

Association of Long-Term Air Pollution with Prevalence and Incidence of Distal Sensorimotor Polyneuropathy: KORA F4/FF4 Study

Christian Herder,^{1,2,3*} Alexandra Schneider,^{2,4*} Siqi Zhang,⁴ Kathrin Wolf,^{2,4} Haifa Maalmi,^{1,2} Cornelia Huth,^{2,4} Regina Pickford,⁴ Michael Laxy,^{2,5,6,7} Gidon J. Bönhof,^{1,2} Wolfgang Koenig,^{8,9,10} Wolfgang Rathmann,^{2,11} Michael Roden,^{1,2,3} Annette Peters,^{2,4} Barbara Thorand,^{2,4†} and Dan Ziegler^{1,2,3†}

¹Institute for Clinical Diabetology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

²German Center for Diabetes Research, Munich-Neuherberg, Germany

³Division of Endocrinology and Diabetology, Medical Faculty, Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

⁴Institute of Epidemiology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

⁵Institute of Health Economics and Health Care Management, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Neuherberg, Germany

⁶Global Diabetes Research Center, Rollins School of Public Health, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

⁷Department of Sport and Health Science, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

⁸German Heart Center Munich, Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany

⁹German Center for Cardiovascular Research, Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany

¹⁰Institute of Epidemiology and Medical Biometry, University of Ulm, Ulm, Germany

¹¹Institute for Biometrics and Epidemiology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany

BACKGROUND: Air pollution contributes to type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, but its relevance for other complications of diabetes, in particular distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy (DSPN), is unclear. Recent studies have indicated that DSPN is also increasingly prevalent in obesity.

OBJECTIVES: We aimed to assess associations of air pollutants with prevalent and incident DSPN in a population-based study of older individuals with high rates of type 2 diabetes and obesity.

METHODS: Cross-sectional analyses on prevalent DSPN were based on 1,075 individuals 62–81 years of age from the German Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) F4 survey (2006–2008). Analyses on incident DSPN included 424 individuals without DSPN at baseline (KORA F4), of whom 188 had developed DSPN by the KORA FF4 survey (2013–2014). Associations of annual average air pollutant concentrations at participants' residences with prevalent and incident DSPN were estimated using Poisson regression models with a robust error variance adjusting for multiple confounders.

RESULTS: Higher particle number concentrations (PNCs) were associated with higher prevalence [risk ratio (RR) per interquartile range (IQR) increase = 1.10 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.20)] and incidence [1.11 (95% CI: 0.99, 1.24)] of DSPN. In subgroup analyses, particulate (PNC, PM₁₀, PM_{coarse}, PM_{2.5}, and PM_{2.5abs}) and gaseous (NO_x, NO₂) pollutants were positively associated with prevalent DSPN in obese participants, whereas corresponding estimates for nonobese participants were close to the null [e.g., for an IQR increase in PNC, RR = 1.17 (95% CI: 1.05, 1.31) vs. 1.06 (95% CI: 0.95, 1.19); *p*_{interaction} = 0.22]. With the exception of PM_{2.5abs}, corresponding associations with incident DSPN were positive in obese participants but null or inverse for nonobese participants, with *p*_{interaction} ≤ 0.13 [e.g., for PNC, RR = 1.28 (95% CI: 1.08, 1.51) vs. 1.03 (95% CI: 0.90, 1.18); *p*_{interaction} = 0.03].

DISCUSSION: Both particulate and gaseous air pollutants were positively associated with prevalent and incident DSPN in obese individuals. Obesity and air pollution may have synergistic effects on the development of DSPN. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP7311>

Introduction

Multiple studies have indicated that air pollution contributes to the development of type 2 diabetes (Krämer et al. 2010; Wolf et al. 2016; Yang et al. 2020). Exposure to higher levels of air pollutants, such as particulate matter (PM) of various sizes and nitrogen oxides [nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x)], is also related to a higher risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD)

(Rajagopalan et al. 2018), which represents a common complication of diabetes with high clinical relevance. From a public health perspective, it is noteworthy that these associations of air pollution with cardiometabolic disease or mortality can be observed even below the Air Quality Guidelines of the World Health Organization (WHO), underlining the potential role of this environmental risk factor at both the individual- and the population-

*These authors are joint first authors.

†These authors are joint senior authors.

Address correspondence to Christian Herder, Institute for Clinical Diabetology, German Diabetes Center, Leibniz Center for Diabetes Research at Heinrich Heine University Düsseldorf, Auf'm Hennekamp 65, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany. Telephone: 49 211 3382 647. Email: christian.herder@ddz.de

Supplemental Material is available online (<https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP7311>).

C. Herder has received an institutional research grant from Sanofi-Aventis and speaker's honoraria from Lilly Deutschland and Sanofi-Aventis Deutschland outside the submitted manuscript. W.R. has received consulting fees for attending educational sessions or advisory boards from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, and NovoNordisk and institutional research grants from NovoNordisk. M.R. has received consulting fees for attending educational sessions or advisory boards from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, Bristol-Myers-Quibb, Eli Lilly, Novartis, NovoNordisk, Poxel, Servier, and Terra Firma and institutional research grants from Nutricia-Danone, Sanofi, and Boehringer Ingelheim outside

the submitted manuscript. D.Z. has been a consultant for Biogen, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Wörwag, Pfizer, TrigoCare, NeuroMetrix, Allergan, Berlin-Chemie, Teva, Astellas, Viartis, Novartis, Novaremed, Takeda, Mundipharma, Bayer, and Grünenthal; has received speaker honoraria from Wörwag, Viartis, Pfizer, Eli Lilly, Takeda, Astellas, AstraZeneca, Berlin-Chemie, and Sanofi; and has received research support from Wörwag, Mitsubishi Tanabe, and Novartis. All other authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Received 24 April 2020; Revised 20 November 2020; Accepted 20 November 2020; Published 23 December 2020.

Note to readers with disabilities: *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact ehponline@niehs.nih.gov. Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.

based level (Rajagopalan et al. 2018). However, similar studies on other complications and comorbidities of diabetes are extremely limited.

Distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy (DSPN) is one of the most frequent comorbidities of type 2 diabetes, with a lifetime prevalence of at least 50% in individuals with type 2 diabetes (Pop-Busui et al. 2017). Diabetes is traditionally considered as the main risk factor of DSPN, but aggressive blood glucose lowering has not reduced the incidence of DSPN in large intervention studies (Pop-Busui et al. 2017), pointing toward additional risk factors beyond hyperglycemia. Prediabetes, obesity, and old age have emerged as additional determinants of DSPN, but they fail to explain a substantial part of the risk to develop DSPN (Ziegler et al. 2014; Bönhof et al. 2019; Andersen et al. 2018; Schlesinger et al. 2019). In particular, there have been neither cross-sectional nor prospective studies on potential environmental toxins that might contribute to the increasing burden of DSPN in patients with diabetes, obesity, and old age.

Inflammatory processes have been proposed as mediators between air pollution and the development of type 2 diabetes or CVD, but other mechanisms, including oxidative stress, endothelial activation, and autonomic imbalance and the activation of the hypothalamic–pituitary axis, may also be involved (Rajagopalan and Brook 2012; Rajagopalan et al. 2018). Because systemic subclinical inflammation contributes to the development of complications of type 2 diabetes, including DSPN (Jha et al. 2018; Donath et al. 2019; Herder et al. 2018; Herder and Hermanns 2019), it can be hypothesized that exposure to air pollution may also be involved.

Of note, several studies have suggested that exposure to air pollution may not have uniform effects but, rather, it affects obese individuals and people with diabetes to a disproportionate extent (Weichenthal et al. 2014; Sacks et al. 2011). Given that obesity and type 2 diabetes are proinflammatory states, it is relevant to investigate to what extent air pollution as an example of an environmental stimulus that is known to fuel inflammation has additive or even synergistic effects on the risk of DSPN when combined with obesity or type 2 diabetes.

Therefore, the aims of this study were *a*) to assess the cross-sectional association between different air pollutants and prevalent DSPN in a large population-based study, *b*) to estimate the association between air pollutants and incident DSPN in a prospective design, and *c*) to test the hypothesis that individuals with obesity or type 2 diabetes are particularly susceptible to the exposure to air pollution regarding the development of DSPN.

