5	364X), Mübeccel Akdis ⁷ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0554-9943</u>), Magna Alves-Correia ⁸ , Josep
11	6	M. Antó ^{9,10,11,12} (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4736-8529</u>), Alessandra Arcolaci ¹³ , Ahmet Kursat
12 13	7	Azkur ¹⁴ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5597-8917</u>), Dilek Azkur ¹⁵ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-</u>
14	8	<u>4396-9087</u>), Burcin Beken ¹⁶ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7677-7690</u>), Cristina Boccabella ¹⁷ ,
15	9	Jean Bousquet ^{18,19} , Heimo Breiteneder ²⁰ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2022-8689</u>), Daniela
16	10	Carvalho ²¹ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0432-3339</u>), Leticia De las Vecillas ²²
17 18	11	(<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4969-5678</u>), Zuzana Diamant ^{23,24,25} (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-</u>
19	12	0133-0100), Ibon Eguiluz-Gracia ²⁶ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3774-931X</u>), Thomas
20	13	Eiwegger ^{27,28,29} (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2914-7829</u>), Stefanie Eyerich ³⁰ , Wytske Fokkens ³¹
21	14	(https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4852-229X), Ya-dong Gao ³² , Farah Hannachi ³³ , Sebastian L.
22 23	15	Johnston ³⁴ , Marek Jutel ^{35,36} , Aspasia Karavelia ³⁷ , Ludger Klimek ³⁸ , Beatriz Moya ³⁹ , Kari
24	16	Nadeau ⁴⁰ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2146-2955</u>), Robyn O'Hehir ^{41,42} , Liam O'Mahony ⁴³ ,
25	17	(<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4705-3583</u>), Oliver Pfaar ⁴⁴ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4374-9639</u>),
26 27	18	Marek Sanak ⁴⁵ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7635-8103</u>), Jürgen Schwarze ⁴⁶
27	19	(<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6899-748X</u>), Milena Sokolowska ^{7,47} (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-</u>
29	20 21	<u>9710-6685</u>), María J. Torres ²⁶ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4499-840X</u>), Willem van de Veen ^{7,47}
30	21	(<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9951-6688</u>), Menno C. van Zelm ^{41,42} (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-</u> 4161-1919), De Yun Wang ⁴⁸ (<u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0909-2963</u>), Luo Zhang ⁴⁹ , Rodrigo
31 32	22	Jiménez-Saiz ^{50,51} (https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0606-3251), Cezmi A. Akdis ⁷ .
33	20	
34 35	24	*First co-authors
36 37	25	Co-correspondence to:
38 39	26	1) Rodrigo Jiménez-Saiz. Department of Immunology and Oncology, CNB-CSIC, Darwin
40 41	27	3, E-28049, Madrid, Spain. Email address: <u>r.jimenez.saiz@csic.es;</u>
42	28	2) Cezmi A. Akdis. Swiss Institute of Allergy and Asthma Research (SIAF),
43	29	Herman-Burchard-Strasse 9, CH-7265 Davos Wolfgang, Davos, Switzerland. Email
44 45	30	address: akdisac@siaf.uzh.ch
46	0.4	
47	31	Affiliations:
48	32	¹ Pediatric Allergy and Clinical Immunology Department, Hospital Sant Joan de Déu,
49 50	33	Barcelona, Spain
51	34 35	² Institut de Recerca Sant Joan de Déu, Barcelona, Spain ³ Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Section of Pediatrice, University of Pisa
52	35 36	³ Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, Section of Pediatrics, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy
53 54	30 37	⁴ Department of Clinical Immunology and Allergology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State
54 55	38	Medical University, Moscow, Russia
56	00	
57		
58 59		1
60		

1		
2 3	~~	
4	39	⁵ Allergy Unit, Department of Pediatrics, Meyer Children's University Hospital, Florence, Italy
5	40	⁶ Transylvania University, Faculty of Medicine, Brasov, Romania
6	41	⁷ Swiss Institute of Allergy and Asthma Research (SIAF), University of Zurich, Davos,
7	42	Switzerland
8	43	⁸ Allergy Unit, CUF Porto Hospital & Institute, Oporto, Portugal. Center for Health Technology
9 10	44	and Services Research (CINTESIS) - Faculty of Medicine of the University of Porto, Oporto,
11	45	Portugal
12	46	⁹ ISGlobal, Barcelona Institute for Global Health, Barcelona, Spain
13	47	¹⁰ IMIM (Hospital del Mar Medical Research Institute), Barcelona, Spain
14 15	48	¹¹ Universitat Pompeu Fabra (UPF), Barcelona, Spain
15 16	49	¹² CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Barcelona, Spain
17	50	¹³ Allergy Unit & Asthma Center, Borgo Roma Hospital, University of Verona and General
18	51	Hospital, Verona, Italy
19	52	¹⁴ Department of Virology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Kirikkale, Kirikkale,
20	53	Turkey
21 22	54	¹⁵ Division of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, Department of Pediatrics, Faculty of Medicine,
22	55	University of Kirikkale, Kirikkale, Turkey
24	56	¹⁶ Department of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, Kanuni Sultan Suleyman Training and
25	57	Research Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
26	58	¹⁷ Department of Cardiovascular and Thoracic Sciences, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario
27 28	59	"A Gemelli" - IRCCS, University of the Sacred Heart, Rome, Italy
20 29	60	¹⁸ Charité, Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, and Berlin Institute of
30	61	Health, Comprehensive Allergy Center, Department of Dermatology and Allergy, Berlin,
31	62	Germany
32	63	¹⁹ MACVIA-France, Montpellier, France
33 34	64	²⁰ Institute of Pathophysiology and Allergy Research; Center of Pathophysiology, Infectiology
34 35	65	and Immunology; Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
36	66	²¹ Public Health Research Center, NOVA University of Lisbon, Lisboa, Portugal
37	67	²² Department of Allergy, Marqués de Valdecilla University Hospital-IDIVAL, Santander, Spain
38	68	²³ Department of Respiratory Medicine and Allergology, Institute for Clinical Science, Skane
39 40	69	University Hospital, Lund University, Lund, Sweden
40 41	70	²⁴ Department of Respiratory Medicine, First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University and
42	71	Thomayer Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
43	72	²⁵ Department of Clinical Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Groningen, University
44	73	Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands
45	74	²⁶ Allergy Unit, IBIMA-Regional University Hospital of Malaga, UMA, RETICS ARADyAL,
46 47	75	BIONAND, Malaga, Spain
48	76	²⁷ Division of Immunology and Allergy, Food Allergy and Anaphylaxis Program, The Hospital
49	77	for Sick Children, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
50	78	²⁸ Translational Medicine Program, Research Institute, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto,
51	79	Ontario, Canada
52 53	80	²⁹ Department of Immunology, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
55	81	³⁰ ZAUM - Center of Allergy and Environment, Technical University and Helmholtz Center
55	82	Munich, Munich, Germany
56	02	
57		
58 59		2
59 60		

Page 5 of 64

Allergy

 ³¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, location AMC, Amsterdam, The Netherlands ³²Department of Allergology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China ³¹Inmuno-Allergology Unit, Hospital Centre of Luxembourg, Luxembourg ³⁴National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, United Kingdom ³⁴University of Wroclaw, Department of Clinical Immunology, Wroclaw Poland ³⁴Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ³⁴Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ³⁴Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ³⁴Center for Rhinology and Pathology, Monash University and Division ⁴⁷Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴⁷Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴⁷Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-University Androug, Germany ⁴⁷Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-University Androug, Germany ⁴⁷Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁷Center for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Svitzerland ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singa	1		
Amsterdam, The Netherlands ************************************	2 3	83	³¹ Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Amsterdam University Medical Centers, location AMC,
 **Uppartment of Allergology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China **Immuno-Allergology Unit, Hospital Centre of Luxembourg, Luxembourg **National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, United Kingdom **ALL-MED Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Poland **ALL-MED Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Poland **ALL-MED Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Poland **ChT Department, General Hospital of Chania, Greece **Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wisebaden, Germany **Allergoly Unit, Hospital University 2 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain **Gean N. Parker Center for Allergy and Asthma Research at Stanford University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia **Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. **Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland **Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Marburg, Germany **Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland **Chreitner Kingdom **Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore. **Department of Indusyngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. **Department of Internuology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular **Melerine, McMaster Immunology Research Centre			
 ⁴³Inmuno-Allergology Unit, Hospital Centre of Luxembourg, Luxembourg ⁴³National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, United Kingdom ⁴³University of Wroclaw, Department of Clinical Immunology, Wroclaw Poland ⁴⁴ALL-MED' Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Poland ⁴⁵ENT Department, General Hospital of Chania, Greece ⁴⁵Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ⁴⁶Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ⁴⁷ENT Department, General Hospital Of Chania, Greece ⁴⁷Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴⁷Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, ⁴⁰Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴¹Department of Allergy, Immunology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and ⁴¹Department of Medicine, Jagellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴²Center for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴³Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, ⁴⁴Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Singapore, Singa		85	³² Department of Allergology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, China
 ³⁰University of Wrocław, Department of Clinical Immunology, Wrocław Poland ³⁰ALL-MED[®] Medical Research Institute, Wrocław, Poland ³¹ENT Department, General Hospital of Chania, Greece ³²Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ³³Allergy Unit, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain ³³Center for Allergy and Asthma Research at Stanford University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁴³Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴³Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴³Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁴⁴Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁵Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Department of Clotaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁶Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁴⁷Orgent Hospital, Beijing, China ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 	7	86	³³ Immuno-Allergology Unit, Hospital Centre of Luxembourg, Luxembourg
 ¹⁰ALL-MED[®] Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Poland ¹⁰ALL-MED[®] Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Poland ¹⁰Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany ¹⁰Allergy Unit, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain ¹⁰Sean N. Parker Center for Allergy and Asthma Research at Stanford University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ¹⁰Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ¹⁰Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ¹⁰Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ¹⁰Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ¹⁰Cepartment of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ¹⁰Center for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ¹⁰Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ¹⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore ¹⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Medrid, Spain ¹¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 			
 ALL-MEU Medical Research Institute, Wroclaw, Proland **ENT Department, General Hospital of Chania, Greece **Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany **Allergy Unit, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain **Sean N. Parker Center for Allergy and Asthma Research at Stanford University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. **Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia **Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. **Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland **Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland **Centre for Inflammation Research, Chill Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, United Kingdom **Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland **Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore **Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing **Orepartment of Otolaryngology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnologia (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain **********************************			
 **Center for Rhinology and Allergology, Wiesbaden, Germany **Allergy Unit, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain **Genter for Allergy and Asttma Research at Stanford University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. **Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia **Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. **Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland **Department of Clorthinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philips-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany **Department of Clorthinolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore **Department of Ioblaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore **Department of Ioblaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore **Department of Ioblaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore **Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain **McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 			
 ³Allergy Unit, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain ³Sean N. Parker Center for Allergy and Asthma Research at Stanford University and Division ⁴Oppartment of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴Oppartment of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴Oppartment of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴Oppartment of Ottorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴Oppartment of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴Oppartment of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁴¹Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁴¹Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁴¹Madrid, Spain ⁴¹Madrid, Spain ⁴¹Department of Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹Department of Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 			
 ⁴⁵Boar N. Parker Center for Allergy and Asthma Research at Stariford University and Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁴⁵Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴⁵Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴⁵Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁴⁶Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁷Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Merony, Germany ⁴⁵Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵²McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 			
 of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA. ⁴¹Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴²Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴³Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁴⁴Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴⁵Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Inmunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁴¹¹¹¹ ⁴¹¹²Singapore, Michaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹¹³Singapore, Michaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹¹⁴Singapore ⁴¹¹⁵Singapore ⁴¹¹⁶Singapore ⁴¹¹⁶Mediaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹¹⁷Singapore ⁴¹¹⁸Singapore ⁴¹¹⁹Singapore ⁴¹¹⁹Singapore ⁴¹¹⁹Singapore ⁴¹¹¹Singapore <			
 ⁴¹Department of Immunology and Pathology, Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia ⁴²Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴³Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁴⁰Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴⁰Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universitat Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Line and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁰Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Bioteonología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁴¹Medister Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 			
 Australia **Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. **Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland **Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany **Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland **Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom **Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland **Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore **Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China **Department of Otolaryngology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain **McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 112 123 124 125 126 			·
 ⁴²Department of Allergy, Immunology and Respiratory Medicine, Central Clinical School, Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. ⁴³Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁴⁴Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴⁵Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁴¹¹¹¹Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵¹⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁴¹¹⁴Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹¹⁵Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹¹⁶Tital ⁴¹¹⁷Tital ⁴¹¹⁸Tital ⁴¹¹⁷Tital ⁴¹¹⁸Tital ⁴¹¹⁸Tital ⁴¹¹⁸Tital ⁴¹¹⁹Tital ⁴¹¹⁹Tital ⁴¹¹⁹Tital ⁴¹¹⁹Tital ⁴¹¹⁰Tital ⁴¹¹⁰Tital ⁴¹¹¹Tital <l< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></l<>			
 ⁴³Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University ⁴³Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University ⁴³Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and ⁴⁴Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁵Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, ⁵⁰Switzerland ⁴⁷Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁷Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, ⁴¹Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular ⁴¹Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹Department of Inversity, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴²Department of Singapore ⁴³Ita ⁴⁴Ita ⁴⁴Ita ⁴⁴Ita ⁴⁴Ita ⁴⁴Ita ⁴⁴Ita ⁴⁵Ita ⁴⁵Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁶Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁸Ita ⁴⁷Ita ⁴⁸Ita ⁴⁸I			
 ³⁹Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University ³⁰College Cork, Cork, Ireland ⁴⁰Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and ⁴¹Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, ⁴⁰Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁰Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, ⁵⁰Switzerland ⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁰Department of Iotolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁵¹Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, ⁴¹Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular ⁴¹Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴²Department of Intersity, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴³Department of Intersity, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴⁴Department of Intersity, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴⁵Department of Intersity, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 		98	Monash University and The Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia.
 ⁴¹Department of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery, Section of Rhinology and Allergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany ⁴⁵Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland ⁴⁶Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹Ba ⁴¹Department Jane University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴³Department Jane University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴⁴Department Jane University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁶Department Jane University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴⁶Letting ⁴⁷Letting ⁴⁸Letting ⁴⁸Letting ⁴⁹Letting ⁴⁹Letting ⁴⁹Letting ⁴¹Department Jane University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹Letting ⁴¹Letting ⁴¹Letting ⁴¹Letting ⁴²Letting ⁴³Letting ⁴⁴Letting ⁴⁴Letting ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁴Letting ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁶Letting ⁴⁶Letting ⁴⁶Letting ⁴⁷Letting ⁴⁷Letting ⁴⁸Letting ⁴⁸Letting ⁴⁹Letting ⁴⁹Letting ⁴¹Letting ⁴¹Letting ⁴¹Letting ⁴²Letting ⁴³Letting ⁴⁴Letting ⁴⁴Letting ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁵Letting ⁴⁵		99	⁴³ Department of Medicine and School of Microbiology, APC Microbiome Ireland, University
Alergy, University Hospital Marburg, Philipps-Universität Marburg, Marburg, Germany **Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland **Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom **Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland **Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore **Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China **Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain **Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada **Ite *			
 Antergy, Ontrotestry Hospital Manualy, Thispital Manualy, Manualy, Centraly and Manualy, Centraly and Manualy, Centraly Manualy, Centraly Manualy, Centraly Manualy, Centraly and Accenter for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom 4⁴⁰Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom 4⁴⁰Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom 4⁴⁰Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Switzerland 4⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore 4⁴⁰Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China 5¹⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain 5¹¹¹¹Mathida, Spain 5¹¹¹²McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 112 113 114 114 115 115 116 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 			
 ⁴⁶Centre for Inflammation Research, Child Life and Health, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁵¹Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁵¹L2 ⁵²L2 ⁵³L2 ⁵⁴L2 ⁵⁵L2 			
 Edinburgh, United Kingdom ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, Switzerland ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 110 122 123 124 125 126 			
 ³¹ 106 ⁴⁷Christine Kühne - Center for Allergy Research and Education (CK-CARE), Davos, ³² 107 Switzerland ³³ 108 ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of ³⁵ Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ³⁶ Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, ⁴⁰ Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular ⁴³ Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴⁴ 117 ⁴⁵ 118 ⁴⁷ 119 ⁴⁸ 120 ⁴⁹ 121 ⁵¹ 126 ⁵⁵ 126 			
 Switzerland ³³ 108 ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing ⁷¹ Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁸⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, ⁸¹ Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular ⁸¹ Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁸¹ 118 ⁸¹ 129 ⁸¹ 120 ⁸¹ 120 ⁸¹ 120 ⁸¹ 121 ⁸¹ 121 ⁸¹ 122 ⁸¹ 123 ⁸¹ 124 ⁸¹ 125 ⁸³ 124 ⁸³ 126 ⁸³ 126 ⁸³ 126 ⁸³ 126 ⁸⁴ 125 ⁸⁵ 126 ⁸⁵ 126 ⁸⁵ 126 ⁸⁵ 126 			
 ³³ 108 ⁴⁸Department of Otolaryngology, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹ 117 ⁴⁵ 118 ⁴⁷ 119 ⁴⁸ 120 ⁴⁹ 121 ⁵¹L22 ⁵² 123 ⁵³ 124 ⁵⁴ 125 ⁵⁶ 126 	32		
 Singapore, Singapore Singapore, Singapore ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 112 113 114 114 114 116 116 117 118 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 129 129 129 120 120 120 121 121 121 121 121 122 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 128 129 129<td></td><td></td><td></td>			
 ⁴⁹Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing Tongren Hospital, Beijing, China ⁵⁰Department of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 112 113 114 114 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 122 123 124 125 126 126 126 126 126 127 128 128 129 129 121 121 121 122 122 123 124 125 126 126 126 127 128 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 129 129 120 120 121 121 121 122 123 124 125 126 126 127 128 128 129 129 120 120 121 122 12		109	
 ¹¹¹ Totsport of Immunology and Oncology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología (CNB)-CSIC, ³⁹ Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular ⁴¹ Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴¹ 117 ⁴⁵ 118 ⁴⁶ 118 ⁴⁷ 119 ⁴⁸ 120 ⁴⁹ 121 ⁵⁰ 122 ⁵¹ 123 ⁵³ 124 ⁵⁴ 125 ⁵⁵ 126 	36	110	⁴⁹ Department of Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery and Department of Allergy, Beijing
 ³⁹ 112 ³⁹ 113 ³⁰ Madrid, Spain ⁵¹McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular ⁴¹ 114 ⁵¹McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada ⁴³ 116 ⁴⁴ 117 ⁴⁶ 118 ⁴⁷ 119 ⁴⁸ 120 ⁴⁹ 121 ⁵¹ 122 ⁵² 123 ⁵³ 124 ⁵⁴ 125 ⁵⁵ 126 			
40 113 Madrid, Spain 41 114 ⁵¹ McMaster Immunology Research Centre (MIRC), Department of Pathology and Molecular 42 115 Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 43 116 44 117 45 118 47 119 48 120 49 121 50 122 51 122 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 57 38 59 3			
 42 115 Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 43 116 44 117 46 118 47 119 48 120 49 121 50 122 51 122 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 59 	40		
43 116 44 117 45 118 46 118 47 119 48 120 49 121 50 122 51 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 59 59 3			
44 117 45 118 47 119 48 120 49 121 50 122 51 122 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 59			Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, Untano, Canada
45 46 118 47 119 48 120 49 121 50 122 51 123 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 3 59 3	44		
47 119 48 120 49 121 50 122 51 122 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 59			
 48 49 49 121 50 122 51 52 123 53 54 55 55 56 57 58 59 			
50 121 51 122 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 59			
51 122 52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 59		121	
52 123 53 124 54 125 55 126 56 57 58 3 59 3		122	
54 125 55 126 56 57 57 58 59 3	52		
⁵⁵ 126 56 57 58 59			
56 57 58 59 3			
58 59	56	120	
59			
			3

³ 127 Abstract

In December 2019, China reported the first cases of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). This disease, caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has developed into a pandemic. To date it has resulted in ~5.6 million confirmed cases and caused 353,334 related deaths worldwide. Unequivocally, the COVID-19 pandemic is the gravest health and socio-economic crisis of our time. In this context, numerous questions have emerged in demand of basic scientific information and evidence-based medical advice on SARS-CoV-2 and COVID-19. Although the majority of the patients show a very mild, self-limiting viral respiratory disease, many clinical manifestations in severe patients are unique to COVID-19, such as severe lymphopenia and eosinopenia, extensive pneumonia, a "cytokine storm" leading to acute respiratory distress syndrome, endothelitis, thrombo-embolic complications and multiorgan failure. The epidemiologic features of COVID-19 are distinctive and have changed throughout the pandemic. Vaccine and drug development studies and clinical trials are rapidly growing at an unprecedented speed. However, basic and clinical research on COVID-19-related topics should be based on more coordinated high-quality studies. This paper answers pressing questions, formulated by young clinicians and scientists, on SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and allergy, focusing on the following topics: virology, immunology, diagnosis, management of patients with allergic disease and asthma, treatment, clinical trials, drug discovery, vaccine development and epidemiology. Over 140 questions were answered by experts in the field providing a comprehensive and practical overview of COVID-19 and allergic disease.

147
 148
 148
 149
 149
 147
 148
 149
 149
 149
 140
 140
 140
 141
 141
 141
 142
 143
 144
 144
 145
 145
 146
 147
 147
 148
 149
 149
 149
 140
 140
 141
 141
 141
 141
 142
 143
 144
 144
 145
 145
 146
 147
 148
 149
 149
 149
 140
 140
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141
 141

Abbreviations: ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; AIT, allergen immunotherapy; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; BCG, Bacillus Calmette-Guerin; BSL, biosafety level; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; CP, convalescent plasma; CSS, cytokine storm syndrome; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; ICU, intensive care unit; IFN, Interferon; IL, interleukin; MERS, Middle East respiratory syndrome; PPE, personal protective equipment; RSV, respiratory syncytial virus; RT-PCR, reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2; Th, T helper, TMPRSS2, transmembrane protease serine 2; WHO, World Health Organization.

44 158

2 3 5 7 3 9 0 1 2 3 4 5		
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 		
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37		
38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47		
48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57		
58 59 60		

Table of contents	Page
Introduction	7
1. SARS-CoV-2 virology	7
2. Immunology of COVID-19	9
2.1 B cell and antibody responses	9
2.2. Type 2 responses and eosinophils	1
2.3. T cells and lymphopenia	1
2.4 Immunopathology, immunosuppression and immune regulation	1
3. Diagnosis of COVID-19	1
4. Organization of allergy outpatient clinics and laboratories during the COVID-19 pandemic	1
5. COVID-19 and allergic disease	2
5.1 Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis	2
5.2 Chronic rhinosinusitis and other upper respiratory tract diseases	2

$\begin{array}{c}1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\1\\1\\1\\2\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1$	163 164 165 166
52	166
53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	167

5.3 Asthma	
5.4 Atopic dermatitis and other skin lesions	
5.5 Drug hypersensitivity	
5.6 Handling of allergen immunotherapy (AIT) during the COVID-19 pandemic	
6. Treatment of COVID-19	
7. Clinical trials and drug discovery in COVID-19	
8. Vaccine development for COVID-19	
9. Epidemiology of COVID-19 and environmental factors	
Conclusion	
References	

168 Main text

⁵₆ 169 Introduction

The first cases of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19), caused by the novel severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), were reported in China in December 2019¹ and rapidly led to pandemic. Currently, around 5.6 million confirmed cases of COVID-19 and 353,334 COVID-19-related deaths have been reported globally.² These numbers, which are still rising, likely underestimate the cumulative incidence of COVID-19 due to several factors; these include limitations of current diagnostic tests, the extent of population testing and reporting, and the type and timing of community mitigation strategies adopted by each country, among others.³ COVID-19 shows a complex clinical profile with many different presentations. Like in many other viral infections, subclinical, mild, moderate, or severe cases (10-20% of patients require hospitalization and 2-4% intensive care unit, ICU) presenting with or without pneumonia are observed. Asymptomatic cases are common but, to date, there is a lack of epidemiological surveys that provide a clear percentage of asymptomatic cases.^{4,5}

The COVID-19 pandemic is the world's gravest public health crisis of the 21st century, and there is an urgent need for reliable and updated scientific and clinical information. COVID-19 is a zoonosis that has now spread worldwide, and it will be practically impossible to eradicate the SARS-CoV-2 virus without vaccination. The main guestion will be to learn how to cope with this virus, as COVID-19 is becoming an important reason for morbidity and mortality in many countries. The aim of this paper is to provide short answers to pressing questions on epidemiology, virology, immunology, diagnostics, and treatment as well as optimal management of allergic diseases during the COVID-19 pandemic. These 144 answers are provided by a group of expert scientists and physicians, mainly from EAACI, and are grouped in 9 sections. All the information will be updated in the light of new upcoming evidence.

³⁶ 192 Section 1: SARS-CoV-2 virology

³⁷₃₈ 193 What is known about the origin of SARS-CoV-2?

