RESEARCH ARTICLE

$_{\text{AUI}}$ Generation of Epstein-Barr Virus Antigen-Specific T Cell Receptors Recognizing Immunodominant Epitopes of LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C for Immunotherapy

AU2 ► Krystyna Dudaniec,^{1,†} Kerstin Westendorf,^{1,†} Elfriede Nössner,² and Wolfgang Uckert^{1,*}

¹Molecular Cell Biology and Gene Therapy, Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association, Berlin, Germany. ²Immunoanalytics, Helmholtz-Zentrum Munich, Munich, Germany. [†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

AU4 \blacktriangleright Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) infections in healthy individuals are usually cleared by immune cells, wherein CD8⁺ T cells play the most important role. However, in some immunocompromised individuals, EBV infections can lead to the development of cancer in B, T, natural killer (NK) cells and epithelial cells. Most EBV-associated cancers express a limited number of virus-specific antigens such as latent membrane proteins (LMP1 and LMP2) and nuclear proteins (EBNA1, -2, EBNA3A, -B, -C, and EBNA-LP). These antigens represent true tumor-specific antigens and can be considered useful targets for T cell receptor (TCR) gene therapy to treat EBV-associated diseases. We used a TCR isolation platform based on a single major histocompatibility complex class I (MHC I) K562 cell library for the detection, isolation, and re-expression of TCRs targeting immunodominant peptide MHC (pMHC). Mature dendritic cells (mDCs) were pulsed with *in vitro*-transcribed (ivt) RNA encoding for the selected antigen to stimulate autologous T cells. The procedure allowed the mDCs to select an immunogenic epitope of the antigen for processing and presentation on the cell surface in combination with the most suitable MHC I molecule. We isolated eight EBV-specific TCRs. They recognize various pMHCs of EBV antigens LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C, some of them described previously and some newly identified in this study. The TCR genes were molecularly cloned into retroviral vectors and the resultant TCRengineered T cells secreted interferon- γ after antigen contact and were able to lyse tumor cells. The EBV-specific TCRs can be used as a basis for the generation of a TCR library, which provides a valuable source of TCRs for the production of EBV-specific T cells to treat EBV-associated diseases in patients with different MHC I types.

Keywords: adoptive T cell therapy, T cell receptor, Epstein-Barr virus-specific TCR

INTRODUCTION

AU5 \triangleright ADOPTIVE T CELL THERAPY (ATT) using T cell receptor (TCR) gene-engineered T cells (TCR gene therapy) has moved from an experimental approach to clinical application. However, TCR gene therapy has shown success only in a limited number of cancer patients. This is because of the fact that most clinical trials of TCR gene therapy target tumor-associated antigens (TAAs), which are self-antigens and therefore are also expressed on normal cells. Targeting of such antigens accepts the lack of cancer specificity and is often accompanied by severe side effects.¹ Such on-target/off-tumor side effects can be prevented by targeting tumor-specific antigens (TSAs; mutated antigens, and neoantigens). $²$ Mutated antigens can be</sup> considered as genuinely tumor specific and their use as

targets should substantially improve the benefit-to-risk ratio of ATT. \vee resent, it is not entirely known how many of these mutations encode truly tumor-specific epitopes. Data indicate that this number can vary between ~ 50 mutations in most adult solid tumors and 1,000 mutations in cancers with genomic instability.³ The majority of these mutations are individuum specific, whereas some may occur repeatedly in different cancers. Taking these facts into account, TCR gene therapy that targets mutated antigens is rather challenging. For each presented mutation, the specific TCR genes have to be identified, isolated, and molecularly cloned into gene transfer vectors. TCR geneengineered T cells have to be generated and thoroughly characterized before application in ATT. Ω ddition to mutated TSAs, viral antigens associated with cancer rep-

AU3 ► * Correspondence: Prof. Wolfgang Uckert, Max Delbrück Center for Molecular Medicine in the Helmholtz Association, Robert-Rössle-Street 10, Berlin 13125, Germany. E-mail: wuckert@mdc-berlin.de

resent another group of TSAs, which are also not expressed on normal cells but are not individuum specific. Thereby, viral antigens represent ideal targets for TCR gene therapy.

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV), a human herpes virus, infects \sim 90% of the human population. In healthy individuals,

diseases caused by EBV are usually cleared by immune AU6 cells, wherein cytotoxic CD8⁺ T cells (CTLs) play the most important role.4 EBV is associated with different nonmalignant diseases such as infectious mononucleosis or multiple sclerosis.^{5,6} \mathcal{D}_{st} of the EBV-associated malignancies derive from B cells, whereas others are derived from T cells, natural killer (NK) cells, as well as nasopharyngeal and gastric epithelial cells. The B cell lymphomas include post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders (PTLD), Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), Burkitt lymphoma, and diffuse large B cell lymphoma. In accordance with the above-mentioned types of infected cells, EBV contributes to the development of T/NK lymphomas/ leukemias, nasopharyngeal carcinomas (NPC), and gastric carcinoma.7–11 Most of the EBV-associated cancers express only a limited number of EBV-specific antigens such as the two latent membrane proteins (LMP1 and LMP2) and the six nuclear proteins, EBNA1, EBNA2, EBNA3A, EBNA3B, EBNA3C, and EBNA-LP. 10,11 All these antigens represent TSAs and can be considered as attractive targets for TCR gene therapy of EBV-associated diseases, including cancer. Hence, several groups have isolated EBV antigen-specific TCRs and evaluated their potential to be utilized in TCR gene therapy.^{12–19} In most of these reports, T cells isolated from EBV⁺ donors were expanded by means of EBV-transformed B-lymphoblastoid cell lines

 A_{U7} (LCLs) or EBV peptide pools. However, in some situations, only peptide-loaded cells were used as targets to demonstrate the cytotoxic capability of TCR-engineered T cells instead of tumor cells where the TSA is naturally processed and presented on the cell surface. $12,13$

> Recently, we established a TCR isolation approach for the efficient detection, isolation, and re-expression of TCRs targeting immunologically relevant peptide-major histocompatibility cla Ω (pMHCs).²⁰ In this approach, dendritic cells $(D\overline{Cs})$, which are professional antigenpresenting cells, were pulsed with *in vitro*-transcribed (ivt) RNA encoding the full-length sequence of the selected antigen to stimulate autologous T cells. This procedure allows the DCs to freely select one epitope of the antigen for processing and presentation on the cell surface in conjunction with the most suitable MHC I complex. Thus, the TCR isolation procedure does not discriminate against epitopes presented by less frequent MHC molecules or depend on a wealth of pre-existing experimental data for a reliable epitope prediction.

> In this study, we report on the isolation and characterization of eight TCRs, which recognize epitopes of EBV antigens LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C presented by various MHC I molecules.

MATERIALS AND METHODS 4AU8 Cell culture

RPMI 6666^{21} (Hodgkin's lymphoma-derived cells, ATCC CCL-113), K562 (chronic myelogenous leukemia cells, ATCC CCL-243), L591 22 (B-lymphoblastoid cells of a Hodgkin's lymphoma patient, kindly provided by Dr. U. Höpken, MDC, Berlin), EBV-transformed B-lymphoblastoid cc CLs) BM14, BSM, DEM, EK, HO104, KASO11, AU9 SA and WIN (courtesy of Prof. D. Schendel, Medigene AG, Martinsried), peripheral blood lymphocytes (PBLs), and CD8-enriched T cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal calf serum (FCS; Biochrom), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, $1 \times M$ aU10 nonessential amino acids solution, $1 \times$ penicillin–streptomycin (10,000 U/mL) (all Gibco; lymphocyte medium [LM]) at 38 \degree C and 6.5% CO₂. HG820-GALV packaging cells²³ were grown in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Gibco) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FCS and $1 \times$ penicillin/streptomycin at 37°C and 5% CO₂.

Maturation of DCs

Autologous mature DCs (mDCs) were generated from plate-adherent monocytes as follows: 7.5×10^7 peripheral blood mononuclear cells were seeded into a 75 cm^2 cell culture flask in 15 mL of DC medium [RPMI 1640 VLE (Biochrom) supplemented with 1.5% human serum (Biochrom)] and incubated for 30 min at 38° C and 6.5% CO₂. The flasks were tapped two times, and the suspended cells were collected with the supernatant and used as source for T cell isolation. Plate-adherent cells were washed three times in phosphate-buffered saline and incubated in 15 mL DC medium supplemented with interleukin (IL)-4 (20 ng/ mL) and GM $\bigcup_{r=1}^{\infty}$ F (100 ng/mL) (both PeproTech) for 48 h. AU11 Then, 3 mL of DC medium supplemented with a DC maturation cocktail [GM-CSF (100 ng/mL), IL-4 (20 ng/mL), IL-1 β (10 ng/mL), tumor necrosis factor alpha (10 ng/mL), interferon gamma $(IFN-\gamma)$ (250 ng/mL), PGE2 (250 ng/ mL), poly (I:C) (20 ng/mL), R848 (20 ng/mL) (all Pepro-Tech)] was added for 24 h. The detached cells were harvested and used directly for staining with monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) specific for MHC class II molecules, CD80, CD86 (Cat. No.: 555558, 557227, and 555660; all BD Bioscience), and CD83 (BioLegend).