Methods

Study Design and Participants

The design of the German Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) studies has been reported in detail (Rathmann et al. 2009; Herder et al. 2017). Briefly, this analysis used data from KORA F4 (2006–2008) and FF4 studies (2013–2014), which are both follow-up examinations of the population-based KORA S4 survey (1999–2001) conducted in the city of Augsburg (Germany) and the two adjacent counties, Augsburg and Aichach-Friedberg.

The KORA S4, F4, and FF4 surveys were carried out in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. This included written informed consent from all participants and approval of the surveys by the ethics board of the Bavarian Chamber of Physicians (Munich, Germany).

As shown in Figure S1, of 1,161 participants of the KORA F4 study 62–81 years of age (i.e., the age group in which DSPN was assessed), 28 were excluded due to prevalent type 1 diabetes,

diabetes forms other than type 1 or type 2, or unclear glucose tolerance status; 8 because blood samples were taken during a non-fasting state; 41 because of missing baseline values for the outcome variable; and 9 because of missing baseline values for the covariates in the main model [age, sex, years of education, neighborhood socioeconomic status (SES), smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, height, or waist circumference or total cholesterol, hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c), and uric acid levels]. This left 1,075 participants in KORA F4 for the cross-sectional analysis on prevalent DSPN.

In the analysis of incident DSPN, 448 participants were further excluded because of nonparticipation in KORA FF4 (2013–2014) for various reasons (death, moved out of study area, refused, too ill, not interested, too busy to participate, or could not be contacted), leaving 627 individuals who participated in both KORA F4 and FF4. From those, we had to exclude 20 because of missing data for the Michigan Neuropathy Screening Instrument (MNSI) score in KORA FF4 and 183 because of prevalent DSPN (MNSI > 2) in KORA F4. This left 424 individuals without DSPN in F4, of whom 188 developed incident DSPN while 236 remained DSPN-free. The mean duration of follow-up \pm standard deviation (SD) was 6.46 ± 0.21 y.

Assessment of DSPN

DSPN was assessed using the clinical examination part of the MNSI, which included items on the appearance of feet, foot ulceration, ankle reflexes, and vibration perception threshold at the great toes using the Rydel-Seiffer graduated C 64 Hz tuning fork (Feldman et al. 1994). Age-dependent limits were used to classify normal vibration perception thresholds (Martina et al. 1998). The MNSI was extended by bilateral examination of touch/pressure sensation using a 10-g monofilament (Neuropen[®]) (Boyraz and Saracoglu 2010; Herder et al. 2017), such that the total MNSI score ranged from 0 to 10 points. Prevalent and incident DSPN were defined using a diagnostic cutoff of > 2 points as previously suggested (Feldman et al. 1994; Lunetta et al. 1998; Moghtaderi et al. 2006; Herder et al. 2009). This definition meets the diagnostic criteria for possible DSPN according to the Toronto Diabetic Neuropathy Expert Group.

Assessment of Air Pollution

Individual annual average concentrations of air pollutants at residences were estimated by land-use regression (LUR) models within the Environmental Nanoparticles and Health: Exposure, Modeling, and Epidemiology of Nanoparticles and their Composition project (Wolf et al. 2016). We carried out three bi-weekly measurements at 20 locations within the KORA study area between March 2014 and April 2015, covering the warm, cold, and intermediate seasons. Thus, the assessment of air pollution was performed later than the baseline examination for DSPN. However, spatial differences of air pollution are known to remain stable over periods of ≥ 10 y (Eeftens et al. 2011; Wang et al. 2013), so the risk of exposure misclassification should be low. In order to adjust the discontinuous measurements to the annual average, a reference site was operated continuously throughout the whole measurement period. LUR models were developed based on the adjusted annual average concentrations of air pollutants at monitoring sites and potential predictors of exposure—including digital road network, land use, building and population density, and altitude—gathered from European-wide and local Geographic Information System databases. The following air pollutants were modeled: number concentrations of particles with an aerodynamic diameter ≤ 100 nm (PNC; an indicator for ultrafine particles) and mass concentrations of PM with an aerodynamic

diameter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$ (PM_{10}), between $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ and $10 \mu\text{m}$ ($\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$), and $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ ($\text{PM}_{2.5}$); $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ absorbance ($\text{PM}_{2.5\text{abs}}$, a proxy of elemental carbon related to traffic exhaust); nitrogen oxides (NO_x ; NO_2); and ozone (O_3). The adjusted R^2 of LUR models for air pollutants was high, ranging from 0.68 for $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$ to 0.94 for NO_2 . The models consisted of four to seven predictors with at least one road traffic predictor in the smaller buffer sizes (25 m to 100 m), industry within a medium-to-far distance (300 m to 5 km), and one predictor for greenness. A more detailed description of the measurement techniques, predictors, model building, quality, and validation can be found elsewhere (Wolf et al. 2016). The fitted LUR models were then applied to participants' home addresses at the baseline (KORA F4) to determine residential annual average concentrations of air pollutants.

Assessment of Covariates

The assessment of anthropometric, metabolic, and lifestyle factors has been described previously (Rathmann et al. 2009; Herder et al. 2017). Briefly, anthropometric measurements (height, weight, and waist circumference) were taken after removing shoes and heavy clothing. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (in kilograms) divided by height (in meters squared). Obesity was defined as a BMI $\geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Waist circumference was measured at the level midway between the lower rib margin and the iliac crest. Abdominal obesity was defined as a waist circumference $\geq 102 \text{ cm}$ for men and $\geq 88 \text{ cm}$ for women.

Smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, and education were assessed in standardized interviews by trained staff. Smoking status was categorized into three categories: current smokers (comprising regular and occasional smokers), past smokers, and never smokers. Alcohol consumption was assessed by asking how much beer, wine, or spirits study participants had consumed on the previous workday and during the previous weekend. This information was used to calculate alcohol intake in grams per day. The physical activity level was estimated using two four-category interview questions about the amount of time per week spent on sports activities during leisure time in the summer and winter (0, <1, 1–2, and >2 h sport/wk); the summer and winter responses were combined into one physical activity variable comprising four categories. For the present analysis, study participants were assigned to the following categories: low (no or almost no physical activity), moderate (physical activity of about 1 h/wk), or high (regular physical activity of $\geq 2 \text{ h/wk}$ in both seasons). Years of education were derived by combining information on the highest level of vocational training and of school graduation.

Glucose tolerance status was assessed with standardized oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) (Rathmann et al. 2009). Glucose levels were measured using the hexokinase method on a Dimension[®] RxL instrument (Dade Behring). HbA1c levels were measured using cation-exchange high-performance liquid chromatographic, photometric assays on an Adams HA 8,160 Hemoglobin Analysis System (Menarini Diagnostics). Serum lipids were measured in KORA F4 using a Dimension[®] RxL instrument (Dade Behring). Serum concentrations of uric acid were measured on fresh samples by the uricase method (enzymatic color test, URCA Flex[®]; Dade Behring). The calculation of the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) was performed using the chronic kidney disease epidemiology creatinine equation (Inker et al. 2012).

Neighborhood SES was assessed by the percentage of households with a monthly income of <1,250 € in a $500 \times 500 \text{ m}$ grid cell based on the income score of the AZ Germany Raster calculated by WiGeoGIS GmbH for 2008 (https://www.wigeogis.com/en/market_data_geographical_grid_germany). The AZ Germany Raster is a fixed raster for Germany that is compliant with the Infrastructure for Spatial Information in the European Community

directive, and the respective raster values were extracted at the participants' residences.

History of CVD was defined as the presence of hypertension or a history of myocardial infarction or stroke. Hypertension was defined as a current blood pressure $\geq 140/90 \text{ mmHg}$ or self-reported use of antihypertensive medications given that the participants were aware of having hypertension. Data for the history of myocardial infarction or stroke were based on self-reported physician diagnoses requiring hospital treatment. Neurological conditions that may cause nerve damage comprised mainly herniated vertebral disks but also complications related to previous strokes or sciatica that were self-reported in a standardized interview (Herder et al. 2015).