The coronavirus family has caused zoonotic diseases such the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS). While a direct ancestor of SARS-CoV-2 is unknown, it is closely related to β -coronaviruses in bats and pangolins, which are likely its original reservoir. SARS-CoV-2 could have risen by selection in the animal host followed by zoonotic transfer and acquisition of further mutations in humans. It is possible that, during undetected human-to-human transmission, the virus mutated, optimizing the binding of its spike protein to human angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2). Importantly, available SARS-CoV-2 genetic data do not evince an intentional human manipulation of the virus.6

⁴⁸ 49 202

50 203 What are the strains of SARS-CoV-2?

Three SARS-CoV-2 variants have been identified (A, B, and C), which differ in their amino acid sequences. The ancestral type A and the mutated type C are found in significant proportions outside East Asia, mainly in Europe and in the USA. Type B, which has mutated and spread, is the most common strain in East Asia.⁷ Continuous genome sequencing of virus mutations is needed to monitor the pandemic.

What are the receptors used by SARS-CoV-2 for cell entry?

SARS-CoV-2 binds to ACE2 via its spike protein to enter human cells⁸. Cell entry is facilitated by the host serine protease TMPRSS2 that cleaves the spike protein into S1 and S2 fragments, thus enabling cellular membrane fusion (Figure 1).9 ACE2 is highly expressed in the lungs, small intestine, kidney and heart, but not in innate and adaptive immune cells.¹⁰⁻¹³ SARS-CoV-2 also binds to CD147 (known as basigin or extracellular matrix metalloproteinase inducer), which is expressed in human airway and kidney epithelium, as well as in innate cells and lymphocytes¹⁴. Receptors reported for other coronaviruses, such as CD26, aminopeptidase N and glutamyl aminopeptidase could be utilized by SARS-CoV-2 for cell invasion.^{13,15,16}

Are there ACE2 polymorphisms that affect COVID-19 severity?

There is limited evidence about COVID-19-associated polymorphisms. ACE might be one of the candidate genes that influences pneumonia progression in SARS. It is conceivable that the D allele influences the renin-angiotensin system via elevation of serum or local ACE levels, which may damage the endothelium or epithelium of the lungs.¹⁷ The variance in COVID-19 prevalence and mortality cannot be explained by an ACE insertion or deletion polymorphism alone, or one polymorphism of any single gene. However, polymorphisms in genes of toll-like receptors, inflammasome, intracellular molecular sensors, interferons (IFNs)¹⁸ and interleukins (ILs) may contribute.

What are the main SARS-CoV-2 molecules eliciting the immune response?

Structural proteins of SARS-CoV-2 virions, such as the spike glycoprotein, envelope, membrane and nucleocapsid, are the main immunogenic molecules (Figure 1).^{19,20} SARS-CoV-2 adaptive responses develop mainly to the spike protein, and immunodominant T and B cell epitopes have been reported.²¹ Intracellularly, the viral RNA replicase complex, and non-structural and translated proteins, activate innate immune pathways. This leads to an IFN type I response, NF-kB activation in epithelial cells, as well as activation of NLRP3 and other inflammasomes, in macrophages and dendritic cells.²⁰

What are the main structural differences of SARS-CoV-1 and -2?

The spike protein of SARS-CoV-2 has a receptor-binding domain that binds ACE2 with higher affinity than SARS-CoV.⁸ In addition, the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein harbors a polybasic furin cleavage site (PRRAR) with an insertion of 4 amino acid residues, which is distinct from that found in SARS-CoV and other SARS-like viruses. This allows effective cleavage by furin and other proteases and determines viral infectivity and host range.⁶

Are there any similarities in the immune response to human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and SARS-CoV-2?

The severe lymphopenia observed in COVID-19²² is similar to that reported in HIV infection and acquired immune deficiency syndrome. The latter is characterized by CD4+ T cell lymphopenia, whereas COVID-19 causes general lymphopenia. However, severe lymphopenia development in COVID-19 happens in weeks, whereas HIV-induced lymphopenia takes years.²³ HIV and SARS-

patients?

CoV-2 are RNA viruses and follow similar replication pathways; hence some RNA replication

There are 2 strains of SARS-CoV-2 that are clinically relevant. Genome analysis of SARS-CoV-

2 from human samples shows high rates of mutation and deletion in several viral genes, including

the spike-glycoprotein gene.²⁵ COVID-19 treatments, including future vaccination against SARS-

CoV-2, may drive the genetic evolution of the virus affecting virulence and pathogenicity. For

example, a report on a 382-nt deletion in ORF8 of SARS-CoV2 isolated from patients in Singapore

implied that mutations may arise as a result of human adaptation and could be associated with

attenuation.²⁶ Nevertheless, the emergence of a SARS-CoV-3 is possible as long as there is close

For how long is SARS-CoV-2 detected in oral and respiratory secretions from COVID-19

Data from 96 COVID-19 patients in China show SARS-CoV-2 detection in respiratory samples for

a median of 18 days (13-29 days). In this study, sputum and saliva were not analyzed separately.

Viral shedding was significantly longer in patients with severe disease, with a median of 21 days

(14-30 days), compared to mild disease, 14 days (10-21 days). Furthermore, glucocorticoids

treatment longer than 10 days significantly extended the duration of SARS-CoV-2 shedding.²⁷

Viral load differed significantly by sample type, with respiratory samples showing the highest.

followed by stool samples, and serum samples showing the lowest.27 Viral load range from

 1.34×10^{11} copies per mL to 7.52×10^5 in sputum of patients who died or survived, respectively.²⁸

contact between humans and living animals that harbor coronaviruses.

Is the generation of mutated and more pathogenic strains of SARS-CoV-2 expected?

- 275
 276 What is the relevance of the fecal–oral route in SARS-CoV-2 transmission?

drugs may work in both diseases.²⁴

SARS-CoV-2 infects gastrointestinal ACE2-expressing epithelial cells causing diarrhea in adults and children. It is frequently found in stool samples by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (Figure 2). Importantly, replicating SARS-CoV-2 has been isolated from stool. The median duration of the virus in stool samples (22 days, interguartile range 17-31 days) was significantly longer than in respiratory samples (18 days, 13-29 days.²⁷ Thus, fecal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 is possible and might contribute to the spreading of COVID-19. ^{29,30}

- 41 283
- 43 284 Section 2: Immunology of COVID-19
 44
- 45 285 2.1 B cell and antibody responses
 46

What is the time of seroconversion and duration of IgM and IgG responses against SARS 287 CoV-2?

From previous SARS studies, it is known that the median seroconversion time for detectable IgG was 17 days after infection.³¹ Detectable levels of SARS-specific IgG and neutralizing antibodies persisted for up to 720 days. This suggests that there is antibody-mediated protection from SARS-CoV recurrent infection for up to 2 years.³² There are inconsistent reports on the humoral response to SARS-CoV-2. One study with 285 COVID-19 patients reported that SARS-CoV-2 virus-specific IgG and IgM peaked 17-19 days and 20-22 days after symptom onset,

respectively.³³ On the other hand, another study of 26 hospitalized COVID-19 patients showed that seroconversion could take up to 50 days.³⁴ These discrepancies may be related to the time of SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis or the clinical characteristics of each cohort and warrant additional studies.

Are there differences in the SARS-CoV-2 antibody response between asymptomatic and symptomatic patients?

Preliminary findings indicate that asymptomatic and mild cases of COVID-19 can generate detectable levels of SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies in serum. However, seroconversion is observed less frequently in asymptomatic compared to mild or severe cases, and many asymptomatic cases yield undetectable SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody responses.^{33,35-37} So far, no robust data are available on the qualitative differences in humoral responses between asymptomatic and symptomatic COVID-19 patients.

Children tend to have mild forms of COVID-19, what is known about the specificity and affinity of their SARS-CoV-2 antibody response?

It is not clear which molecular mechanisms underlie the milder symptoms of COVID-19 in children as compared to adults. Children may mount a SARS-CoV-2 antibody response characterized by more efficient production of the so-called natural antibodies, which arise from activated IgM+ memory B cells,³⁸ These cells, which are more prevalent in children than in adults, presumably produce broadly neutralizing antibodies early during the infection. Young children also frequently carry other respiratory viruses, which potentially limit SARS-CoV-2 infection, as reported for other viral infections.³⁹ Differences between children and adults in the regulation of ACE2 expression may also play a role.³⁸ ACE2 mRNA expression was high in type I and II alveolar epithelial cells, in nasal and oral mucosa and nasopharynx, in smooth muscle cells and endothelium of vessels from the stomach, small intestine, colon, and in the kidney of human adults (mean age 52±22).40 Interestingly, a recent study demonstrated age-dependent ACE2 gene expression in the nasal epithelium, which was lowest in younger children and increased with age.⁴¹ In addition, CD147, CD26 and their molecular interaction proteins seem to be differently expressed in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and T cells in children in comparison with adults.¹⁴

The generation of B-cell memory contributes to long-term immunity. Has the extent and quality of SARS-CoV-2 memory responses been evaluated?

B cell receptor-sequencing has been conducted in the blood of COVID-19 patients. Naive B cells exhibited little clonal expansion, whereas CD27+CD38+ memory B cells showed the highest expansion levels among diverse B cell subsets. COVID-19 patients had significantly expanded specific B-cell receptor clones compared to those in the healthy controls. These findings suggest that B cells experience unique clonal variable, diversity, and joining gene segment rearrangements upon SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁴² The lifespan and functionality of these B cells remain to be elucidated.

Is the immunity acquired during the first COVID-19 wave enough for "herd immunity"?

The term "herd immunity" refers to the generation of population immunity that protects a region, or country, from infection. The number of confirmed COVID-19 cases has reached approximately

 5.6 million.² The world population is estimated to be 7.8 billion. To ascertain the extent of herd immunity, it is pivotal to define the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2-exposed humans. It is thought that 60% is the minimum percentage of symptomatic or asymptomatic COVID-19 population required for herd immunity. That is to say that worldwide herd immunity may occur when □5 billion humans have a protective immune response against SARS-CoV-2. To date, there are no reliable data, particularly on the number of asymptomatic individuals that show seroconversion, to determine the degree of herd immunity.⁴³

Given the role of IL-4 in immunoglobulin class-switching and germinal center reactions, could IL-4 axis-targeting treatments cause defective B cell responses against SARS-CoV 2?

IL-4 is pleiotropic and could theoretically cause negative effects on immune responses. However,
 based on phase II and III studies with dupilumab (an IL-4Rα-specific monoclonal antibody that
 blocks IL-4 and IL-13 signaling) in the context of atopic dermatitis, chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal
 polyps and asthma, no increased risk of infections to viral or bacterial pathogens have been
 documented.⁴⁴ Furthermore, dupilumab had no impact on responses to non-live vaccines.⁴⁵

355 2.2 Type 2 responses and eosinophils

Does type 2 airway inflammation protect against COVID-19?

Allergic airway disease patients appear to be underrepresented among COVID-19 patients. This could be partly attributed to the low ACE2 expression detected in allergic patients, with or without concomitant asthma.46 Furthermore, allergen challenge, which induces T helper (Th)-2 inflammation, has been shown to reduced ACE2 expression in a murine model of asthma, and ACE2 expression was inversely associated with type 2 biomarkers (IL-13, IgE, exhaled nitric oxide fraction).⁴⁷ These results are in line with previous work showing that decreased ACE expression in the airway epithelium of asthmatic subjects was associated with eosinophilic inflammation.48 Altogether, these data suggest that type 2 airway inflammation may reduce the susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 infection by reduction of ACE2 expression.

368 Some COVID-19 patients present with eosinophilic inflammation. Is it a Th2-driven 369 response against the virus or an innate immune response?

Eosinopenia has been reported in 50-70% of severe COVID-19 patients. A minority of COVID-19 patients present with eosinophilic inflammation.^{22,49} The Th1/Th2 cytokine balance may play a role, particularly as it pertains to IL-5, which promotes eosinophilopoiesis and eosinophil survival and activation. Eosinophilic inflammation suggests the dominance of type 2 inflammation, which may play a protective role against SARS-CoV-2. On the other hand, it may be the result of a hypersensitivity reaction to drugs used to treat COVID-19.50-52

⁴⁹ 376 50 077

377 Do eosinophils exert antiviral activity in COVID-19 patients?

Anti-IL-5 treatment, which induces eosinophil deficiency, results in a higher viral load in influenza and rhinovirus infection. This might be due to the ability of eosinophils to bind and inactivate the influenza A virus and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV).⁵³ A similar role seems possible in SARS-CoV-2 infection, where type-2 asthma patients potentially benefit from antiviral eosinophil

responses. On the other hand, COVID-19 post-mortems did not show lung eosinophilia⁵¹, which

 argues against its local protective role in SARS-CoV-2 infection, although it is important to control for glucocorticoid-driven eosinophil reduction in these studies.⁵⁰ What is the mechanism underlying eosinopenia in COVID-19 patients? Eosinopenia is commonly reported in severe COVID-19.54,55 The underlying mechanisms are largely unknown and most likely multifactorial. A number of possible explanations have been proposed: decreased eosinophilopoiesis; defective eosinophil egression from the bone marrow; and eosinophil apoptosis induced by type 1 IFN released during the acute infection.⁵⁰ Also, increased eosinophil migration and retention within inflamed tissues has been described,⁵⁶ but disputed for the aforementioned reasons.⁵¹ Do eosinophil-targeting biologics affect COVID-19 patients? There is no evidence for an enhanced susceptibility of patients on anti-IL-5/IL-5R treatment to develop viral infections. Observational studies in COVID-19 patients reported elevated eosinophil counts with a favorable outcome, whereas eosinopenia was observed in more severe cases.^{22,57} Neither was there proof of causation nor evidence for enhanced tissue presence in lungs of COVID-19 patients.58 Do IL-5-targeting therapies for asthma have a protective effect in COVID-19 patients with severe asthma who are already receiving these therapies? There is neither evidence for a protective effect of these biologicals nor a negative effect regarding SARS-CoV-2 infection. Importantly, maintaining proper asthma control is imperative and so is to follow up on severe asthmatics during the COVID-19 pandemic, for example via telemedicine.⁴⁴ 2.3 T cells and lymphopenia Is T cell function impaired in SARS-CoV-2 infection? SARS-CoV-2 infects human T cells via CD147-binding.⁵⁹ T cells are severely affected by SARS-CoV-2, which reduces T cell counts nearly 2 times below the reference limit. This effect is more pronounced in critically ill COVID-19 patients.49,60,61 What is the specificity of the T-cell response generated in COVID-19 patients? Circulating SARS-CoV-2-specific CD8+ and CD4+ T cells have been reported in ~70% and 100% of COVID-19 convalescent patients, respectively.⁶² CD4+ T cell responses to spike were robust and correlated with SARS-CoV-2-specific-IgG and -IgA titers. The M, spike and N proteins each accounted for 11-27% of the total CD4+ response, with additional responses commonly targeting nsp3, nsp4, ORF3a and ORF8, among others. For CD8+ T cells, spike and M proteins were recognized, with at least 8 SARS-CoV-2 ORFs targeted. Interestingly, SARS-CoV-2-reactive CD4+ T cells were detected in ~40-60% of unexposed individuals, which indicate cross-reactive T cell recognition between circulating 'common cold' coronaviruses and SARS-CoV-2.62 Is a long-term T-cell memory established during SARS-CoV-2 infection?

Allergy

Three SARS-recovered individuals, 9- and 11-years post-infection, were analyzed for T-cell

responses against 550 SARS-CoV peptides that may share homology with MERS-CoV. SARS-

specific memory T cells persisted at 9 and 11 years post-SARS infection in the absence of antigen

exposure.⁶³ Based on these data, it is likely that specific SARS-CoV-2 epitopes elicit a persistent

T cell response, which may also confer protection against other 'common cold' coronaviruses.⁶²

However, long-term studies on the natural history of SARS-CoV-2 infection are pending.

432 What are the hypothetical mechanisms of lymphopenia?

Different mechanisms have been proposed for lymphopenia: 1) T cell exhaustion. The expression of programmed cell death-1 marker (also known as PD-1), which is associated with T-cell exhaustion, was higher in T cells from COVID-19 patients than in healthy controls; the expression of PD-1 and Tim-3 (another exhaustion marker) increased as COVID-19 progressed.⁶⁴ 2) Activation of the P53 signaling in lymphocytes, which suggests a role for apoptosis for in lymphopenia. 3) Lymphocyte pyroptosis, which induces lymphopenia and may be proinflammatory.⁶⁵ 4) T-cell infection with SARS-CoV-2, which may also cause a cytopathic effect on infected T cells. 5) Other mechanisms of lymphopenia that remain to be studied are bone marrow suppression during cytokine storm syndrome (CSS; see below) and sequestration in the lungs during extensive bilateral pneumonia.49

25 443

²⁶ 444 Can lymphopenia be an early predictor of COVID-19 severity?

Lymphopenia can be used as an early predictor of severity and clinical outcome. A significant reduction in lymphocyte counts was common in severe and critically ill COVID-19 patients. A continuing or gradual decrease of lymphocyte counts was indicative of poor prognosis and usually required ICU admission (Table 1)⁴⁹. In agreement with this, a number of studies have identified lymphopenia as an independent risk factor for mortality in COVID-19.^{22,66}

³³ ₃₄ 450

451 Which cells are particularly decreased in lymphopenia?

In COVID-19 patients, decreases were observed in total lymphocytes, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, B cells and natural killer cells. T cell and natural killer cell counts were below normal levels, while B cell counts were at the low end of the normal range. A reduction in specific subsets of lymphocytes, such as CD16+CD56+ natural killer cells and regulatory T cells, was reported in severe COVID-19 patients.49

2.4 Immunopathology, immunosuppression and immune regulation

45 460 *What is meant by CSS*?

461 CSS is associated with a wide variety of diseases, both infectious and noninfectious. It is a
 462 complex cascade of multicellular activation events that leads to an excessive or uncontrolled
 463 release of proinflammatory cytokines. CSS-associated inflammation begins at a local site and
 464 spreads throughout the body via the systemic circulation and can cause multi-organ failure and
 465 hyper-ferritinemia.^{49,67}

52 465 53 466

 467 Which cells are critically contributing to CSS in severe COVID-19?

CSS encompasses the activation of large numbers of blood cells, including B cells, natural killer cells, macrophages, dendritic cells, neutrophils, monocytes, resident tissue cells and epithelial and endothelial cells. Their activation cause a massive release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. which drives pathology.⁶⁸ The cells involved in CSS during COVID-19 have not been fully determined yet. In SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV infection, airway epithelial cells, dendritic cells and macrophages were the most important cell types releasing a large amount of proinflammatory cytokines.49,69

Which cytokines are most elevated during CSS?

Multiple proinflammatory cytokines and inflammasome activation may contribute to CSS pathogenesis.⁴⁹ Elevated serum ferritin, IL-6, IL-1β, IFN-γ, CXCL10 (known as IP-10) and CCL2 (known as MCP-1) levels have been observed in the pathogenesis of severe COVID-19.^{50,70} A recent study compared 48 cytokines in 53 COVID-19 patients and 8 healthy individuals and found that 14 of them were increased in COVID-19: IFN-γ, IL-1Rα, IL-2Rα, IL-6, IL-10, IL-18, hepatocyte growth factor, monocyte chemotactic protein-3, monokine induced y-IFN, macrophage colony-stimulating factor, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, macrophage inflammatory protein 1_{α} , cutaneous T-cell-attracting chemokine and IP-10. A consistently high level of IP-10, monocyte chemotactic protein-3 and IL-1R α was associated with deterioration and fatal outcome.⁷¹

Which other diseases can also develop CSS?

CCS can also develop in other infectious diseases such as bacterial sepsis, leptospirosis, Ebola and other hemorrhagic fevers, influenza, other pathogenic coronavirus infections including SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV, severe RSV infection, and non-infectious diseases such as blunt trauma, and as a side effect of immune-stimulatory drugs.49,67

Does systemic immunosuppression influence the course of COVID-19?

Immunosuppression is a double-edged sword in viral infections.⁷² Patients receiving systemic immune suppression (e.g., chemotherapy) at the time of infection tend to develop a severe form of the disease. There are conflicting results regarding systemic corticosteroid treatment but their usage is not generally recommended in viral infections.^{49,73,74} However, CSS treatment requires systemic immune suppression. Therefore, immune suppression may facilitate viral infection but, at advanced stages of infection, it may be beneficial to counteract immunopathology due to excessive inflammation or CSS.

Are primary immunodeficiency patients at increased risk to develop severe COVID-19?

Primary immunodeficient patients are a high-risk group in the current pandemic, but to date it is unknown if a particular immunodeficiency poses a higher risk of severe disease. International primary immunodeficiency monitoring is being carried out and few cases have been documented. Patients at higher risk are those with complications resulting from their primary immunodeficiency and strict follow-up must be done in those cases. A consensus has been established that baseline chronic treatment should be continued in those patients if they are asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic. Furthermore, recommendations regarding primary immunodeficient patients adhere to individual national guidelines emphasizing social distancing and strict hygiene measures.

Т

regulatory

cells

- Systematic testing of primary immunodeficient patients is not advised, however recommendations may change as the pandemic evolves.75 What is the role of T regulatory cells in COVID-19 pathogenesis? There are no longitudinal studies analyzing T regulatory cells in COVID-19. A limited number of reported decreased numbers of circulating studies have (CD3+CD4+CD25+CD127low+) as a part of lymphopenia. Further studies are needed to explore their roles in COVID-19 pathogenesis as well as in controlling severe tissue injury or CSS.^{49,76} Does metabolic fitness at the cellular and individual level affect COVID-19? Systemic dysregulation of metabolism, such as that seen in obesity and diabetes is a risk factor of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 infection and of COVID-19 severity⁵⁸. These diseases lead to chronic systemic inflammation, upregulation of SARS-CoV-2 receptors in the lungs and the periphery, and they disturb the glucose and lipid metabolism of tissues and immune cells.^{14,77,78} What is the pathogenesis of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) in COVID-19? ARDS is an acute life-threatening inflammation of the lung due to infection, trauma, or inflammatory conditions. Excessive inflammation leads to alveolar damage and increased permeability of endothelial and epithelial cells. This results in protein-rich fluid accumulation in the interstitium and the air space, which causes impaired gas exchange and hypoxemia. Reactive oxygen species, leukocyte proteases, chemokines, and cytokines also contribute to lung injury. The barrier impairment of the lung microvascular barrier is central to the pathogenesis of ARDS.⁷⁹ Pulmonary vascular endothelial injury in association with the presence of the intracellular virus and disrupted cell membranes contribute to the increase of endothelial permeability. COVID-19 patients with ARDS had a histological pattern of diffuse alveolar damage with perivascular T cell infiltration. In addition, alveolar capillary microthrombi, secondary to endothelial injury, in patients who died of COVID-19 may be an important cause of refractory hypoxia in ARDS.49,74,80,81 What are the clinical phenotypes of ARDS in COVID-19 patients? In COVID-19 patients, ARDS is more common in the elderly, those with multiple comorbidities, and those with continuing or gradually progressing neutrophilia and lymphopenia, and a higher level of C-reactive protein, lactate dehydrogenase, D-dimer and procalcitonin.^{49,74} There are at least 2 clinical phenotypes of ARDS: 1) near normal pulmonary compliance with isolated viral pneumonia; 2) decreased pulmonary compliance.^{81,82} What specific therapies can be suggested for ARDS? Different treatments were suggested for ARDS. Corticosteroid treatment is generally not recommended, although widely used in critically ill patients. Convalescent plasma (CP) was administered to a small number of patients and was associated with virus clearance and clinical improvement (Table 2). Low tidal mechanical ventilation, positive end-expiratory pressure, prone positioning ventilation, and fluid management guidelines were associated with improved outcomes. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation could be used according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria of the EOLIA trial. Other potential therapies such as mesenchymal stem cell therapy and cytokine inhibitors are still in trials and without definite results.49,83

Is Bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccination protective against SARS-CoV-2?

BCG vaccination induces metabolic and epigenetic modifications by enhancing trained immunity (innate immunity to subsequent infections). It was hypothesized that general BCG vaccination policies adopted by different countries might have impacted the transmission patterns and/or COVID-19-associated morbidity and mortality.^{84,85} BCG vaccination in childhood was not protective against SARS-CoV-2 infection in an Israeli cohort.⁸⁶ In contrast, randomized controlled trials of BCG-Danish indicated immunomodulation against pre-COVID-19 respiratory infections with fewer deaths from sepsis and pneumonia. Two clinical Danish trials of BCG-Danish (BRACE and BCG-CORONA) are evaluating its impact on healthcare workers as pertains to COVID-19 infection and severity.87,88

What is the mechanism underlying Kawasaki syndrome in the context of COVID-19?

The mechanisms underlying Kawasaki disease -a generalized vasculitis, in young children, of unknown, potentially post-viral etiology- are poorly understood. The rare COVID-19-associated inflammatory syndrome also features vasculitic changes, affects older children too and is often only associated with positive SARS-CoV-2 serology, but not viral shedding. Its mechanisms need to be elucidated and may include post-infectious, antibody and immune-complex mediated pathology. In adults, there are occasional cases of COVID-19-associated cutaneous vasculitis, possibly a localized manifestation of the disease that leads to severe generalized vasculitis in some children.⁸⁹⁻⁹¹ Interestingly Kawasaki-like disease was not reported in Chinese cases and the first months of European cases. The season of the disease and environmental factors should be considered. The Chinese epidemic was mainly from January to March whereas the USA epidemic started in mid-March and is still ongoing.