Generation of EBV antigen-expressing mDCs

EBV antigen-encoding genes were molecularly cloned into the expression plasmid pcDNA3.1(-) (Invitrogen) under the control of the T7 promoter. Plasmids were linearized by restriction enzyme digestion at the 3[']-end of the transgene. Ivt RNA was generated using *in vitro* synthesis of capped RNA followed by poly-A tailing (Ambion) and electroporated into mDCs (GenePulser, 250μ F, 0.35kV ; Bio-Rad). Half of the resulting antigen-expressing mDCs were used directly and the other half was stored at -80° C for later restimulation.

T cell isolation and antigenic stimulation

healthy $EBV⁺$ donors with predetermined MHC I haplotypes after informed consent by Biocoll gradient centri- $ST1$ ► fugation (Biochrom)²⁴ (Supplementary Table S1). CD8⁺ T cells were obtained by negative selection using the human $CD8^+$ T Ce $|U\rangle$ ation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) and cocultured with mDCs expressing EBV full-length antigen LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C, respectively, at an effector:target (E:T) cell ratio of 10:1 in a 24-well plate containing 2 mL/ well of LM. Starting at 72 h after stimulation, $CD8⁺$ T cells were fed every second day with IL-2 (25 U/mL; Proleukin) and IL-7 (5 ng/mL; PromoCell) and split in a ratio of 1:2, if cell density was too high. At day 13 , $CD8⁺$ T cells were restimulated with thawed mDC-presenting EBV antigens, and at day 18, CD8⁺ T cells were analyzed for responses to EBV antigens.

T cells were isolated from the peripheral blood of

Identification of antigen-MHC I-specific T cell responses

To identify EBV antigen-specific T cell responses, a K562 single-MHC I cell library was used.²⁰ In brief, 48 h before the coculture, K562 cells stably expressing the MHC I alleles of the T cell donor as single gene were transiently transfected by electroporation with 10μ g of the pcDNA3.1(-) vector encoding for one of the full-length EBV antigens LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C, respectively, in combination with 10μ g of the pcDNA3.1(-) vector encoding for CD80. After coculturing of CD8⁺ T cells with antigen-presenting K562 cells at an E:T ratio of 5:1 in 96-well plates in $200 \mu L/well$ LM for 20 h, two assays were applied for the identification of antigen-MHC I-specific T cells. First, IFN- γ release into the supernatant was determined using a human IFN- γ enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit according to the manufacture's instruction (BD Biosciences). Second, the expression of the T cell activation marker CD137 was measured using a CD137-specific mAb (BioLegend). Only those T cells that responded in both assays were regarded as antigen MHC I responsive.

Isolation and identification of antigen-specific TCRs

Total RNA was isolated from the fraction of sorted CD8⁺ /CD137⁺ EBV-specific T cells using the RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) and transcribed into cDNA using the SMARTer RACE 5'/3' kit and the \leq -CDS primer A in-AU12 cluded in the kit (Takara Bio). TRA ν and TRBV-specific chain amplification was performed using $5 \mu L$ of $5'$ -RACE cDNA reaction mix, $5 \mu L$ universal primer mix (long for a first PCR and short for a ''nested'' PCR) (all Takara Bio), AU13 \blacktriangleright 10 µL of 5 × Phusion GC buffer, 1 µL dNTP (ea \blacktriangleright 0 mM),

 $0.5 \mu L$ Phusion DNA polymerases (all Invitrogen, Thermo Fisher Scientific), and $0.5 \mu L$ of TCR RACE primers: TRAC-RACE, TRBC-RACE (each 50 pmol/ μ L) and/or

''nested'' PCR-specific primers: TRAC-RACE-deep sequencing, TRBC-RACE-deep sequencing (each 50 pmol/ μ L) (primer sequences will be provided on request), and up to 50 μ L H₂O. RACE-PCR conditions were provided in the SMARTer RACE 5'/3' kit user manual.

TCR- α and TCR- β chains were isolated and sequenced as described.²⁰ TRAV and TRBV sequences of TCR chains, which were dominantly expressed in antigen-MHC I-specific T cells (above 10% of total reads) were combined with codon-optimized murine TRAC and TRBC regions.^{25,26} The individual chimeric TCR- α and TCR- β chains were then molecularly cloned into the retroviral vector MP71. 27 Combined expression of the most abundant TCR- α and TCR- β chains was used for the identification of functional TCR heterodimers. For this, individual TCR- α and TCR- β chain vectors were used to co-transduce primary human T cells. After the coculture of TCR-engineered T cells with the respective antigen-MHC I-expressing K562 cells, the amount of IFN- γ release was measured by ELISA and used to judge the functionality of the TCR. Functional TCR- α and TCR- β chain pairs were then molecularly cloned using a P2A peptide linker in the configuration $5'$ -TCR β -P2A-TCR α -3^{\prime} into the MP71 vector. 27 All transgene constructs were completely codon optimized (GeneArt).25

Production of retroviruses and transduction of cells

 γ -retrovirus particles were generated by transient calcium phosphate transfection of HG820-GALV packaging cells in 6-well plates with 18μ g of MP71 plasmid carrying the different transgenes.²⁷ Forty-eight hours after transfection, virus-containing supernatant was harvested, filtrated through a 0.45 - μ m pore size filter to remove cells and debris, and directly utilized for the transduction of K562, L591, and RPMI 6666 cells. PBLs and CD8⁺T cells $(1 \times 10^6$ per well and 1 mL) were transduced in 24-well nontissue culture plates, precoated with $12.5 \mu g/mL$ of RetroNectin (TaKaRa Bio_{Co}) spinoculation in RPMI 1640 GlutaMAX medium.⁴

Flow cytometry

Surface expression of transgenic TCRs was analyzed utilizing a PE- o \sqrt{PC} -labeled mAb (Biolegend) di-
AU14 rected against the murine constant region of the TCR- β chain. For further T cell characterization, both PE- and APC-labeled anti-CD8 and anti-CD137 mAbs (clone: RPA-T8, 4B4-1, all BioLegend), were used. For the characterization of mDCs, the following mAbs were used: PE-Cy7-labeled anti-CD83 (BioLegend), PElabeled anti-CD80, APC-labeled anti-CD86, and $F[\mathcal{V}]\triangleleft$ AU15 labeled anti-MHC II (HLA-DR) (all BD Biosciences). Fluorescence intensity was measured using a MACS Quant flow cytometer (Miltenyi Biotec). Data were analyzed using FlowJo software (Tree Star).

IFN- γ release assay

TCR-engineered T cells and target cells were cocultured at an E:T ratio of 1:1. After 24 h at 38° C and 6.5% CO₂, culture supernatants were harvested. Secretion of IFN- γ by $CD8⁺$ T cells and PBLs was measured using the OptEIA Human IFN- γ ELISA Kit (BD Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The reagents of the kit were diluted 1:1,000 before use. Standard curves were generated using the lyophilized recombinant human IFN- γ (included in the kit) at a starting concentration of 4,000 or 8,000 pg/mL.

Peptide titration assay

For exogenous peptide pulsing, K562 cells carrying the respective MHC I complex, were loaded with titrating amounts of the corresponding peptide, ranging from 10^{-6} to 10^{-13} M. After 2 h of incubation at 38°C and 5% CO₂, K562 cell were pelleted and resuspended in LM. K562 cells were cocultured with TCR-engineered T cells at an E:T ratio of 1:1. Untransduced and TCR-transduced T cells without stimulation were used as a negative control (MIN), whereas untransduced and TCR-transduced T cells unspecifically stimulated with PMA (Sigma, 5 ng/mL) and ionomycin (Calbiochem, $1 \mu m$) for IFN- γ release served as a positive control (MAX). After 20 h of cultivation at 38°C and 5% $CO₂$, IFN- γ release was determined using ELISA and flow cytometry. All peptides were synthesized by Custom Peptide Synthesis service (JPT Peptide Technologies), providing peptides with a purity >95%.

