

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Chapter 23

Studying OTUD6B-OTUB1 Protein–Protein Interaction by 2011 Low-Throughput GFP-Trap Assays and High-Throughput $\overline{3}$ AlphaScreen Assays ⁴

Elisabeth Weber, Kenji Schorpp, and Kamyar Hadian ⁵

Abstract 6 and 3 and 4 a

Protein–protein interactions (PPI) are involved in a myriad of cellular processes, and their deregulation can 7 [AU1](#page-16-0) lead to many diseases. One such process is protein ubiquitination that requires an orchestrated action of 8 three key enzymes to add ubiquitin moieties to substrate proteins. Importantly, this process is reversible 9 through deubiquitinating enzymes. Both ubiquitination and deubiquitination require many PPIs that once 10 classified can be utilized to identify small molecule inhibitors counteracting these reactions. Here, we study 11 the protein–protein interaction between the two deubiquitinating enzymes OTUB1 and OTUD6B and 12 report for the first time that both proteins directly interact with each other. We describe the GFP-Trap 13 immunoprecipitation as a cell-based method to analyze the OTUD6B-OTUB1 interaction in the cellular 14 context and the AlphaScreen (amplified luminescent proximity homogeneous assay) assay as a tool to detect 15 direct interactions and to search for PPI inhibitors. 16

Key words Protein–protein interactions, GFP-trap, Immunoprecipitation, AlphaScreen, Ubiquitin, 17 Deubiquitinase, DUB, OTUD6B, OTUB1, Homogeneous proximity assay 18

1 Introduction 19 and 19

Cell-based processes use protein interactions or protein networks ²⁰ to positively or negatively regulate cellular pathways. Examples of ²¹ these processes employing protein–protein interactions (PPIs) are ²² receptor-mediated signaling, transcription and translation, DNA ²³ replication, cell death, cell cycle, DNA damage response, and ²⁴ many more. Studying protein interaction networks is key to under- ²⁵ standing signaling pathways, development, and diseases. Thus, ²⁶ methodologies detecting and describing PPIs are important tools ²⁷ to explore biological processes. Large-scale techniques such as mass ²⁸ spectrometry uncover cellular protein interactions and larger net- ²⁹ works [[1](#page-14-0)]. However, clear information of the direct nature of a PPI ³⁰ is missing in these data sets as two proteins may interact directly or ³¹ be bridged by, for example, other proteins or nucleic acids. Thus, ³²

biochemical assays to verify direct PPIs are needed as parallel ³³ approaches to large-scale protein network analyses. The repertoire ³⁴ of biochemical assays include low-throughput assays as well as high- ³⁵ throughput assays $\lceil 2 \rceil$. 36

One cellular process that employs many PPIs is protein ubiqui- ³⁷ tination. Based on the type of protein ubiquitination different ³⁸ cellular events can be controlled, ranging from protein degradation ³⁹ to regulation of signal transduction $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$ $[3, 4]$. The E1 activating 40 enzymes, the E2 conjugating enzymes and the E3 ligases work in ⁴¹ a consecutive manner to attach ubiquitin moieties to substrate ⁴² proteins [[4](#page-14-0), [5\]](#page-14-0). The whole cascade undertakes many PPIs among ⁴³ the involved enzymes as well as between the E3 ligases and their ⁴⁴ substrates $\begin{bmatrix} 5, 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 5, 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 5, 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 5, 6 \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 5, 6 \end{bmatrix}$. Importantly, ubiquitination is a post-translational 45 modification that is reversible by deubiquitinating (DUB) enzymes 46 [[7,](#page-14-0) [8](#page-14-0)]. Recent years have shown that there are also protein interac- 47 tions between E3 ligases and DUBs for tight regulation of cellular ⁴⁸ ubiquitination $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$ as well as between two DUBs $[11]$ $[11]$. Interac- 49 tion of two DUBs (OTUD6B (34 kDa) and OTUB1 (31 kDa)) was 50 demonstrated in a study using mass spectrometry analysis. How- ⁵¹ ever, the verification of a direct interaction between the two DUBs 52 has not been reported $[11]$ $[11]$ $[11]$. Here, we use the interaction between 53 OTUD6B and OTUB1 as a showcase to demonstrate how a ⁵⁴ low-throughput assay (GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation; Fig. 1a) 55 and a high-throughput assay (amplified luminescent proximity ⁵⁶ homogenous assay—AlphaScreen; Fig. [2a](#page-4-0)) can be utilized to vali- 57 date large-scale mass spectrometry data. This can be an essential ⁵⁸ piece in the puzzle to understand the underlying biology and to ⁵⁹ develop small molecules altering disease-relevant pathways. 60