Which acute phase reactants are the most decisive ones for the follow-up of COVID-19 patients?

Initial results of acute phase reactants such as C-reactive protein, alanine transaminase, lactate dehydrogenase, D-dimer, procalcitonin, serum ferritin and IL-6 on admission were used to evaluate the severity and predict the mortality. However, dynamic changes of these variables will be more precise in predicting the recovery or progression of COVID-19. Continuing or progressively increasing levels of C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, D-dimer and lactate dehydrogenase were shown to be associated with a high risk of death in severe COVID-19 patients.22,49,92

Section 3: Diagnosis of COVID-19

What are the principal signs of a SARS-CoV-2 infection?

Patients with acute respiratory illness (*i.e.*, fever and at least one sign/symptom of respiratory disease such as cough or shortness of breath) and a history of contact with a confirmed or probable COVID-19 case during the 14 days before symptom onset. Patients with any acute respiratory illness in the context of a pandemic should have SARS-CoV-2 infection in their differential diagnosis. Special attention should be given to patients with sudden onset of anosmia,

1		
2 3		
4	597 598	loss of taste, gastrointestinal symptoms or skin lesions without respiratory symptoms who also have epidemiological links. ^{5,22,93}
5	596 599	
6	600	What is the importance of smell loss in the diagnosis of COVID-19?
7 8	600 601	Smell loss is now a well-established diagnostic symptom of COVID-19 and can be present in
9	602	otherwise asymptomatic patients, making it a useful tool in initial diagnosis. ⁹⁴ This has resulted in
10	603	anosmia to be included in the list of symptoms used in early screening tools for possible COVID-
11	604	19 in many international bodies. ⁹⁴
12 13	605	
14	606	What are the prognostic parameters of severe COVID-19?
15	607	Rapidly progressive respiratory failure and sepsis, elevated serum proinflammatory cytokine
16	608	levels, elevated acute phase reactants (<i>e.g.</i> C-reactive protein), cell-free-hemoglobin-leukopenia
17 18	609	and markers of disseminated intravascular coagulation. ⁹⁵
19	610	ů
20	611	What is the most reliable method to determine positive COVID-19 cases?
21 22	612	RT-PCR to generate cDNA from SARS-CoV-2 RNA extracted from respiratory samples, followed
22	613	by quantitative PCR (Figure 2).96 Common gene targets for SARS-CoV-2 include the envelope,
24	614	nucleocapsid, spike, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and ORF1 genes. It is recommended to
25	615	include in the analysis, at least, 2 target genes. ⁹⁷
26 27	616	
27	617	What is the most suitable location to perform a swab for SARS-CoV-2 detection via RT-
29	618	PCR?
30	619	Nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal (throat) swabs are the primary specimens for SARS-CoV-2
31 32	620	RT-PCR testing. Lower respiratory tract specimens (i.e. sputum, endotracheal aspirate or
33	621	bronchoalveolar lavage) may have higher viral loads and be more likely to yield positive tests.
34	622	However, these locations carry a high risk of aerosolization and therefore should be reserved for
35	623	severe patients with a negative test on an upper respiratory tract specimen and high suspicion for
36 37	624 625	lower respiratory tract SARS-CoV-2 infection.98,99
38	625 626	Is serology a feasible way to screen for SARS-CoV-2 infection at a population level?
39	627	Serology is useful to determine prior exposure to SARS-CoV-2 within a given period of time (the
40 41	628	length of time following infection that one remains positive is unknown). Detection of antibodies
41	629	specific to the receptor binding domain of the spike protein indicates neutralization capacity,
43	630	hence informing better about the development of protective immunity. ^{33,96}
44	631	
45 46	632	What is the relationship between clinical manifestations and SARS-CoV-2
47	633	seroconversion?
48	634	The antibody response occurs later than initiation of symptoms as well as of the detection of viral
49	635	RNA by RT-PCR in respiratory tract specimens, which usually peaks within the first week of
50 51	636	symptom onset (Figure 2). Although antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 have been detected as early as
52	637	the first week after symptom onset, IgM, IgA and IgG seroconversion commonly occurs between
53	638	the 2 nd and 3 rd week of clinical illness onset. Thereafter, IgM starts to decline, reaching low levels
54 55	639	by week 5 and almost disappears by week 7, while IgA and IgG persist beyond this period. ^{33,96,100}
55 56	640	
57		
58		17
59 60		
00		

641 What are the main approaches for the development of a rapid and specific point-of-care 642 diagnostic test for COVID-19?

The main approaches include nucleic acid amplification on respiratory samples using mobile devices (RT-PCR or isothermal nucleic acid amplification) and viral antigens or host antibodies (viral protein fragments) detection using immunoassays.¹⁰¹ However, individual tests need validation in large populations before use and their sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values have to be accurately ascertained. Otherwise, they may lead to COVID-19 under or over diagnosis, thus undermining the public health efforts to control the disease.¹⁰²

14 650 There is a high rate of false negatives with rapid serology tests for SARS-CoV-2, is there 15 651 an alternative method to determine positive cases?

A high rate of false negatives with antigen point-of-care assays may be due to the fact that the majority of patients produce antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 only after the second week after of infection.¹⁰³ Furthermore, an effective antibody response is connected with several determinants, comprising severity of the disease, age and nutritional status of the patient, medications administered and concomitant infections.¹⁰² Nucleic acid amplification using RT-PCR directly targeting the virus is not affected by the above-mentioned limitations.¹⁰⁴ However, false-negative real-time RT-PCR tests for the diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 were reported by Zhang et al. in a retrospective study of 290 hospitalized and confirmed COVID-19 patients in Wuhan, China. Forty-one of them initially tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 and 21/41 tested positive by the second real-time RT-PCR test and an additional 13/41 patients by the third test. Almost all patients (98%) tested positive by the fifth and final test.¹⁰⁵ Patients with an initial positive SARS-CoV-2 result had an increased risk of progressing to severe cases. Altogether, these findings underscore how the timing of the immune response influences RT-PCR tests for SARS-CoV-2, and the importance of combining RT-PCR data with seroconversion for COVID-19 diagnosis.

³³ ₃₄ 666

667 When can a suspected/confirmed case of COVID-19 discontinue home 668 isolation/quarantine?

The decision to discontinue home isolation/quarantine should be adapted to specific groups of patients based on factors such as symptom severity, healthcare systems' capacity, laboratory diagnostic resources and local epidemic status. Patients with suspected or confirmed symptomatic COVID-19 can discontinue self-isolation/guarantine if all the following 4 conditions are met: a) resolution of fever (without the use of fever-reducing medications) for at least 3 days; b) clinical improvement in respiratory symptoms (e.g., cough, shortness of breath) for at least 3 days; c) at least 8 days have passed since the onset of symptoms for mild cases or at least 14 days for severe cases and immunocompromised patients; d) 2 negative RT-PCR tests from respiratory specimens taken 24 hours apart. If there is limited or no testing capacity, the combined symptom/test-based strategy should be reserved to hospitalized COVID-19 cases and healthcare workers, whereas for mild or asymptomatic COVID-19 cases (suspected or confirmed) the symptom-based strategy (condition a) AND b) AND c)) without lab testing is considered acceptable to end the self-isolation period.¹⁰⁶

683 Section 4: Organization of allergy outpatient clinics and laboratories during the COVID-19 684 pandemic

What are the emergency measures in an ongoing allergy clinic during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Strategies for risk minimization should be elaborated, harmonized and followed as such in allergy clinics, centers and practices.¹⁰⁷ In the EAACI/ARIA Position Paper by Pfaar et al.¹⁰⁸ experts in the field have developed practical recommendations for optimizing allergic patients 'care whilst ensuring the safety of all health care professionals (Figure 3). General guidance from national health authorities should be strictly followed (*i.e.*, World Health Organization, WHO; European Centre for Disease Prevention). In-person consultations should be minimized to the lowest necessary level and triaged by telemedicine whenever possible (Figure 4).¹⁰⁹ Special attention should be paid to data-protection in adherence to national data-security and -protection laws. Non-delayable diagnostic and therapeutic measures should strictly follow reasonable preventive measures. Several specific considerations regarding diagnostic and therapeutic measures are important in different allergic diseases (Figure 5). Moreover, socio-psychological aspects play a fundamental role in the care of allergic patients during the current pandemic and should be especially recognized and followed. Stress caused by isolation and stigmatization due to allergic symptoms may amplify the development of allergic symptoms.¹¹⁰

Is pre-visit specific telephonic triage useful to identify patients possibly infected with SARS-CoV-2?

Virtual doctor consultations have been regarded as an alternative to on-site clinical encounters and are increasing during the COVID-19 pandemic.¹⁰⁸ Initially, pre-visit telephonic communication is helpful to screen for patients with potential SARS-CoV-2 infection ¹¹¹ The epidemiological history should be investigated to determine if patients have fever or respiratory symptoms. In addition, pre-visit specific triage improves the efficiency of the patient's visit, thus reducing the length of stay in the hospital. To reduce face-to-face meetings, physicians can train some patients to self-treat at home based on the diagnosis obtained through a telephone consultation.

Should every allergic patient be tested for SARS-CoV-2 prior to coming to the clinic?

A strict screening protocol is needed to identify SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. Ideally, only SARS-CoV-2 negative patients (diagnosed via RT-PCR and/or rapid test) should come to the clinic. In places where systematic testing is unavailable, at least, normal temperature and negative epidemiological history should be mandatory to proceed to the outpatient departments. Patients with a body temperature higher than 37.3°C should have additional screening examinations, including routine blood tests, chest computed tomography scanning and even throat swabs for SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR testing.¹¹²

What should be considered when performing diagnostic procedures during the COVID-19 pandemic?

The indication and urgency of the tests for diagnosis should be considered. Contraindications for skin, provocation and lung function tests can be explained beforehand to the patient, which helps to avoid unnecessary in-person consultations.¹⁰⁸ Any test generating aerosol particles should be avoided because it is considered high risk .

727 What kind of procedures should be performed in biosafety level (BSL)-2 and -3 laboratories 728 during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Personal protective equipment (PPE) must be used when collecting biological samples. Biological samples collected on-site from suspected or confirmed COVID-19 patients (e.g. antibody assays, RNA isolation, flow cytometry) should be processed following BSL-2 practices. During and after the COVID-19 pandemic, the usage of BSL-2 facilities is mandatory for all newly arriving patient samples to prevent spreading the disease. Research procedures involving SARS-CoV-2 isolation

 $11 \\ 12 \\ 734$ or culture should be conducted in a BSL-3 facility.^{108,113}

14 735 Section 5: COVID-19 and allergic disease

¹⁶ 736 **Do patients with allergic diseases have a higher risk of developing severe COVID-19?**

Patients with common allergic diseases do not develop distinct symptoms or severe outcomes. Allergic children show a mild course similar to non-allergic children⁵. In a recent study of 182 hospitalized children, 43 of them were reported with allergies. Allergic rhinitis was the most prevalent allergic disease (83.7%), followed by drug allergy, atopic dermatitis, food allergy and asthma. In this study, allergic children showed a reduced increase in acute phase reactants, procalcitonin, D-dimer and aspartate aminotransferase levels compared to all patients. There were no deaths in allergic children in that study.¹¹⁴

26 27 744 5.1 Allergic rhinoconjunctivitis

745 What is the strategy to distinguish between hay fever/pollen allergy and COVID-19 746 infection?

Clinical history is very helpful to identify seasonality- and exposure-related symptoms driving the diagnosis of pollen-induced allergic rhinitis. An atopy test (in vivo or in vitro) reinforces the diagnosis. However, COVID-19 can be superimposed on allergic rhinitis symptoms.¹⁰⁸ Symptoms such as fever, fatigue and sudden loss of smell, are suggestive of COVID-19 and should be closely monitored.

753 What is the recommended mask to prevent allergic rhinitis symptoms during the COVID-**19** pandemic?

⁴¹ 755
 ⁴² 756
 ⁴³ 757
 ⁴⁴ 757
 ⁴⁵ 758
 ⁴⁵ 758
 ⁴⁶ N95 facial masks have been proven useful in reducing allergen exposure by blocking pollen access to nose and mouth. On the other hand, surgical masks do not protect against inhalation of small airborne contaminants and are not designed to seal tightly against the user's face, hence the contaminated air can pass through the gaps.¹¹⁵

46 759 47 760

Does allergic rhinitis impact the susceptibility of SARS-CoV-2 infection?

There are no conclusive data on the impact of allergic rhinitis on COVID-19 susceptibility¹¹⁶. However, a recent study with 24 allergic rhinitis patients demonstrated a reduction of ACE2 expression in nasal brush samples following an allergen challenge.⁴⁶ Also, this study reported lower ACE2-expression in the epithelium of asthmatic patients. Altogether, these data suggest that allergic rhinitis patients may have lower risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection as compared to non-allergic individuals, but further studies are needed.

768 Are allergic rhinitis patients at higher risk of severe COVID-19?

Although limited, the available evidence suggests that, compared to non-allergic individuals, allergic rhinitis patients are not at higher risk of developing severe COVID-19. In a Chinese cohort of 140 hospitalized COVID-19 adult patients, allergic rhinitis and asthma were not risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 infection.²² A similar finding was also reported in children. In a recent study addressing the clinical characteristics of 182 children with COVID-19, 43 of them had an allergic condition, which was mainly allergic rhinitis (83.7%). In this study, allergic children exhibited a disease progression comparable to that of non-allergic children.¹¹⁴ Prospective trials are urgently needed.

14 777

778 What are the recommendations for patients suffering from severe conjunctivitis and 779 keratoconjunctivitis during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Patients should continue baseline treatment as established by their physician and current guidelines. According to a panel of experts, low-dose corticosteroids or antiallergic eye drops continue to be the first line of treatment for allergic conjunctivitis during the current pandemic. Although there is no evidence on the possible effect of SARS-CoV-2 on patients using ocular immunomodulating drugs, in patients with vernal keratoconjunctivitis and allergic keratoconjunctivitis the use of local immunomodulatory treatment is considered safe in non-infected patients and should be monitored closely in those with active infection. The use of systemic immunosuppressants for severe COVID-19 cases should be considered on an individual basis¹¹⁷.

790 What are the recommendations for patients suffering from allergic rhinitis during the 791 COVID-19 pandemic?

There is no scientific evidence that treatments for allergic rhinitis either increase susceptibility to SARS-CoV-2 infection or the severity of COVID-19. Therefore, allergen avoidance measures, nasal saline douches, and background controller therapies recommended by current guidelines for allergic rhinitis, such as nasal corticosteroids or second-generation H1-blockers, should be continued as prescribed, both in non-infected and COVID-19 diagnosed patients.^{108,116} Such treatments can help reduce the spreading of an eventual SARS-CoV-2 infection by targeting characteristic clinical features of allergic rhinitis, such as sneezing and rhinorrhea, and might prevent unnecessary visits to physicians and emergency departments. Specific recommendations apply to patients with allergic rhinitis treated with AIT or biologics. These therapies should be suspended in COVID-19 diagnosed patients or suspected cases for SARS-CoV-2 infection until resolution of the disease is established (e.g. via a negative SARS-CoV-2 test in connection with clinical recovery).^{108,118}

8 804 5.2 Chronic rhinosinusitis and other upper respiratory tract diseases

50 805 Is there a difference in the mechanism driving anosmia in chronic rhinosinusitis and 51 806 SARS-CoV-2 infection?

The loss of smell in chronic rhinosinusitis is caused by type-2 inflammation of the olfactory epithelium.¹¹⁹ In COVID-19, the exact mechanism of potential olfactory neuropathy is still unclear.¹²⁰ However, a study found that sustentacular cells of the olfactory epithelium express

ACE2 and TMPRSS2, which enable SARS-CoV-2 entry and may subsequently impair the sense of smell.121

Is intranasal corticosteroid treatment recommended for patients with COVID-19 that present with loss of smell?

A considerable percentage of COVID-19 patients experience loss of smell as an early sign of the disease. ⁹⁴ In many patients smell recovers in 1-2 weeks and there is no indication that intranasal corticosteroid treatment has a positive impact on the recovery.¹²² On the other hand, there is no evidence suggesting that this treatment has a negative impact on symptomatology and/or development of COVID-19. Consequently, it is recommended to continue regular intranasal corticosteroid treatment for chronic rhinosinusitis.^{108,116}

What are the recommendations for performing nasal endoscopy surgery in COVID-19 patients?

Diagnostic procedures involving upper airway manipulation, such as nasal endoscopy, should be considered high risk for viral transmission. Before clinical examination, it is recommended to question all patients about contact with confirmed COVID-19 patients, fever, respiratory symptoms and recent sudden loss of smell and/or taste. During nasal endoscopy, distance between the endoscopist and patient can be maximized by using a tower with a camera, screen and light source, rather than using an evepiece. Also, manipulations should be limited if possible, (i.e. nasal inspection vs debridement with suction and/or forceps). The use of local anesthetic sprays can be replaced by alternatives such as soaked pledgets because atomized anesthesia can aerosolize the virus.¹²³ Given that the COVID-19 status of patients consulting the outpatient rhinology clinic is often unknown and the risk of transmission through clinical procedures is high, wearing adequate PPE is mandatory.^{108,124} For all surgical cases, pre-operative screening of the COVID-19 status of the patient is recommended to adapt the PPE accordingly. In case of an emergency where COVID-19 screening would imply an unacceptable time-delay, the patient should be considered as COVID-19 positive and PPE used.¹²³

Is corticosteroid treatment before surgery for chronic rhinosinusitis with nasal polyps recommended during the pandemic? And if so, intranasal or oral?

The use of intranasal corticosteroids does not seem to have a negative impact on symptoms and/or development of COVID-19.¹¹⁶ Therefore, intranasal corticosteroid treatment should be continued perioperatively if possible. The use of corticosteroids either during an exacerbation or perioperatively should be carefully considered on a 'per-patient' basis. Recent guidance from the WHO has advised against the use of systemic corticosteroids if COVID-19 is suspected due to concerns that these agents may impair innate antiviral immune responses.¹²⁵

What are the treatment recommendations for COVID-19 children with chronic otitis media with effusion?

Chronic otitis media with effusion is a common childhood disease usually with the absence of signs or symptoms of acute ear infection. It is therefore preferable to treat COVID-19 first and delay elective surgery. The indication and choice of surgery (e.g., tympanostomy tubes and/or adenoidectomy) should comply with the professional Clinical Practice Guidelines.^{126,127}

5.3 Asthma

How can an asthma exacerbation be differentiated from a SARS-CoV-2 infection?

An asthma exacerbation is difficult to differentiate from COVID-19 ARDS or pneumonia by the patient, especially if it is triggered by rhinovirus, or other common respiratory viruses, because both conditions have dry cough and dyspnea. The British Thoracic Society advises patients with asthma experiencing fever, fatigue and loss of taste or smell to alert their physician as these are indicative of COVID-19.¹²⁸ The distinction can be made by the physician based on the presence of wheeze, which is generally (but not always) absent in COVID-19 pneumonia, as well as high-resolution chest tomography and viral diagnostic tests.¹⁰⁸

Are COVID-19 patients with asthma at a higher risk of severe COVID-19 than the general population?

Patients with controlled asthma are not at higher risk of severe infection than the general population.^{129,130} In fact, ACE2 expression was shown to be decreased in patients with allergic asthma⁴⁶ and in those receiving inhaled corticosteroids.¹³¹ However, ACE2 expression in asthmatic patients was increased in African-Americans, in males and associated with diabetes.⁴⁶ On the other hand, uncontrolled asthma is a risk factor, thus all efforts should be focused on treating asthma by regular use of controller medication, including inhaled corticosteroids and biologicals.132

Are patients on inhaled corticosteroids maintenance treatment at higher risk of infection or more severe COVID-19?

There is no evidence available that patients on inhaled corticosteroids are at higher risk of COVID-19 infection or of more severe symptoms than the general population. It is strongly advised by international scientific societies that patients continue with their routine control medication including inhaled corticosteroids during the pandemic.^{116,133}

Corticosteroids inhibit rhinovirus and RSV-induced cytokine release in vitro. Do inhaled corticosteroids have a protective effect against SARS-CoV-2?

Recent evidence indicates that inhaled corticosteroid treatment reduces the expression of viral membrane receptors used to infect the human airways in a dose-dependent manner.¹³¹ On the other hand, the immune suppression exerted by corticosteroids may impair anti-viral responses.¹²⁵ However, there are no clinical studies investigating the effect of inhaled corticosteroid on SARS-CoV-2 infection rates.

Given the current restrictions, should spirometry and other lung function tests for initial asthma diagnosis be performed?

Spirometry is essential for the diagnosis of new asthma cases as stated by the Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines. Therefore, it should be conducted, but under special conditions (negative pressure chamber, etc.) and only in areas with low SARS-CoV-2 infection incidence. Healthcare providers performing lung function testing need to wear maximum PPE (filtering face-piece particles 2 or 3 face mask, goggles, or disposable face shield covering the front and sides of the face, clean gloves, and clean isolation gowns), and the spirometer devices should be properly

disinfected between patients.¹³⁴ An alternative, less precise, is monitoring morning and evening
 peak expiratory flow variability over a week.^{135,136}

900 Should routine spirometry and lung function control be performed in asthmatic patients 901 during the COVID-19 pandemic?

The Global Initiative for Asthma guidelines state that routine spirometry should be avoided, especially in high-risk areas of COVID-19 transmission. If spirometry needs to be performed, maximum PPE should be used.¹³³ The treatment of asthmatic patients can be monitored using personal devices measuring forced expiratory volume and peak expiratory flow. Many of these devices are equipped with remote transmission functions and thus are amenable for the telemedicine management of patients.137

¹⁷ 909 ¹⁸ 909 Should asthma exacerbations be treated with oral corticosteroids during the COVID-19 ¹⁹ 910 pandemic?

There is no evidence suggesting that the current approach to treat asthmatic patients during an exacerbation should change during the COVID-19 pandemic. Moreover, there is no proof that a short course of systemic corticosteroids impacts the evolution of COVID-19. Thus, oral corticosteroids should be given as usual for the treatment of an asthma exacerbation.^{128,133}In the few cases in which patients are treated with long-term oral corticosteroids in addition to their high dose inhaled corticosteroids this should be continued in the lowest dose possible to prevent exacerbations.¹³³ The cause of the asthma exacerbation should be studied thoroughly to rule out potential exacerbations due to viral infections.⁷⁵

30 919

920 What is the safest way to administer a medication that creates airborne particles during 921 the COVID-19 pandemic?

The preferred treatment is a pressurized metered-dose inhaler with a spacer. Each patient should have an individual spacer, and this should not be shared at home. The use of nebulizers should be avoided when possible because they increase the risk of disseminating viral particles, which could affect other patients and healthcare personnel.¹³³

927 What is the correct way to manage anti-IgE treatment during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Anti-IgE treatment with omalizumab (or other biologics indicated for asthma) should be continued in non-infected patients. Self-administration devices at home, whenever this option is available, are preferred, to minimize face-to-face contact in the clinic. In infected patients, omalizumab administration should be delayed until complete clinical recovery and viral clearance is achieved.44,138

47 933

934 CSS in COVID-19 patients is characterized by increased IL-6 levels. Given that an IL-6/Th17 935 endotype is associated with severe asthma in obese patients, are obese asthmatic patients 936 more likely to develop severe COVID-19?

937 Obesity, as part of the metabolic syndrome, increases the risk of severe COVID-19. This is due
 938 to the pre-existent systemic low-grade inflammation and increased expression of SARS-CoV-2
 939 entry receptors (ACE2, TMPRSS2 and CD147).^{139,140} Obese patients tend to have worse asthma
 940 control, increased hospitalizations and suboptimal response to standard controller therapy. Thus,

1		
2 3	941	
4	941 942	
5	943	
6 7	944	
8		
9	945	
10 11	040	
12	946 947	
13	947 948	
14 15	940 949	
16	9 4 9 950	
17	951	
18	952	
19 20	953	
20	954	
22	955	
23	956	
24 25	957	
26	958	
27	959	
28	960	
29 30	961	
31	962	
32	963	
33	964	
34 35	965	
36	966	
37	967	
38	968	
39 40	969	
41	970 074	
42	971 072	
43 44	972 973	
44 45	973 974	
46	974 975	
47	976	
48 49	977	
50	978	
51	979	
52	980	
53 54	981	
55	982	
56	083	

57 58

59 60

25

941 both difficult-to-control asthma and underlying metabolic syndrome are risk factors for severe
 942 COVID-19. The IL-6/TH17 endotype encountered in late-onset obese asthma might be an
 943 additional risk factor.^{141,142}

945 **5.4 Atopic dermatitis and other skin lesions**

¹ 946 What are the dermatological manifestations of COVID-19?