Cytotoxicity assay

L591 tumor cells expressing both the respective MHC I complex and EBV antigen were seeded into 96-well round bottom plates at a concentration of 5×10^5 /mL. TCRengineered T cells (CD8⁺/TCR⁺) or an equivalent number of untransduced T cells were added at indicated E:T ratios in triplicates and incubated at 38° C for 6h. The number of surviving target cells was determined by flow cytometry using a MACS Quant flow cytometer. Target cells incubated without effector cells were used to measure spontaneous cell death. Triplicate wells were averaged, and the percentage of surviving cells was calculated in relation to the values obtained from the samples treated with untransduced T cells: % specific survival = $100 \times$ (test value)/(average background).

Prediction of immunogenic epitope sequences recognized by EBV-specific TCRs

Sequences of immunogenic epitopes for EBV antigenspecific TCRs were determined using the NetMHCpan4.0 Server (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/NetMHC/).

RESULTS

Generation and identification of EBV-specific T cells

mDCs were prepared from plate-adherent monocytes and characterized by the expression of MHC class II

molecules, CD80, CD83, and CD86 (Supplementary \blacktriangleleft SF1 Fig. S1A). mDCs were transfected with ivt RNA encoding the full-length sequence of the selected EBV antigens LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C, respectively, to ensure unbiased endogenous antigen processing and MHC I expression. To judge the transfection efficiency, a GFP-encoding ivt RNA was electroporated in parallel in all experiments in a separate sample of mDCs in parallel. Because GFP expression in all experiments was >80%, we concluded that the expression of EBV antigens was in a similar range as well (Supplementary Fig. S1B).

After stimulation of autologous $CD8⁺$ T cells of different $EBV⁺$ donors (Supplementary Table S1) for 14 days with antigen-presenting mDCs, an MHC cell library was used to identify antigen-specific T cell responses. To do so, K562 cell lines that expressed the six MHC I complexes of the donor, each as a single allele, were selected from the library. K562 cells were then transfected with the selected EBV antigen-encoding gene and cocultured with expanded EBV-specific T cells. Responding T cells were identified by upregulation of the T cell activation marker CD137 and by the release of IFN- γ , as given in Fig. 1A, B. Here, mDCs were simul- \blacktriangleleft F1 taneously transfected with full-length genes encoding LMP1 and LMP2A. Upregulation of CD137 and release of IFN- γ indicated that EBV-specific antigens were presented to donor T cells by the MHC I molecules A*02:01 and $B*57:01$, respectively Therefore, reactive CD8⁺ T cells were enriched by $F^{\mathcal{D}}$, total RNA was isolated, \triangleleft AU16 and RACE-PCR amplification of TCR-a (TRAV)- and $TCR - \beta$ (TRBV) chain-specific sequences was performed. **Exemplified for the TCR that recognized the EBV anti**gen presented by MHC I B*57:01, next-generation sequencing revealed three dominant TRAV- and TRBV sequences, each covering >10% of all reads (Fig. 1C). To identify the functional TCR- α /TCR- β chain combination, single-chain TCR- α and TCR- β retroviruses were constructed and used to perform combinatorial chain expression in primary human T cells. Figure 1D shows, as indicated by the high amount of IFN- γ secretion, that the combination of the third prominent TCR- α chain (TRAV8-2*01) and the second prominent TCR- β chain (TRBV9*01) (highlighted in Fig. 1D) yielded a functional TCR, subsequently designated as TCR50. \mathbb{Q}_p this combination recognized the full-length LMP1 antigen presented by K562 cells, which carried the relevant MHC I molecule B*57:01. The combination of the most abundant TRAV12-3*01 TCR- α chain with the three most prominent TCR- β chains did not result in the formation of an LMP1-specific TCR, as LMP2A was unspecifically recognized as well. The combination of the second dominant TCR-a chain TRAV19*01 with the three prominent $TCR-\beta$ chains did not reveal the formation of a functional TCR (Fig. 1D).

Figure 1. Detection of T cell response and identification of functional TCR $\alpha\beta$ chain combination. T cells expanded on EBV antigen-presenting DCs were cocultured with K562 cells of an MHC I cell library harboring the respective MHC alleles of the donor. Screening for immunogenic EBV antigen–MHC combinations was performed by (A) analyzing the expression of the T cell activation marker CD137 and (B) determining the amount of secreted IFN- γ by ELISA. **(C)** CD137⁺ T cells responding to K562-MHC-B*57:01 cells were enriched by FACS and used to identify dominant TCR- α and TCR- β chains. Indicated are parts of total reads assigned to each sequence cluster, which has a sequence representative indicated on the y-axis. TCR- α and TCR- β chains with a frequency of >10% were utilized to construct single chain TCR-retroviruses for the identification of the functional TCR. **(D)** K562-MHC-B*57:01 cells were transfected with EBV antigen LMP1- and LMP2A-encoding pcDNA3.1(-), respectively, and cocultured with antigen-reactive T cells carrying TRAV and TRBV sequences with a frequency of >10% in a combinatorial approach. Subsequently, the amount of secreted IFN-y into the supernatant was measured by ELISA and used to identify the functional TCR $\alpha\beta$ chain combination. The TCR chain combination TRAV8-2*01 and TRBV9*01 formed a functional TCR (TCR50) as TCR-engineered T cells specifically recognized one antigen (LMP1) in combination with one MHC I protein (B*57:01), whereas other TCR- α and TCR- β chain combinations resulted in unspecific antigen recognition. In a similar way, the functional TCRx β chain combination was determined for all TCRs of this (TD). MIN: no antigen stimulation,
MAX: unspecific stimulation. DCs, dendritic cells; EBV, Eps

The functional TCR- α /TCR- β chain combination of another EBV LMP1-specific TCR, termed TCR83, was $T1$ identified by the same process (Table 1).

Identification of antigenic peptides recognized by LMP1-specific TCRs

For an in-depth characterization of the LMP1-specific TCR50 and TCR83, the functional chains of each TCR

were re-cloned as a single transgene cassette into the γ retrovirus vector MP71 using a 2A-peptide linker in the gene configuration $TCR\beta$ -P2A-TCR α . TCR retroviruses were used to generate TCR-engineered T cells for the identification of the immunogenic epitopes recognized by the TCRs. For this, full-length LMP1 was C-terminally truncated to generate different gene fragments (LMP1/2, LMP1/1) (Fig. 2A). These fragments were cloned into $\blacktriangleleft F2$

6 DUDANIEC ET AL.

TCR	Recognized Antigen	Recognized Epitope	$\frac{1}{2}$ max. IFN- γ (M)	MHC I	TRAV	TRBV	Recognition of Tumor Cells
01	EBNA3C	OPRAPIRPIPT	6×10^{-8}	$B*07:02$	$26 - 2*01$	$27*01$	
25	EBNA3C	AEGGVGWRHW	6×10^{-7}	$B*44:02$	$8 - 2*01$	$2*01$	
27	EBNA3C	OPRAPIRPIPT	6×10^{-8}	$B*07:02$	$26 - 2*01$	$27*01$	$^{+}$
58	EBNA3C	AEGGVGWRHW	3×10^{-7}	$B*44:02$	$12 - 1*01$	$2*01$	
64	EBNA3C	FRKAQIQGL	7×10^{-6}	$C*06:02$	$27*01$	$28*01$	
50	LMP1	IALYLOONW	3×10^{-8}	$B*57:01$	$8 - 2*01$	$9*01$	$^{+}$
83	LMP1	QQNWWTLLV	2×10^{-9}	$C*15:02$	$1 - 2*01$	$3 - 1*01$	
06	LMP ₂ A	CLGGLLTMV	6×10^{-9}	$A*02:01$	$21*01$	$10-2*02$	$^+$

AU21▶ Table 1. *Summary of T cell receptor features*

AU22 EMP, latent membrane protein; MHC I, major histocompatibility class I; TCR, T cell receptor; T

plasmid vectors, expressed in K562 cells carrying the relevant MHC I molecule (B*57:01 for TCR50, C*15:02 for TCR83), and tested for their ability to present the epitope recognized by the TCRs. TCR-engineered T cells were cocultured with antigen-expressing K562 cells and IFN- γ secretion was determined as a parameter for epitope recognition. Both TCR50 and TCR83 recognized epitopes translated from nucleotides (nt) 316–624 of the coding region of LMP1, locating the epitope in this part of the gene (Fig. 2B, C).