Data on the use of medications and supplements were collected through a database-supported computer software (Instrument for Databased Assessment of Medication) (Mühlberger et al. 2003). Participants were asked to bring all product packages of ingested medications and supplements to the study center. The inquiry period covered the last 7 d prior to the interview, and data on the participants' mode of ingestion (regularly or irregularly, i.e., as needed), mode of prescription (prescribed, recommended by physician, self-medication), dosage, and frequency of ingestion were collected for each medication or supplement. The pharmaceutical products were classified according to the Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical Classification System. The use of lipid-lowering medication and the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) refer to the regular use of these drugs.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics of the study participants are given as mean \pm SD for continuous variables and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables. p -Values for the difference between subgroups with and without incident DSPN were derived using Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests of independence for categorical variables. Correlations between baseline concentrations of air pollutants were estimated using Spearman's correlation coefficients.

Associations of air pollutants with prevalent and incident DSPN were assessed using Poisson regression models with a robust error variance. We adjusted for different sets of covariates measured in KORA F4 with increasing complexity (Herder et al. 2017, 2018). The minimum model was adjusted for age (continuous; years), sex (male/female), and the year of examination. The main model was adjusted for the covariates in the minimum model plus years of education (continuous; years), neighborhood SES (continuous; percentage), smoking status (current, former, never), alcohol consumption (continuous; grams per day), physical activity (low, medium, high), height (continuous; centimeters) and waist circumference (continuous; centimeters). Adjustment in the main model focused on variables associated with both air pollution and the risk of DSPN but also included additional variables potentially associated with the risk of DSPN in order to be consistent with previous studies (waist circumference, height, alcohol consumption, smoking status, physical activity) (Herder et al. 2017, 2018). The extended model was adjusted for the covariates in the main model plus levels of total cholesterol (continuous; milligrams per deciliter), HbA1c (continuous; percentage), and uric acid (continuous; milligrams per deciliter); history of CVD (yes, no); eGFR (continuous; milliliters per minute per 1.73 m^2); neurological conditions that might cause nerve damage (yes, no); use of lipid-lowering medication (yes, no); and use of NSAIDs (yes, no). The rationale of the extended model was to provide a model that contained additional factors associated with risk of DSPN, although some of these variables may act as

mediators rather than confounders, so effect estimates from this model are likely overadjusted.

In the incidence analysis, we applied inverse-probability weighting to account for, at least in part, the selection bias introduced by the selection of individuals based on criteria that might be independent of air pollution exposure levels (e.g., individuals who were free of DSPN in KORA F4 and individuals who were alive in KORA FF4). We estimated the weight for each participant in the prevalence analysis ($n = 1,075$) based on the inverse of the probability of being included in the incidence analysis, aiming to up-weight participants who were underrepresented in the incidence sample. Specifically, we fitted a logistic regression model of being included in the incidence analysis, using the covariates in the main Poisson regression model as predictors.

The results are presented as risk ratios (RRs) of prevalent and incident DSPN for each interquartile range (IQR) increase in air pollutants with corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs). The IQRs used in both analyses were based on the prevalence sample.

Effect modification by obesity ($\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), by abdominal obesity (waist circumference $\geq 102 \text{ cm}$ for men and $\geq 88 \text{ cm}$ for women), by type 2 diabetes, or by prediabetes/type 2 diabetes in KORA F4 was investigated by including an interaction term between the potential effect modifier and the air pollutant in the Poisson regression model. p -Values for interaction ($p_{\text{interaction}}$) refer to the p -values for the interaction terms between air pollutants and the potential effect modifiers.

In a first sensitivity analysis, we excluded participants who moved during the study period in order to reduce exposure misclassification. In a second sensitivity analysis, we built two-pollutant models by adding a second pollutant that was not highly correlated with the main pollutant (Spearman correlation coefficient < 0.7) to assess the robustness of the associations.

All statistical analyses were performed with R (version 3.6.2; R Development Core Team) using the `mgcv`, `sandwich`, and `ipw` packages. The significance level was set at a two-sided $p < 0.05$.

Results

Study Population

Table 1 gives the baseline data of the study participants contributing to the analyses of associations between air pollution and both prevalent and incident DSPN. The prevalence of DSPN in KORA F4 was 35.0%. Individuals with prevalent DSPN were older and taller and had larger BMI values, higher waist circumferences, higher HbA1c and uric acid levels, and more often had neurological conditions that might cause nerve damage compared with individuals without prevalent DSPN. In addition, individuals with prevalent DSPN had lower levels of physical activity, lower total cholesterol levels and eGFRs, and had less favorable glucose tolerance status than individuals without prevalent DSPN (Table 1).

The incidence of DSPN between KORA F4 and FF4 was 68.6 per 1,000 person-years. Individuals who developed DSPN during the follow-up were older, had larger BMI values, higher waist circumferences, and more frequently had histories of CVD than individuals who remained DSPN-free, but they did not significantly differ in other anthropometric or clinical variables (Table 1). BMI and waist circumference measurements were highly correlated with the sex-adjusted correlation coefficients of 0.89 and 0.87 for the prevalence and incidence samples, respectively.

Air Pollutants

Annual average concentrations of air pollutants based on LUR models in the KORA F4 prevalence analysis are given in

Table 2. PNC, PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5\text{abs}}$, NO_x , and NO_2 showed positive correlations with each other, with correlation coefficients r ranging from 0.50 to 0.88. Correlations between O_3 and the aforementioned pollutants were weaker with r between -0.18 and 0.11 .

In the smaller sample used for the incidence analyses, the positive correlations between PNC, PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5\text{abs}}$, NO_x , and NO_2 were slightly stronger (r between 0.55 and 0.90; Table S1). Correlation coefficients r for correlations between O_3 and the aforementioned pollutants ranged from -0.18 to 0.10 (Table S1).

Association between Air Pollutants and Prevalent DSPN

Particulate air pollutants were positively associated with prevalent DSPN, with significant associations for PNC main model RR for an IQR increase = 1.10 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.20); similar but nonsignificant RRs for IQR increases in PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, and PM_{abs} ; and weaker associations for $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$ main model RR = 1.05 (95% CI: 0.93, 1.19) (Table 3). NO_x and NO_2 were also positively associated with prevalent DSPN [e.g., main model RR for an IQR increase in $\text{NO}_x = 1.09$ (95% CI: 0.98, 1.21)], whereas IQR increases in O_3 were inversely associated with the outcome [RR = 0.93 (95% CI: 0.84, 1.04)]. Estimates based on the minimum and extended models were similar to main model estimates for all pollutants.

Prevalent DSPN was positively associated with PNC, PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, $\text{PM}_{2.5\text{abs}}$, NO_x , and NO_2 among 359 obese participants ($\text{BMI} \geq 30 \text{ kg/m}^2$), whereas corresponding associations were closer to the null among 715 nonobese participants (Table 4). The difference was most pronounced for IQR increases in $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$ [obese RR = 1.18 (95% CI: 1.00, 1.39) vs. nonobese RR = 0.97 (95% CI: 0.83, 1.12); $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.046$], whereas $p_{\text{interaction}}$ -values for other pollutants ranged from 0.13 to 0.24.

Patterns were similar for associations with prevalent DSPN stratified by abdominal obesity, with positive associations for all pollutants except O_3 among 644 participants with high waist circumferences, and null or inverse associations among 431 participants with normal waist circumferences. The most pronounced difference was estimated for IQR increases in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ [RR = 1.19 (95% CI: 1.03, 1.38) vs. 0.93 (95% CI: 0.77, 1.12); $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.03$] whereas $p_{\text{interaction}}$ -values for other pollutants ranged from 0.15 to 0.37 (Table S2). In contrast, there were no clear differences in associations with prevalent DSPN when participants with type 2 diabetes ($n = 230$) were compared with those who had normal glucose tolerance or prediabetes ($n = 845$, $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.20$ – 0.94) (Table S3) or when participants with type 2 diabetes or prediabetes ($n = 510$) were compared with those who had normal glucose tolerance ($n = 565$, $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.28$ – 0.91) (Table S4).

Association between Air Pollutants and Incident DSPN

In general, associations between air pollutants and incident DSPN were close to the null for the population as a whole, with the exception of PNC [main model RR = 1.11 (95% CI: 0.99, 1.24)] (Table 3). In contrast, associations of all pollutants except $\text{PM}_{2.5\text{abs}}$ and NO_2 with incident DSPN were positive among 117 obese participants and null or inverse among 307 nonobese participants ($p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.01$ – 0.13 ; Table 4). The most pronounced difference was observed for $\text{PM}_{\text{coarse}}$ [RR = 1.22 (95% CI: 0.93, 1.61) vs. 0.83 (95% CI: 0.68, 1.01); $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.01$], with corresponding estimates for PNC [RR = 1.28 (95% CI: 1.08, 1.51) vs. 1.03 (95% CI: 0.90, 1.18); $p_{\text{interaction}} = 0.03$]. In contrast, there were no clear differences in associations with incident DSPN

Table 1. Baseline characteristics [mean ± SD or n (%)] of the study population used in the prevalence and incidence analyses.