The dermatological manifestations of COVID-19 range from an un-specific macular erythematous rash, urticarial lesions, chickenpox-like vesicles and acro-ischemic lesions.^{143,144} They can result from local inflammation due to circulating immune complexes or from systemic manifestations leading to vasculitis and thrombosis.¹⁴⁵ These patients are also at increased risk of drug hypersensitivity lesions (**Figure 6**).¹⁴⁶

953 Are patients with epithelial barrier disorders at higher risk of skin complications?

There is no evidence that patients with barrier defects such as atopic eczema have a higher risk for SARS-CoV-2 infection or skin complications during COVID-19. However, patients with atopic dermatitis are often on systemic immunosuppressants and should be monitored closely. Optimal topical treatment regime should also be encouraged in all patients.¹⁴⁷

959 **Does frequent hand washing for COVID-19 prevention increase the risk of atopic** 960 **dermatitis?**

961 Hand hygiene procedures are pivotal to prevent self-infection and virus spreading. However,
 962 extensive water contact enhances dry skin, disturbs the commensal microbiota and leads to
 963 barrier disruption in healthy individuals. Moreover, it exacerbates diseases with an intrinsic barrier
 964 defect such as atopic dermatitis.^{148,149} Effective skin-care after hand hygiene is therefore essential
 965 to prevent barrier disruption and sensitization events. Here, emollients containing hyaluronic acid,
 966 Vitamin E, ceramide or urea are recommended.¹⁵⁰

968 Does dupilumab treatment increase SARS-CoV-2 infection susceptibility?

969 Dupilumab is approved for the treatment of moderate-to-severe atopic dermatitis. First data from 970 Italy on dupilumab-treated non-infected in high epidemic areas, and current evidence from 971 dupilumab trials, suggest no negative effect of dupilumab regarding viral infections¹⁵¹ with reports 972 on a reduced number of herpes simplex superinfections and less bacterial superinfections.¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁴

974 Should dupilumab treatment for atopic dermatitis patients be suspended or modified 975 during the COVID-19 pandemic?

976 The current EAACI statement on the usage of biologicals in the context of COVID-19 advices no
 977 change of therapy in non-infected individuals and to withhold/delay the application of biologicals
 978 for a minimum of two weeks or the resolution of the disease in case of SARS-CoV-2 infection.⁴⁴
 979 This is based on expert opinion in the light of missing data and may be adapted if more information
 980 becomes available.

982 Should patients presenting with acro-vasculitis be studied for coagulation defects and 983 considered for preventive therapy even if they are asymptomatic?

Acro-ischemic lesions on toes and fingers have been identified in a subgroup of COVID-19 patients.^{22,155} The data available are scarce and it is unclear if preventive or active anticoagulation should be initiated. However, acro-ischemic lesions could predate other SARS-CoV-2 symptoms in children and young adults.

5.5 Drug hypersensitivity

How to differentiate skin lesions caused by COVID-19 itself from those secondary to drug hypersensitivity during the treatment of the disease?

COVID-19-induced skin lesions can be related to thrombovascular events (i.e. petechiae, acro-ischemia, dry gangrene) or to typical viral infections (*i.e.* erythematous rash, urticaria, maculopapular exanthema).¹⁴⁶ Drug hypersensitivity has to be considered as a differential diagnosis, mainly in the second group, being a distinction difficult during the acute phase. Diagnosis relies mostly on clinical observations. In that regard, an accurate chronology of the reaction and the drug exposure timeline is very informative⁵². Laboratory and histopathological findings may also help.

- Of the drugs being assessed for COVID-19 treatment, which ones are more immunogenic or associated with hypersensitivity reactions?
- Immunomodulatory drugs (including azithromycin), hydroxychloroquine/chloroquine and IFNs, are the ones most frequently involved in hypersensitivity reactions. Most reactions are non-immediate and further studies are required to clarify whether this increased frequency is caused by the drug immunogenicity or simply derives from a greater consumption as compared to other treatments.146
- Should drug provocation testing be performed during the COVID-19 the pandemic?

Drug provocation tests are not recommended because reactions can occur during the tests, including the generation and spreading of virus-containing aerosols. However, they may be considered after careful risk-benefit assessment in cases of urgent need, such as chemotherapy in cancer patients, perioperative drugs and radiocontrast media in subjects needing urgent procedures, and antibiotics if no effective alternative drug is available.¹⁰⁸

5.6 Handling of allergen immunotherapy (AIT) during the COVID-19 pandemic

Should AIT in allergic rhinitis and/or asthma patients be interrupted to reduce visits to health care centers during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Most AIT products authorized for use in Europe indicate that AIT should be discontinued in case of infection; the same principle will apply to the COVID-19 pandemic. Patients on subcutaneous or sublingual AIT, who are diagnosed with COVID-19, those suspected of SARS-CoV-2 infection or symptomatic patients with a positive contact to SARS-CoV-2 individuals, AIT should be interrupted until the patient has recovered. In patients not infected or who have recovered from the infection, AIT could be continued (Table 3). These recommendations are conditional and could change as clinical data evolve.^{108,118}

Should venom AIT be stopped during the COVID-19 pandemic?

2
3
4
5
6

- AIT should continue in non-infected patients or those recovered from COVID-19. This is especially important in patients with life-threatening conditions such as venom allergy. It is possible to extend the intervals between vaccines during subcutaneous AIT, as done for inhalant allergens, to minimize visits to the allergy clinic. If venom AIT was stopped due to SARS-CoV-2 infection, it is unclear when it should be re-initiated because data from convalescent patients is scarce.¹¹⁸

What is the correct way to manage oral immunotherapy for food allergy during the COVID-19 pandemic?

In patients diagnosed with COVID-19 or cases with suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection, oral immunotherapy dosing should continue as indicated in the dosing plan and in coordination with the treating physician. Oral immunotherapy can be continued in non-infected patients and those who have recovered from COVID-19. In areas with high level of SARS-CoV-2 community transmission, visits to the allergy clinic for oral immunotherapy up-dosing should be postponed. 108,118

Section 6: Treatment of COVID-19

Is it more important to treat the viral infection or the CSS in COVID-19 patients?

COVID-19 treatment entails 3 main approaches: 1) anti-viral; 2) systemic anti-inflammatory and immunologic; 3) symptomatic and supportive treatment. The patient's viral load detected at the onset of COVID-19 is associated with mortality. Consequently, SARS-CoV-2-specific anti-viral treatments, once licensed, are expected to be central to COVID-19 treatment. Systemic anti-inflammatory treatments are essential in severe COVID-19 cases with CSS as the latter is a decisive risk factor for mortality, multiorgan failure, ARDS and disseminated intravascular coagulation. For these reasons, COVID-19 treatments must induce quick viral clearance while precluding systemic inflammatory syndromes.⁴⁹

In home-treated mild COVID-19 patients, what are the alarm symptoms to seek hospital assistance?

These patients are generally on symptomatic treatment. They need to look out for symptoms suggesting hypoxia or pneumonia, such as shortness of breath, deep shallow breathing, chest pains or persistent tachycardia. Special attention needs to be given to those with risk factors for disease progression, such as patients older than 65 years, cardiac or pulmonary comorbidities and immunosuppression.156,157

Which of the COVID-19 patients would benefit from anticoagulant treatment?

Prophylactic low molecular weight heparin, or heparin, has been recommended by the WHO in severe to critically ill COVID-19 patients.¹²⁵ However, the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis recommended that all hospitalized COVID-19 patients, not just those in ICU, should receive prophylactic low molecular weight heparin in the absence of contraindications.¹⁵⁸

Does systemic corticosteroid treatment at the initial phases of COVID-19 prevent the immunopathology seen in severe cases?

During the SARS outbreak in 2003, corticosteroids did not change the course of the viral infection and delayed viral clearance.¹⁵⁹ On the other hand, a retrospective study on SARS patients in Hong Kong suggested a better survival rate in patients treated with prednisolone for milder pneumonia or methylprednisolone in more severe cases.¹⁶⁰ Recently, Chinese experts stated that, in COVID-19 patients, systemic corticosteroids should be considered on individual indications in a low-to-moderate dose and for no longer than a week.¹⁶¹ The National Institutes of Health in their COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines advises against the use of systemic corticosteroids in non-critically ill patients.¹⁶²

Is there enough evidence to support that current COVID-19 treatments are better than placebo in terms of symptoms' severity and aftermath?

There are over 170 clinical trials on COVID-19 treatment registered now in the international databases and very few have been completed. Currently promoted pharmacological treatments are, at the most, based on anecdotic data collected in small numbers of COVID-19 patients. These studies did not satisfy evidence-based medicine criteria, but caught general attention through news media, for example hydroxychloroquine.¹⁶³

Tocilizumab has been used for ICU patients with severe COVID-19, what is the rationale?

Tocilizumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody specific for IL-6R, and it is approved for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. A positive response to tocilizumab points towards an imbalanced innate immune response in severe COVID-19. Luo et al.¹⁶⁴ reported that of the 15 patients treated with tocilizumab, 7 of them critically ill, 11 of the patients recovered within a week. Prompt resolution of symptoms and encouraging results have also been reported in uncontrolled or retrospective trials.¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁷⁶

What lessons learned from the previous SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV viruses have proven useful to identify therapeutic targets for COVID-19?

These zoonotic beta-coronaviruses share structural and genomic similarities that are useful to understand COVID-19. SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV have lower transmission rate, shorter incubation time and higher fatality rates than SARS-CoV-2. In all of them, the spike protein plays a key role in infection via ACE2-binding, hence it is a clear therapeutic target. Potential treatments already used for SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV include remdesivir, chloroguine, tocilizumab and CP, among others. However, none of them have been tested in robust clinical trials (see below section 8). Lessons in epidemiological surveillance and isolation measures have also proven useful.177

What is the impact of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs use in symptomatic COVID-19 patients?

Fang et al.¹⁷⁸ suggested that there is ACE2 overexpression upon treatment with ACE inhibitors, thiazolidinediones and ibuprofen. There were concerns pertaining to the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs in COVID-19 patients. The European Medicines Agency clarified that no scientific evidence established a link between ibuprofen, or other nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and a risk to worsen COVID-19.179

1109 Section 7: Clinical trials and drug discovery in COVID-19

⁵ 1110 What are the main recommendations for organizing clinical trials during the COVID-19 7 1111 pandemic?

Adaptations for clinical trials during the pandemic must include all concerned parties such as patients, clinical research organizations, clinical trial units, ethical committees, regulatory authorities and sponsors. Major changes must be approved by the responsible ethical committee and covered by the Informed Consent Form. Additional risks to participants should be addressed in the benefit-risk assessment section of the protocol, together with risk reduction measures.¹⁰⁸ Patients who continue study participation should postpone site visits or, whenever possible, be replaced by remote data assessment via telemedicine under strict observation of data protection regulations. If needed, the duration of stay in the clinic should be limited and travel arranged to include alternatives to local public transport. The initiation of new clinical trials should be critically evaluated. The International Committee of Medical Journal Editors has clarified that in the event of public health emergencies such as COVID-19, information relevant for the public health should be disseminated because this would not preclude subsequent publication in a scientific journal.¹¹⁸

What are the main recommendations for clinical trial design during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Hundreds of registered clinical trials regarding potential therapies for COVID-19 are currently open in international registries. The study designs and outcomes are often divergent and based on a single center's experience or compassionate use of the candidate treatments. To improve the quality of the evidence and secondary analysis, the scientific community should lead multi-center, multi-arm and highly powered clinical trials and foster the global sharing of knowledge on the COVID-19. This approach would empower the generation of high-quality data on efficacy and safety of potential therapies for COVID-19.180

³⁴ 1134

1135 What are the main drugs under investigation that block SARS-CoV-2 cell entry?

There are drugs that interfere with ACE2 and TMPRSS2, which are molecules used by the virus to enter the cell.^{9,181} For example, camostat mesylate is a clinically proven serine protease inhibitor with affinity for TMPRSS2. It has shown activity against SARS-CoV-2 in human lung Calu-3 cells.⁹ Several drugs that target virus internalization are being investigated, including chloroquine phosphate and hydroxychloroquine, which have shown limited efficacy in humans and raised concerns due to side effects (see questions below).^{163,182} A combination of cepharanthine (an anti-inflammatory alkaloid), selamectin (an avermectin used as an anti-helminthic and parasiticide drug in veterinary medicine) and mefloquine hydrochloride (used for the prophylaxis and treatment of malaria),¹⁸³ and meplazumab¹⁸⁴ (see questions below), among others. Although still at the experimental level, a clinical-grade human recombinant ACE2 has shown efficacy to block SARS-CoV-2 growth in kidney epithelial cells (Vero cells) (Figure. 1).¹⁸⁵

⁵⁰ 1147

1148 What are the main drugs under investigation that block SARS-CoV-2 replication?

⁵² 1140
 ⁵³ 1149
 ⁵⁴ 1150
 ⁵⁵ 1151
 ⁵⁶ In a cohort of severe COVID-19 patients, compassionate-use of remdesivir showed clinical

improvement in 68% of patients (36 out of 53).¹⁸⁶ Of note, a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of intravenous remdesivir was conducted in 1,063 adults hospitalized with COVID-19 with evidence of lower respiratory tract involvement; remdesivir was superior to placebo in shortening the time to recovery in adults hospitalized with COVID-19 and evidence of lower respiratory tract infection.¹⁸⁷ Furthermore, in a study of 5 HIV-positive hospitalized patients with severe COVID-19, 3 of them were given lopinavir-boosted ritonavir and 2 darunavir-boosted cobicistat for 14 days. Four patients recovered and 1 remained hospitalized.¹⁸⁸ In another study with 99 hospitalized adult patients with severe COVID-19, no benefit beyond standard care was observed with lopinavir-boosted ritonavir treatment twice daily for 14 days.¹⁸⁹ It is uncertain whether lopinavir-boosted ritonavir and other antiretrovirals improve clinical outcomes or prophylaxis among patients at high risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection.¹⁹⁰ Additional potential candidates include other broad-spectrum antiviral drugs such as arbidol and favipiravir and phytochemicals with anti-viral activity such as resveratrol (Figure. 1).¹⁸³

Is meplazumab a potential therapy for COVID-19 patients?

Meplazumab is a CD147-specific humanized monoclonal antibody that has been shown to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection of fibroblasts (VeroE6 cells).⁵⁹ Currently, there is insufficient evidence to draw any conclusions on the benefits of meplazumab for the therapy of COVID-19 patients. In an observational Chinese study, adults hospitalized with COVID-19 pneumonia (n=17) who were treated with an intravenous infusion of meplazumab as an add-on therapy showed a higher recovery rate compared to controls (n=11).¹⁸⁴ However, these results should be interpreted with caution because they were generated in a non-randomized, non-stratified study, with a small sample size. Large-scale stuides are needed to assess the effectiveness and safety profile of meplazumab as a potential therapy for COVID-19.

Is CP therapy a therapeutic option for severe COVID-19 infection?

CP therapy for COVID-19 treatment has yielded promising results. For example, in a trial of 10 severe COVID-19 patients,¹⁹¹ CP therapy was well tolerated and improved the clinical outcomes. The viral load was undetectable after CP transfusion in 7 patients who had viremia. No severe adverse effects were observed. Other clinical trials have shown the beneficial effect of CP therapy in COVID-19 patients and ongoing clinical trials will provide additional data on its efficacy, safety and optimal timing for treatment (Table 2). In this regard, it is unclear whether in patients with a high viral load, such as severely ill patients, CP therapy may drive tissue pathology through immune complexes or complement activation.

Do Janus kinase inhibitors represent a therapeutic option for severe COVID-19?

Baricitinib, fedratinib, and ruxolitinib are potent and selective JAK-STAT signaling inhibitors approved for indications such as rheumatoid arthritis and myelofibrosis. These drugs are powerful anti-inflammatory medications that may reduce the systemic levels of cytokines associated with COVID-19.¹⁹² Indeed, in a pilot study of 12 COVID-19 patients, baricitinib limited the CSS and was beneficial for the patients.¹⁹³

- - What is the therapeutic value of ivermectin for treating SARS-CoV-2 infection?

Ivermectin (avermectin B1a and avermectin B1b) is an anti-parasitic drug that has shown broad-spectrum anti-viral activity in vitro. In SARS-CoV-2-infected fibroblasts (Vero-hSLAM cells), a single addition of Ivermectin at 2 h post-infection reduced viral RNA ~5000-fold at 48 hours.¹⁹⁴ However, plasma concentrations of total and unbound ivermectin did not reach the IC50 determined in vitro, even at a 10-times higher dose than approved by the Food and Drug Administration (USA).¹⁹⁵ Consequently, the likelihood of a successful clinical trial using ivermectin is low.

What is the evidence that hydroxychloroquine is effective for the treatment, or prophylaxis, of SARS-CoV-2 infection?

In an observational study of 1,446 COVID-19 patients, 811 received hydroxychloroguine treatment, which did not change the risk of intubation or death.¹⁹⁶ Furthermore, in a Brazilian randomized control study evaluating 2 different doses of chloroguine in COVID-19 patients with severe respiratory symptoms, mortality was 2.5 times higher in the high-dose chloroguine arm.¹⁹⁷ A recent study of 96,032 hospitalized patients with COVID-19 was unable to confirm a benefit of hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine, when used alone or with a macrolide, on in-hospital outcomes for COVID-19.¹⁸² Importantly, each of these drug regimens was associated with decreased in-hospital survival and an increased frequency of ventricular arrhythmias when used for treatment of COVID-19.¹⁸² Moreover, pre-published results from US Veterans Health Administration Hospitals did not support any advantages of hydroxychloroquine administered alone or with azithromycin.198

What is the evidence for mesenchymal stem cell therapy in COVID-19 patients?

Mesenchymal stem cells may exert antiviral mechanisms in the context of SARS-CoV-2 infection. The basal IFN-stimulated gene expression of mesenchymal stem cells is high. This enhances MSC responsiveness to IFN signaling, potentially inducing broad viral resistance. Mesenchymal stem cell therapy is being used in some centers but its efficacy in COVID-19 has not been proven. Data available are mainly experimental with few records in humans and no reports on its efficacy in randomized clinical trials.¹⁹⁹

Are common anti-hypertensive drugs useful in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19?

Common anti-hypertensive drugs inhibit ACE, but not ACE2. Importantly, ACE2 opposes ACE actions and lowers blood pressure by converting angiotensin-II (a vasoconstrictor peptide) into its metabolites- angiotensin (1–7) (vasodilators).²⁰⁰ Other common related antihypertensive drugs are angiotensin-2 receptors blockers, which block AT-1, a receptor for angiotensin-II, through which it exerts its vasoconstrictor effect. However, AT-1 is not known to be used by SARS-CoV-2 to infect cells. It was shown in animal models that ACE inhibitors might increase ACE2 expression, thus increasing susceptibility to infection. It has not been proven in humans but it raised the concerns during the COVID-19 pandemic²⁰⁰. Based on the data available to date, antihypertensive treatment with these medications should be continued.²⁰¹

What are the preclinical models available to investigate SARS-CoV-2 infection? Which ones resemble human COVID-19 the most?

At the moment, the animal model that resembles more closely human COVID-19 is the Rhesus macaque, whose ACE2 receptor is identical to that in humans. This model recently showed that SARS-CoV-2 reinfection was hampered due to infection-acquired immunity and demonstrated the therapeutic effect of remdesivir in COVID-19 prior use in human clinical trials (Bao L 2020; Williamson 2020). The murine ACE2 receptor is different from humans, hence humanized murine models with recombinant human ACE2 are necessary.²⁰²

11
121244Section 8: Vaccine development for COVID-19

13 1245 What are the most advanced vaccine candidates for COVID-19?

Previous vaccine research for SARS/MERS facilitates rapid translation.²⁰³ In the WHO vaccine platform, 110 candidate vaccines are in preclinical evaluation and 8 candidate vaccines are in clinical evaluation for SARS-CoV-2 (adenovirus type 5 vector; LNP-encapsulated mRNA; Inactivated; ChAdOx1; 3 LNP-mRNAs; DNA plasmid vaccine with electroporation) as of May 15, 2020.²⁰⁴ The Coalition for Epidemic Preparedness Innovations provides dynamic status updates (https://cepi.net).

23
24
251253The thermal and chemical stability of single-domain antibodies position them as a
potential therapy for viral infections. Could this approach be applied in COVID-19?

SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2 are zoonotic pathogens. SARS-CoV spike protein-directed single-domain antibodies cross-react with SARS-CoV-2 and MERS-CoV. In recent research, immunization of llamas with perfusion-stabilized spike-proteins of betacoronavirus induced bivalent cross-reactive single-domain camelid antibodies that could neutralize SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses. Crystallography has revealed that single-domain antibodies impede viral penetration into cells. The favorable biophysical and potent neutralization properties support the possibility of therapeutic use.²⁰⁵ Human monoclonal antibodies may offer similar neutralization capacity with potential for better tolerability and a longer half-life.²⁰⁶

Given that SARS-CoV-2 is predominantly a respiratory pathogen, could a nebulized vaccine be more effective in terms of bioavailability and function?

Single-domain antibodies have been investigated as potential therapeutics for influenza, RSV and HIV in addition to coronaviruses. SARS-CoV-2 mainly targets the respiratory tract, hence the development of vaccines directed to the respiratory epithelia and lung parenchyma using a nebulizer has been considered to maximize bioavailability and function.²⁰⁷ Although active research against respiratory viruses has focused on aerosolized plasmid DNA vaccines, other forms of vaccine administration are currently further advanced in clinical trials.²⁰³ Veterinary medicine commonly uses aerosolized coronavirus vaccines for chicken farms.²⁰⁸

48 1273

49 1274 When will a SARS-CoV-2 vaccine be available?

A novel vaccine platform requires careful evaluation and should ideally include toxicological studies in valid animal models. Early progress towards SARS vaccines has facilitated a "running start" but standards of care and safety must be maintained. Acceleration rather than omission of clinical trials is key. Preliminary data from Oxford University is anticipated by mid-2020.²⁰³ Of note, a dose-escalation, single-center, open-label, non-randomized, phase 1 was conducted in 108 healthy individuals that received an Ad5 vectored COVID-19 vaccine. The vaccine was tolerable

and immunogenic at 28 days post-vaccination. SARS-CoV-2-specific antibodies peaked at day
 28 post-vaccination and specific T-cell responses were detected from day 14 post-vaccination.²⁰⁹

7 1

1283 Section 9: Epidemiology of COVID-19 and environmental factors

9 1284 What are some characteristic aspects of the COVID-19 pandemic?

An important aspect is that COVID-19-associated mortality is very high, almost unavoidable when the pandemic control fails. This is due to rapid community spread, high community virus, especially in the elderly and co-morbid, but also in younger non-comorbid persons, including healthcare workers, young adults and children. The COVID-19 pandemic also seems to be characterized by a significant level of asymptomatic spread.²¹⁰⁻²¹²

1291 The iceberg of COVID-19: are there asymptomatic cases below the surface?

The number of COVID-19 diagnosed patients may represent just the tip of the iceberg. To date, epidemiological studies that provide an accurate idea of asymptomatic cases are scarce.^{4,5,93} Most of the identified asymptomatic cases are diagnosed by random screening of healthcare workers, and individuals who had been in close contact with COVID-19 cases.⁴⁹ In addition, there is a high number of asymptomatic individuals who have experienced COVID-19-like symptoms in their clinical histories without any diagnostic tests and hospital admission. Due to the nature of this disease, it is difficult to identify asymptomatic virus carriers and it is one of the challenges faced in the containment of the pandemic.⁵ The real percentage of asymptomatic individuals and how long they carry the virus is unknown. Large screening studies for virus-specific IgM, IgG and IgA will be a decisive factor in controlling the pandemic, as it is the main indicator of the development of population immunity.

- 1303
 1304
 What are the reasons for striking differences in the COVID-19 infection rate among
 1305
 countries with similar climate, lifestyle and location at similar latitude?
- The differences are almost entirely due to the timing and effectiveness of public health interventions. Countries that failed to control did too little, too late, and allowed SARS-CoV-2 to rip through their population, with catastrophic outcomes. Those that intervened early effectively stopped the disease transmission.²¹³

1311 What is the basic reproduction number (R0) of SARS-CoV-2?

It is difficult to determine as it varies greatly from country to country, depending on how well countries control their epidemics with widespread testing, case isolation and vigorous contact tracing, testing and isolation if positive. In countries that do this well, the R0 can be very low indeed. In countries that fail to control the spread of the virus, the R0 is high but unknown as SARS-CoV-2 spreads untested and therefore undetected. It has been estimated to be ~2.2.²¹⁴

⁵⁰ 1318 What differentiates the previous epidemiological distribution of SARS- CoV-1 and MERS-⁵¹ 1319 CoV to the current SARS-CoV-2?