Next, candidate peptides of the corresponding protein region were identified using the epitope prediction algo-

Figure 2. Mapping of the antigenic peptide region recognized by LMP1 specific TCR50 and TCR83. **(A)** Truncated versions (LMP1/2, LMP1/1) of the full-length LMP1 antigen were generated and expressed in K562-MHC-B*57:01 cells (TCR50) and K562-MHC-C*15:02 cells (TCR83), respectively. **(B, C)** The antigen region harboring the immunogenic epitope was identified by measuring the amount of secreted IFN- γ by ELISA and is located between nt 316 and 624 for both TCRs. Data in **(B)** and **(C)** are means of duplicates \pm mean deviation and representative for one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, UT: untransduced T cells. nt, nucleotide.

rithm NetMHCpan4.0 (Fig. 3A, B). Predicted peptides \blacktriangleleft F3 were generated and loaded onto K562 cells carrying the relevant MHC I molecules B*57:01 for TCR50 and C*15:02 for TCR83, respectively, and screened in coculture experiments for their ability to be recognized by TCRengineered T cells. Figure 3C shows that 4 of 13 predicted peptides (highlighted in Fig. 3A) were recognized by TCR50, whereas 1 of 4 peptides was identified for TCR83 (Fig. 3D, highlighted in Fig. 3B). The peptides, which were capable of stimulating the highest amount of IFN- γ secretion, were considered as cognate epitopes of TCR50 and TCR83, respectively. Because the four peptides recognized by TCR50-engineered T cells contained the same core amino acid sequence ALYLQQNW, it is difficult to predict the true epitope of this TCR. However, the nonamer peptide IALYLQQNW was already described as an epitope in the context of MHC I B*57 and B*58 antigen presentation.²⁸ In contrast, the nonamer peptide QQNWWTLLV presented by MHC I C*15:02 and recognized by TCR83 engineered T cells was not known previously (Table 1).

The peptide sensitivity (half maximum IFN- γ release; $1/2$ IFN- γ) of TCR50 and TCR83 was analyzed in peptide titration experiments. For this purpose, peptides representing the cognate epitopes were loaded in titrating amounts onto the appropriate K562 target cells, and the ability of TCR-engineered T cells to recognize their target epitope was assessed by IFN- γ ELISA. TCR50 recognized all three peptides predicted to have a strong binding affinity (SB), although, as expected, with different sensitivity, whereas the peptide ALYLQQNWW predicted to have a weak binding affinity (WB) was recognized to a much lesser extent (Fig. 4A). Untransduced T cells were not able to react with \blacktriangleleft F4 peptide-loaded K562 cells. Based on the peptide sensitivity, the $1/2$ IFN- γ was calculated for the most efficiently recognized peptide, IALYLQQNW, as 3×10^{-8} M (Table 1). $\text{Tr}\left\{\boldsymbol{\omega}\right\}$ pur results indicate that the peptide IALYLQQNW is probably the cognate epitope of TCR50. Of interest, the experimentally measured sensitivity of the different peptides did not precisely correspond to their predicted position in the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0. Peptides IA-LYLQQNW and IIALYLQQNW, which the algorithm placed at the third and fifth position, respectively (Fig. 3A),

EBV-SPECIFIC TCRs FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY 7

83/C*15:02

Figure 3. Identification of the immunogenic epitope of LMP1-specific TCR50 and TCR83. The protein region identified as epitope-positive sequence (LMP1/2 nt 316–624) was used to select for candidate peptides by applying the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0. **(A)** Thirteen peptides, according to their peptide-MHC I binding affinity (bind level) classified as strong and weak binder were predicted by the algorithm and utilized for the identification of the epitope presented by MHC-B*57:01. **(B)** Four peptides were predicted for the identification of the epitope presented by MHC-C*15:02. **(C)** K562-MHC-B*57:01 cells were loaded with the selected peptides (1×10^{-7} M), cocultured with TCR50-engineered T cells, and IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. Four epitopes (highlighted in **A**) with the indicated amino acid sequences were recognized by TCR50-engineered T cells. **(D)** K562-MHC-C*15:02 cells were loaded with the selected peptides and analyzed as described in(**C)**. One epitope (highlighted in **B**) with the indicated amino acid sequence was recognized by TCR83 engineered T cells. Data in (C) and (D) are means of duplicates hean deviation and represent one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, SB: strong binder, WB: weak binder, UT: untransduced T cells.

were more efficiently recognized by TCR50-engineered T cells than peptide IALYLQQNWW, which was placed at AU17▶ the second position. Peptide ALYLQQNWW, based on its relatively low binding affinity of $8\sqrt{\frac{1}{8}}$ nM placed at position 11 of the algorithm and classified as a weak binder, was hardly recognized by TCR50-engineered T cells even at a high peptide concentration of 10^{-7} M.

For TCR83, the peptide QQNWWTLLV was the only epitope, which was recognized by TCR-engineered T cells (1/2 IFN- γ of 2 × 10⁻⁹ M) after coculture with peptideloaded K562-C*15:02 cells (Fig. 4B and Table 1). TCR83 recognized the respective epitope with \sim 10-fold higher sensitivity than TCR50 (2×10^{-9} vs. 3×10^{-8} M), although it is classified as a weak binder.

Identification, isolation, and functional characterization of an EBV LMP2A-specific TCR

The same strategy was applied to isolate an EBV LMP2Aspecific TCR designated as TCR06. After stimulation of autologous $CD8⁺$ T cells from an $EBV⁺$ donor (Supplementary Table S1) for 14 days with full-length LMP2A-presenting mDCs, T cells were cocultured with LMP2A-presenting K562 cells carrying the six MHC I complexes of the T cell donor. Thereby, A*02:01 was identified as the antigenpresenting MHC I complex (Fig. 1A, B). After identification of the functional TCR- α /TCR- β chain combination of TCR06 (Table 1) and the generation of TCR retroviruses, truncations of the full-length LMP2A gene were utilized to determine the antigenic peptide recognized by the TCR (Fig. 5A). The $\blacktriangleleft F5$

Figure 4. Peptide titration of LMP1-specific TCR50- and TCR83-engineered T cells. Untransduced, TCR50-transduced T cells **(A)** and TCR83-transduced T cells **(B)** were cocultured with K562-MHC-B*57:01 cells (TCR50) and K562-MHC-C*15:02 cells (TCR83), respectively, loaded with titrated amounts of the indicated peptides or no peptide, and the amount of secreted IFN- γ was determined by ELISA. Data are representative for one of two donors.

truncated gene fragments were expressed in K562-A*02:01 cells, which were subsequently cocultured with TCR06 engineered T cells. Indicated by the secretion of IFN- γ , it is evident that the antigenic peptide is located between nt 1006 and 1494 (Fig. 5B). The epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0 revealed 14 peptides that could be considered as a cognate epitope of TCR06 (Fig. 5C). When TCR06-engineered T cells were cocultured with individually peptide-loaded K562-A*02:01 cells, the two overlapping peptides MCLGGLLTMV (tenmer, classified as SB) and the N-terminal truncated nonamer CLGGLLTMV (classified as WB) induced an IFN- γ response (Fig. 5D). Functional analysis revealed a similar peptide sensitivity (1/2 IFN- γ 6 × 10⁻⁹ M) of TCR06-engineered T cells to MCLGGLLTMV and CLGGLLTMV, respectively (Fig. 5E). Thus, TCR06 recognized the described nonamer CLGGLLTMV and the N-terminal extension MCLGG LLTMV when presented by MHC I $A*02:01.^{29}$ However, we did not analyze whether the N-terminal methionine is cleaved off before loading the peptide into the binding grove.

Identification, isolation, and functional characterization of EBV EBNA3C-specific TCRs

Next, we generated EBNA3C-specific TCRs. Again, mDCs were transfected with full-length EBNA3C antigenencoding ivt RNA and cocultured with autologous $CD8⁺ T$ cells of three EBV⁺ donors (Supplementary Table S1). After the coculture of T cells with EBNA3C-presenting K562 cells carrying the MHC I complexes of the different T cell donors, we identified B*07:02, B*44:02, and C*06:02 (Supplementary Fig. S2) as antigen-presenting MHC $I \blacktriangleleft$ SF2 complexes. Functional TCR- α /TCR- β chain combinations were determined for B*07:02-restricted TCR01 and TCR27, B*44:02-restricted TCR25 and TCR58 as well as for the C*06:02-restricted TCR64 (Table 1). The two B*07:02 restricted TCR01 and TCR27 have identical TRAV- and TRBV gene segments but differ in their CDR3 amino acid composition. The two B*44:02-restricted TCRs 25 and 58 share the same V gene segment TRBV2*01 but exhibit different TRAV segments. Whereas the TCR25 α -chain carries the V segment TRAV8-2*01, the TCR58 α -chain possesses TRAV12-1*01 (Table 1). In addition, both TCRs are different in the amino acid composition of their CDR3 regions.