Characteristic	Sample for the prevalence analysis (n = 1,075)	Prevalent DSPN in F4			Sample for the incidence analysis (n = 424)	Incident DSPN in FF4		
		No (n = 699)	Yes (n = 376)	p-Value		No (n = 236)	Yes (n = 188)	p-Value
Age (y)	70.2 ± 5.3	69.3 ± 5.1	71.8 ± 5.4	<0.001	68.2 ± 4.7	67.5 ± 4.4	69.1 ± 4.8	<0.001
Sex (male)	549 (51.1)	342 (48.9)	207 (55.1)	0.06	214 (50.5)	111 (47.0)	103 (54.8)	0.14
Education (y)	11.0 ± 2.5	11.0 ± 2.4	11.0 ± 2.5	0.60	11.3 ± 2.6	11.3 ± 2.6	11.1 ± 2.5	0.36
Low neighborhood SES (%)	25.5 ± 24.7	24.7 ± 24.6	26.9 ± 24.7	0.07	25.3 ± 24.6	26.6 ± 24.3	23.8 ± 25.0	0.16
Smoking status				0.12				0.95
Current smoker	80 (7.4)	60 (8.6)	20 (5.3)		32 (7.5)	17 (7.2)	15 (8.0)	
Former smoker	450 (41.9)	294 (42.1)	156 (41.5)		176 (41.5)	98 (41.5)	78 (41.5)	
Never smoker	545 (50.7)	345 (49.4)	200 (53.2)		216 (50.9)	121 (51.3)	95 (50.5)	
Alcohol consumption (g/d)	14.1 ± 18.4	14.1 ± 18.0	14.1 ± 19.0	0.26	14.5 ± 18.5	14.5 ± 19.2	14.5 ± 17.7	0.94
Physical activity				<0.001				0.23
Low	421 (39.2)	236 (33.8)	185 (49.2)		122 (28.8)	60 (25.4)	62 (33.0)	
Moderate	424 (39.4)	291 (41.6)	133 (35.4)		186 (43.9)	109 (46.2)	77 (41.0)	
High	230 (21.4)	172 (24.6)	58 (15.4)		116 (27.4)	67 (28.4)	49 (26.1)	
Height (cm)	165.7 ± 9.0	165.1 ± 8.8	166.9 ± 9.3	0.004	165.9 ± 8.7	165.0 ± 8.0	166.9 ± 9.4	0.05
BMI (kg/m ²) ^a	28.7 ± 4.5	28.1 ± 4.0	29.8 ± 5.0	<0.001	27.9 ± 3.8	27.5 ± 3.8	28.4 ± 3.8	0.02
Obesity ^a				0.002				0.15
Yes	359 (33.4)	210 (30.1)	149 (39.6)		117 (27.6)	58 (24.6)	59 (31.4)	
No	715 (66.6)	488 (69.9)	227 (60.4)		307 (72.4)	178 (75.4)	129 (68.6)	
Waist circumference (cm)	98.3 ± 12.2	96.2 ± 11.4	102.0 ± 12.7	<0.001	95.4 ± 11.3	93.6 ± 11.2	97.8 ± 10.9	<0.001
Abdominal obesity				<0.001				<0.001
Yes	644 (59.9)	390 (55.8)	254 (67.6)		217 (51.2)	103 (43.6)	114 (60.6)	
No	431 (40.1)	309 (44.2)	122 (32.4)		207 (48.8)	133 (56.4)	74 (39.4)	
Total cholesterol (mg/dL)	221.1 ± 40.7	224.6 ± 41.0	214.7 ± 39.3	<0.001	224.5 ± 40.9	227.1 ± 40.8	221.2 ± 40.8	0.19
HbA1c (%)	5.8 ± 0.7	5.7 ± 0.5	5.9 ± 0.9	<0.001	5.7 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.5	0.20
HbA1c (mmol/mol)	40 ± 8	39 ± 6	41 ± 10	<0.001	39 ± 6	39 ± 6	39 ± 6	0.20
Uric acid (mg/dL)	5.6 ± 1.4	5.5 ± 1.3	5.8 ± 1.6	0.03	5.5 ± 1.3	5.4 ± 1.3	5.7 ± 1.3	0.05
eGFR (mL/min/1.73 m ²)	76.4 ± 15.0	77.9 ± 14.2	73.6 ± 16.2	<0.001	79.9 ± 13.1	80.6 ± 13	79.1 ± 13.1	0.19
Glucose tolerance status				<0.001				0.32
Normal glucose tolerance	565 (52.6)	404 (57.8)	161 (42.8)		264 (62.3)	151 (64.0)	113 (60.1)	
IFG and/or IGT	280 (26.0)	174 (24.9)	106 (28.2)		94 (22.2)	46 (19.5)	48 (25.5)	
Type 2 diabetes	230 (21.4)	121 (17.3)	109 (29.0)		66 (15.6)	39 (16.5)	27 (14.4)	
CVD (yes) ^b	691 (64.3)	441 (63.2)	250 (66.5)	0.31	258 (60.8)	132 (55.9)	126 (67.0)	0.03
Neurological condition (yes) ^c	174 (16.2)	88 (12.7)	86 (22.9)	<0.001	52 (12.4)	26 (11.1)	26 (14.0)	0.46
Lipid-lowering medication (yes) ^d	270 (25.2)	171 (24.5)	99 (26.4)	0.54	106 (25.0)	60 (25.4)	46 (24.5)	0.91
NSAID (yes) ^d	44 (4.1)	23 (3.3)	21 (5.6)	0.10	5 (1.2)	3 (1.3)	2 (1.1)	0.99

Note: Baseline characteristics were assessed in KORA F4 (2006–2008); prevalent DSPN was assessed in KORA F4 (2006–2008); incident DSPN was assessed in KORA FF4 (2013–2014) for participants who were free of DSPN in KORA F4. Descriptive statistics are given as mean ± SD for continuous variables and as frequency [n (%)] for categorical variables. p-Values for difference between subgroups were derived using Kruskal-Wallis rank sum tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests of independence for categorical variables. Low neighborhood SES was defined based on the percentage of households with income <1,250 € in a 500 × 500 m buffer. Obesity was defined as body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m². Abdominal obesity was defined as waist circumference ≥ 102 cm for men and ≥ 88 cm for women. CVD was defined as presence of hypertension, history of myocardial infarction, or history of stroke. Data were complete for all variables unless otherwise indicated. BMI, body mass index; CVD, cardiovascular disease; DSPN, distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; IFG, impaired fasting glucose; IGT, impaired glucose tolerance; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug; SD, standard deviation; SES, socioeconomic status.

^aData on BMI and obesity status were missing for one participant in the prevalence sample (0.1%) who belonged to the subgroup without prevalent DSPN in F4.

^bData on history of CVD were missing for one participant in the prevalence sample (0.1%) who belonged to the subgroup without prevalent DSPN in F4.

^cData on neurological conditions were missing for four participants in the prevalence sample (0.4%) all belonging to the subgroup without prevalent DSPN in F4, and for four participants in the incidence sample (0.9%), of whom two were in the subgroup without incident DSPN in FF4 and two were in the subgroup with incident DSPN in FF4.

^dData on the use of lipid-lowering medication and NSAIDs were missing for two participants in the prevalence sample (0.2%), of whom one was in the subgroup without prevalent DSPN in F4 and one was in the subgroup with prevalent DSPN in F4 (0.3%).

Table 2. Air pollution concentrations (mean ± SD; annual averages for 2014–2015) in the KORA F4 study population in the prevalence analysis (n = 1,075) and their mutual correlations.