Fig. 1 (a) The experimental setup for a GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation assay is depicted. ChromoTek $GFP-Trap^{\otimes}$ consists of an anti-GFP nanobody/V_HH coupled to agarose beads, allowing for high-affinity pulldown of GFP-fusion proteins together with its interaction partners. (b) Flag-OTUB1 can be coimmunoprecipitated with GFP-OTUD6B, while no Flag-OTUB1 can be detected when GFP-only is pulled down

Fig. 2 (a) Sketch of the AlphaScreen assay setup (b) 2.5 μg of the purified GST-OTUD6B and His-OTUB1 proteins were loaded and separated on a 10% SDS gel and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue (CBB) G-250 stain. (c) AlphaScreen matrix titration experiment with different protein concentrations of GST-OTUD6B and His-OTUB1 in the range from 300 nM to 0.3 nM. (d) Based on the results in (c) the concentrations of 300 nM GST-OTUD6B and 100 nM His-OTUB1, respectively, were selected for an AlphaScreen assay. 300 nM GST served as a negative control. The experiment was performed as technical replicate ($n = 3$, SD)

Elisabeth Weber et al.

PPI-Interaction Studies by GFP-Trap and AlphaScreen

3 Methods 152

DNA fragments encoding human full length OTUB1 and 153 OTUD6B were amplified from plasmids by PCR using specific ¹⁵⁴ primer pairs in standard PCR protocols and were subsequently ¹⁵⁵ subcloned (see Note 5). 156

3.1 Protein Expression and Purification Protocols

3.1.1 Recombinant Production and Purification of His-OTUB1

- 1. Transformation of *E. coli* BL21-DE3-RIPL with $pET-28a(+)$ 157
OTUB1 using standard procedure and plating on kanamycin 158 OTUB1 using standard procedure and plating on kanamycin ¹⁵⁸ plates. The contract of the co
- 2. Preculture #1: inoculate a single transformed clone in 5 mL of ¹⁶⁰ LB medium with matched antibiotics and incubate O/N at 161 $37 \degree$ C and 180 rpm. 162
- 3. Preculture #2: next day, inoculate 5 mL of preculture #1 in ¹⁶³ 50 mL of LB medium with matched antibiotics and incubate ¹⁶⁴ O/N at 37 °C and 180 rpm. 165
- 4. Next day, inoculate 50 mL of preculture #2 in 500 mL of LB ¹⁶⁶ medium with matched antibiotics and incubate at $37 \degree C$ and 167 180 rpm. ¹⁶⁸
- 5. Measure OD₆₀₀ and incubate up to OD₆₀₀ = 0.6; then add 169
another 500 mL of LB medium with matched antibiotics and 170 another 500 mL of LB medium with matched antibiotics and incubate at $37 \degree C$ and 180 rpm. 171
- 6. At $OD_{600} = 0.6$ –0.8 switch to 18 °C, allow the culture to cool 172 down for 20 min and induce protein expression with final 173 down for 20 min and induce protein expression with final IPTG to a final concentration of 1 mM . please remove 174
- 7. Incubate overnight at $18 \degree C$ and 150 rpm . $\hspace{1cm}$ 175
- 8. Next day, centrifuge culture for 20 min, $4 °C$, $2500 \times g$. 176
- 9. Discard supernatant and use pellet for protein purification. 177
- 10. Resuspend pellet in 10 mL His-Lysis buffer 1 (*see* Notes 6 and 178
7). $7).$ 179
- 11. Incubate for 20 min at RT and then incubate on ice. 180
- 12. Sonicate lysate ten times on ice (20 impulses; 30 s resting time ¹⁸¹ in between each sonication cycle) (*see* **Note 8**). 182
Transfer total lysate into prechilled centrifugation tubes: cen-183
- 13. Transfer total lysate into prechilled centrifugation tubes; centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$, 50 min, 4 °C. 184
- 14. Prepare 3 mL Nickel-Sepharose slurry: Wash Sepharose in ¹⁸⁵ His-Lysis buffer 2, then spin down for 2 min at 4° C and 186 $200 \times g$. Repeat this step three times. 187
- 15. Transfer lysate supernatant into 50 mL reaction tube, fill up ¹⁸⁸ with His-Lysis buffer 2 to total 30 mL and add 3 mL pre- ¹⁸⁹ washed Nickel-Sepharose slurry. 190
- 16. Incubate for 2 h at 4° C with constant inverting. 191