Truncated versions of the EBNA3C antigen were generated (Fig. 6A) to identify the gene segment recognized \blacktriangleleft F6 by the different TCRs in coculture experiments of TCRengineered T cells and K562 cells carrying the relevant MHC I complex (Fig. 6B–F). The antigenic peptides are encoded between nt 2071 and 2979 for TCR01 and TCR27, between nt 1 and 567 for TCR25 and TCR58, and between nt 568 and 1559 for TCR64. Using the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0, we identified 27 potential epitopes each for TCR01 and TCR27, both restricted to MHC I B*07:02 (Supplementary Fig. S3A), \blacktriangleleft SF3 whereas four potential epitopes were identified for TCR25 and TCR58, which were restricted to MHC I B*44:02 (Supplementary Fig. S3B). In addition, 27 potential epitopes were predicted for TCR64 restricted to MHC I $C^*06:02$ (Supplementary Fig. S3C). \Box equently, TCRengineered T cells were cocultured with the corresponding peptide-loaded K562 cells expressing the relevant MHC I complex. IFN- γ secretion of EBNA3C TCR-engineered T cells revealed peptides SPQPRAPIRPIP and QPRA-PIRPIP (both SB), and QPRAPIRPIPT (WB) as cognate epitopes of TCR01 (Fig. 7A). Similarly, peptides QPRA- \blacktriangleleft F7 PIRPI, SPQPRAPIRPI, SPQPRAPIRPIP, PQPRAPIRPI, and QPRAPIRPIP (all SB), and QPRAPIRPIPT, as well as QPRAPIRP (both WB), can be considered potential ϵ topes of TCR27 (Fig. 7B). Peptides of the potential $\boxed{\mathcal{D}}$ NA3C epitopes were loaded in serial dilutions onto K562 target cells and the ability of TCR-engineered T cells to recognize their target epitope was determined by IFN- γ secretion. Figure 7C shows that TCR01-engineered T cells were able to recognize the NetMHCpan4.0-categorized SB peptide SPQPRAPIRPIP and the WB peptide QPRA-PIRPIPT with a similar 1/2 IFN- γ of 6 \times 10⁻⁸ M and that the titration curves between 10^{-8} and 10^{-11} M were identical. Another SB peptide QPRAPIRPIP was recognized to a considerably lower extent.

Figure 5. Epitope mapping to identify the antigenic peptide of the LMP2A-specific TCR06 and functional analysis. For TCR06, the restriction element MHC-A*02:01 was identified by using the K562 MHC I cell library (Fig. 1A, B). **(A)** Truncated versions (LMP2A/2, LMP2A/1) of the full-length LMP2A antigen were generated and expressed in K562-MHC-A*02:01 cells. **(B)** The antigen region harboring the immunogenic epitope was identified by measuring the amount of secreted IFN-₇ into the supernatant by ELISA and is located between nt 1006 and 1494. (C) The protein region identified as epitope-positive sequence was used to select for candidate peptides applying the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0 for MHC-A*02:01. Fourteen peptides according to their peptide-MHC I binding affinity (bind level) classified as strong and weak binder were predicted. **(D)** K562-MHC-A*02:01 cells were loaded with indicated peptides (1 × 10⁻⁷ M), cocultured with TCR06-engineered T cells, and IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. Two epitopes (highlighted in C) with the indicated amino acid sequences were recognized by TCR06-engineered T cells. **(E)** K562-MHC-A*02:01 cells loaded with titrated amounts of the indicated peptides or no peptide were cocultured with TCR06-engineered T cells and the amount of secreted IFN-₇ was determined by ELISA. Data in **(D)** and **(E)** are representative for one of two donors. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, SB: strong binder, WB: weak binder, UT: untransduced T cells.

Exactly the same three peptides, although with different affinity compared with TCR01, were recognized by TCR27-engineered T cells (Fig. 7D). Here, the two peptides QPRAPIRPIP and QPRAPIRPIPT induced a distinct T cell response (1/2 IFN- γ 6 × 10⁻⁸ M), which resulted in nearly identical titration curves. The peptide SPQPRA-PIRPIP was recognized to a lesser extent and the re-

maining peptides were hardly recognized. Because all epitopes share the same core amino acid sequence, QPRAPIRPIP, it is difficult to specify the accurate sequence of the cognate epitope. We did not investigate whether the N-terminal serine and proline are cleaved off before loading the peptide SPQPRAPIRPIP into the binding grove. However, proline as the C-terminal amino

Figure 6. Mapping of the antigenic peptide regions of EBNA3C-specific TCRs. **(A)** Truncated versions (EBNA3C/3, EBNA3C/2, and EBNA3C/1) of the fulllength EBNA3C antigen were generated and expressed in K562 cells carrying the TCR-relevant MHC I protein: **(B, C)** MHC-B*07:02 (TCR01, TCR27), **(D, E)** MHC-B*44:02 (TCR25, TCR58), and (F) MHC-C*06:02 (TCR64). Data (B-F) are means of duplicates±mean deviation and represent one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, UT: untransduced T cells.

acid of an epitope is rather unusual. Therefore, we suggest the tenmer QPRAPIRPIPT as potential epitope of TCR01 and TCR27.

TCR25 and TCR58, which were able to react with peptides presented by B*44:02, recognized the peptide F8 AEGGVGWRHW classified as SB (Fig. 8A, B and Supplementary Fig. S3B). Peptide titration experiments revealed a 1/2 IFN- γ of 6×10^{-7} M for TCR25 and 3×10^{-7} M for TCR58, respectively (Fig. 8C, D). Of interest, it was reported that EBNA3C-specific T cell clones lysed more efficiently LCLs loaded with the N-terminal truncated peptide EGGVGWRHW in comparison with LCLs loaded with the peptide AEGGVGWRHW and other Nand C-terminal amino acid extensions. Unfortunately, a peptide titration of all peptides was not performed.³⁰ We

show that a titration experiment of both peptides clearly revealed a substantial higher peptide sensitivity of TCR25-engineered T cells to AEGGVGWRHW (1/2 IFN- γ of 6×10^{-7} M) than to EGGVGWRHW (1/2 IFN- γ of 5×10^{-6} M) (Supplementary Fig. S4). The similar result \blacktriangleleft SF4 was obtained using TCR58-engineered T cells cocultured with either EGGVGWRHW- or AEGGVGWRHW-loaded K562-B*44:02 cells. Thus, further analyses have to provide evidence which peptide represents the cognate epitope of TCR25 and TCR58.

Finally, TCR64 was able to recognize only the peptide FRKAQIQGL (classified as SB) presented by the MHC I complex $C*06:02$ (Fig. 9A, B). Compared with all $\blacktriangleleft F9$ other EBNA3C-specific TCRs, the $1/2$ IFN- γ of TCR64 $(7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M})$ was relatively low (Table 1).

Figure 7. Identification of the immunogenic epitopes of EBNA3C-specific TCR01 and TCR27 and determination of peptide sensitivity. Twenty-seven peptides were predicted by the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0 27 as potential binder for **(A)** TCR01 and **(B)** TCR27 (Supplement Fig. 3A) and loaded on K562-MHC-B^{*}07:02 cells (1×10⁻⁷ M). Cells were cocultured with (A) TCR01- and (B) TCR27-engineered T cells, respectively, and IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. Epitopes (highlighted in **A** and **B**) with the indicated amino acid sequences were recognized by TCR-engineered T cells. **(C, D)** Untransduced, **(C)** TCR01-transduced T cells and **(D)** TCR27-transduced T cells were cocultured with K562-MHC-B*07:02 cells loaded with titrated amounts of the indicated peptides or no peptide and the amount of IFN-y secretion was determined by ELISA. Data in (A) and (B) are means of duplicates \pm mean deviation and represent one of two independent experiments. Data in **(C)** and **(D)** are representative for one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, UT: untransduced T cells.