Pollutants	Mean ± SD	IQR	Spearman's correlation coefficients						
			PNC	PM ₁₀	PM _{coarse}	PM _{2.5}	PM _{2.5abs}	NO _x	NO ₂
PNC (10 ³ /cm ³)	7.3 ± 1.8	1.7							
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	16.6 ± 1.5	2.0	0.78						
PM _{coarse} (µg/m ³)	5.0 ± 1.0	1.3	0.75	0.77					
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³)	11.8 ± 1.0	1.3	0.63	0.50	0.55				
PM _{2.5abs} (10 ⁻⁵ /m)	1.2 ± 0.2	0.3	0.76	0.74	0.81	0.59			
NO _x (µg/m ³)	22.0 ± 7.2	8.0	0.88	0.71	0.75	0.74	0.70		
NO ₂ (µg/m ³)	14.4 ± 4.5	6.8	0.74	0.69	0.84	0.69	0.86	0.80	
O ₃ (µg/m ³)	39.1 ± 2.4	3.3	-0.03	0.05	0.11	-0.16	-0.12	-0.06	-0.18

Note: IQR, interquartile range; KORA, Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg; NO_x, nitrogen oxides; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; O₃, ozone; PM_{coarse}, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 µm and 10 µm; PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter ≤ 2.5 µm; PM_{2.5abs}, PM_{2.5} absorbance; PM₁₀, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter ≤ 10 µm; PNC, particle number concentration; SD, standard deviation.

Table 3. Risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for associations of air pollution with prevalent and incident DSPN.

Outcome	Pollutant	Minimum model		Main model		Extended model ^a	
		RR (95% CI)	p-Value	RR (95% CI)	p-Value	RR (95% CI)	p-Value
Prevalent DSPN (n = 1,075)	PNC	1.10 (1.02, 1.18)	0.02	1.10 (1.01, 1.20)	0.02	1.11 (1.02, 1.21)	0.01
	PM ₁₀	1.11 (1.00, 1.24)	0.051	1.10 (0.98, 1.24)	0.12	1.13 (1.00, 1.26)	0.048
	PM _{coarse}	1.07 (0.96, 1.18)	0.23	1.05 (0.93, 1.19)	0.44	1.08 (0.95, 1.21)	0.23
	PM _{2.5}	1.11 (0.99, 1.23)	0.07	1.09 (0.97, 1.23)	0.16	1.11 (0.99, 1.25)	0.08
	PM _{2.5sabs}	1.14 (1.01, 1.29)	0.03	1.11 (0.95, 1.29)	0.17	1.15 (0.99, 1.34)	0.06
	NO _x	1.09 (0.99, 1.19)	0.07	1.09 (0.98, 1.21)	0.10	1.10 (0.99, 1.22)	0.07
	NO ₂	1.12 (1.00, 1.27)	0.06	1.13 (0.96, 1.33)	0.15	1.16 (0.99, 1.36)	0.07
	O ₃	0.90 (0.81, 1.00)	0.055	0.93 (0.84, 1.04)	0.20	0.92 (0.83, 1.02)	0.12
	Incident DSPN (n = 424)	PNC	1.04 (0.95, 1.14)	0.39	1.11 (0.99, 1.24)	0.08	1.11 (0.99, 1.24)
PM ₁₀		0.94 (0.81, 1.10)	0.44	0.97 (0.81, 1.17)	0.75	0.97 (0.81, 1.17)	0.75
PM _{coarse}		0.92 (0.80, 1.06)	0.26	0.94 (0.78, 1.14)	0.54	0.94 (0.77, 1.14)	0.52
PM _{2.5}		0.94 (0.81, 1.09)	0.43	0.96 (0.81, 1.14)	0.65	0.95 (0.81, 1.13)	0.59
PM _{2.5sabs}		0.91 (0.77, 1.06)	0.22	0.90 (0.73, 1.12)	0.35	0.91 (0.74, 1.13)	0.39
NO _x		1.02 (0.91, 1.14)	0.76	1.07 (0.93, 1.23)	0.33	1.07 (0.93, 1.23)	0.36
NO ₂		0.93 (0.78, 1.10)	0.40	0.95 (0.75, 1.21)	0.70	0.96 (0.76, 1.21)	0.70
O ₃		0.97 (0.83, 1.13)	0.68	0.95 (0.82, 1.10)	0.50	0.94 (0.81, 1.09)	0.41

Note: RRs and corresponding 95% CIs were derived from logistic regression models for both prevalence and incidence analyses (inverse-probability weighing was used to account for potential selection bias in the incidence analysis). Effect estimates were given for each IQR increase in residential annual average concentrations of air pollutants calculated based on the prevalence sample for both prevalence and incidence analyses. An IQR was $1.7 \times 10^3/\text{cm}^3$ for PNC, $2.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM₁₀, $1.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM_{coarse}, $1.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM_{2.5}, $0.3 \times 10^{-5}/\text{m}$ for PM_{2.5sabs}, $8.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO_x, $6.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO₂, and $3.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for O₃. The minimum model was adjusted for age, sex, and year of examination. The main model was adjusted for the covariates in the minimum model plus years of education, neighborhood SES, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, height, and waist circumference. The extended model was adjusted for covariates in the main model plus levels of total cholesterol, HbA_{1c}, and uric acid and history of cardiovascular disease, estimated glomerular filtration rate, neurological conditions that might cause nerve damage, use of lipid-lowering medication, and use of NSAIDs. DSPN, distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy; HbA_{1c}, hemoglobin A1c; IQR, interquartile range; NO_x, nitrogen oxides; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; NSAIDs, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; O₃, ozone; PM_{coarse}, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 μm and 10 μm ; PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$; PM_{2.5sabs}, PM_{2.5} absorbance; PM₁₀, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$; PNC, particle number concentration; SES, socioeconomic status.

^aNumbers of participants included in the extended model were 1,068 and 420 for the prevalence and incidence analyses, respectively.

between participants with vs. without abdominal obesity ($n = 217$ and 207, respectively; Table S2), type 2 diabetes vs. prediabetes or normal glucose tolerance ($n = 66$ and 358, respectively; Table S3), or type 2 diabetes/prediabetes vs. normal glucose tolerance ($n = 160$ and 264, respectively; Table S4).

Sensitivity Analyses

In the first sensitivity analysis, we restricted the study sample to participants who did not move during the study period. As

shown in Table S5, effect estimates for associations of air pollution with incident DSPN for the whole study sample (398 participants) and subgroups stratified by obesity (290 nonobese participants and 108 obese participants) were similar to those of the study sample that also included people who had moved (Tables 3 and 4). In a second sensitivity analysis, associations between air pollution and both prevalent and incident DSPN were robust in two-pollutant models for the whole study sample (limited to pairs of pollutants with correlation coefficients < 0.7 ; Figure S2).

Table 4. Risk ratios (RRs) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CIs) for associations of air pollution with prevalent and incident DSPN stratified by obesity.

Outcome	Pollutant	Nonobese		Obese		p _{interaction} value
		RR (95% CI)	p-Value	RR (95% CI)	p-Value	
Prevalent DSPN (n = 1,074)	PNC	1.06 (0.95, 1.19)	0.28	1.17 (1.05, 1.31)	0.01	0.22
	PM ₁₀	1.04 (0.90, 1.22)	0.59	1.20 (1.01, 1.41)	0.03	0.20
	PM _{coarse}	0.97 (0.83, 1.12)	0.66	1.18 (1.00, 1.39)	0.04	0.046
	PM _{2.5}	1.02 (0.88, 1.18)	0.77	1.21 (1.00, 1.45)	0.046	0.14
	PM _{2.5sabs}	1.04 (0.86, 1.25)	0.68	1.24 (1.02, 1.51)	0.03	0.13
	NO _x	1.05 (0.92, 1.19)	0.48	1.17 (1.01, 1.35)	0.04	0.24
	NO ₂	1.05 (0.86, 1.28)	0.62	1.25 (1.02, 1.53)	0.03	0.14
	O ₃	0.91 (0.79, 1.04)	0.16	0.98 (0.84, 1.16)	0.84	0.45
	Incident DSPN (n = 424)	PNC	1.03 (0.90, 1.18)	0.71	1.28 (1.08, 1.51)	0.004
PM ₁₀		0.88 (0.71, 1.09)	0.25	1.12 (0.87, 1.44)	0.39	0.13
PM _{coarse}		0.83 (0.68, 1.01)	0.07	1.22 (0.93, 1.61)	0.15	0.01
PM _{2.5}		0.87 (0.73, 1.04)	0.14	1.20 (0.86, 1.67)	0.28	0.07
PM _{2.5sabs}		0.86 (0.68, 1.09)	0.21	0.97 (0.72, 1.32)	0.86	0.44
NO _x		0.97 (0.82, 1.13)	0.67	1.30 (1.02, 1.65)	0.03	0.03
NO ₂		0.84 (0.65, 1.07)	0.16	1.21 (0.88, 1.68)	0.24	0.03
O ₃		0.92 (0.77, 1.11)	0.38	1.00 (0.77, 1.30)	1.00	0.62