please add "Discard excess supernatant." before "Repeat:

… 200 x g. Discard excess supernatant. Repeat...

Elisabeth Weber et al.

… 200 x g. Discard excess supernatant. Repeat...

3.2 GFP-Trap Immunoprecipitation

3.2.1 Seeding of Cells and Transfection

please change to: pEE4-2xFlag-OTUB1

Please change to: Equilibrate 15 µl slurry of GFP-Trap Agarose beads with 500 µl GFP-Trap Wash/Dilution buffer per sample

interaction between OTUD6B and OTUB1. The optimal con- ³⁶² centration for AlphaScreen appears to be 300 nM of ³⁶³ GST-OTUD6B and 100 nM His-OTUB1. 364

- 1. Chose the optimal concentration for the protein pair from the ³⁶⁶ matrix titration experiment (see Subheading [3.3.1](#page-11-0)). Here, the 367 combination of 300 nM GST-OTUD6B with 100 nM 368 combination of 300 nM GST-OTUD6B with 100 nM His-OTUB1 results in a robust AlphaScreen signal (Fig. [2c\)](#page-4-0), 369 while the proteins alone without their corresponding binding 370 partner show a markedly reduced signal (background). 371
	- 2. Include a tag alone control (GST-alone in this case) (Fig. [2d\)](#page-4-0) ³⁷² when performing the assay (*see* **Note 19**). 373
	- 3. Perform the assay in white 384-well microtiter plates with a ³⁷⁴ final volume of $40 \mu L$ per well (see Note 16). 375
	- 4. Add 10 μ L of $4 \times$ concentrated GST-tagged proteins 376 (GST-OTUD6B or GST) (each $1.2 \mu M$) into a microtiter plate. 377
	- 5. Add 10 μ L of $4 \times$ concentrated His-OTUB1 (400 nM) into the 378 same wells of the microtiter plate. 379
	- 6. OPTIONAL: add an inhibitory compound dissolved in DMSO ³⁸⁰ at the desired concentration using a 1:100 dilution (e.g., final ³⁸¹ $10 \mu M = 0.2 \mu L$ of 2 mM solution) (see **Notes 20** and 21). 382
Incubate for 1 h at room temperature.
	- 7. Incubate for 1 h at room temperature.
	- 8. Add 20 μL of a freshly prepared $2 \times$ solution with AlphaScreen 384 glutathione donor beads (40 μ g/mL) and AlphaScreen Ni²⁺- 385 NTA acceptor beads $(40 \mu g/mL)$ (see Notes 15 and 17). 386
	- 9. Incubate for 1 h at room temperature in the dark or in dimmed ³⁸⁷ $light (see Note 18).$ 388
	- 10. Analyze the assay using a multilabel plate reader (settings: laser ³⁸⁹ excitation at 680 nm, emission at 520–620 nm). 390