Figure 8. Identification of the immunogenic epitopes of EBNA3Cspecific TCR25 and TCR58 and determination of peptide sensitivity. **(A, B)** For the selection of candidate peptides, the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0 was utilized and predicted four peptides as potential binder for **(A)** TCR25 and **(B)** TCR58 (Supplement Fig. 3B). K562-MHC-B*44:02 cells were loaded with indicated peptides (1×10^{-7} M) and cocultured with **(A)** TCR25- and **(B)** TCR58-engineered T cells, respectively. IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. The epitope (highlighted in A and **B**) with the indicated amino acid sequence was recognized by TCRengineered T cells. **(C, D)** Untransduced, **(C)** TCR25- and **(D)** TCR58 engineered T cells were co-cultured with K562-MHC-B*07:02 cells loaded with titrated amounts of the indicated peptide or no peptide and the amount of IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. Data in **(A)** and **(B)** are means of duplicates \pm mean deviation and represent one of two independent experiments. Data in **(C)** and **(D)** are representative for one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, UT: untransduced T cells.

TCR-engineered T cells recognize and kill tumor cells in vitro, which naturally present EBV antigens

Next, the capability of the different TCRs to recognize their relevant epitopes was analyzed. For this, a panel of tumor cells and LCLs was utilized, which either were naturally equipped with EBV antigens and the relevant MHC I molecule or had been transfected with the antigen and/or the proper MHC I allele. Exemplified by one TCR of each antigenic specificity (LMP1/TCR50, LMP2A/ $F10$ TCR06, and EBNA3C/TCR64), Fig. 10A–C shows that

TCR-engineered T cells secreted IFN- γ after coculture

with naturally antigen-expressing cells. However, it is obvious that cells that were transfected with the antigen, the MHC I allele, or both, mostly secreted higher amounts of IFN- γ in comparison with those cells that expressed both the antigen and the MHC I complex at physiological levels. The same result holds true for the other EBV-specific TCRs. Again, both antigen- and MHC I-transfected cells, as well as naturally antigen⁺/MHC $I⁺$ cells, were recognized by TCR-engineered T cells, but T cells secreted different amounts of IFN- γ (Supplementary Fig. S5A–E). <SF5

To further characterize EBV TCR-engineered T cells for their potential to kill tumor cells, we selected TCR50 (LMP1-specific), TCR06 (LMP2A-specific), and TCR64 (EBNA3C-specific) from our TCR panel and cocultured TCR-engineered T cell with L591 tumor cells in different E:T ratios. Figure 11 shows that each TCR-engineered $T \blacktriangleleft$ F11 cell population was able to kill tumor cells. At an E:T ratio of 5:1, TCR50- and TCR06-engineered T cells killed \sim 25% of L591 cells, whereas no killing of tumor cells was observed using TCR64-engineered T cells. At an E:T ratio of 10:1, most effective cell killing was achieved with the LMP2-specific TCR06-engineered T cells (\sim 50%) followed by the LMP1-specific TCR50-engineered T cells (30%) and the EBNA3C-specific TCR64-engineered T cells (\sim 15%). Of interest, even at an E:T ratio of 1:10, TCR06-engineered T cells showed a slight killing of L591 tumor cells. This result seems to correlate with the different affinity of the three TCRs (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

For several decades, T cells have been equipped with new antigen specificities by TCR gene transfer. Initial problems, such as nonefficient transgene expression or formation of mispaired TCRs, were solved and TCRengineered T cells became a valuable tool for TCR gene therapy in clinical studies. 31 However, an issue that still hampers the success of TCR gene therapy is the identification of potent TCRs that efficiently target pMHC complexes exclusively expressed by cancer cells. Several approaches have been used to generate peptide-specific CTLs as a source for the isolation of TCR-encoding genes. These include (1) priming of autologous or allogeneic T cells with selected peptides, $32-34$ (2) generation of T cells in HLA-A*02:01 transgenic mice that recognize human target antigens, $35,36$ and (3) utilization of transgenic mice carrying the human TCR repertoire restricted to HLA molecules.^{37,38} All these approaches, on the one hand, are successfully used for the generation of antigen-specific T cells clones and the isolation of TCR genes but, on the other hand, are also accompanied by various limitations.

We used our recently established approach for the identification, isolation, and characterization of $TCRs.²⁰$ This enabled us to isolate EBV antigen-specific TCRs in an unbiased manner, meaning in the absence of preselected

Figure 9. Identification of the immunogenic epitope of EBNA3C-specific TCR64 and determination of peptide sensitivity. **(A)** For the selection of candidate peptides, the epitope prediction algorithm NetMHCpan4.0 was utilized and predicted 27 peptides as potential binder for TCR64 (Supplement Fig. 3C). K562-MHC- $C^*06:02$ cells were loaded with indicated peptides (1×10^{-7} M) and cocultured with TCR64-engineered T cells. IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. The epitope (highlighted in **A**) with the indicated amino acid sequence was recognized by TCR64-engineered T cells. **(B)** Untransduced and TCR64-engineered T cells were cocultured with K562-MHC-C*06:02 cells loaded with titrated amounts of the indicated peptide or no peptide, and the amount of IFN- γ secretion was determined by ELISA. Data in **(A)** are means of duplicates – mean deviation and represent one of two independent experiments. Data in **(B)** are representative for one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, UT: untransduced T cells.

target epitopes and MHC binding complexes. The antigenpresenting DCs themselves select for the processing and presentation of the most suited epitope of a given antigen and the appropriate MHC I complex. Therefore, the pMHC combination should be immunological relevant and recognized by T cells with proper affinity for TCR gene therapy. Because we used exclusively $EBV⁺$ donors, the T cells are most probably reactivated from the T cell memory pool. By using this approach, we eliminated two possible disadvantages concerning the isolation of potent TCRs for immunotherapy. First, currently available software algorithms do not precisely predict which pMHC combination would be able to induce a strong T cell response and allow the isolation of high-affinity TCRs. In this study, most of our EBV

antigen-specific TCRs (except TCR25 and TCR58) recognized epitopes, which were not ranked at the highest positions in the corresponding NetMHCpan4.0 prediction algorithm. This was not only true for the rather rare MHC I proteins B*07:02, B*57:01, C*06:02, and C*15:02 but was also evident for the broadly distributed MHC I protein A*02:01. Therefore, peptide prediction algorithms like NetMHCpan4.0 or others can be considered only as helpful tools to select and restrict for a number of possible candidate epitopes, which subsequently have to be thoroughly analyzed. Second, we excluded the possibility that a database predicted peptide is not properly endogenously processed, and therefore cannot serve as a suitable target antigen. This was previously shown for an epitope of the fusion protein 14 DUDANIEC ET AL.

Figure 10. EBV antigen-specific TCRs recognize cancer cells. **(A)** LMP1 specific TCR50-, **(B)** LMP2A-specific TCR06-, and **(C)** EBNA3C-specific TCR64-engineered T cells were cocultured with indicated EBV⁺ cell lines and LCLs, respectively, which naturally expressed both the respective MHC restriction element and the EBV-specific epitope or in which either the MHC complex or the antigen or both were transfected. Secretion of IFN- γ into the supernatant was determined by ELISA. Data are means of duplicates \pm mean deviation and represent one of two independent experiments. MIN: no antigen stimulation, MAX: unspecific stimulation, UT: untransduced AU25 \triangleright T cells, \bigcirc reaturally processed MHC and antigen, (+) transfection of MHC,

TEL-AML1, an important transforming factor in leukemogenesis. Here, a nonamer peptide of the fusion region of TEL-AML1 was described as immunogenic in an MHC I A*02:01-restricted manner. However, although a specific T cell response against this peptide was induced, the defined epitope was not endogenously processed and hence not applicable as a target for TCR gene therapy.³⁹

However, a system based on the antigenic stimulation of autologous T cells is also not without limitations, which have to be considered for the isolation of TCRs to achieve a therapeutic success. T cells equipped with high-affinity TCRs recognizing TAAs are eliminated by thymic selection to prevent autoimmunity. Thus, usually only T cells with low-affinity TCRs are usually released into the periphery, which do not possess the optimal pMHC affinity to be used as candidates for successful TCR gene therapy.^{34,38} It may also be difficult to use our approach for the isolation of TCRs that recognize epitopes of mutated TSAs, because the frequency of such T cells may be too low to $\sqrt{\frac{1}{x}}$ e sufficient material.