Note: RRs and corresponding 95% CIs were derived from logistic regression models for both prevalence and incidence analyses (inverse-probability weighing was used to account for potential selection bias in the incidence analysis). Effect estimates were given for each IQR increase in residential annual average concentrations of air pollutants calculated based on the prevalence sample for both prevalence and incidence analyses. An IQR was $1.7 \times 10^3/\text{cm}^3$ for PNC, $2.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM₁₀, $1.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM_{coarse}, $1.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for PM_{2.5}, $0.3 \times 10^{-5}/\text{m}$ for PM_{2.5sabs}, $8.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO_x, $6.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for NO₂, and $3.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ for O₃. Analyses were adjusted for age, sex, year of examination, years of education, neighborhood SES, smoking status, alcohol consumption, physical activity, height, and waist circumference (main model). For the analyses on prevalent DSPN, one participant in the original prevalence sample was excluded due to missing data on obesity status; the numbers of nonobese and obese participants were 715 and 359, respectively. For the analyses on incident DSPN, the numbers of nonobese and obese participants were 307 and 117, respectively. DSPN, distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy; IQR, interquartile range; NO_x, nitrogen oxides; NO₂, nitrogen dioxide; O₃, ozone; PM_{coarse}, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter between 2.5 μm and 10 μm ; PM_{2.5}, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 2.5 \mu\text{m}$; PM_{2.5sabs}, PM_{2.5} absorbance; PM₁₀, particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter $\leq 10 \mu\text{m}$; PNC, particle number concentration; SES, socioeconomic status. p-Values for interaction (p_{interaction}) refer to the p-values for the interaction terms between air pollutants and obesity. p_{interaction} < 0.05 indicates significant differences in effect estimates between nonobese and obese study participants.

Discussion

The present study has two key findings. First, none of the air pollutants were significantly associated with DSPN in the study population as a whole, with the exception of PNC and prevalent DSPN. However, nonsignificant positive associations that were similar in magnitude were estimated for prevalent DSPN and PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$, $PM_{2.5abs}$, NO_x , and NO_2 and for incident DSPN and PNC. Second, associations with both particulate and gaseous air pollutants differed between obese and nonobese participants, with positive associations of air pollutants with prevalent and incident DSPN among individuals with obesity, and weak or null associations among the nonobese. Overall associations were robust to adjustment for a range of confounders in general as well as in two-pollutant models (for pairs of pollutants with correlation coefficients <0.7).

Air Pollution, DSPN, and Nervous System Disorders

Both short- and long-term exposure to air pollution ranging from low- to high-exposure levels have been related to increased mortality and a higher risk for CVD, respiratory diseases, and type 2 diabetes (Rajagopalan et al. 2018; Yang et al. 2020). Our results are novel, showing associations of long-term exposure levels to different air pollutants with DSPN in obese individuals in the KORA F4/FF4 cohort that were consistent between cross-sectional and prospective analyses. The findings point toward independent adverse health effects of both PM and gaseous compounds in the obese. Importantly, these associations were found for IQR increases at annual average concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ ($11.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, IQR $1.3 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), PM_{10} ($16.6 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, IQR $2.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$), and NO_2 ($14.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, IQR $6.8 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$) that were close to or below those specified by the 2005 global update of the WHO Air Quality Guidelines as levels that should not be exceeded (10, 20, and $40 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ annual mean, respectively; WHO 2006). Of note, some of the most consistent associations with DSPN in our study were found for PNC. This exposure represents an indicator for ultrafine particles (i.e., the smallest fraction that can penetrate much deeper into the alveoli and even cross the air–blood barrier) and is currently either not regulated at all or there are no recommendations for regulation. Thus, our study extends the knowledge on health effects of air pollution as representing one of the leading risk factors for global morbidity and mortality.

Although the results of the present study cannot be directly compared with others owing to the lack of data, it is noteworthy that exposure to air pollution has been associated with several neurological disorders and cardiac autonomic dysfunction. In particular, exposure to higher levels of $PM_{2.5}$ has been associated with a higher risk of development and progression of dementia, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease in both short- and long-term studies (Kioumourtzoglou et al. 2016; Fu et al. 2019; Wei et al. 2019). These disorders affect the central, rather than the peripheral, nervous system but may nevertheless be relevant in this context given the proposed contribution of central nervous system disturbances to the pathophysiology of DSPN (Selvarajah et al. 2014). Short-term exposure to both particulate and gaseous air pollutants has also been associated with lower heart rate variability in different study populations (de Hartog et al. 2009; Park et al. 2010; Schneider et al. 2010; Huang et al. 2012).

Potential Mechanisms Linking Air Pollution, Obesity, and DSPN

Our results may also be relevant because few risk factors beyond hyperglycemia have been identified that predispose to the incidence and progression of DSPN (Ziegler et al. 2014; Bönhof et al. 2019). In line with this, DSPN is one of the few complications of

diabetes without a generally accepted disease-specific treatment. In addition to obesity, prediabetes, and advanced age, environmental factors merit further investigation regarding their role in the development and progression of DSPN to possibly advance our understanding of its pathophysiology.

With respect to the underlying mechanisms linking air pollution and DSPN, a comparison with the mechanisms that have been proposed to mediate effects of air pollution on cardiometabolic diseases is of interest. Studies focusing on cardiovascular outcomes have highlighted oxidative stress and subclinical inflammation as putative mediators. Both might be derived from PM distributed throughout the body or from spillover effects after activation of pulmonary tissue. Additional mediating factors may include endothelial dysfunction, altered hemodynamics, prothrombotic pathways, and autonomic imbalance (Rajagopalan and Brook 2012; Rajagopalan et al. 2018). Most of these factors have also been associated with DSPN (Bönhof et al. 2019) and appear to be plausible mediators between air pollution and DSPN. Increased neuroinflammation and oxidative stress have also been implicated as mechanisms linking air pollution and neurological diseases, with experimental and postmortem studies pointing toward neuronal and glial cell death as consequences of exposure to air pollution (Genc et al. 2012; Zanobetti et al. 2014). However, it is important to note that air pollution consists of a complex mixture of particulate and gaseous constituents with complex chemistry, and exposure measurements in large epidemiological studies are usually not specific enough to disentangle which components affect outcomes of interest such as DSPN by which mechanisms.

Perhaps the most important finding in this study is that exposure to air pollution was associated with prevalent and incident DSPN among obese individuals, whereas corresponding estimates for nonobese participants were weak or null. The evidence for different associations with both prevalent and incident DSPN between the two subgroups was most consistent for PM_{coarse} , $PM_{2.5}$, and NO_2 . In addition, $PM_{2.5abs}$ may also have been more strongly associated with prevalent DSPN in obese individuals, and effect sizes for PNC, PM_{10} , and NO_x appeared to be larger in obese individuals for incident DSPN. Thus, our study indicates that obese people may be particularly susceptible to air pollution. The notion that not all individuals react equally to environmental toxins and that subgroups may exist who are more susceptible than others has been addressed in previous studies (Sacks et al. 2011). Consequently, the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 7401) implemented this concept in the United States by explicitly aiming to protect the health of sensitive subgroups of the population (Rajagopalan et al. 2018). However, analyses involving multiple combinations of exposures and outcomes in different cohorts have identified a range of factors that may influence the susceptibility to air pollution. These factors include age, obesity, preexisting diseases (CVD, respiratory diseases, diabetes), and low SES (Sacks et al. 2011). Of note, one review of cohort and panel studies focusing on cardiovascular health found a consistent pattern of stronger associations between exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ and cardiovascular outcomes in people with obesity (Weichenthal et al. 2014). Overweight and obesity have also been identified as effect modifiers in the relationship between air pollution and cardiac autonomic function (de Hartog et al. 2009; Huang et al. 2012). The present study suggests that obesity defined by BMI may be a better indicator of susceptibility to air pollution than abdominal obesity, diabetes, or prediabetes/diabetes when DSPN is assessed as the outcome. However, people with abdominal obesity or diabetes were identified as high-risk subgroups in other studies investigating the effects of air pollution (Sacks et al. 2011), so comparable findings in this study would have been plausible. Here, it is important to note that data for

potential effect modifiers were derived from the baseline examination of this study (KORA F4), so changes in BMI or waist circumference, incidence of prediabetes or type 2 diabetes between KORA F4 and FF4, or the use of glucose-lowering treatment during the follow-up period were not accounted for in the analysis although they might affect the individual susceptibility to air pollutants. Therefore, further studies in other cohorts are necessary to investigate which pathways link BMI and other potential susceptibility factors to the risk of DSPN in people exposed to higher levels of air pollution. Future studies should also address to what extent obesity may represent a mediator between air pollution and risk of DSPN as an alternative explanation as to how these three factors are connected.