4 Notes 392

- 1. His-OTUB1: All buffers used for His-tag purification need to ³⁹³ be without EDTA. This includes buffers for lysing cells as well ³⁹⁴ as purification buffers. Protease inhibitor supplements should ³⁹⁵ be without EDTA. 396
- 2. TCEP is the preferred reducing agent for His-Purification ³⁹⁷ (Ni-Sepharose) as it does not reduce nickel. In contrast, DTT ³⁹⁸ reduces Nickel. 399
- 3. Buffers containing DTT (e.g., GST-Lysis Buffer for purifica- ⁴⁰⁰ tion of GST-tagged OTUD6B) and buffers with TCEP (for ⁴⁰¹ purification of His-tagged proteins) should be prepared fresh ⁴⁰² every time; TCEP is generally slightly more stable than DTT. ⁴⁰³

3.3.2 AlphaScreen PPI Assay at Defined Concentration

391

³⁶⁵

- 4. Use protease free, fatty acid free, essentially globulin free ⁴⁰⁴ Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA) with purity \geq 98%. 405
- 5. Vectors for GFP-Trap immunoprecipitation: pEGFP-C1 ⁴⁰⁶ $(N-terminal$ GFP-Tag): GFP-OTUD6B = pEGFP-C1- 407 OTUD6B; pEF4-2×Flag (N-terminal Flag-Tag): Flag- 408 $\text{OTUB1} = \text{pEF4-2} \times \text{Flag-OTUB1}.$ Vectors for AlphaScreen 409 assay: pGex-4T1 (N-terminal GST-Tag): GST- ⁴¹⁰ $\text{OTUD6B} = \text{pGex-4T1-OTUD6B}; \text{pET-28a(+) (N-terminal 411}$
His_e-Tag): **His-OTUB1** = $\text{pET-28a(+)}-\text{OTUB1}$. $His₆-Tag)$: His-OTUB1 = pET-28a(+)-OTUB1.
- 6. Addition of benzonase helps to reduce DNA and RNA ⁴¹³ impurification. ⁴¹⁴
- 7. Mg^{2+} (1–2 mM) is required for benzonase enzyme activity. 415
- 8. During the process of sonication, be careful that the lysate does 416 not heat up too much. This process needs to be done on ice and 417 with intervals of sonication and chilling on ice to guarantee ⁴¹⁸ optimal protein quality. 419
- 9. Aliquot purified proteins and snap-freeze in liquid nitrogen ⁴²⁰ prior to long-term storage at -80 °C. Prepare small aliquots 421 to avoid multiple freeze and thaw cycles of recombinant ⁴²² proteins. 423
- 10. A nonbinding Tag-alone control should always be included to ⁴²⁴ identify unspecific binding effects: GFP-alone. 425
- 11. In order to prepare transfection complexes using XtremeGene ⁴²⁶ HP it is crucial to prepare the complexes in serum-free media ⁴²⁷ (e.g., Opti-MEM), as serum can inhibit the formation of these ⁴²⁸ complexes and thereby reduce transfection efficiency. ⁴²⁹
- 12. To achieve low cytotoxicity and high transfection efficiency it is ⁴³⁰ often helpful to test the appropriate μg **DNA–μL** transfection 431 reagent ratio. Here, 1 μg DNA:3 μL XtremeGene HP ⁴³² works best. **Please change to "... DNA:μL ..."** 433
- 13. To completely dry the GFP-Trap Agarose beads after the final ⁴³⁴ wash, it is crucial not to accidentally lose the beads. We there- ⁴³⁵ fore recommend using tips with flattened head that allow ⁴³⁶ complete removal of buffer (e.g., Corning CLS4185-400EA). ⁴³⁷
- 14. When working with the AlphaScreen technology, the hook ⁴³⁸ effect needs to be considered. This effect describes the fact ⁴³⁹ that too much of the proteins can reduce the signal. ⁴⁴⁰
- 15. Both the protein solutions and the AlphaScreen bead mix must 441 be freshly prepared on ice and used immediately to avoid ⁴⁴² increased background signals. ⁴⁴³
- 16. When pipetting the different solutions into the 384-well plate, ⁴⁴⁴ try to avoid air bubbles. Reverse pipetting can help here. ⁴⁴⁵
- 17. There are beads covered with Glutathione or an anti-GST ⁴⁴⁶ antibody to detect the GST-tagged protein. Similarly, there ⁴⁴⁷ are beads coated with Ni^{2+} -NTA or an anti-His-Tag antibody 448