SARS-CoV-2 transmits more readily than either SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV. The R0 of SARS SARS-CoV-2 transmits more readily than either SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV. The R0 of SARS CoV-2 is controversial but if left unchecked it is likely to be greater than 3-4. However, the R0 number cannot be precisely defined as no country has left it to spread completely unchecked. In any case, even when preventative measures are taken, the R0 of SARS-CoV-2 is higher than

that of SARS-CoV (1.7-1.9) and MERS-CoV (<1).²¹⁵ There is a considerable frequency of very mild COVID-19 patients as well as asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2-infected people. This makes transmission control more challenging than either SARS-CoV or MERS-CoV, where illness is frequently more severe. Are children at risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection? Children are at low risk of severe COVID-19 outcomes.^{216,217} Most patients in pediatric age with SARS-CoV2 infection presented with no or mild clinical manifestations, including fever, fatigue and dry cough. They were typically managed with supportive treatments only and they had generally a favorable prognosis with a recovery within 2 weeks.²¹⁸⁻²²⁰ Are children involved in SARS-CoV-2 transmission? Many children remain asymptomatic, even when they have radiologic pneumonia detected on screening.²¹⁶ Given that children are effective transmitters of other respiratory viruses,²²¹ it is expected that they will be just as good at transmitting SARS-CoV-2. Which animals in nature have been so far shown to get infected with SARS-CoV-2? Bats are likely the natural reservoir of SARS-CoV-2. In addition, related coronaviruses have been identified in Malayan pangolins, which are considered as an intermediate host between bats and humans. SARS-CoV-2 replicates poorly in dogs, pigs, chickens, and ducks, but ferrets, cats and Rhesus macagues are permissive to infection.²²² What demographic factors are associated with severe COVID-19? Data on the characteristics of severe COVID-19 patients are uniformly showing a correlation with age. According to the CDC National Vital Statistic System on COVID-19 fatal outcomes, death rate doubles with each decade after 45 years (National Vital Statistics System). Comorbidities have also been associated with more severe disease¹⁴. In Italy²²³ and China²²⁴ hypertension (OR 2.36), chronic respiratory disease (OR 2.46), cardiovascular disease (OR 3.42) and diabetes (OR 2.05) show a positive correlation with severity. Gender and ethnicity have also been shown to impact COVID-19 severity (see questions below). Does ethnicity influence the prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 infection? Data on ethnicity and COVID-19 are scarce and further research on ethnicity and COVID-19 outcomes is needed.²²⁵ However, the data available show a disproportionate number of COVID-19 deaths in Black, Asian and minority ethnic backgrounds. In fact, one third of UK ICU

- admissions are reportedly from them.²²⁶ In the USA, African Americans had more COVID-19
 diagnoses and deaths, after adjusting for age, poverty, comorbidities, and epidemic duration.
 These disparities are also seen in the Hispanic and Asian communities.²²⁷
- ⁴⁹ 1362

1363 Are pregnant women at risk of COVID-19 infection?

⁵¹ 1363 *Are pregnant women at risk of COVID-19 intection?* ⁵² 1364 Pregnant women may be at a higher risk of poorer COVID-19 outcomes because they have ⁵³ 1365 deficient IFN- α and IFN- λ responses to viral infections.²²⁸ However, reported pregnancy outcomes ⁵⁴ 1366 in COVID-19 are reassuring as they appear similar to non-pregnant adult females.²²⁹ ⁵⁵ 1367

1		
2		
3 4	1368	Are there specific treatments for pregnant women in case of severe COVID-19?
5	1369	Testing treatments is problematic because pregnant women are excluded from most trials. ²³⁰ It is
6	1370	known that azithromycin doubles innate IFN production from virus-infected lung cells. ²³¹ It is safe
7	1371	for all trimesters of pregnancy ²³² and has been shown effective in high-quality clinical trials of
8	1372	virus-induced lung disease. ^{233,234}
9 10	1373	
11	1374	Are males at a greater risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection?
12	1375	Given that the human ACE2 protein is encoded on the X chromosome, this may be relevant for
13	1376	male-female differences in outcomes. Particularly in males with rare ACE2 coding variants as
14	1377	they will express those variants in all ACE2-expressing cells compared to a mosaic pattern of
15 16	1378	expression in females. ²³⁵
17	1379	Males may also have differences in certain innate antiviral responses compared to female
18	1380	counterparts. ²³⁶ Outcomes in COVID-19 can be worse (~1.5-4 times worse) in terms of mortality,
19	1381	ICU admissions, hospitalisations ^{95,237,238} and case identifications ²³⁹ for males than for females.
20	1382	Mortality in females can be lower by 30% up to age 60 in certain (but not all) countries. Outcomes
21 22	1383	after 60 years of age seem to continue unbalanced between the sexes. ^{240,241}
23	1384	
24	1385	How long will it take to generate robust and reliable data on COVID-19 prevalence?
25	1386	There is reasonably robust data of COVID-19 deaths in hospitals because most people who die
26 27	1387	in hospital are tested. Deaths outside hospitals are likely underestimated as people are dying in
27	1388	care homes where mortality approaches ~40%, ²⁴² and may die without being tested and
29	1389	diagnosed. It is difficult to determine prevalence as testing practices vary so much from country
30	1390	to country. Seroprevalence studies will help to collect these data.
31	1391	
32 33	1392	Is COVID-19 prevalence higher in industrialized countries?
34	1393	COVID-19 was introduced rapidly to many industrialized countries as a result of air travel. Most
35	1394	of Europe and the USA probably did not react in a timely and efficient manner, resulting in the
36	1395	rapid spread and subsequent high mortality rates. In light of the devastating situation in many
37 38	1396	European countries and the USA, less industrialized countries had a little more time to better
30 39	1397	prepare to control the pandemic. ²⁴³ An important factor for prevalence studies is the percentage
40	1398	of the population that has undergone a diagnostic test, which seems to be at lower levels in
41	1399	developing countries.
42	1400	
43 44	1401	Will the summer weather decrease the SARS-CoV-2 spread?
44	1402	Respiratory viruses spread less readily in summer than in winter for reasons that are not well
46	1403	understood. Dry air and higher temperatures are slowing down the spread of respiratory viruses.
47	1404	Absence of school attendance, more time outdoors, greater household ventilation, warmer
48	1405	temperatures facilitating virus inactivation and higher vitamin D levels are all likely to play a part.
49 50	1406	Although social distancing measures are implemented, the summer weather should play a role in
51	1407	hampering the spread of COVID-19. However, based on the analogy of previous influenza
52	1408	pandemic, it is unlikely that summer, on its own, could stop transmission of SARS-CoV-2.244,245
53	1409	
54 55	1410	Is a second wave of COVID-19 expected to occur?
56		
57		
58		35
59 60		
00		

It largely depends on the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence developed in each country, which is still unknown. Countries that have had widespread transmission may be hit by a second wave, but presumably with less severe consequences. Countries that effectively controlled the pandemic are at a higher risk of second wave of COVID-19 if those effective controls are relaxed due to the limited viral transmission and lack of active immunization.

What is the evidence suggesting that SARS-CoV-2 could become a seasonal infection rather than a transient pandemic?

13 1419 SARS-CoV-2 has spread worldwide in humans, causing mild or no disease in many cases. It will
 14 1420 continue circulating similar to other human coronaviruses (229E, HKU1, NL63, OC43), and it may
 1421 well become an endemic, seasonal virus.²⁴⁶

1423 What is the most efficient strategy to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection?

The main route of SARS-CoV-2 transmission is via respiratory droplets and aerosols.²⁴⁷⁻²⁴⁹ Avoidance of high virus loads, acquired through aerosol and droplet transmission, is paramount to prevent severe outcomes. Consequently, social distancing, masks and hand sanitation are undoubtedly effective because they prevent the droplet and surface contact-associated initial high virus load and the increased risk of severe disease.^{250,251} The COVID-19 pandemic can be controlled if social distancing is combined with widespread testing, case isolation, vigorous contact tracing and personal protection. Indeed, severe and critical illness among Chinese healthcare workers before January 10th was 45%, a time when personal protection equipment and infectious control measures were likely not implemented. After February 1st, when personal protection measures were in place, the percentage of severe and critically ill Chinese healthcare workers dropped to 8.7%.252

³² 1435

1436 What is the relative risk to contract SARS-CoV-2 infection through surfaces?

SARS-CoV-2 remained viable in aerosols for 3 h with a ~10-fold reduction in infectious titre.²⁵³ SARS-CoV-2 was more stable on plastic and stainless steel than on copper and cardboard; viable virus was detected up to 3 days after application to plastic and 2 days to stainless steel, on each surface the virus titer was reduced nearly ~100-fold.²⁵³ Therefore, it is convenient to minimize contact with surfaces touched by others (even before SARS-CoV-2 existed), for example when using public transportation.

42 1443

1444 What is the duration of viral shedding?

In 248 COVID-19 patients, the estimated median time from symptom onset to viral clearance in the nasal swabs was 11 days, while in asymptomatic cases it was 2 days.²⁵⁴ In patients that recovered, the median duration of viral shedding was 20 days, while in non-survivors it was detected until death. The longest duration of viral shedding in survivors was 37 days.⁹⁵ In addition. non-SARS-CoVs have been shown to be carried in the human respiratory tract without any current infection;²⁵⁵ this type of carrier may serve as a silent reservoir of SARS-CoV-2, thus contributing to its transmission. Cai et al. analyzed the clinical characteristics of 298 patients with confirmed COVID-19 and found that a slow viral clearance is associated with an increased risk of high disease severity with a 1% mortality rate.²⁵⁶

Do some COVID-19 patients act as "superspreaders"?

The individual variation in the transmission of an infection is described by a factor called "dispersion factor or k". The lower "k" value, the more transmission comes from a small proportion of individuals acting like superspreaders. Superspreading clusters have been observed in past coronavirus outbreaks (SARS/MERS), where a small number of infected individuals was responsible for a large proportion of secondary transmissions, with an estimated "k" of about 0.16 for SARS and 0.25 for MERS.²⁵⁷ It is unclear whether superspreading clusters have contributed to the COVID-19 outbreak. A simulation of early outbreak trajectories estimated that "k" for COVID-19 is higher than for SARS and MERS.²⁵⁷ However, in a recent preprint study, the estimate of "k" for SARS-CoV-2 was around 0.1, suggesting that around 10% of infected patients may have been responsible for 80% of secondary transmissions.²⁵⁸ Individual variation in infectiousness is difficult to measure, as it is mostly empirical, but the identification of any SARS-CoV-2 superspreading will be of primary importance for pandemic control.

Are COVID-19-dedicated hospitals useful to limit SARS-CoV-2 infections and optimize the healthcare resources during the COVID-19 pandemic?

The designation of COVID-19-dedicated wards and personnel within hospitals is useful to limit nosocomial SARS-CoV-2 infections.¹⁰⁸ It also allows other non-COVID-19 conditions to be treated using routine healthcare resources more safely and effectively. Maintaining such separation requires intensive SARS-CoV-2 testing in view of the high asymptomatic infection rate.²⁵⁹

Is a community-based strategy more effective, in comparison to a hospital-based one, to limit SARS-CoV-2 infections and optimize healthcare resources during the COVID-19 pandemic?

Community-based strategies are effective at controlling the transmission of SARS-CoV-2. Australia, Hong Kong, Japan, Singapore, South Korea, and New Zealand have all controlled effectively. Their cumulative COVID-19 mortality is >100-fold less than that in Belgium, France, Italy, Spain and the UK, countries which have had difficulties to adequately control the pandemic.²⁶⁰⁻²⁶² It is important to implement measures to contain the spread of the virus, such as developing models to predict SARS-CoV-2-related mortality.263

May the elimination of the live animal market reduce the risk of future viral outbreaks?

Closing live animal markets is likely to reduce the risk of future viral outbreaks although this is not a practical way to prevent viral outbreaks for multiple reasons including social and economic.

Are new technologies (geolocation, phone apps, etc.) useful to track people during quarantine?

This is an evolving area with a rapidly expanding interest. Many countries around the world are considering or are developing mobile phone apps capable of supporting rapid contact tracing as well as supporting and monitoring social distancing.²⁶⁴ Their usefulness is yet to be proven, and there are privacy and ethical considerations that require open debate and careful planning.²⁶⁵

What kind of lifestyle factors play a role in the COVID-19 pandemic?

Lifestyle factors that may influence SARS-CoV-2 infection susceptibility and COVID-19 severity include smoking, stress, diet and alcohol intake, among others. For example, smoking has been shown to increase the susceptibility to respiratory tract infections and its severity.²⁶⁶ and it is a risk factor for severe COVID-19.267 Moreover, alcohol consumption may impair anti-viral immunity;²⁶⁸ in vitro studies with human monocytes have shown that both acute and prolonged alcohol exposures inhibit type I IFN induction upon Toll-like receptor-8 and -4 stimulation²⁶⁹. Dietary habits may also play a role as obese patients have been shown to have a higher risk of developing severe COVID-19.270 Furthermore, there are bioactive food compounds with antiviral activity, such as resveratrol,²⁷¹ although the amount of them obtained through the diet is unlikely to play a relevant role in COVID-19.

Could the higher cleaning and disinfectant exposure during the pandemic increase the allergy prevalence in the general population?

It is well-established that epithelial barrier defects and/or damage favor the development of Th2 immunity.^{272,273} Increased hygiene, in general, as well as overexposure to epithelial barrier opening molecules, such as detergents, can promote the onset of allergic disease.²⁷⁴ To date, there is no evidence linking the COVID-19 protective measures (gloves, hand-sanitizers, etc.) with increased allergy prevalence. In this regard, multifactorial epidemiological studies are needed. These studies should consider the impact on allergic diseases of virus-specific type 1 responses and psychosocial and environmental changes caused by the pandemic and efforts to contain it.

The COVID-19 pandemic has reduced fossil fuels and environmental pollution. Could this change the exposome in the long term and alter the cause of allergic and other respiratory diseases?

Although there has been a significant change in pollution parameters, unfortunately this reduction in pollution is transient and consequently unlikely to be significant. The exposome-related allergy and asthma risk is multifactorial. It includes climate change, biodiversity, the microbiome and nutrition among others, which have not changed during the pandemic.²⁷⁵ In addition, although pollution levels have dropped, climate change still occurs at an accelerated pace. Lifestyle changes during the lockdown²⁷⁶, weight gain and increased exposure to indoor allergens and pollutants may even increase the incidence of allergic diseases in the long-run.

Conclusion

With the rapid spread of COVID-19 at a pandemic scale, we are overwhelmed and drowned with a wealth of information. A global fight to contain the pandemic has started in which we need international solidarity and prompt sharing of accurate scientific information. We strongly support the implementation of an open data concept for all COVID-19 studies, which should be performed with full transparency. A global strategy to reduce the burden of COVID-19 must be established. It is well known that these action plans can only be successful by combining efforts from stakeholders: WHO, governments, researchers, physicians, patient organizations, economists, pharmacists, industry, and policy makers. There is a pressing need to develop and implement global and regional COVID-19 guidelines on all aspect of this disease. The EAACI has immediately taken action on disseminating knowledge and developing official

statements^{44,108,116,118} on how to handle allergy and asthma patients during the COVID-19
 statements^{44,108,116,118} on how to handle allergy and asthma patients during the COVID-19
 pandemic. As evidence on the epidemiology, course and treatment of the disease is exponentially
 increasing, all the recommendations made by EAACI need to be regularly updated and amended
 in line with up-coming evidence.

Authorship: CA, RJS, CR, PC and MG conceptualized and designed the structure of the manuscript. CA, RJS, CR, PC, MG and DA prepared figures and tables. All the authors contributed to the manuscript, revised and edited. CR, RJS and CA orchestrated the whole process and conducted the final editing.

15 1550
 Financial support: MCvZ, is supported by an NHMRC Senior Research Fellowship
 1551 (GNT1117687); IEG is supported by the Instituto de Salud Carlos III from the Spanish Ministry of
 1552 Economy and Competitiveness through the "Juan Rodes" funding scheme for IEG (JR19/0029);
 RJS is supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (IJCI-2016-27619).

Conflicts of interest: IA serves as associate editor of Allergy. JB reports personal fees from Chiesi, Cipla, Hikma, Menarini, Mundipharma, Mylan, Novartis, Sanofi-Aventis, Takeda, Teva, Uriach, KYomed-Innov and Purina, outside the submitted work; DC is an employee of a Clinical Research Organization; ZD reports that in the past 3 years has acted as Executive and Scientific Medical Director at a phase I/II pharmacological unit (QPS-NL), which performs clinical studies for pharmaceutical companies; received honoraria, consultancy and speaker fees from Acucort, Astrazeneca, ALK, Aguilon, Boehringer Ingelheim, CSL, HAL Allergy, MSD, Sanofi-Genzyme; TE reports grants from DBV, Innovation fund Denmark, CIHR, Regeneron; TE is the Co-I or scientific lead in three investigator initiated oral immunotherapy trials including the usage of biologicals supported by the Allergy and Anaphylaxis Program, Sickkids, and CIHR; TE serves as associate editor for Allergy and is on the advisory board for ALK; WF, reports grants and personal fees from Sanofi, Novartis, and grants from GSK, Mylan, ALK and Allergy Therapeutics, outside the submitted work; SLJ reports personal fees from Virtus Respiratory Research, Myelo Therapeutics GmbH, Concert Pharmaceuticals, Bayer, Synairgen, Novartis, Boehringer Ingelheim, Chiesi, Gerson Lehrman Group, resTORbio, Bioforce, Materia Medical Holdings, PrepBio Pharma, Pulmotect, Virion Health, Lallemand Pharma, AstraZeneca, outside the submitted work; SLJ has these patents: Wark PA, Johnston SL, Holgate ST, Davies DE. Anti-virus therapy for respiratory diseases. UK patent application No. GB 0405634.7, 12 March 2004. with royalties paid; Wark PA, Johnston SL, Holgate ST, Davies DE. Interferon-Beta for Anti-Virus Therapy for Respiratory Diseases. International Patent Application No. PCT/ GB05/50031, 12 March 2004. with royalties paid; Davies DE, Wark PA, Holgate ST, Johnston SL. Interferon Lambda therapy for the treatment of respiratory disease. UK patent application No. 6779645.9, granted 15th August 2012. licensed; **MJ** reports personal fees from ALK-Abello, Allergopharma, Stallergenes, Anergis, Allergy Therapeutics, Circassia, Leti, Biomay and HAL during the conduct of the study; personal fees from Astra-Zeneka, GSK, Novartis, Teva, Vectura, UCB, Takeda, Roche, Janssen, MedImmune and Chiesi, outside the submitted work; **LK** reports grants and personal fees from Allergopharma, MEDA/Mvlan, Sanofi, LETI Pharma; personal fees from HAL Allergie and Allergy Therapeut; grants from ALK Abelló, Stallergenes, Quintiles, ASIT biotech, Lofarma, AstraZeneca, GSK and Inmunotek outside the submitted work; is a member of AeDA, DGHNO, Deutsche Akademie für Allergologie und klinische Immunologie, HNO-BV GPA and EAACI; LOM reports personal fees

from AHL and grants from GSK outside the submitted work: KN, reports grants from National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), Food Allergy Research & Education (FARE), End Allergies Together (EAT), Allergenis, and Ukko Pharma; Grant awardee at NIAID, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA); is involved in Clinical trials with Regeneron, Genentech, Aimmune Therapeutics, DBV Technologies, AnaptysBio, Adare Pharmaceuticals, and Stallergenes-Greer; Research Sponsorship by Novartis, Sanofi, Astellas, Nestle; Data and Safety Monitoring Board member at Novartis and NHLBI; Cofounded Before Brands, Alladapt, ForTra, and Iggenix; Chief Intellectual Office at FARE, Director of the World Allergy Organization (WAO) Center of Excellence at Stanford, Personal fees from Regeneron, ImmuneWorks, and Cour Pharmaceuticals; Consultant and Advisory Board AstraZeneca. Member at Ukko, Before Brands, Alladapt, IgGenix, Probio, Vedanta, Centecor, Seed, Novartis, NHBLI, EPA, National Scientific Committee of ITN and NIH Programs; US patents (patent numbers 62/647,389; 62/119,014; 12/610,940, 12/686,121, 10/064,936, 62/767,444; application numbers S10-392); OP reports grants and personal fees from ALK-Abelló, Allergopharma, Stallergenes Greer, HAL Allergy Holding B.V./HAL Allergie GmbH, Bencard Allergie GmbH/Allergy Therapeutics, Lofarma, ASIT Biotech Tools S.A., Laboratorios LETI/LETI Pharma, Anergis S.A.; grants from Biomay and Circassia; personal fees from MEDA Pharma/MYLAN, Mobile Chamber Experts (a GA2LEN Partner), Indoor Biotechnologies, Glaxo Smith Kline, personal fees from Astellas Pharma Global, EUFOREA, ROXALL, NOVARTIS, SANOFI AVENTIS, Med Update Europe GmbH, streamedup! GmbH, outside the submitted work; JS reports personal fees from MYLAN and F2F events, outside the submitted work; and industry support to educational activities of the Scottish Allergy and Respiratory Academy and of the Children's and Young people's Allergy Networtk Scotland; industry support to EAACI; JS serves as EAACI Secretary General (2019-2021); MSo reports grants from Swiss National Science Foundation and GSK, outside the submitted work; CA reports grants from Allergopharma, Idorsia, Swiss National Science Foundation, Christine Kühne-Center for Allergy Research and Education, European Commission's Horizon's 2020 Framework Programme, Cure, Novartis Research Institutes, Astra Zeneca, Scibase, Glakso Smith-Kline and other from Sanofi & Regeneron. All other authors have no conflict of interest within the scope of the submitted work.

Acknowledgements: The authors thank the European Academy of Allergy and Clinical
 Inmunology (EAACI) for the support to the Junior Member Assembly (JMA), the sections, interest
 groups and working groups that enabled the generation of this paper. The authors recognize Dr.
 Anna Globinska for graphic design and Dr. Laura Alberch for a critical review of the manuscript.