To circumvent these disadvantages, we selected viral antigens as targets that are associated with diseases and represent TSAs. Thereby, we avoid the potential risk of on-target/off-tumor toxicity as a potential side effect of TCR gene therapy.⁴⁰

Among viruses that are associated with different diseases, including the induction of cancer or the maintenance of the malignant cell phenotype, we selected EBV .⁷⁻¹¹ EBV-associated malignancies are categorized according to the latency gene expression profile.⁸ In HL, GC, NPC, and some NK cell and T cell lymphomas LMP1, LMP2, and EBNA1 are expressed (type II EBV latency), whereas in PTLD (type III EBV latency) nearly the complete number of EBV latency antigens including LMP1, LMP2, and the EBNA3 family is expressed. In previous studies, it has been shown that diseases, which are caused by EBV, are

Figure 11. EBV-specific TCR-engineered T cells kill cancer cells. LMP1-specific TCR50-, LMP2A-specific TCR06-, and EBNA3C-specific TCR64-engineered T cells were co-cultured with L591 EBV-positive tumor cells, which naturally express LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C but were transfected with the respective MHC I allele. At indicated E:T cell ratios triplicate wells were averaged and the percentage of surviving cells was calculated in relation to the values obtained from the samples treated with untransduced T cells: % specific survival=100 x (test value)/(average background). Data are means of triplicates ± mean deviation of one out of two independent experiments. UT: untransduced T cells.

usually cleared by immune cells, wherein CTLs play the most critical role.⁴ Subsequently, EBV-specific T cells were adoptively transferred to treat virus-associated diseases or to control the reactivation of EBV after allogenic bone marrow transplantation. $41-44$ However, the application of EBV-specific TCR-engineered T cells for adoptive transfer was until now not reported.

In our study, we isolated and characterized eight EBV antigen-specific TCRs, which recognized epitopes of LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C, respectively. Among these TCRs, TCR83 recognized a not yet described LMP1 epitope (QQNWWTLLV) restricted by MHC I C*15:02. In some cases, the TCRs we isolated and characterized were novel, but their recognized epitopes had previously been identified in response to CTL clones (*e.g.*, TCR01, TCR25, TCR27, TCR50, and TCR58). In another case, we identified a TCR (TCR06) that harbors a different TRAV/TRBV composition including CDR3 region compared with the published TCRs recognizing the LMP2A-specific MHC I A*02:01-restricted epitopes CLGGLLTMV or SLGGLLTM $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ^{16,19} and shows a very high pMHC affinity (Table 1). \bullet hterest, the EBNA3Cspecific MHC I C*06:02-restricted epitope FRKAQIQGL, which we identified as the ligand for TCR64, was previously shown to be also presented by other MHC I proteins like B*08:01, B*14:02, B*27:02, B*27:04, B*27:05, and $B*39:01⁴⁵$ This is not unusual as it was shown for >240 well-defined EBV and HIV epitopes. These viral epitopes were analyzed in 100 individuals regardless of their MHC I type. Approximately 50% of all detected responses were observed in the absence of the originally reported restricting MHC I allele, whereas only 3% of epitopes were recognized exclusively in the presence of their original MHC I allele.⁴⁶

Based on our approach for TCR isolation, the EBV LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C epitopes were presented by a variety of different MHC I alleles, indicating that mDCs transfected with the full-length antigen indeed selected for the most suitable MHC I to present the best suited epitope to T cells. All TCRs and the resultant TCR-engineered T cells recognized naturally processed and presented epitopes and were able to lyse antigen-expressing LCLs and tumor cells.

In addition, stimulation of T cells using one mDC sample primed with more than one antigen allowed the isolation of TCRs specific for different pMHC combinations in one experiment, as we have shown for TCR06 (LMP2A/A*02:01) and TCR50 (LMP1/B*57:01). Thus, our method allows the identification of different TCRs that recognize different antigens in the context of different MHC I proteins in a relatively short time. This is a requirement for the generation of an EBV-specific TCR library, which would provide a valuable source for the rapid

identification of EBV-specific T cells for TCR gene therapy. Moreover, the availability of TCRs targeting either different epitopes of one EBV-specific antigen or different epitopes on several EBV antigens, respectively, is a prerequisite for a combinatorial TCR gene therapy. Such kind of therapy could increase the efficacy of TCR gene therapy or could overcome problems arising from the occurrence of antigen-loss variants, which make tumor cells resistant to antigen-based immunotherapies.

In summary, we described a panel of novel TCRs that recognize EBV epitopes of LMP1, LMP2A, and EBNA3C. TCR-engineered T cells efficiently recognized antigen-expressing LCLs and tumor cells and displayed potent cytotoxicity. Because all TCRs were generated in an unbiased setting concerning epitope selection and MHC I presentation, the resultant TCR-engineered T cells should be valuable tools for immunotherapy of EBVassociated diseases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank F. Lorenz (MDC) for his initial support in the project, A. Grybowski, J. Hauchwitz, C. Hesse, M. Naschke (all MDC), K. Hummel (Humboldt-University Berlin) for their excellent technical assistance, and H.-P. Rahn (MDC) for support with FACS. The authors are thankful to T. Blankenstein (MDC) and A. Moosmann (Helmholtz Zentrum Munich) for valuable suggestions and critical discussions on the article.

AUTHOR DISCLOSURE

W.U. is an inventor on a patent covering the TCR isolation platform approach used in this study. The MDC applied for a patent on the TCRs described in this study where K.D. and W.U. are named as inventors. No competing financial interests exist for W.U. K.D., K.W., and E.N.

FUNDING INFORMATION

This work was supported by grants of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (Sonderforschungsbereich TR36), the Berlin Institute of Health and the Wilhelm Sander-Stiftung to W.U.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary Figure S1 Supplementary Figure S2 Supplementary Figure S3 Supplementary Figure S4 Supplementary Figure S5 Supplementary Table S1