to detect His-tagged proteins. Researchers can consider all ⁴⁴⁹ variants for their research. In some cases, one variant might ⁴⁵⁰ work superior to the other. 451

- 18. AlphaScreen assays should always be carried out in the dark/in ⁴⁵² dimmed light surrounding to ensure no bleaching of the beads ⁴⁵³ due to photosensitivity. 454
- 19. A nonbinding Tag-alone control should always be included to ⁴⁵⁵ identify unspecific binding effects: GST-alone. ⁴⁵⁶
- 20. When using the AlphaScreen technology for testing of inhibi- ⁴⁵⁷ tory compounds or high-throughput screening of small mole- ⁴⁵⁸ cule libraries, it is important to be aware that many compounds ⁴⁵⁹ interfere with the underlying chemistry. These compounds will ⁴⁶⁰ generate false positive hits that need to be eliminated from the ⁴⁶¹ hit list. Chemoinformatics filters can help identify these fre- ⁴⁶² quent hitters $[14-16]$. 463
- 21. The DMSO concentration of dissolved compounds should be ⁴⁶⁴ kept below 1%, ideally below 0.5% as DMSO can affect the ⁴⁶⁵ proteins as well as the AlphaScreen technology. 466

Acknowledgments 467

We thank Stefanie Brandner for excellent technical assistance. 468

⁴⁷⁰ References

- 472 1. Aebersold R, Mann M (2016) Mass-473 spectrometric exploration of proteome struc-474 ture and function. Nature 537 475 (7620):347–355. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19949) 476 [nature19949](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19949)
- 477 2. Gul S, Hadian K (2014) Protein-protein inter-478 action modulator drug discovery: past efforts 479 and future opportunities using a rich source of 480 low- and high-throughput screening assays. 481 Expert Opin Drug Discov 9(12):1393–1404. 482 [https://doi.org/10.1517/17460441.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1517/17460441.2014.954544) 483 [954544](https://doi.org/10.1517/17460441.2014.954544)
- 484 3. Komander D, Rape M (2012) The ubiquitin 485 code. Annu Rev Biochem 81:203–229. 486 [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060310-170328)487 [060310-170328](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-060310-170328)
- 488 4. Oh E, Akopian D, Rape M (2018) Principles of 489 ubiquitin-dependent signaling. Annu Rev Cell 490 Dev Biol 34:137–162. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062802) 491 [1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062802](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-cellbio-100617-062802)
- 492 5. Husnjak K, Dikic I (2012) Ubiquitin-binding 493 proteins: decoders of ubiquitin-mediated cellu-494 lar functions. Annu Rev Biochem 81:291–322. 495 [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-051810-094654)496 [051810-094654](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-051810-094654)
- 6. Brenke JK, Popowicz GM, Schorpp K, 497 Rothenaigner I, Roesner M, Meininger I, 498 Kalinski C, Ringelstetter L, R'Kyek O, 499 Jurjens G, Vincendeau M, Plettenburg O, 500 Sattler M, Krappmann D, Hadian K (2018) 501 Targeting TRAF6 E3 ligase activity with a 502 small-molecule inhibitor combats autoimmu- 503 nity. J Biol Chem 293(34):13191–13203. 504 <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.RA118.002649> 505
- 7. Clague MJ, Urbe S, Komander D (2019) 506 Breaking the chains: deubiquitylating enzyme 507 specificity begets function. Nat Rev Mol Cell 508 Biol 20(6):338–352. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0099-1) 509 [1038/s41580-019-0099-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-019-0099-1) 510