Epidemiological studies cannot easily identify the underlying mechanisms why air pollution and obesity may affect health in a synergistic manner. One possible explanation considers obesity and air pollution as major endogenous and exogenous determinants of subclinical inflammation that together may exacerbate local and systemic inflammatory processes. Experimental studies have suggested a direct effect of ambient PM_{2.5} on adipose tissue inflammation in mice, resulting in the development of visceral adiposity, insulin resistance, and systemic immune activation (Sun et al. 2009), all of which represent risk factors for DSPN. There is evidence that adipose tissue inflammation represents an initial step in the development of insulin resistance before the manifestation of systemic subclinical inflammation, suggesting that air pollution may even belong among the modifiable factors initiating the inflammation/insulin resistance syndrome (Roden and Shulman 2019). A second explanation could implicate the structural and functional changes of the lungs that are caused by obesity (Dixon and Peters 2018) and which may increase the susceptibility of obese people to air pollution.

Strengths and Limitations

Major strengths of this study include the population-based design of the KORA F4/FF4 cohort, the comprehensive and standardized assessment of residential air pollution, the combination of cross-sectional and prospective analyses and the detailed information on study participants that allowed for adjustment for potential confounders. There are also some limitations of our study. First, our definition of DSPN meets the requirements for possible DSPN, but measurements of nerve conduction as a more sensitive and specific method to assess impairments in large myelinated nerve fibers were not available. Second, exposure misclassification may have occurred due to assessment of residential exposure only. Information on time spent at work addresses for the younger study participants and time spent, for example, at summer homes or traveling was not available. This issue can be solved only with large-scale personal exposure measurements that were not feasible within the KORA cohort. Third, we cannot exclude residual confounding by factors related to both air pollution and risk of DSPN that were not measured in our study. Fourth, data for other air pollutants of interest, such as sulfur dioxide, were not available for our study sample. Fifth, the KORA F4 survey (i.e., baseline of this study) represents a follow-up study of the population-based KORA S4 survey. The response rate in the age group investigated here was 70.2%, and nonparticipants in F4 were older and had a less favorable cardiometabolic risk profile (Kluppelholz et al. 2015). Therefore, we cannot exclude a certain degree of selection bias, which, however, would be expected to have led to an underestimation of effect sizes, not to increased risk ratios. Sixth, sample sizes were a limitation in some of the stratified analyses of incident DSPN. Finally, air pollution levels were relatively low compared with many low- or medium-income countries, so further studies in

other areas of the world (e.g., India, China, South America) will be necessary to obtain estimates for the investigated associations at higher levels of air pollution.

Conclusion

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess the relationship between air pollution and DSPN. We identified obese individuals as a susceptible subgroup in which air pollution was associated with both prevalent and incident DSPN. These associations were observed in a cohort with comparatively low levels of air pollution, so our data need to be corroborated in other cohorts of similar and higher exposure. From the clinical perspective, the identification of air pollution as a potential novel determinant of DSPN raises the question to what extent environmental exposures could contribute to this disease. From the public health point of view, these data reinforce the importance of measures to reduce both exposure to air pollution and strategies to prevent obesity in the general population.

Acknowledgments

The German Diabetes Center is supported by the Ministry of Culture and Science of the State of North Rhine-Westphalia and the German Federal Ministry of Health. This study was supported in part by a grant from the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research to the German Center for Diabetes Research. The Cooperative Health Research in the Region of Augsburg (KORA) study was initiated and financed by the Helmholtz Zentrum München–German Research Center for Environmental Health, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research and by the State of Bavaria. Furthermore, KORA research was supported within the Munich Center of Health Sciences, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU), Munich, Germany, as part of LMUinnovativ. The funders had no role in study design or data collection, analysis, and interpretation.

The data are subject to national data protection laws. Therefore, data cannot be made freely available in a public repository. However, data can be requested through an individual project agreement with KORA. To obtain permission to use KORA data under the terms of a project agreement, please use the digital tool KORA.PASST (<https://epi.helmholtz-muenchen.de/>).

References

- Andersen ST, Witte DR, Andersen H, Bjerg L, Bruun NH, Jørgensen ME, et al. 2018. Risk-factor trajectories preceding diabetic polyneuropathy: ADDITION-Denmark. *Diabetes Care* 41(9):1955–1962, PMID: 29987164, <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-0392>.
- Bönhof GJ, Herder C, Strom A, Papanas N, Roden M, Ziegler D. 2019. Emerging biomarkers, tools, and treatments for diabetic polyneuropathy. *Endocr Rev* 40(1):153–192, PMID: 30256929, <https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2018-00107>.
- Boyras O, Saracoglu M. 2010. The effect of obesity on the assessment of diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a comparison of Michigan patient version test and Michigan physical assessment. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 90(3):256–260, PMID: 20943282, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2010.09.014>.
- de Hartog JJ, Lanki T, Timonen KL, Hoek G, Janssen NAH, Ibalid-Mullis A, et al. 2009. Associations between PM_{2.5} and heart rate variability are modified by particle composition and beta-blocker use in patients with coronary heart disease. *Environ Health Perspect* 117(1):105–111, PMID: 19165395, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.11062>.
- Dixon AE, Peters U. 2018. The effect of obesity on lung function. *Expert Rev Respir Med* 12(9):755–767, PMID: 30056777, <https://doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2018.1506331>.
- Donath MY, Meier DT, Böni-Schnetzler M. 2019. Inflammation in the pathophysiology and therapy of cardiometabolic disease. *Endocr Rev* 40(4):1080–1091, PMID: 31127805, <https://doi.org/10.1210/er.2019-00002>.
- Eeftens M, Beelen R, Fischer P, Brunekreef B, Meliefste K, Hoek G. 2011. Stability of measured and modelled spatial contrasts in NO₂ over time. *Occup Environ Med* 68(10):765–770, PMID: 21285243, <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2010.061135>.
- Feldman EL, Stevens MJ, Thomas PK, Brown MB, Canal N, Greene DA. 1994. A practical two-step quantitative clinical and electrophysiological assessment for the