 $\begin{array}{r}
 46 \\
 47 \\
 48 \\
 48
 \end{array}$ 1618

- 50 1621 51 1622
- ₅₂ 1623
- ₅₃ 1624
- 54 1625
- 55 1626
- 56 1627

Bloo Lymphoc	-	1 st Tin 10-12 days afte	ne point r symptom on	set)	2 nd Time point (17-19 days after symptom onset)
>20			erate disease		Recovering group
5-20° <5%			disease disease		At risk group Critically ill group
Table 2 [.] Cor	valescent p	lasma studies in	COVID-19 pat	ients :	as of 21 th May 2020
Study	Subjects	Design	Dose		omes
Duan K, <i>et</i> <i>al.¹⁹¹</i> China	10 Severe disease, ICU no MV	Pilot study, single center. Compared to historic control group	200 ml CP with neutralizing antibody titers >1:640	oxyh CRP	ease in neutralizing antibodies ovement of symptoms. Increase in emoglobin saturation at d 3. Decrease . No severe side effects. Reduction on his compared to historic control (p 0.001
Shen C, <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> ²⁷⁷ China	5 Critical disease, all in ICU + MV	Uncontrolled. Case series, single center	400 ml CP with titer > 1:1000 and neutralizing antibody titer >40	5/5: F 5/5: S 3/5:	ARDS resolved within 12 d Pao2/Fio2 increased within 12 d SARS-CoV-2 RNA load negative at d 12 discharged from hospital at date o cation (51-55 d post infusion)
Zhang B, <i>et al.²⁷⁸</i> China	4 Critical disease, ICU + MV	Uncontrolled. Case series	200 - 400 ml CP (does not specify neutralizing antibody titer)	3/4	linical improvement and able to extubate discharged from hospital at date o cation (24-33 d post infusion)
Ahn JY, <i>et al.²⁷⁹</i> South Korea	2 Critical disease, ICU + MV	Case series	250 ml, 2 doses 12 h apart, (does not specify neutralizing antibody titer)		ological and clinical improvement. ease in viral load

Ye M, <i>et</i> <i>al.²⁸⁰</i> China	6 Non- critically ill	Case series	200 - 600 ml CP (does not specify neutralizing antibody titer)	Radiological and clinical improvement
Zeng QL <i>et al.²⁸¹</i> China	21 ICU patients 6: active CP 15: controls	Case series Non- randomized. Controls did not have a match for CP	CP (does not specify	controls. [CP 5/5, Controls 3/14 (p 0.005)]
Schulman K. (PI) USA	Estimated enrollmen t: 206 patients visiting the ED	Phase 2 trial. Randomized, double blinded, controlled. CP <i>vs</i> placebo in adults with COVID-19 in an ED	200 - 600 ml CP with neutralizing antibody titers >1:80	Stanford University Ongoing NCT04355767

Shoham S. (PI)	Estimated enrollmen t: 150	Phase 2 trial. Randomized, triple blinded,	200 ml CP with neutralizing	Johns Hopkins University Ongoing
USA	subjects exposed to COVID- 19	controlled. CP vs placebo. Adults in close contact exposure to a person with COVID-19 within 96 h of enrollment (and 120 h of receipt of plasma)	antibody titers >1:64	NCT04323800
Perotti C. (PI) Italy	Estimated enrollmen t: 46 COVID- 19 patients with moderate -severe ARDS for < 10 d and need for MV	Longitudinal non- randomized non- controlled	250-300 ml of CP 3 times/d over 5 d (neutralizing antibody titer not specified)	Foundation IRCCS San Matteo Hospita Ongoing NCT04321421

2							
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20		Menichetti F. (PI) Italy	Estimated enrollmen t: 126 COVID- 19 patients hospitaliz ed due to pneumoni a with PaO ₂ /FiO 2 ratio 200-350 but not in MV	Phase 2 Trial. Multicenter prospective randomized open-label trial (CP vs standard therapy	200 ml of CP (neutralizing antibody titer not specified)	Azienda Ospedaliero, Universitaria Ongoing NCT04393727	Pisana
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	1636 1637 1638 1639 1640 1641 1642		Emergency	department; h, h		lescent plasma; CRP , C- reactive pro nsive Care Unit; PI , Principal investig	•
29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	1643 1644 1645	guidelines ¹¹⁸		T: Non-infect	ted individuals symptomatic pa oV-2 infection a sitive individua ecovered after atient with nega ttient after an a atient with serue	19 pandemic. Based on EAACI-ARIA tient without suspicion for SARS- ind/or contact with SARS-CoV-2 ls COVID-19 infection tive test result (RT-PCR) dequate quarantine m IgG to SARS-CoV-2 without virus-	
41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52	1646 1647 1648 1649 1650 1651 1652 1653		n immunothe	• Sy SA • Pa rapy; SCIT , sub	ARS-CoV-2 pos tients with pos cutaneous imm	ents with exposure or contact to sitive individuals itive test results (RT-PCR) nunotherapy; SLIT , sublingual rase chain reaction.	
53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	1654 1655 1656						44

Figure Legends

Figure 1. SARS-CoV-2 attachment, internalization and replication cycle in epithelial cells and the main effect of antiviral agents. Attachment of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein (S) to angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) mediates endocytosis of the virus into the host cell. The cell entry of the virus depends on both the binding of viral S proteins to cellular receptors and priming S protein by the serine protease transmembrane protease / serine (TMPRSS) 2. Cepharanthine / human recombinant ACE2 and camostat mesylate are the viral entry inhibitors, which prevent the binding of S protein to ACE2 and priming, respectively. In the uncoating stage, virions are internalized by receptor-mediated endocytosis that the low pH in the endosome triggers the fusion of viral and endosomal membranes and the ssRNA (+) viral genome is released into the cytoplasm. Arbidol, chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and mefloquine block this uncoating stage. Transcription of the viral genome and proteolytic cleavage of the replicase polyprotein and resulting translating proteins are processed into the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp), Lopinavir, ritonavir, remdesivir and favipiravir prevent proteolysis and activity of RdRp. Non-structural and structural proteins, including nucleocapsid proteins are expressed as sub-genomic RNAs. Salemectin and resveratrol may inhibit viral helicase activity, viral mRNA synthesis and the expression of nucleocapsid proteins. Assembly and budding of viral proteins and nucleocapsid occur at membranes of the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), the ER-Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC), and/or the Golgi complex. New SARS-CoV-2 virions are released by exocytosis.

Figure 2. Diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection through different diagnostic tests over time according to incubation period, disease onset, clinical disease and convalescence.


Figure 3. Preventive measures for SARS-CoV-2 infection and control for healthcare providers. PPE, personal protective equipment (medical mask, eye/facial protection, gloves, long sleeve gown and waterproof apron). *Adequately ventilated room: natural ventilation with airflow of at least 160 L/s per patient, or negative-pressure rooms with at least 12 air changes per hour. Controlled direction of airflow when using mechanical ventilation (adapted from "WHO: Infection prevention and control during health care when COVID-19 is suspected").¹¹¹

Figure 4. Organization of an allergy clinic during the COVID-19 pandemic. Patients should be triaged to determine those in need of face-to-face consultation and those at risk of infection with SARS-CoV-2. Telehealth should be prioritized in allergy clinics. Procedures at high risk of generating airborne particles should be avoided. Patients should be motivated to notify their healthcare professionals in case of exacerbations or changes in their usual symptoms.

Figure 5. Practical considerations for allergy therapies during the COVID-19 pandemic. Continuation of second generation H1-antihistamines for the treatment of allergic rhinitis and urticaria, topical corticosteroids for atopic dermatitis, nasal corticosteroids for allergic rhinitis, and inhaled corticosteroids for asthma. Subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) and sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) for respiratory and venom allergies should be continued in non-infected individuals and patients recovered from COVID-19 and it should be suspended in patients diagnosed with COVID-19 or suspected of SARS-CoV-2 infection until resolution of COVID-19 is established. Biologicals should be continued, if possible as home self-administration, in patients non-infected or recovered from COVID-19 and they should be suspended in patients diagnosed with COVID-19 or suspected of SARS-CoV-2 infection until resolution of COVID-19 is established.

Figure 6. Cutaneous manifestations of COVID-19. Several skin manifestations can be potentially associated with the disease: 1) local skin inflammation is often connected with the antibody

 response toward viral nucleotides and presents as morbilliform rash, maculopapular lesions, vesicular exanthems, urticaria and erythema multiforme; 2) systemic inflammation is associated with vasculitis and thrombosis which shows as peripheral cyanosis, livedo reticularis and chilblain-like lesions; 3) hypersensitivity reactions to drugs may occur more often during the pandemic due to the increased use of drugs and drug interactions, which can result in morbilliform rash, erythroderma, exanthematous pustulosis and anaphylaxis. Figure 1



1 2 3 1721 Figure 4 5 Incubation 6 Disease Convalescence period 7 8 Antibody detection 9 --- Nasopharyngeal swab PCR 10 Bronchoalveolar lavage/sputum PCR 11 ···· Stool PCR 12 - Specific IgG 13 - Specific IgA 14 Specific IgM 15 16 1 17 1 i 18 19 20 -----21 Virus exposure Week 1 Week 2 Week 3 Week 4 Week 5 Week 6 22 PCR Virus detection PCR 23 likely negative unlikely likely positive 24 25 1722 26 1723 Figure 3 27 28 29 Measures for SARS-CoV-2 infection prevention 30 and control for health care providers 31 32 Measures Infection control 33 **Procedures** Triage for patients policies 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 Promote compliance . Ensure regular Use an adequately . Maintain a high level . . 41 of general hygiene surface disinfection of clinical suspicion ventilated room* 42 (e.g. door handles) . Use screening tools measures Wear appropriate 43 (telemedicine, (e.g. face mask, Use well-ventilated PPE according 44 questionnaires) hand disinfection) single rooms to procedure risk 45 Ensure social for face-to-face visits Select patients Avoid non-essential . . . 46 distancing (at least Wear appropriate PPE requiring face-to-face . aerosol-generating 47 consultation 1.5-2 meters between at all time and dispose procedures 48 patients and limit them after patient care Identify patients (e.g. spirometry) • 49 at risk of infection hospital companions) . **Disinfect medical** . Keep patient-to-staff 50 Educate patients equipment Establish a well-. ratio to the minimum 51 on early recognition (e.g. stethoscope) required for the equipped triage 52 of symptoms and between use at the entrance to the patient's care 53

facility, supported by

trained staff

54

59 60 consultation protocols

1 2 3 4 5	1724 1725	Figure 4			
6 7			Organization of an allergy clinic durir	ng the COVID-19 pandemic	
, 8 9 10 11			1. Prioritize the use of telehealth (e.g. video, chat, email, internet, remote mor	0 0,	ology services
12 13 14 15		\bigcirc	2. Select the patients needing direct c protocols for prevention of disease spread	onsultation based on prope	r screening
16 17 18 19 20 21			 3. Avoid and re-schedule <u>high-risk procedure</u> Lung function testing (i.e. spirome Food/drug provocation tests (unle Nasal provocation test, rhinoscop Initiation or updosing of any food 	etry, FeNO, IOS, bronchial prov ess critical nutritional/drug need by and nasal citology	
22 23 24 25			 Motivate patients to notify their healthor or deterioration of symptoms which cannot be 		
26 27 28 29	1726 1727 1728	Figure 5		Ň.	
30	1729 1730		Practical considerations for	or allergy therapies during CC	VID-19
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	1731 1732 1733		Therapies	Non-infected or recovered from COVID-19	COVID-19 diagnosed or suspected of SARS-CoV-2 infectio
	1734 1735 1736	(θ)	Second-generation H1-antihistamines for allergic rhinitis and urticaria	Continue	Continue
	1737 1738 1739		Topical corticosteroids for atopic dermatitis	Continue	Continue
42 43	1740 1741 1742		Nasal corticosteroids for allergic rhinitis	Continue	Continue

θ	Second-generation H1-antihistamines for allergic rhinitis and urticaria	Continue	
	Topical corticosteroids for atopic dermatitis	Continue	
	Nasal corticosteroids for allergic rhinitis	Continue	
	Inhaled corticosteroids for asthma	Continue	
	SCIT or SLIT for allergic rhinitis, asthma or venom allergy	Continue	
	Biologicals	Continue (if possible as home self-administration)	

Continue

Stop until COVID-19

resolution is established

Stop until COVID-19

resolution is established

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	1754 1755 1756	Figure 6.
8 9 10		Cutaneous manifestations of COVID-19
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26		 ↓ Circulating antibodies - response to viral nucleotides → Circulating antibodies - response to viral nucleotides → Morbilliform rash → Maculopapular lesions → Vesicular exanthems → Urticaria → Trythema multiforme 2. Systemic inflammation → Vasculitis - thrombosis → Peripheral cyanosis (bullae-dry gangrene) → Petechial rash
27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		 Livedo reticularis Chilblain-like lesions 3. Drug hypersensitivity Increased risk of adverse reactions - increased risk of drug interactions Morbiliform rash Erythroderma Exanthematous pustulosis Anaphylaxis
40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60	1757 1758 1759 1760 1761 1762 1763 1764 1765 1766 1767 1768 1769	

1			
2			
3	1770	Refe	rences
4	1771		
5	1772	1.	Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, et al. Early Transmission Dynamics in Wuhan, China, of Novel
6	1773		Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(13):1199-1207.
7	1774	2.	WHO. Coronavirus disease (COVID-2019) situation reports. 2020;
8 9	1775		https://www.who.int/emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/situation-reports.
9 10	1776		Accessed May 28, 2020.
11	1777	3.	Tsang TK, Wu P, Lin Y, Lau EHY, Leung GM, Cowling BJ. Effect of changing case
12	1778		definitions for COVID-19 on the epidemic curve and transmission parameters in mainland
13	1779		China: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health. 2020;5(5):e289-e296.
14	1780	4.	Bai Y, Yao L, Wei T, et al. Presumed Asymptomatic Carrier Transmission of COVID-19.
15	1781		JAMA. 2020.
16	1782	5.	Dong X, Cao YY, Lu XX, et al. Eleven faces of coronavirus disease 2019. Allergy. 2020.
17	1783	6.	Andersen KG, Rambaut A, Lipkin WI, Holmes EC, Garry RF. The proximal origin of SARS-
18	1784		CoV-2. <i>Nat Med.</i> 2020;26(4):450-452.
19	1785	7.	Forster P, Forster L, Renfrew C, Forster M. Phylogenetic network analysis of SARS-CoV-2
20	1786		genomes. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(17):9241-9243.
21	1787	8.	Wang Q, Zhang Y, Wu L, et al. Structural and Functional Basis of SARS-CoV-2 Entry by
22	1788		Using Human ACE2. <i>Cell.</i> 2020;181(4):894-904 e899.
23	1789	9.	Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Cell Entry Depends on
24 25	1790		ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and Is Blocked by a Clinically Proven Protease Inhibitor. Cell.
25 26	1791		2020;181(2):271-280 e278.
20	1792	10.	Radzikowska U, Ding M, Tan G, et al. Distribution of ACE2, CD147, cyclophilins, CD26
28	1793		and other SARS-CoV-2 associated molecules in various human tissues and immune cells
29	1794		in health and disease. Submitted. 2020.
30	1795	11.	Sungnak W, Huang N, Becavin C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 entry factors are highly expressed
31	1796		in nasal epithelial cells together with innate immune genes. <i>Nat Med.</i> 2020;26(5):681-687.
32	1797	12.	Ziegler CGK, Allon SJ, Nyquist SK, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Receptor ACE2 Is an Interferon-
33	1798		Stimulated Gene in Human Airway Epithelial Cells and Is Detected in Specific Cell Subsets
34	1799		across Tissues. Cell. 2020.
35	1800	13.	Qi F, Qian S, Zhang S, Zhang Z. Single cell RNA sequencing of 13 human tissues identify
36	1801		cell types and receptors of human coronaviruses. Biochem Biophys Res Commun.
37	1802		2020;526(1):135-140.
38	1803	14.	Radzikowska U, Ding M, others a, Sokolowska M. Distribution of ACE2, CD147,
39	1804		cyclophilins, CD26 and other SARS-CoV-2 associated molecules in various human
40	1805		tissues and immune cells in health and disease. Allergy. 2020.
41	1806	15.	Whitworth KM, Rowland RRR, Petrovan V, et al. Resistance to coronavirus infection in
42 43	1807		amino peptidase N-deficient pigs. <i>Transgenic Res.</i> 2019;28(1):21-32.
43 44	1808	16.	Holmes RS, Spradling-Reeves KD, Cox LA. Mammalian Glutamyl Aminopeptidase Genes
45	1809		(ENPEP) and Proteins: Comparative Studies of a Major Contributor to Arterial
46	1810		Hypertension. J Data Mining Genomics Proteomics. 2017;8(2).
47	1811	17.	Itoyama S, Keicho N, Quy T, et al. ACE1 polymorphism and progression of SARS.
48	1812		Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2004;323(3):1124-1129.
49	1813	18.	Trouillet-Assant S, Viel S, Gaymard A, et al. Type I IFN immunoprofiling in COVID-19
50	1814	10	patients. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020.
51	1815	19.	Chu H, Chan JF, Wang Y, et al. Comparative replication and immune activation profiles
52	1816		of SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV in human lungs: an ex vivo study with implications for the
53	1817	<u> </u>	pathogenesis of COVID-19. <i>Clin Infect Dis.</i> 2020.
54	1818	20.	DeDiego ML, Nieto-Torres JL, Jimenez-Guardeno JM, et al. Coronavirus virulence genes
55	1819		with main focus on SARS-CoV envelope gene. Virus Res. 2014;194:124-137.
56			
57			
58 59			50
59 60			

Allergy

2			
3	1820	21.	Karamloo F, Konig R. SARS-CoV-2 immunogenicity at the crossroads. Allergy.
4	1821		2020;n/a(n/a).
5	1822	22.	Zhang JJ, Dong X, Cao YY, et al. Clinical characteristics of 140 patients infected with
6 7	1823		SARS-CoV-2 in Wuhan, China. Allergy. 2020.
, 8	1824	23.	Joob B, Wiwanitkit V. SARS-CoV-2 and HIV. <i>J Med Virol.</i> 2020;n/a(n/a).
9	1825	24.	Shah B, Modi P, Sagar SR. In silico studies on therapeutic agents for COVID-19: Drug
10	1826		repurposing approach. <i>Life Sci.</i> 2020;252:117652.
11	1827	25.	Lu J, Cui J, Qian Z, et al. On the origin and continuing evolution of SARS-CoV-2. National
12	1828		Science Review. 2020.
13	1829	26.	Su Y, Anderson D, Young B, et al. Discovery of a 382-nt deletion during the early evolution
14	1830		of SARS-CoV-2. bioRxiv. 2020.
15	1831	27.	Zheng S, Fan J, Yu F, et al. Viral load dynamics and disease severity in patients infected
16	1832		with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, January-March 2020: retrospective cohort
17	1833		study. <i>BMJ.</i> 2020;369:m1443.
18	1834	28.	Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LLM, Wang Q. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical
19	1835		samples. <i>Lancet Infect Dis.</i> 2020;20(4):411-412.
20	1836	29.	Xiao F, Tang M, Zheng X, Liu Y, Li X, Shan H. Evidence for Gastrointestinal Infection of
21	1837		SARS-CoV-2. Gastroenterology. 2020;158(6):1831-1833 e1833.
22	1838	30.	Tian Y, Rong L, Nian W, He Y. Review article: gastrointestinal features in COVID-19 and
23	1839		the possibility of faecal transmission. <i>Aliment Pharmacol Ther.</i> 2020;51(9):843-851.
24 25	1840	31.	Woo PC, Lau SK, Wong BH, et al. Longitudinal profile of immunoglobulin G (IgG), IgM,
25 26	1841		and IgA antibodies against the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) coronavirus
20	1842		nucleocapsid protein in patients with pneumonia due to the SARS coronavirus. Clin Diagn
28	1843		Lab Immunol. 2004;11(4):665-668.
29	1844	32.	Mo H, Zeng G, Ren X, et al. Longitudinal profile of antibodies against SARS-coronavirus
30	1845		in SARS patients and their clinical significance. <i>Respirology</i> . 2006;11(1):49-53.
31	1846	33.	Long QX, Liu BZ, Deng HJ, et al. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with
32	1847		COVID-19. Nat Med. 2020.
33	1848	34.	Wang B, Wang L, Kong X, et al. Long-term coexistence of SARS-CoV-2 with antibody
34	1849		response in COVID-19 patients. <i>J Med Virol</i> . 2020.
35	1850	35.	Yongchen Z, Shen H, Wang X, et al. Different longitudinal patterns of nucleic acid and
36	1851		serology testing results based on disease severity of COVID-19 patients. <i>Emerg Microbes</i>
37	1852		Infect. 2020;9(1):833-836.
38	1853	36.	Huang AT, Garcia-Carreras B, Hitchings MDT, et al. A systematic review of antibody
39	1854		mediated immunity to coronaviruses: antibody kinetics, correlates of protection, and
40	1855		association of antibody responses with severity of disease. <i>medRxiv</i> .
41	1856		2020:2020.2004.2014.20065771.
42	1857	37.	Grzelak L, Temmam S, Planchais C, et al. SARS-CoV-2 serological analysis of COVID-
43 44	1858		19 hospitalized patients, pauci-symptomatic individuals and blood donors. medRxiv.
44	1859		2020:2020.2004.2021.20068858.
46	1860	38.	Carsetti R, Quintarelli C, Quinti I, et al. The immune system of children: the key to
47	1861		understanding SARS-CoV-2 susceptibility? The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health.
48	1862		2020;4(6):414-416.
49	1863	39.	Nickbakhsh S, Mair C, Matthews L, et al. Virus-virus interactions impact the population
50	1864		dynamics of influenza and the common cold. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2019.
51	1865	40.	Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis ML, Lely AT, Navis G, van Goor H. Tissue distribution of
52	1866		ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding
53	1867		SARS pathogenesis. J Pathol. 2004;203(2):631-637.
54	1868	41.	Bunyavanich S, Do A, Vicencio A. Nasal Gene Expression of Angiotensin-Converting
55	1869		Enzyme 2 in Children and Adults. <i>JAMA</i> . 2020.
56			
57			
58			51
59 60			
60			

1 2

59 60

3 1870 42. Wen W. Su W. Tang H. et al. Immune cell profiling of COVID-19 patients in the recovery 4 1871 stage by single-cell sequencing. Cell Discov. 2020;6:31. 5 Kwok KO, Lai F, Wei WI, Wong SYS, Tang JWT. Herd immunity - estimating the level 1872 43. 6 1873 required to halt the COVID-19 epidemics in affected countries. J Infect. 2020;80(6):e32-7 1874 e33. 8 44. Vultaggio A, Agache I, Akdis CA, et al. Considerations on Biologicals for Patients with 1875 9 1876 allergic disease in times of the COVID-19 pandemic: an EAACI Statement. Allergy. 2020. 10 Blauvelt A, Simpson EL, Tyring SK, et al. Dupilumab does not affect correlates of vaccine-1877 45. 11 1878 induced immunity: A randomized, placebo-controlled trial in adults with moderate-to-12 severe atopic dermatitis. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2019;80(1):158-167 e151. 1879 13 Jackson DJ, Busse WW, Bacharier LB, et al. Association of respiratory allergy, asthma, 1880 46. 14 1881 and expression of the SARS-CoV-2 receptor ACE2. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020; in press. 15 1882 47. Dhawale VS, Amara VR, Karpe PA, Malek V, Patel D, Tikoo K. Activation of angiotensin-16 converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) attenuates allergic airway inflammation in rat asthma model. 17 1883 Toxicol Appl Pharmacol. 2016:306:17-26. 1884 18 19 1885 48. Roisman GL, Danel CJ, Lacronique JG, Alhenc-Gelas F, Dusser DJ. Decreased 20 1886 expression of angiotensin-converting enzyme in the airway epithelium of asthmatic 21 1887 subjects is associated with eosinophil inflammation. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 1999;104(2) 22 1888 Pt 1):402-410. 23 1889 49. Azkur AK, Akdis M, Azkur D, et al. Immune response to SARS-CoV-2 and mechanisms of 24 1890 immunopathological changes in COVID-19. Allergy. 2020. 25 1891 Lindsley AW, Schwartz JT, Rothenberg ME. Eosinophil responses during COVID-19 50. 26 1892 infections and coronavirus vaccination. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020. 27 Barton LM, Duval EJ, Stroberg E, Ghosh S, Mukhopadhyay S. COVID-19 Autopsies, 1893 51. 28 1894 Oklahoma, USA. Am J Clin Pathol. 2020;153(6):725-733. 29 1895 52. Brockow K, Ardern-Jones MR, Mockenhaupt M, et al. EAACI position paper on how to 30 classify cutaneous manifestations of drug hypersensitivity. Allergy. 2019;74(1):14-27. 1896 31 1897 53. Jesenak M, Schwarze J. Lung eosinophils-A novel "virus sink" that is defective in asthma? 32 1898 Allergy. 2019;74(10):1832-1834. 33 Jesenak M, Banovcin P, Diamant Z. COVID-19, chronic inflammatory respiratory diseases 1899 54. 34 1900 and eosinophils - Observationsfrom reported clinical case series. Allergy. 2020;n/a(n/a). 35 1901 55. Zhang M, Guogang, X., Fengming, D.; Han, L; Dongning, Y.; Hongzhou, L. The role of 36 37 1902 peripheral blood eosinophil counts in COVID-19 Allergy. 2020. 1903 Hassani M, Leijte G, Bruse N, et al. Differentiation and activation of eosinophils in the 38 56. 39 1904 human bone marrow during experimental human endotoxemia. J Leukoc Biol. 2020. 40 1905 57. Du Y, Tu L, Zhu P, et al. Clinical Features of 85 Fatal Cases of COVID-19 from Wuhan: A 41 1906 Retrospective Observational Study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2020. 42 Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel 1907 58. 43 1908 coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):497-506. 44 1909 59. Ulrich H, Pillat MM. CD147 as a Target for COVID-19 Treatment: Suggested Effects of 45 Azithromycin and Stem Cell Engagement. Stem Cell Rev Rep. 2020:1-7. 1910 46 1911 60. Herbinger KH, Hanus I, Beissner M, et al. Lymphocytosis and Lymphopenia Induced by 47 1912 Imported Infectious Diseases: A Controlled Cross-Sectional Study of 17,229 Diseased 48 1913 German Travelers Returning from the Tropics and Subtropics. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 49 1914 2016;94(6):1385-1391. 50 Qu R, Ling Y, Zhang YH, et al. Platelet-to-lymphocyte ratio is associated with prognosis 1915 61. 51 1916 in patients with coronavirus disease-19. J Med Virol. 2020. 52 1917 62. Grifoni A, Weiskopf D, Ramirez SI, et al. Targets of T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 53 1918 coronavirus in humans with COVID-19 disease and unexposed individuals. Cell. 2020. 54 1919 63. Ng OW, Chia A, Tan AT, et al. Memory T cell responses targeting the SARS coronavirus 55 1920 persist up to 11 years post-infection. Vaccine. 2016;34(17):2008-2014. 56 57 58 52