- 8. Mevissen TET, Komander D (2017) Mechan- 511 isms of deubiquitinase specificity and regula- 512 tion. Annu Rev Biochem 86:159–192. 513 [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044916) 514 [061516-044916](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biochem-061516-044916) 515
- 9. Elliott PR, Nielsen SV, Marco-Casanova P, Fiil 516 BK, Keusekotten K, Mailand N, Freund SM, 517 Gyrd-Hansen M, Komander D (2014) Molec- 518 ular basis and regulation of OTULIN-LUBAC 519 interaction. Mol Cell 54(3):335–348. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.018) 520 doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2014.03.018 521
- 522 10. Lam MH, Urban-Grimal D, Bugnicourt A, 523 Greenblatt JF, Haguenauer-Tsapis R, Emili A 524 (2009) Interaction of the deubiquitinating 525 enzyme Ubp2 and the e3 ligase Rsp5 is 526 required for transporter/receptor sorting in 527 the multivesicular body pathway. PLoS One 4 528 (1):e4259. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004259) 529 [pone.0004259](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004259)
- 530 11. Sowa ME, Bennett EJ, Gygi SP, Harper JW 531 (2009) Defining the human deubiquitinating 532 enzyme interaction landscape. Cell 138 533 (2):389–403. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.042) 534 [2009.04.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2009.04.042)
- 535 12. Towbin H, Staehelin T, Gordon J (1979) Elec-536 trophoretic transfer of proteins from polyacryl-537 amide gels to nitrocellulose sheets: procedure 538 and some applications. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S 539 A 76(9):4350–4354. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.9.4350) 540 [1073/pnas.76.9.4350](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.76.9.4350)
- 541 13. Laemmli UK (1970) Cleavage of structural 542 proteins during the assembly of the head of 543 bacteriophage T4. Nature 227 544 (5259):680–685. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/227680a0) 545 [227680a0](https://doi.org/10.1038/227680a0)

Contect

- 14. Schorpp K, Rothenaigner I, Salmina E, 546 Reinshagen J, Low T, Brenke JK, 547 Gopalakrishnan J, Tetko IV, Gul S, Hadian K 548 (2014) Identification of small-molecule fre- 549 quent hitters from AlphaScreen high- 550 throughput screens. J Biomol Screen 19 551 (5):715–726. [https://doi.org/10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057113516861) 552 [1087057113516861](https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057113516861) 553
- 15. Brenke JK, Salmina ES, Ringelstetter L, 554
Dornauer S. Kuzikov M. Rothenaigner I. 555 Dornauer S, Kuzikov M, Rothenaigner I, Schorpp K, Giehler F, Gopalakrishnan J, 556 Kieser A, Gul S, Tetko IV, Hadian K (2016) 557 Identification of small-molecule frequent hit- 558 ters of glutathione S-transferase-glutathione 559 interaction. J Biomol Screen 21(6):596–607. 560 [https://doi.org/10.1177/](https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057116639992) 561 [1087057116639992](https://doi.org/10.1177/1087057116639992) 562
- 16. Coussens NP, Auld D, Roby P, Walsh J, Baell 563 JB, Kales S, Hadian K, Dahlin JL (2004) 564 Compound-mediated assay interferences in 565 homogenous proximity assays. In: Sittampalam 566 GS, Grossman A, Brimacombe K et al (eds) 567 Assay guidance manual. Eli Lilly & Company 568 and the National Center for Advancing Trans- 569 lational Sciences, Bethesda (MD) 570

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 23 487288_2_En