16 DUDANIEC ET AL.

REFERENCES

- 1. Hinrichs CS, Restifo NP. Reassessing target antigens for adoptive T-cell therapy. Nat Biotechnol 2013;31:999–1008.
- 2. Blankenstein T, Leisegang M, Uckert W, et al. Targeting cancer-specific mutations by T cell receptor gene therapy. Curr Opin Immunol 2015;33: 112–119.
- 3. Vogelstein B, Papadopoulos N, Velculescu VE, et al. Cancer genome landscape. Science 2013; 339:1546–1558.
- 4. Moss DJ, Burrows SR, Khanna R, et al. Immune surveillance against Epstein-Barr virus. Semin Immunol 1991;4:97–104.
- 5. Crawford DH, Macsween, KF, Higgins, CD et al. A cohort study among university students: identification of risk factors for Epstein-Barr virus seroconversion and infectious mononucleosis. Clin Infect Dis 2006;43:276–282.
- 6. Bar-Or A, Pender MP, Khanna R, et al. Epstein-Barr virus in multiple sclerosis: theory and emerging immunotherapies. Trends Mol Med 2020;26:296– 310.
- 7. Taylor GS, Long HM, Brooks JM, et al. The immunology of Epstein-Barr virus-induced disease. Annu Rev Immunol 2015;33:787–821.
- 8. Farrell PJ. Epstein-Barr virus and cancer. Annu Rev Pathol 2018;14:29–53.
- 9. Shannon-Lowe C, Rickinson A. The global landscape of EBV-associated tumors. Front Oncol 2019;9:713.
- 10. Young LS, Rickinson AB. Epstein-Barr virus: 40 years on. Nat Rev 2004;4:757–768.
- 11. Young LS, Yap LF, Murray PG. Epstein-Barr virus: more than 50 years old and still providing surprises. Nat Rev Cancer 2016;16:789– 802.
- 12. Orentas RJ, Roskopf SJ, Nolan, GP, et al. Retroviral transduction of a T cell receptor specific for an Epstein-Barr virus-encoded peptide. Clin Immunol 2001;98:220–228.
- 13. Jurgens LA, Khanna R, Weber J, et al. Transduction of primary lymphocytes with Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) latent membrane protein-specific T-cell receptor induces lysis of virus-infected cells: a novel strategy for the treatment of Hodgkin's disease and nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Clin Immunol 2006;26:22–32.
- 14. Hart DP, Xue S-A, Thomas S, et al. Retroviral transfer of a dominant TCR prevents surface expression of a large portion of the endogenous TCR repertoire in human cells. Gene Ther 2008;15: 625–631.
- 15. Simpson AA, Mohammed F, Salim M, et al. Structural and energetic evidence for highly peptide-specific tumor antigen targeting via-allo MHC restriction. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2011; 108:21176–21181.
- 16. Yang D, Shao Q, Sun H, et al. Evaluation of Epstein-Barr virus latent membrane protein 2 specific T-cell receptors driven by T-cell specific promoters using lentiviral vector. Clin Dev Immunol 2011;2011:1–10.
- 17. Zheng Y, Parsonage G, Zhuang X, et al. Human leukocyte antigen (HLA) A*1101-restricted Epstein-Barr virus-specific T-cell receptor gene transfer to target nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Cancer Immunol Res 2015;3:1138–1147.
- 18. Cho H-I, Kim U-H, Shin AR, et al. A novel Epstein-Barr virus-latent membrane protein-1-specific T-cell receptor for TCR gene therapy. Br J Cancer 2018;118:534–545.
- 19. $\sqrt{2}$ Molloy P, et al. T cell receptors. United $\sqrt{2}$ AU18 States patent application publication, Pub. No.: US 2016/0199479 A1.
- 20. Lorenz FKM, Ellinger C, Kieback E, et al. Unbiased identification of T cell receptors targeting immunodominant peptide-MHC complexes for T cell receptor immunotherapy. Hum Gene Ther 2017;28: 1158–1168.
- 21. Moore GE, Grace JT, Jr, Citron P, et al. Leukocyte cultures of patients with leukemia and lymphomas. N Y State J Med 1966;66:2757– 2764.
- 22. Diehl V, Kirchner HH, Burrichter H, et al. Characteristics of Hodgkin's disease-derived cell lines. Cancer Treat Rep 1982;66:615–632.
- 23. Hennig K, Raasch L, Kolbe C, et al. HEK293-based production platform for γ -retroviral (SIN-) vectors: application for safe and efficient transfer of COL7A1 cDNA. Hum Gene Ther Clin Dev 2014;25: 218–228.
- 24. Sommermeyer D, Conrad H, Krönig H, et al. NY-ESO-1 antigen-reactive T cell receptors exhibit diverse therapeutic capability. Int J Cancer 2013; 132:1360–1367.
- 25. Scholten KBJ. Kramer D, Kueter EWM, et al. Codon modification of T cell receptors allows enhanced functional expression in transgenic human T cells. Clin Immunol 2006;119:135– 145.
- 26. Cohen CJ, Zhao Y, Zheng Z, et al. Enhanced antitumor activity of murine-human hybrid T-cell receptor (TCR) in human lymphocytes is associated with improved pairing and TCR/CD3 stability. Cancer Res 2006;66:8878–8886.
- 27. Leisegang M, Engels B, Meyerhuber P, et al. Enhanced functionality of T cell receptor-redirected T cells is defined by the transgene cassette. J Mol Med 2008;86:573–583.
- 28. Duraiswamy J, Burrows JM, Bharadwaj M, et al. Ex vivo analysis of T-cell responses to Epstein-Barr virus-encoded oncogene latent membrane protein 1 reveals highly conserved epitope sequences in virus isolates from diverse geographic regions. J Virol 2003;77: 7401–7410.
- 29. Lee SP, Thomas WA, Murray RJ, et al. HLA A2.1 restricted cytotoxic T cells recognizing arrange of Epstein-Barr virus isolates through a defined epitope in latent membrane protein LMP2. J Virol 1993;76:7428–7435.
- 30. Morgan SM, Wilkinson GWG, Floettmann E, et al. A recombinant adenovirus expressing an Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) target antigen can selectively reactivate rare components of EBV cytotoxic T-lymphocyte memory in vitro. J Virol 1996;70: 2394–2402.
- 31. Chandran SS, Klebanoff CA. T cell receptor-based cancer immunotherapy: emerging efficacy and pathways of resistance. Immunol Rev 2019;290: 127–147.
- 32. Sepen MJW, van der Burg SH, et al. Cir- AU18 hting tolerance to a human MDM2-derived tumor antigen by TCR gene transfer. Int J Cancer 1997;72:1122–1128.
- 33. Sadovnikova E, Stauss HJ. Peptide-specific cytotoxic T lymphocytes restricted by nonself major histocompatibility complex class I molecules: reagents for tumor immunotherapy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1996;12:13114–13118.
- 34. Wilde S, Sommermeyer D, Frankenberger B, et al. Dendritic cells pulsed with RNA encoding allogeneic MHC and antigen induce T cells with superior anti-tumor activity and higher TCR functional avidity. Blood 2009;114:2131– 2139.
- 35. Stanislawski T, Voss RH, Lotz C, et al. Circumventing tolerance to a human MDM2-derived tumor antigen by TCR gene transfer. Nat Immunol 2001;2:962–970.
- 36. Johnson LA, Morgan RA, Dudley ME, et al. Gene therapy with human and mouse T-cell receptors mediates cancer regression and targets normal tissues expressing cognate antigen. Blood 2009; 114:535–546.
- 37. Li L-P, Lampert JC, Chen X, et al. Transgenic mice with a diverse human T cell antigen receptor repertoire. Nat Med 2010;16:1029–1034.
- 38. Obenaus M, Leitão C, Leisegang M, et al. Identification of human T-cell receptors with optimal affinity to cancer antigens using antigen-negative humanized mice. Nat Biotechnol 2015;33:402– 407.
- 39. Popović J, Li L-P, Kloetzel PM, et al. The only proposed T cell epitope derived from the TEL-AML1 translocation is not naturally processed. Blood 2011;118:946–954.
- 40. Hinrichs CS, Restifo NP. Reassessing target antigens for adoptive T-cell therapy. Nat Biotechnol 2013;31:999–1008.
- 41. Louis CU, Straathof K, Bollard CM, et al. Adoptive transfer of EBV-specific T cells results in sustained clinical responses in patients with locoregional nasopharyngeal carcinoma. J Immunother 2010;33:983–990.

EBV-SPECIFIC TCRs FOR IMMUNOTHERAPY 17

- 42. Heslop HE, Ng CY, Li C, et al. Long-term restoration of immunity against Epstein-Barr virus infection by adoptive transfer of gene-modified virusspecific T lymphocytes. Nat Med 1996;2:551–555.
- 43. Heslop HE, Rooney CM. Adoptive cellular immunotherapy for EBV lymphoproliferative disease. Immunol Rev 1997;157:217–222.
- 44. Riddell SR, Greenberg PD. T cell therapy of human CMV and EBV infection in immunocompromised hosts. Rev Med Virol 1997;7:181–192.
- $\frac{1}{\sqrt{16}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}}$
- 46. Frahm N, Yusin K, Suscovich TJ, et al. Extensive HLA class I allele promiscuity among

viral CTL epitopes. Eur J Immunol 2007;37: 2419–2433.

45. FR_{CAD}IL epitope—Immune Epitope Database Beceived for publication October 20, 2020; < AU20 accepted after revision February 25, 2021.

Published online: March 1, 2021.

AUTHOR QUERY FOR HUM-2020-283-VER9-DUDANIEC_1P

- AU1: Please note that gene symbols in any article should be formatted as per the gene nomenclature. Thus, please make sure that gene symbols, if any in this article, are italicized.
- AU2: Please identify (highlight or circle) all authors' surnames for accurate indexing citations.
- AU3: Please confirm corresponding author's address.
- AU4: Please provide a short tweet (110 characters, including spaces) about your article that we can release upon publication (optional). Please e-mail the tweet to Jennifer Gatti at Liebert's Marketing Department at jgatti@liebertpub.com Please include your manuscript ID number (hum-2020-283) in the subject heading of your e-mail when responding to Ms. Gatti.
- AU5: The Publisher requests for readability that no paragraph exceeds 15 typeset lines. Please check for long paragraphs and divide where needed.
- AU6: Please define CTLs.
- AU7: Both "B-lymphoblastoid cell lines" and "B-lymphoblastoid cells" have been used for acronym "LCLs." Please fix for consistency.
- AU8: Include IRB approval or waiver statement in the Materials and Methods section. The Clinical Trial Registration number, if applicable, should be included at the end of the abstract.
- AU9: Both ''B-lymphoblastoid cell lines'' and ''B-lymphoblastoid cells'' have been used for acronym ''LCLs.'' Please fix for consistency.
- AU10: Please expand ''MEM.''
- AU11: Please define "GM-CSF."
- AU12: Please define "TRAV and TRBV."
- AU13: Please expand "dNTP."
- AU14: Please define "PE and APC."
- AU15: Please expand "FITC."
- AU16: Please define "FACS."
- AU17: Please check value "853,78 nM" in the sentence "Peptide ALY...."
- AU18: In Ref. 19, please provide third author name as per journal style and provide the other publication details or URL with date you last viewed the website.
- AU19: In Ref. 32, please provide the third author name as per journal style.
- AU20: In Ref. 45, please provide the other publication details or URL with date you last viewed the website.

AU21: Footnote "*" has been removed from caption of Table 1. Please check.

- AU22: Please define "TRAV and TRBV."
- AU23: Please define "FACS."
- AU24: Please define "TRAV and TRBV."
- AU25: Please define "LCLs."