- diagnosis and staging of diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 17(11):1281–1289, PMID: 7821168, <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.17.11.1281>.
- Fu P, Guo X, Cheung FMH, Yung KKL. 2019. The association between PM_{2.5} exposure and neurological disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sci Total Environ* 655:1240–1248, PMID: 30577116, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.11.218>.
- Genc S, Zadeoglulari Z, Fuss SH, Genc K. 2012. The adverse effects of air pollution on the nervous system. *J Toxicol* 2012:782462, PMID: 22523490, <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/782462>.
- Herder C, Bongaerts BWC, Ouwens DM, Rathmann W, Heier M, Carstensen-Kirberg M, et al. 2015. Low serum omentin levels in the elderly population with type 2 diabetes and polyneuropathy. *Diabet Med* 32(11):1479–1483, PMID: 26094489, <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12761>.
- Herder C, Hermanns N. 2019. Subclinical inflammation and depressive symptoms in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes. *Semin Immunopathol* 41(4):477–489, PMID: 30778653, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00281-019-00730-x>.
- Herder C, Kannenberg JM, Carstensen-Kirberg M, Strom A, Böhnhof GJ, Rathmann W, et al. 2018. A systemic inflammatory signature reflecting cross talk between innate and adaptive immunity is associated with incident polyneuropathy: a KORA F4/FF4 study. *Diabetes* 67(11):2434–2442, PMID: 30115651, <https://doi.org/10.2337/db18-0060>.
- Herder C, Kannenberg JM, Huth C, Carstensen-Kirberg M, Rathmann W, Koenig W, et al. 2017. Proinflammatory cytokines predict the incidence and progression of distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy: KORA F4/FF4 study. *Diabetes Care* 40(4):569–576, PMID: 28174259, <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2259>.
- Herder C, Lankisch M, Ziegler D, Rathmann W, Koenig W, Illig T, et al. 2009. Subclinical inflammation and diabetic polyneuropathy: MONICA/KORA Survey F3 (Augsburg, Germany). *Diabetes Care* 32(4):680–682, PMID: 19131463, <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc08-2011>.
- Huang W, Zhu T, Pan X, Hu M, Lu SE, Lin Y, et al. 2012. Air pollution and autonomic and vascular dysfunction in patients with cardiovascular disease: interactions of systemic inflammation, overweight, and gender. *Am J Epidemiol* 176(2):117–126, PMID: 22763390, <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwr511>.
- Inker LA, Schmid CH, Tighiouart H, Eckfeldt JH, Feldman HI, Greene T, et al. 2012. Estimating glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine and cystatin C. *N Engl J Med* 367(1):20–29, PMID: 22762315, <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1114248>.
- Jha JC, Ho F, Dan C, Jandeleit-Dahm K. 2018. A causal link between oxidative stress and inflammation in cardiovascular and renal complications of diabetes. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 132(16):1811–1836, PMID: 30166499, <https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20171459>.
- Kioumourtzoglou MA, Schwartz JD, Weisskopf MG, Melly SJ, Wang Y, Dominici F, et al. 2016. Long-term PM_{2.5} exposure and neurological hospital admissions in the northeastern United States. *Environ Health Perspect* 124(1):23–29, PMID: 25978701, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408973>.
- Klüppelholz B, Thorand B, Koenig W, de Las Heras Gala T, Meisinger C, Huth C, et al. 2015. Association of subclinical inflammation with deterioration of glycaemia before the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes: the KORA S4/F4 study. *Diabetologia* 58(10):2269–2277, PMID: 26155746, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00125-015-3679-4>.
- Krämer U, Herder C, Sugiri D, Strassburger K, Schikowski T, Ranft U, et al. 2010. Traffic-related air pollution and incident type 2 diabetes: results from the SALIA cohort study. *Environ Health Perspect* 118(9):1273–1279, PMID: 20504758, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901689>.
- Lunetta M, Le Moli R, Grasso G, Sangiorgio L. 1998. A simplified diagnostic test for ambulatory screening of peripheral diabetic neuropathy. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 39(3):165–172, PMID: 9649948, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-8227\(98\)00005-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-8227(98)00005-9).
- Martina IS, van Koningsveld R, Schmitz PI, van der Meché FG, van Doorn PA. 1998. Measuring vibration threshold with a graduated tuning fork in normal aging and in patients with polyneuropathy. European Inflammatory Neuropathy Cause and Treatment (INCAT) group. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 65(5):743–747, PMID: 9810949, <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp.65.5.743>.
- Moghtaderi A, Bakhshpour A, Rashidi H. 2006. Validation of Michigan neuropathy screening instrument for diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 108(5):477–481, PMID: 16150538, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2005.08.003>.
- Mühlberger N, Behrend C, Stark R, Holle R. 2003. Database-supported identification and entry of drug data in health studies—experiences with the IDOM software. [In German.] *Inform Biomet Epidemiol Med Biol* 34:601–611.
- Park SK, Auchincloss AH, O'Neill MS, Prineas R, Correa JC, Keeler J, et al. 2010. Particulate air pollution, metabolic syndrome, and heart rate variability: the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Environ Health Perspect* 118(10):1406–1411, PMID: 20529761, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.0901778>.
- Pop-Busui R, Boulton AJM, Feldman EL, Bril V, Freeman R, Malik RA, et al. 2017. Diabetic neuropathy: a position statement by the American Diabetes Association. *Diabetes Care* 40(1):136–154, PMID: 27999003, <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc16-2042>.
- Rajagopalan S, Al-Kindi SG, Brook RD. 2018. Air pollution and cardiovascular disease: a JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 72(17):2054–2070, PMID: 30336830, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.07.099>.
- Rajagopalan S, Brook RD. 2012. Air pollution and type 2 diabetes: mechanistic insights. *Diabetes* 61(12):3037–3045, PMID: 23172950, <https://doi.org/10.2337/db12-0190>.
- Rathmann W, Strassburger K, Heier M, Holle R, Thorand B, Giani G, et al. 2009. Incidence of type 2 diabetes in the elderly German population and the effect of clinical and lifestyle risk factors: KORA S4/F4 cohort study. *Diabet Med* 26(12):1212–1219, PMID: 20002472, <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1464-5491.2009.02863.x>.
- Roden M, Shulman GI. 2019. The integrative biology of type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 576(7785):51–60, PMID: 31802013, <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1797-8>.
- Sacks JD, Stanek LW, Luben TJ, Johns DO, Buckley BJ, Brown JS, et al. 2011. Particulate matter-induced health effects: who is susceptible? *Environ Health Perspect* 119(4):446–454, PMID: 20961824, <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1002255>.
- Schlesinger S, Herder C, Kannenberg JM, Huth C, Carstensen-Kirberg M, Rathmann W, et al. 2019. General and abdominal obesity and incident distal sensorimotor polyneuropathy: insights into inflammatory biomarkers as potential mediators in the KORA F4/FF4 cohort. *Diabetes Care* 42(2):240–247, PMID: 30523031, <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc18-1842>.
- Schneider A, Hampel R, Ibalid-Mulli A, Zareba W, Schmidt G, Schneider R, et al. 2010. Changes in deceleration capacity of heart rate and heart rate variability induced by ambient air pollution in individuals with coronary artery disease. *Part Fibre Toxicol* 7:29, PMID: 20929559, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-8977-7-29>.
- Selvarajah D, Wilkinson ID, Maxwell M, Davies J, Sankar A, Boland E, et al. 2014. Magnetic resonance neuroimaging study of brain structural differences in diabetic peripheral neuropathy. *Diabetes Care* 37(6):1681–1688, PMID: 24658391, <https://doi.org/10.2337/dc13-2610>.
- Sun Q, Yue P, DeJuliis JA, Lumeng CN, Kampfrath T, Mikolaj MB, et al. 2009. Ambient air pollution exaggerates adipose inflammation and insulin resistance in a mouse model of diet-induced obesity. *Circulation* 119(4):538–546, PMID: 19153269, <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.108.799015>.
- Wang R, Henderson SB, Sbihi H, Allen RW, Brauer M. 2013. Temporal stability of land use regression models for traffic-related air pollution. *Atmos Environ* 64(1):312–319, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.056>.
- Wei Y, Wang Y, Di Q, Choirat C, Wang Y, Koutrakis P, et al. 2019. Short term exposure to fine particulate matter and hospital admission risks and costs in the Medicare population: time stratified, case crossover study. *BMJ* 367:l6258. 2019, PMID: 31776122, <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l6258>.
- Weichenthal S, Hoppin JA, Reeves F. 2014. Obesity and the cardiovascular health effects of fine particulate air pollution. *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 22(7):1580–1589, PMID: 24639433, <https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.20748>.
- WHO (World Health Organization). 2006. *WHO Air Quality Guidelines for Particulate Matter, Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide and Sulfur Dioxide. Global Update 2005. Summary of Risk Assessment*. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO.
- Wolf K, Popp A, Schneider A, Breiten S, Hampel R, Rathmann W, et al. 2016. Association between long-term exposure to air pollution and biomarkers related to insulin resistance, subclinical inflammation, and adipokines. *Diabetes* 65(11):3314–3326, PMID: 27605624, <https://doi.org/10.2337/db15-1567>.
- Yang BY, Fan S, Thiering E, Seissler J, Nowak D, Dong GH, et al. 2020. Ambient air pollution and diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Res* 180:108817, PMID: 31627156, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108817>.
- Zanobetti A, Dominici F, Wang Y, Schwartz JD. 2014. A national case-crossover analysis of the short-term effect of PM_{2.5} on hospitalizations and mortality in subjects with diabetes and neurological disorders. *Environ Health* 13(1):38, PMID: 24886318, <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-13-38>.
- Ziegler D, Papanas N, Vinik AI, Shaw JE. 2014. Epidemiology of polyneuropathy in diabetes and prediabetes. *Handb Clin Neurol* 126:3–22, PMID: 25410210, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53480-4.00001-1>.