1			
2			
3 4	1921 1922	64.	Diao B, Wang C, Tan Y, et al. Reduction and Functional Exhaustion of T Cells in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). <i>Front Immunol.</i> 2020;11:827.
5 6	1923	65.	Ma Y, Jiang J, Gao Y, et al. Research progress of the relationship between pyroptosis and
7	1924 1925	66.	disease. <i>Am J Transl Res.</i> 2018;10(7):2213-2219. Tan L, Wang Q, Zhang D, et al. Lymphopenia predicts disease severity of COVID-19: a
8	1925	00.	descriptive and predictive study. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2020;5(1):33.
9	1927	67.	Tisoncik JR, Korth MJ, Simmons CP, Farrar J, Martin TR, Katze MG. Into the eye of the
10	1928	07.	cytokine storm. <i>Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.</i> 2012;76(1):16-32.
11 12	1929	68.	Behrens EM, Koretzky GA. Review: Cytokine Storm Syndrome: Looking Toward the
12	1930		Precision Medicine Era. Arthritis Rheumatol. 2017;69(6):1135-1143.
14	1931	69.	Ye Q, Wang B, Mao J. The pathogenesis and treatment of the `Cytokine Storm' in COVID-
15	1932		19. J Infect. 2020;80(6):607-613.
16	1933	70.	Prompetchara E, Ketloy C, Palaga T. Immune responses in COVID-19 and potential
17	1934		vaccines: Lessons learned from SARS and MERS epidemic. Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol.
18	1935		2020;38(1):1-9.
19	1936	71.	Yang Y, Shen C, Li J, et al. Exuberant elevation of IP-10, MCP-3 and IL-1ra during SARS-
20	1937		CoV-2 infection is associated with disease severity and fatal outcome. <i>medRxiv</i> .
21 22	1938	70	2020:2020.2003.2002.20029975.
22	1939	72.	Ritchie AI, Singanayagam A. Immunosuppression for hyperinflammation in COVID-19: a
24	1940 1941	73.	double-edged sword? <i>Lancet.</i> 2020;395(10230):1111. Poston JT, Patel BK, Davis AM. Management of Critically III Adults With COVID-19. <i>JAMA</i> .
25	1941	73.	2020.
26	1942	74.	Matthay MA, Aldrich JM, Gotts JE. Treatment for severe acute respiratory distress
27	1944	77.	syndrome from COVID-19. Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(5):433-434.
28	1945	75.	Brough HA, Kalayci O, Sediva A, et al. Managing childhood allergies and
29	1946		immunodeficiencies during respiratory virus epidemics - the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic.
30 31	1947		Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2020.
32	1948	76.	Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19
33	1949		in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis. 2020.
34	1950	77.	Michalovich D, Rodriguez-Perez N, Smolinska S, et al. Obesity and disease severity
35	1951		magnify disturbed microbiome-immune interactions in asthma patients. Nat Commun.
36	1952		2019;10(1):5711.
37	1953	78.	Wu Q, Zhou L, Sun X, et al. Altered Lipid Metabolism in Recovered SARS Patients Twelve
38	1954		Years after Infection. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):9110.
39 40	1955	79.	Huppert LA, Matthay MA, Ware LB. Pathogenesis of Acute Respiratory Distress
40 41	1956	00	Syndrome. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2019;40(1):31-39.
42	1957 1958	80.	Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, et al. Pulmonary Vascular Endothelialitis,
43	1958	81.	Thrombosis, and Angiogenesis in Covid-19. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2020. Sokolowska M LZ, Agache and others, Untersmayer, E. Immunology of COVID-19:
44	1960	01.	mechanisms, clinical outcome, diagnostics and perspectives – a report of the 1
45	1961	Furor	bean Academy of Allergy and Clinical Immunology (EAACI) Submitted. 2020.
46	1962	82.	Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Rossi S. COVID-19 pneumonia: ARDS or not? Crit Care.
47	1963	-	2020;24(1):154.
48 49	1964	83.	Fan E, Brodie D, Slutsky AS. Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: Advances in
49 50	1965		Diagnosis and Treatment. JAMA. 2018;319(7):698-710.
51	1966	84.	Ozdemir C, Kucuksezer UC, Tamay ZU. Is BCG vaccination affecting the spread and
52	1967		severity of COVID-19? <i>Allergy.</i> 2020;n/a(n/a).
53	1968	85.	Gursel M, Gursel I. Is global BCG vaccination-induced trained immunity relevant to the
54	1969		progression of SARS-CoV-2 pandemic? <i>Allergy</i> . 2020;n/a(n/a).
55	1970	86.	Hamiel U, Kozer E, Youngster I. SARS-CoV-2 Rates in BCG-Vaccinated and
56	1971		Unvaccinated Young Adults. JAMA. 2020.
57 58			50
58 59			53
,,,			

1			
2			
3 4	1972 1973	87.	Curtis N, Sparrow A, Ghebreyesus TA, Netea MG. Considering BCG vaccination to reduce the impact of COVID-19. <i>Lancet.</i> 2020;395(10236):1545-1546.
5	1973	88.	WHO. Bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccination and COVID-19. 2020;
6	1975	00.	https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/bacille-calmette-gu%C3%A9rin-
7	1976		(bcg)-vaccination-and-covid-19. Accessed April 29, 2020.
8 9	1977	89.	Riphagen S, Gomez X, Gonzalez-Martinez C, Wilkinson N, Theocharis P.
9 10	1978		Hyperinflammatory shock in children during COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet.
11	1979		2020;395(10237):1607-1608.
12	1980	90.	Verdoni L, Mazza A, Gervasoni A, et al. An outbreak of severe Kawasaki-like disease at
13	1981		the Italian epicentre of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic: an observational cohort study. <i>Lancet.</i>
14	1982	01	2020.
15	1983 1984	91.	Viner RM, Whittaker E. Kawasaki-like disease: emerging complication during the COVID- 19 pandemic. <i>Lancet.</i> 2020.
16 17	1985	92.	Terpos E, Ntanasis-Stathopoulos I, Elalamy I, et al. Hematological findings and
18	1986	52.	complications of COVID-19. Am J Hematol. 2020.
19	1987	93.	Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in
20	1988		China. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(18):1708-1720.
21	1989	94.	Lechner M, Chandrasekharan D, Jumani K, et al. Anosmia as a presenting symptom of
22	1990		SARS-CoV-2 infection in healthcare workers - A systematic review of the literature, case
23 24	1991		series, and recommendations for clinical assessment and management. Rhinology. 2020.
24 25	1992	95.	Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, et al. Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients
26	1993		with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet.
27	1994 1995	06	2020;395(10229):1054-1062.
28	1995	96.	Sethuraman N, Jeremiah SS, Ryo A. Interpreting Diagnostic Tests for SARS-CoV-2. <i>JAMA</i> . 2020.
29	1990	97.	Nalla AK, Casto AM, Huang MW, et al. Comparative Performance of SARS-CoV-2
30	1998	07.	Detection Assays using Seven Different Primer/Probe Sets and One Assay Kit. J Clin
31 32	1999		Microbiol. 2020:JCM.00557-00520.
33	2000	98.	Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in Different Types of Clinical
34	2001		Specimens. JAMA. 2020.
35	2002	99.	WHO. Laboratory testing for 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in suspected human
36	2003		cases. 2020; <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail/laboratory-testing-for-2019-novel-</u>
37	2004	400	coronavirus-in-suspected-human-cases-20200117 Accessed May 24, 2020.
38 39	2005	100.	Padoan A, Sciacovelli L, Basso D, et al. IgA-Ab response to spike glycoprotein of SARS-
40	2006 2007		CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19: A longitudinal study. <i>Clin Chim Acta.</i> 2020;507:164-166.
41	2007	101.	Udugama B, Kadhiresan P, Kozlowski HN, et al. Diagnosing COVID-19: The Disease and
42	2000	101.	Tools for Detection. ACS Nano. 2020;14(4):3822-3835.
43	2010	102.	WHO. Advice on the use of point-of-care immunodiagnostic tests for COVID-19. 2020;
44	2011		https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/advice-on-the-use-of-point-of-care-
45 46	2012		immunodiagnostic-tests-for-covid-19 Accessed May 24, 2020.
46 47	2013	103.	Pan Y, Li X, Yang G, et al. Serological immunochromatographic approach in diagnosis
48	2014		with SARS-CoV-2 infected COVID-19 patients. <i>J Infect.</i> 2020.
49	2015	104.	Lu X, Wang L, Sakthivel SK, et al. US CDC Real-Time Reverse Transcription PCR Panel
50	2016		for Detection of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2. <i>Emerg Infect Dis.</i>
51	2017 2018	105.	2020;26(8). Zhang JJ, Cao YY, Dong X, et al. Distinct characteristics of COVID-19 patients with initial
52	2018 2019	105.	rRT-PCR-positive and rRT-PCR-negative results for SARS-CoV-2. <i>Allergy.</i> 2020;n/a(n/a).
53 54	2019	106.	Control ECfDPa. Guidance for discharge and ending isolation in the context of widespread
54 55	2020		community transmission of COVID-19. 2020;
56			,
57			
58			54

2			
3	2022		https://www.ecdc.europa.eu/sites/default/files/documents/covid-19-guidance-discharge-
4	2023		and-ending-isolation-first%20update.pdf. Accessed May 21, 2020.
5	2024	107.	Malipiero G, Paoletti G, Puggioni F, et al. An academic allergy unit during COVID-19
6	2025	107.	pandemic in Italy. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020.
7	2026	108.	Pfaar O KL, Jutel M, Akdis CA, Bousquet J, Breiteneder H, et al COVID-19 pandemic:
8	2027	100.	Practical considerations on the organization of an allergy clinic – an EAACI/ARIA Position
9	2028		Paper. Allergy. 2020; In press.
10	2029	109.	Portnoy J, Waller M, Elliott T. Telemedicine in the Era of COVID-19. J Allergy Clin Immunol
11	2020	105.	<i>Pract.</i> 2020;8(5):1489-1491.
12	2030	110.	Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Heffner KL, Glaser R, et al. How stress and anxiety can alter immediate
13	2032	110.	and late phase skin test responses in allergic rhinitis. <i>Psychoneuroendocrinology.</i>
14 15	2033		2009;34(5):670-680.
16	2033	111.	WHO. Infection prevention and control during health care when novel coronavirus (nCoV)
17	2035		infection is suspected. 2020; <u>https://www.who.int/publications-detail/infection-prevention-</u>
18	2036		and-control-during-health-care-when-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-is-suspected-
19	2030		20200125. Accessed May 24, 2020.
20	2038	112.	Zhang Y, Zhang L. Management Practice of Allergic Rhinitis in China During the COVID-
21	2030	112.	19 Pandemic. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res. 2020;12(4):738-742.
22	2039 2040	113.	CDC. Interim Guidelines for Biosafety and COVID-19. 2020; cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-
23	2040 2041	115.	ncov/lab/lab-biosafety-guidelines.html. Accessed May 24, 2020.
24		111	
25	2042	114.	Hui Du XD, Jin-jin Zhang, Yi-yuan Cao, Mubeccel Akdis, Pei-qi Huang, Hong-wei Chen
26	2043		, Ying Li, Guang-hui Liu, Cezmi A Akdis, Xiao-xia Lu, Ya-dong Gao. Clinical
27	2044		characteristics of 182 pediatric COVID-19 patients with different severities and allergic
28	2045		status. Allergy. 2020.
29	2046	115.	OSHA. COVID-19 - Control and Prevention. 2020; https://www.osha.gov/SLTC/covid-
30	2047		<u>19/controlprevention.html</u> . Accessed May 24, 2020.
31	2048	116.	Bousquet J, Akdis C, Jutel M, et al. Intranasal corticosteroids in allergic rhinitis in COVID-
32	2049		19 infected patients: An ARIA-EAACI statement. Allergy. 2020.
33	2050	117.	Leonardi A, Fauquert JL, Doan S, et al. Managing ocular allergy in the time of COVID-19.
34	2051		Allergy. 2020;n/a(n/a).
35	2052	118.	Klimek L, Jutel M, Akdis C, et al. Handling of allergen immunotherapy in the COVID-19
36	2053		pandemic: An ARIA-EAACI statement. Allergy. 2020.
37	2054	119.	Fokkens WJ, Lund VJ, Hopkins C, et al. European Position Paper on Rhinosinusitis and
38	2055		Nasal Polyps 2020. Rhinology. 2020;58(Suppl S29):1-464.
39 40	2056	120.	Pellegrino R, Cooper KW, Di Pizio A, Joseph PV, Bhutani S, Parma V. Corona Viruses
40 41	2057		and the Chemical Senses: Past, Present, and Future. Chem Senses. 2020.
41	2058	121.	Bilinska K, Jakubowska P, Von Bartheld CS, Butowt R. Expression of the SARS-CoV-2
43	2059		Entry Proteins, ACE2 and TMPRSS2, in Cells of the Olfactory Epithelium: Identification of
44	2060		Cell Types and Trends with Age. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2020.
45	2061	122.	Hopkins C, Surda P, Whitehead E, Kumar BN. Early recovery following new onset
46	2062		anosmia during the COVID-19 pandemic - an observational cohort study. J Otolaryngol
47	2063		Head Neck Surg. 2020;49(1):26.
48	2064	123.	Van Gerven L, Hellings PW, Cox T, Fokkens WJ, Hopkins C. Personal protection and
49	2065		delivery of rhinologic and endoscopic skull base procedures during the COVID-19
50	2066		outbreak: ERS endorsed advises. Rhinology. 2020;58(3).
51	2067	124.	WHO. Rational Use of Personal Protective Equipment for Coronavirus Disease 2019
52	2068		(COVID-19). 2020; https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/331215/WHO-2019-
53	2069		nCov-IPCPPE use-2020.1-eng.pdf. Accessed May 24, 2020.
54	2070	125.	WHO. Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when COVID-19 is
55	2071		suspected. 2020; https://www.who.int/publications-detail/clinical-management-of-severe-
56			
57			
58			55
59			
60			

1			
1 2			
3	2072		acute-respiratory-infection-when-novel-coronavirus-(ncov)-infection-is-suspected.
4	2072		Accessed May 24, 2020.
5	2073	126.	Simon F, Haggard M, Rosenfeld RM, et al. International consensus (ICON) on
6	2075	120.	management of otitis media with effusion in children. Eur Ann Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck
7	2076		Dis. 2018;135(1S):S33-S39.
8	2077	127.	Rosenfeld RM, Schwartz SR, Pynnonen MA, et al. Clinical practice guideline:
9 10	2078		Tympanostomy tubes in children. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2013;149(1 Suppl):S1-35.
10	2079	128.	Society BT. Advice for Healthcare Professionals Treating People with Asthma (adults)
12	2080	in re	elation to COVID-19. 2020; <u>https://www.brit-thoracic.org.uk/document-library/quality-</u>
13	2081		improvement/covid-19/bts-advice-for-healthcare-professionals-treating-patients-with-
14	2082		asthma/ Accessed May 21, 2020.
15	2083	129.	Moiseev S, Avdeev, S., Brovko, M. et al Low prevalence of bronchial asthma and chronic
16	2084		obstructive lung disease among intensive care unit patients with COVID-19. Allergy. 2020.
17	2085	130.	Farsi A, Carli, G., Cecchi, L. et al Is asthma proctective against COVID-19? 2020.
18	2086	131.	Peters MC, Sajuthi S, Deford P, et al. COVID-19 Related Genes in Sputum Cells in
19 20	2087		Asthma: Relationship to Demographic Features and Corticosteroids. Am J Respir Crit
20	2088 2089	132.	Care Med. 2020. Johnston SL. Asthma and COVID-19: is asthma a risk factor for severe outcomes? Allergy.
22	2089	152.	2020.
23	2090	133.	Asthma Glf. COVID-19: GINA ANSWERS TO FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS ON
24	2092	100.	ASTHMA MANAGEMENT. 2020; <u>https://ginasthma.org/covid-19-gina-answers-to-</u>
25	2093		frequently-asked-questions-on-asthma-management/. Accessed May 21, 2020.
26	2094	134.	Kampf G, Todt D, Pfaender S, Steinmann E. Persistence of coronaviruses on inanimate
27	2095		surfaces and their inactivation with biocidal agents. J Hosp Infect. 2020;104(3):246-251.
28	2096	135.	Llewellin P, Sawyer G, Lewis S, et al. The relationship between FEV1 and PEF in the
29 30	2097		assessment of the severity of airways obstruction. Respirology. 2002;7(4):333-337.
31	2098	136.	Goyal M, Goel A, Bhattacharya S, Verma N, Tiwari S. Circadian variability in airways
32	2099		characteristics: A spirometric study. Chronobiol Int. 2019;36(11):1550-1557.
33	2100	137.	Matricardi PM, Dramburg S, Alvarez-Perea A, et al. The role of mobile health technologies
34	2101		in allergy care: An EAACI position paper. Allergy. 2020;75(2):259-272.
35	2102	138.	Morais-Almeida M, Aguiar R, Martin B, et al. COVID-19, asthma, and biologic therapies:
36	2103		What we need to know. World Allergy Organ J. 2020:100126.
37	2104	139.	Chiappetta S, Sharma AM, Bottino V, Stier C. COVID-19 and the role of chronic
38	2105	4.40	inflammation in patients with obesity. Int J Obes (Lond). 2020.
39 40	2106	140.	Kruglikov IL, Scherer PE. The role of adipocytes and adipocyte-like cells in the severity of
40	2107	4 4 4	COVID-19 infections. <i>Obesity (Silver Spring)</i> . 2020.
42	2108 2109	141.	Kim HY, Lee HJ, Chang YJ, et al. Interleukin-17-producing innate lymphoid cells and the NLRP3 inflammasome facilitate obesity-associated airway hyperreactivity. <i>Nat Med.</i>
43	2109		2014;20(1):54-61.
44	2110	142.	Grace J, Mohan A, Lugogo NL. Obesity and adult asthma: diagnostic and management
45	2112	174.	challenges. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2019;25(1):44-50.
46	2112	143.	Estebanez A, Perez-Santiago L, Silva E, Guillen-Climent S, Garcia-Vazquez A, Ramon
47	2110		MD. Cutaneous manifestations in COVID-19: a new contribution. <i>J Eur Acad Dermatol</i>
48	2115		Venereol. 2020.
49 50	2116	144.	Recalcati S. Cutaneous manifestations in COVID-19: a first perspective. J Eur Acad
50 51	2117		Dermatol Venereol. 2020;34(5):e212-e213.
52	2118	145.	Suchonwanit P, Leerunyakul K, Kositkuljorn C. Cutaneous manifestations in COVID-19:
53	2119		Lessons learned from current evidence. J Am Acad Dermatol. 2020.
54	2120	146.	Gelincik A BK, Çelik GE, Doña I, Mayorga L, Romano A, Soyer O, Atanaskovic-Markovic
55	2121		M , Barbaud A, Torres MJ. Diagnosis and management of the drug hypersensitivity
56	2122		reactions in Coronavirus disease 19. Allergy. 2020:(in press).
57			
58 50			56
59 60			

2			
3	2123	147.	Wollenberg A, Flohr C, Simon D, et al. European Task Force on Atopic Dermatitis
4	2124	147.	(ETFAD) statement on severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2)-
5	2125		infection and atopic dermatitis. <i>J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol.</i> 2020.
6	2126	148.	Meding B, Gronhagen CM, Bergstrom A, Kull I, Wrangsjo K, Liden C. Water Exposure on
7	2127		the Hands in Adolescents: A Report from the BAMSE Cohort. Acta Derm Venereol.
8 9	2128		2017;97(2):188-192.
9 10	2129	149.	Prescott SL, Larcombe DL, Logan AC, et al. The skin microbiome: impact of modern
11	2130		environments on skin ecology, barrier integrity, and systemic immune programming. World
12	2131		Allergy Organ J. 2017;10(1):29.
13	2132	150.	Yan Y, Chen H, Chen L, et al. Consensus of Chinese experts on protection of skin and
14	2133		mucous membrane barrier for health-care workers fighting against coronavirus disease
15	2134		2019. Dermatol Ther. 2020:e13310.
16	2135	151.	Carugno A, Raponi F, Locatelli AG, et al. No evidence of increased risk for COVID-19
17	2136		infection in patients treated with Dupilumab for atopic dermatitis in a high-epidemic area -
18	2137	450	Bergamo, Lombardy, Italy. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2020.
19 20	2138	152.	Simpson EL, Paller AS, Siegfried EC, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Dupilumab in
20 21	2139		Adolescents With Uncontrolled Moderate to Severe Atopic Dermatitis: A Phase 3
21	2140	150	Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Dermatol. 2019.
23	2141 2142	153.	Schneeweiss MC, Perez-Chada L, Merola JF. Comparative Safety of Systemic Immuno- modulatory Medications in Adults with Atopic Dermatitis. <i>J Am Acad Dermatol.</i> 2019.
24	2142	154.	Blauvelt A, de Bruin-Weller M, Gooderham M, et al. Long-term management of moderate-
25	2143	154.	to-severe atopic dermatitis with dupilumab and concomitant topical corticosteroids
26	2144		(LIBERTY AD CHRONOS): a 1-year, randomised, double-blinded, placebo-controlled,
27	2145		phase 3 trial. <i>Lancet.</i> 2017;389(10086):2287-2303.
28	2147	155.	Zhang Y, Cao W, Xiao M, et al. [Clinical and coagulation characteristics of 7 patients with
29	2148	100.	critical COVID-2019 pneumonia and acro-ischemia]. <i>Zhonghua Xue Ye Xue Za Zhi.</i>
30	2149		2020;41(0):E006.
31 32	2150	156.	Sanders JM, Monogue ML, Jodlowski TZ, Cutrell JB. Pharmacologic Treatments for
33	2151		Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Review. JAMA. 2020.
34	2152	157.	Xu X, Ong YK, Wang Y. Role of adjunctive treatment strategies in COVID-19 and a review
35	2153		of international and national clinical guidelines. Mil Med Res. 2020;7(1):22.
36	2154	158.	Thachil J, Tang N, Gando S, et al. ISTH interim guidance on recognition and management
37	2155		of coagulopathy in COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost. 2020;18(5):1023-1026.
38	2156	159.	Stockman LJ, Bellamy R, Garner P. SARS: systematic review of treatment effects. PLoS
39	2157		Med. 2006;3(9):e343.
40	2158	160.	Yam LY, Lau AC, Lai FY, et al. Corticosteroid treatment of severe acute respiratory
41	2159		syndrome in Hong Kong. J Infect. 2007;54(1):28-39.
42 43	2160	161.	Shang L, Zhao J, Hu Y, Du R, Cao B. On the use of corticosteroids for 2019-nCoV
44	2161	400	pneumonia. <i>The Lancet.</i> 2020;395(10225):683-684.
45	2162	162.	NIH. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)
46	2163	rreati	nent Guidelines. 2020; <u>https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/</u> . Accessed May
47	2164 2165	163.	24, 2020. Funck-Brentano C, Salem J-E. Chloroquine or hydroxychloroquine for COVID-19: why
48	2165	103.	might they be hazardous? The Lancet. 2020.
49	2167	164.	Luo P, Liu Y, Qiu L, Liu X, Liu D, Li J. Tocilizumab treatment in COVID-19: A single center
50	2168	104.	experience. J Med Virol. 2020.
51 52	2169	165.	Alberici F, Delbarba E, Manenti C, et al. A single center observational study of the clinical
52 53	2170		characteristics and short-term outcome of 20 kidney transplant patients admitted for
54	2171		SARS-CoV2 pneumonia. <i>Kidney Int.</i> 2020.
55	2172	166.	Capra R, De Rossi N, Mattioli F, et al. Impact of low dose tocilizumab on mortality rate in
56	2173		patients with COVID-19 related pneumonia. Eur J Intern Med. 2020.
57			·
58			57
59			
60			

2			
3	2174	167.	Colaneri M, Bogliolo L, Valsecchi P, et al. Tocilizumab for Treatment of Severe COVID-19
4	2175		Patients: Preliminary Results from SMAtteo COvid19 REgistry (SMACORE).
5	2176		Microorganisms. 2020;8(5).
6	2177	168.	Di Giambenedetto S, Ciccullo A, Borghetti A, et al. Off-label Use of Tocilizumab in Patients
7	2178		with SARS-CoV-2 Infection. J Med Virol. 2020.
8	2179	169.	Jacobs JP, Stammers AH, St Louis J, et al. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation in the
9	2180		Treatment of Severe Pulmonary and Cardiac Compromise in COVID-19: Experience with
10	2181		32 patients. ASAIO J. 2020.
11	2182	170.	Klopfenstein T, Zayet S, Lohse A, et al. Tocilizumab therapy reduced intensive care unit
12	2183		admissions and/or mortality in COVID-19 patients. <i>Med Mal Infect.</i> 2020.
13	2184	171.	Mazzitelli M, Arrighi E, Serapide F, et al. Use of subcutaneous tocilizumab in patients with
14 15	2185	171.	COVID-19 pneumonia. J Med Virol. 2020.
15 16	2185	172.	Pereira MR, Mohan S, Cohen DJ, et al. COVID-19 in solid organ transplant recipients:
16	2180	172.	• • •
		170	Initial report from the US epicenter. Am J Transplant. 2020.
18	2188	173.	Piva S, Filippini M, Turla F, et al. Clinical presentation and initial management critically ill
19 20	2189		patients with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in
20	2190		Brescia, Italy. <i>J Crit Care.</i> 2020;58:29-33.
21	2191	174.	Sciascia S, Apra F, Baffa A, et al. Pilot prospective open, single-arm multicentre study on
22	2192		off-label use of tocilizumab in patients with severe COVID-19. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2020.
23	2193	175.	Toniati P, Piva S, Cattalini M, et al. Tocilizumab for the treatment of severe COVID-19
24 25	2194		pneumonia with hyperinflammatory syndrome and acute respiratory failure: A single
25	2195		center study of 100 patients in Brescia, Italy. Autoimmun Rev. 2020:102568.
26 27	2196	176.	Xu X, Han M, Li T, et al. Effective treatment of severe COVID-19 patients with tocilizumab.
27	2197		Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(20):10970-10975.
28 29	2198	177.	Xie M, Chen Q. Insight into 2019 novel coronavirus - An updated interim review and
29 30	2199		lessons from SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV. Int J Infect Dis. 2020;94:119-124.
31	2200	178.	Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at
32	2201	-	increased risk for COVID-19 infection? Lancet Respir Med. 2020;8(4):e21.
32 33	2202	179.	Agency EM. EMA gives advice on the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatories for COVID-
33 34	2203		19 2020; https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/news/ema-gives-advice-use-non-steroidal-anti-
35	2204		inflammatories-covid-19. Accessed May 20, 2020.
36	2205	180.	Bonini S, Maltese G. COVID-19Clinical trials: quality matters more than quantity. <i>Allergy.</i>
37	2206	100.	2020.
38	2200	181.	Zhou M, Zhang X, Qu J. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a clinical update. Front
39	2207	101.	Med. 2020;14(2):126-135.
40	2208	182.	Med. 2020, 14(2), 120-133. Mehra MR, Desai SS, Ruschitzka F, Patel AN. Hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine with or
41		102.	
42	2210		without a macrolide for treatment of COVID-19: a multinational registry analysis. The
43	2211	400	Lancet. 2020.
44	2212	183.	McKee DL, Sternberg A, Stange U, Laufer S, Naujokat C. Candidate drugs against SARS-
45	2213		CoV-2 and COVID-19. <i>Pharmacol Res.</i> 2020;157:104859.
46	2214	184.	Bian H, Zheng Z-H, Wei D, et al. Meplazumab treats COVID-19 pneumonia: an open-
47	2215		labelled, concurrent controlled add-on clinical trial. <i>medRxiv</i> .
48	2216		2020:2020.2003.2021.20040691.
49	2217	185.	Monteil V, Kwon H, Prado P, et al. Inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 Infections in Engineered
50	2218		Human Tissues Using Clinical-Grade Soluble Human ACE2. Cell. 2020;181(4):905-913
51	2219		e907.
52	2220	186.	Grein J, Ohmagari N, Shin D, et al. Compassionate Use of Remdesivir for Patients with
53	2221		Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020.
54	2222	187.	Beigel JH, Tomashek KM, Dodd LE, et al. Remdesivir for the Treatment of Covid-19 -
55	2223		Preliminary Report. N Engl J Med. 2020.
56			
57			
58			58

1			
2			
3	2224	188.	Blanco JL, Ambrosioni J, Garcia F, et al. COVID-19 in patients with HIV: clinical case
4	2225		series. <i>Lancet HIV.</i> 2020;7(5):e314-e316.
5	2226	189.	Cao B, Wang Y, Wen D, et al. A Trial of Lopinavir-Ritonavir in Adults Hospitalized with
6	2227		Severe Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(19):1787-1799.
7 8	2228	190.	Ford N, Vitoria M, Rangaraj A, Norris SL, Calmy A, Doherty M. Systematic review of the
8 9	2229		efficacy and safety of antiretroviral drugs against SARS, MERS or COVID-19: initial
9 10	2230		assessment. J Int AIDS Soc. 2020;23(4):e25489.
11	2231	191.	Duan K, Liu B, Li C, et al. Effectiveness of convalescent plasma therapy in severe COVID-
12	2232		19 patients. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2020;117(17):9490-9496.
13	2233	192.	Stebbing J, Phelan A, Griffin I, et al. COVID-19: combining antiviral and anti-inflammatory
14	2234		treatments. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020;20(4):400-402.
15	2235	193.	Cantini F, Niccoli L, Matarrese D, Nicastri E, Stobbione P, Goletti D. Baricitinib therapy in
16	2236		COVID-19: A pilot study on safety and clinical impact. <i>J Infect.</i> 2020.
17	2237	194.	Caly L, Druce JD, Catton MG, Jans DA, Wagstaff KM. The FDA-approved drug ivermectin
18	2238	405	inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. Antiviral Res. 2020;178:104787.
19	2239	195.	Schmith VD, Zhou JJ, Lohmer LR. The Approved Dose of Ivermectin Alone is not the Ideal
20 21	2240	100	Dose for the Treatment of COVID-19. <i>Clin Pharmacol Ther.</i> 2020.
21	2241	196.	Geleris J, Sun Y, Platt J, et al. Observational Study of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized
23	2242 2243	107	Patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020.
24	2243 2244	197.	Borba MGS, Val FFA, Sampaio VS, et al. Effect of High vs Low Doses of Chloroquine Diphosphate as Adjunctive Therapy for Patients Hospitalized With Severe Acute
25	2244 2245		Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection: A Randomized Clinical
26	2245		Trial. JAMA Netw Open. 2020;3(4):e208857.
27	2240	198.	Magagnoli J, Narendran S, Pereira F, et al. Outcomes of hydroxychloroquine usage in
28	2248	150.	United States veterans hospitalized with Covid-19. <i>medRxiv.</i>
29	2249		2020:2020.2004.2016.20065920.
30	2250	199.	Khoury M, Cuenca J, Cruz FF, Figueroa FE, Rocco PRM, Weiss DJ. Current Status of
31 32	2251	100.	Cell-Based Therapies for Respiratory Virus Infections: Applicability to COVID-19. Eur
32	2252		Respir J. 2020.
34	2253	200.	Zhang H, Penninger JM, Li Y, Zhong N, Slutsky AS. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2
35	2254		(ACE2) as a SARS-CoV-2 receptor: molecular mechanisms and potential therapeutic
36	2255		target. Intensive care medicine. 2020;46(4):586-590.
37	2256	201.	WHO. COVID-19 and the use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and receptor
38	2257		blockers. 2020; https://www.who.int/news-room/commentaries/detail/covid-19-and-the-
39	2258		use-of-angiotensin-converting-enzyme-inhibitors-and-receptor-blockers. Accessed May
40	2259		27, 2020.
41	2260	202.	Soldatov VO, Kubekina MV, Silaeva YY, Bruter AV, Deykin AV. On the way from SARS-
42	2261		CoV-sensitive mice to murine COVID-19 model. In: Pensoft Publishers; 2020.
43 44	2262	203.	Thanh Le T, Andreadakis Z, Kumar A, et al. The COVID-19 vaccine development
44	2263	6 6 1	landscape. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2020;19(5):305-306.
46	2264	204.	WHO. DRAFT landscape of COVID-19 candidate vaccines 2020;
47	2265		https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/novel-coronavirus-landscape-
48	2266		<u>covid-19fbda851295d245e48d8d0a78b35af7ff.pdf?sfvrsn=1720b348_1&download=true</u> .
49	2267	005	Accessed May 25, 2020.
50	2268	205.	Wrapp D, De Vlieger D, Corbett KS, et al. Structural Basis for Potent Neutralization of
51	2269	206	Betacoronaviruses by Single-Domain Camelid Antibodies. <i>Cell</i> . 2020.
52	2270 2271	206.	Wang C, Li W, Drabek D, et al. A human monoclonal antibody blocking SARS-CoV-2 infection. <i>Nat Commun.</i> 2020;11(1):2251.
53 54	2271	207.	Larios Mora A, Detalle L, Gallup JM, et al. Delivery of ALX-0171 by inhalation greatly
54 55	2272	201.	reduces respiratory syncytial virus disease in newborn lambs. <i>MAbs.</i> 2018;10(5):778-795.
55 56	2210		$\frac{1}{10000000000000000000000000000000000$
57			
58			59
59			
60			

1			
2			
3	2274	208.	Grgic H, Hunter DB, Hunton P, Nagy E. Vaccine efficacy against Ontario isolates of
4 5	2275		infectious bronchitis virus. Can J Vet Res. 2009;73(3):212-216.
6	2276	209.	Zhu FC, Li YH, Guan XH, et al. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of a recombinant
7	2277		adenovirus type-5 vectored COVID-19 vaccine: a dose-escalation, open-label, non-
8	2278		randomised, first-in-human trial. Lancet. 2020.
9	2279	210.	Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 Infections and
10	2280		Transmission in a Skilled Nursing Facility. N Engl J Med. 2020.
11	2281	211.	Gandhi M, Yokoe DS, Havlir DV. Asymptomatic Transmission, the Achilles' Heel of
12	2282		Current Strategies to Control Covid-19. N Engl J Med. 2020.
13	2283	212.	Hains DS, Schwaderer AL, Carroll AE, et al. Asymptomatic Seroconversion of
14	2284		Immunoglobulins to SARS-CoV-2 in a Pediatric Dialysis Unit. JAMA. 2020.
15	2285	213.	McAnulty JM, Ward K. Suppressing the Epidemic in New South Wales. N Engl J Med.
16	2286	.	2020;382(21):e74.
17	2287	214.	Fauci AS, Lane HC, Redfield RR. Covid-19 - Navigating the Uncharted. N Engl J Med.
18	2288		2020;382(13):1268-1269.
19	2289	215.	Petrosillo N, Viceconte G, Ergonul O, Ippolito G, Petersen E. COVID-19, SARS and
20	2290		MERS: are they closely related? <i>Clin Microbiol Infect.</i> 2020;26(6):729-734.
21	2291	216.	Lu X, Zhang L, Du H, et al. SARS-CoV-2 Infection in Children. N Engl J Med.
22 23	2292		2020;382(17):1663-1665.
23 24	2293	217.	Dong Y, Mo X, Hu Y, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19 Among Children in China.
25	2294		Pediatrics. 2020.
26	2295	218.	Parri N, Lenge M, Buonsenso D, Coronavirus Infection in Pediatric Emergency
27	2296		Departments Research G. Children with Covid-19 in Pediatric Emergency Departments in
28	2297		Italy. N Engl J Med. 2020.
29	2298	219.	Castagnoli R, Votto M, Licari A, et al. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2
30	2299		(SARS-CoV-2) Infection in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. JAMA
31	2300		Pediatr. 2020.
32	2301	220.	Garazzino S, Montagnani C, Donà D, et al. Multicentre Italian study of SARS-CoV-2
33	2302		infection in children and adolescents, preliminary data as at 10 April 2020.
34	2303	004	Eurosurveillance. 2020;25(18):2000600.
35	2304	221.	Johnston NW, Johnston SL, Norman GR, Dai J, Sears MR. The September epidemic of
36	2305		asthma hospitalization: school children as disease vectors. J Allergy Clin Immunol.
	2306	000	2006;117(3):557-562.
38 39	2307	222.	Shi J, Wen Z, Zhong G, et al. Susceptibility of ferrets, cats, dogs, and other domesticated
39 40	2308	000	animals to SARS-coronavirus 2. <i>Science</i> . 2020.
40 41	2309	223.	Livingston E, Bucher K. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Italy. JAMA. 2020.
42	2310	224.	Targher G, Mantovani A, Wang XB, et al. Patients with diabetes are at higher risk for
43	2311 2312	225	severe illness from COVID-19. <i>Diabetes Metab.</i> 2020.
44		225.	Pareek M, Bangash MN, Pareek N, et al. Ethnicity and COVID-19: an urgent public health
45	2313	226	research priority. <i>Lancet.</i> 2020;395(10234):1421-1422.
46	2314 2315	226.	Khunti K, Singh AK, Pareek M, Hanif W. Is ethnicity linked to incidence or outcomes of
47		227.	covid-19? <i>BMJ.</i> 2020;369:m1548.
48	2316	221.	Millett GA, Jones AT, Benkeser D, et al. Assessing Differential Impacts of COVID-19 on
49	2317	220	Black Communities. Ann Epidemiol. 2020.
50	2318 2319	228.	Forbes RL, Gibson PG, Murphy VE, Wark PA. Impaired type I and III interferon response to rhinovirus infection during pregnancy and asthma. <i>Thorax.</i> 2012;67(3):209-214.
51	2319	229.	Qiancheng X, Jian S, Lingling P, et al. Coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnancy. Int J Infect
52	2320	229.	Dis. 2020;95:376-383.
53	2321	230.	Whitehead CL, Walker SP. Consider pregnancy in COVID-19 therapeutic drug and
54 55	2322	200.	vaccine trials. <i>Lancet.</i> 2020;395(10237):e92.
55 56	2020		
50 57			
58			60
			00

1			
2 3			
4	2324 2325	231.	Gielen V, Johnston SL, Edwards MR. Azithromycin induces anti-viral responses in
5	2325	232.	bronchial epithelial cells. <i>Eur Respir J.</i> 2010;36(3):646-654. Chico RM, Chandramohan D. Azithromycin plus chloroquine: combination therapy for
6	2320	252.	protection against malaria and sexually transmitted infections in pregnancy. Expert Opin
7	2328		Drug Metab Toxicol. 2011;7(9):1153-1167.
8	2329	233.	Bacharier LB, Guilbert TW, Mauger DT, et al. Early Administration of Azithromycin and
9 10	2330		Prevention of Severe Lower Respiratory Tract Illnesses in Preschool Children With a
11	2331		History of Such Illnesses: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2015;314(19):2034-2044.
12	2332	234.	Gibson PG, Yang IA, Upham JW, et al. Effect of azithromycin on asthma exacerbations
13	2333		and quality of life in adults with persistent uncontrolled asthma (AMAZES): a randomised,
14	2334	~~-	double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. <i>Lancet.</i> 2017;390(10095):659-668.
15	2335	235.	Gibson WT, Evans DM, An J, Jones SJM. ACE 2 Coding Variants: A Potential X-linked
16	2336	000	Risk Factor for COVID-19 Disease. <i>bioRxiv</i> . 2020:2020.2004.2005.026633.
17 18	2337 2338	236.	Berghofer B, Frommer T, Haley G, Fink L, Bein G, Hackstein H. TLR7 ligands induce higher IFN-alpha production in females. <i>J Immunol.</i> 2006;177(4):2088-2096.
19	2338	237.	Grasselli G, Zangrillo A, Zanella A, et al. Baseline Characteristics and Outcomes of 1591
20	2340	257.	Patients Infected With SARS-CoV-2 Admitted to ICUs of the Lombardy Region, Italy.
21	2341		JAMA. 2020.
22	2342	238.	Li X, Xu S, Yu M, et al. Risk factors for severity and mortality in adult COVID-19 inpatients
23	2343		in Wuhan. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2020.
24	2344	239.	Gudbjartsson DF, Helgason A, Jonsson H, et al. Spread of SARS-CoV-2 in the Icelandic
25 26	2345		Population. N Engl J Med. 2020.
20	2346	240.	CDC. Weekly Updates by Select Demographic and Geographic Characteristics. 2020;
28	2347		https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss/vsrr/covid_weekly/index.htm#AgeAndSex. Accessed May
29	2348	- · · ·	27, 2020.
30	2349	241.	Xie J, Tong Z, Guan X, Du B, Qiu H. Clinical Characteristics of Patients Who Died of
31	2350	040	Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. <i>JAMA Netw Open</i> . 2020;3(4):e205619.
32	2351 2352	242.	McMichael TM, Currie DW, Clark S, et al. Epidemiology of Covid-19 in a Long-Term Care Facility in King County, Washington. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2020;382(21):2005-2011.
33	2352	243.	Linka K, Peirlinck M, Sahli Costabal F, Kuhl E. Outbreak dynamics of COVID-19 in Europe
34 35	2354	240.	and the effect of travel restrictions. <i>Comput Methods Biomech Biomed Engin.</i> 2020:1-8.
36	2355	244.	Shaman J, Goldstein E, Lipsitch M. Absolute humidity and pandemic versus epidemic
37	2356		influenza. <i>Am J Epidemiol.</i> 2011;173(2):127-135.
38	2357	245.	Miller MA, Viboud C, Balinska M, Simonsen L. The signature features of influenza
39	2358		pandemicsimplications for policy. N Engl J Med. 2009;360(25):2595-2598.
40	2359	246.	Neher RA, Dyrdak R, Druelle V, Hodcroft EB, Albert J. Potential impact of seasonal forcing
41	2360		on a SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Swiss Med Wkly. 2020;150:w20224.
42 43	2361	247.	Hamner L, Dubbel P, Capron I, et al. High SARS-CoV-2 Attack Rate Following Exposure
44	2362		at a Choir Practice - Skagit County, Washington, March 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly
45	2363	040	Rep. 2020;69(19):606-610.
46	2364 2365	248.	Wilson NM, Norton A, Young FP, Collins DW. Airborne transmission of severe acute
47	2365		respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 to healthcare workers: a narrative review. <i>Anaesthesia.</i> 2020.
48	2367	249.	Liu Y, Ning Z, Chen Y, et al. Aerodynamic analysis of SARS-CoV-2 in two Wuhan
49	2368	240.	hospitals. <i>Nature.</i> 2020.
50 51	2369	250.	Zhang J, Litvinova M, Liang Y, et al. Changes in contact patterns shape the dynamics of
51	2370		the COVID-19 outbreak in China. <i>Science</i> . 2020:eabb8001.
53	2371	251.	Matrajt L, Leung T. Evaluating the Effectiveness of Social Distancing Interventions to
54	2372		Delay or Flatten the Epidemic Curve of Coronavirus Disease. Emerg Infect Dis.
55	2373		2020;26(8).
56			
57			
58 50			61
59 60			
00			

1			
2			
3	2374	252.	Epidemiology Working Group for Ncip Epidemic Response CCfDC, Prevention. [The
4	2375		epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases
5	2376		(COVID-19) in China]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020;41(2):145-151.
6 7	2377	253.	van Doremalen N, Bushmaker T, Morris DH, et al. Aerosol and Surface Stability of SARS-
8	2378		CoV-2 as Compared with SARS-CoV-1. <i>N Engl J Med.</i> 2020;382(16):1564-1567.
9	2379	254.	Chen J, Qi T, Liu L, et al. Clinical progression of patients with COVID-19 in Shanghai,
10	2380	055	China. J Infect. 2020;80(5):e1-e6.
11	2381	255.	Jartti T, Palomares O, Waris M, et al. Distinct regulation of tonsillar immune response in
12	2382 2383	256.	virus infection. <i>Allergy.</i> 2014;69(5):658-667.
13	2383	250.	Cai Q, Huang D, Ou P, et al. COVID-19 in a designated infectious diseases hospital outside Hubei Province, China. <i>Allergy.</i> 2020;n/a(n/a).
14 15	2385	257.	Riou J, Althaus CL. Pattern of early human-to-human transmission of Wuhan 2019 novel
15	2386	201.	coronavirus (2019-nCoV), December 2019 to January 2020. <i>Euro Surveill.</i> 2020;25(4).
17	2387	258.	Endo A, Abbott S, Kucharski AJ, Funk S. Estimating the overdispersion in COVID-19
18	2388	200.	transmission using outbreak sizes outside China. <i>Wellcome Open Research</i> . 2020;5(67).
19	2389	259.	Studdert DM, Hall MA. Disease Control, Civil Liberties, and Mass Testing - Calibrating
20	2390		Restrictions during the Covid-19 Pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020.
21	2391	260.	Ng Y, Li Z, Chua YX, et al. Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Surveillance and
22	2392		Containment Measures for the First 100 Patients with COVID-19 in Singapore - January
23	2393		2-February 29, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69(11):307-311.
24 25	2394	261.	Guo Y, Li Y, Monroe-Wise A, Yeung SJ, Huang Y. A dynamic residential community-based
25	2395		quarantine strategy: China's experience in fighting COVID-19. Infect Control Hosp
20	2396		Epidemiol. 2020:1.
28	2397	262.	Licari A, Votto M, Brambilla I, et al. Allergy and asthma in children and adolescents during
29	2398		the COVID outbreak: what we know and how we could prevent allergy and asthma flares?
30	2399	000	Allergy.n/a(n/a).
31	2400	263.	Sotgiu G, Gerli AG, Centanni S, et al. Advanced forecasting of SARS-CoV-2-related
32	2401	064	deaths in Italy, Germany, Spain, and New York State. <i>Allergy</i> . 2020;n/a(n/a).
33	2402	264.	Yasaka TM, Lehrich BM, Sahyouni R. Peer-to-Peer Contact Tracing: Development of a
34 35	2403 2404	265.	Privacy-Preserving Smartphone App. <i>JMIR Mhealth Uhealth.</i> 2020;8(4):e18936. Parker MJ, Fraser C, Abeler-Dorner L, Bonsall D. Ethics of instantaneous contact tracing
35 36	2405	205.	using mobile phone apps in the control of the COVID-19 pandemic. J Med Ethics. 2020.
37	2406	266.	Stampfli MR, Anderson GP. How cigarette smoke skews immune responses to promote
38	2407	200.	infection, lung disease and cancer. <i>Nat Rev Immunol.</i> 2009;9(5):377-384.
39	2408	267.	Patanavanich R, Glantz SA. Smoking is Associated with COVID-19 Progression: A Meta-
40	2409		Analysis. <i>medRxiv.</i> 2020:2020.2004.2013.20063669.
41	2410	268.	Szabo G, Saha B. Alcohol's Effect on Host Defense. Alcohol Res. 2015;37(2):159-170.
42	2411	269.	Pang M, Bala S, Kodys K, Catalano D, Szabo G. Inhibition of TLR8- and TLR4-induced
43	2412		Type I IFN induction by alcohol is different from its effects on inflammatory cytokine
44 45	2413		production in monocytes. BMC Immunol. 2011;12:55.
45 46	2414	270.	Simonnet A, Chetboun M, Poissy J, et al. High prevalence of obesity in severe acute
40	2415		respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) requiring invasive mechanical
48	2416		ventilation. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2020.
49	2417	271.	Campagna M, Rivas C. Antiviral activity of resveratrol. <i>Biochem Soc Trans.</i> 2010;38(Pt
50	2418	070	1):50-53.
51	2419	272.	Ellenbogen Y, Jimenez-Saiz R, Spill P, Chu DK, Waserman S, Jordana M. The Initiation
52	2420	979	of Th2 Immunity Towards Food Allergens. <i>Int J Mol Sci.</i> 2018;19(5).
53	2421 2422	273.	Jimenez-Saiz R, Ellenbogen Y, Koenig JFE, et al. IgG1(+) B-cell immunity predates IgE responses in epicutaneous sensitization to foods. <i>Allergy</i> . 2019;74(1):165-175.
54 55	2422		163000363 in epicularieuus sensilization to 1000s. Allergy. 2019,14(1),105-115.
55 56			
57			
58			62
59			02
60			

1			
2 3	0400	074	Weng M. Ton C. Fliggrouider A. et al. Loundry determinets and determinet residue after
4	2423 2424	274.	Wang M, Tan G, Eljaszewicz A, et al. Laundry detergents and detergent residue after rinsing directly disrupt tight junction barrier integrity in human bronchial epithelial cells. <i>J</i>
5	2425		Allergy Clin Immunol. 2019;143(5):1892-1903.
6	2426	275.	Agache I, Miller R, Gern JE, et al. Emerging concepts and challenges in implementing the
7	2427		exposome paradigm in allergic diseases and asthma: a Practall document. Allergy.
8 9	2428		2019;74(3):449-463.
10	2429	276.	Garcia-Alvarez L, Fuente-Tomas L, Saiz PA, Garcia-Portilla MP, Bobes J. Will changes in
11	2430		alcohol and tobacco use be seen during the COVID-19 lockdown? Adicciones.
12	2431	077	2020;32(2):85-89.
13	2432 2433	277.	Shen C, Wang Z, Zhao F, et al. Treatment of 5 Critically III Patients With COVID-19 With Convalescent Plasma. <i>JAMA</i> . 2020.
14 15	2433 2434	278.	Zhang B, Liu S, Tan T, et al. Treatment With Convalescent Plasma for Critically III Patients
15 16	2435	270.	With Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Infection. <i>Chest.</i> 2020.
17	2436	279.	Ahn JY, Sohn Y, Lee SH, et al. Use of Convalescent Plasma Therapy in Two COVID-19
18	2437		Patients with Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome in Korea. J Korean Med Sci.
19			2020;35(14):e149.
20		280.	Ye M, Fu D, Ren Y, et al. Treatment with convalescent plasma for COVID-19 patients in
21	2440		Wuhan, China. J Med Virol. 2020;n/a(n/a).
22 23	2441	281.	Zeng QL, Yu ZJ, Gou JJ, et al. Effect of Convalescent Plasma Therapy on Viral Shedding
24	2442 2443		and Survival in COVID-19 Patients. J Infect Dis. 2020.
25	2443		
26			
27			
28 29			
30			
31			
32			
33			
34 35			
36			
37			
38			
39			
40 41			
41			
43			
44			
45			
46			
47 48			
49			
50			
51			
52			
53			
54 55			
55 56			
57			
58			63
59			
60			