Supplementary Information:

Article title: Protein expression plasticity contributes to heat and drought tolerance of

date palm

Authors: Andrea Ghirardo, Tetyana Nosenko, Jürgen Kreuzwieser, J. Barbro Winkler, Jörg Kruse, Andreas Albert, Juliane Merl-Pham, Thomas Lux, Peter Ache, Ina Zimmer, Saleh Alfarraj, Klaus F. X. Mayer, Rainer Hedrich, Heinz Rennenberg, Jörg-Peter Schnitzler

The following Supplementary Information is available for this article:

Material and Methods MM1: Reconstruction of the *PdIspS* CDS sequence Material and Methods MM2: *In vitro* enzymatic activity assay of *P. dactylifera IspS* following expression in *E. coli* and protein purification

Figure S1. Daily environmental conditions
Figure S2. Effects of climate and drought on fresh weight and dry to fresh weight ratio of date palm leaves
Figure S3. Comparison of consensus PdIspS protein sequence to functionally characterized plant isoprene synthases
Figure S4. Consensus *PdIspS* CDS

Figure S5. PdIspS protein sequence

Table S1. VOC emitted from date palm leaves and log2 of fold changes between treatments**Table S2.** Proteomic data (*see separate excel file*)

Material and Methods MM1: Reconstruction of the PdIspS CDS sequence

To reconstruct the N- and C-termini of the partial PdIspS sequence XP 008779509.1, we identified close orthologs of this gene from other monocots from the NCBInr database (ASF20076.1 and XP 010923912.1 from Arundo donax and Elaeis guineensis, respectively) and searched genome sequence data for two P. dactylifera varieties (NCBI; Bioprojects PRJNA396270 and PRJNA322046) using terminal parts of these genes. Three contigs from the Khanizi cultivar (PEFZ01192174.1, PEFZ01199267.1, and PEFZ01103933.1) and two unplaced scaffolds from the Khalas cultivar (NW 008252718.1 and NW 008252718.1) resulting from this search were assembled in a single pseudo-scaffold. A complete CDS of the P. dactylifera putative IspS gene was reconstructed based on the RNA-seq reads aligned to this pseudoscaffold. Briefly, to identify samples in which XP 008779509.1 is expressed, RNA-seq data for the P. dactilyfera leaf tissue from the Bioprojects PRJEB18007 and PRJEB22923 were obtained from NCBI. All transcript models predicted for the Khalas genome assembly have been indexed and transcript abundance in the aforementioned RNA-seq libraries were quantified using Kallisto v0.44.0 (Bray et al. 2016) using default parameters. Libraries characterized by a high abundance of the XP 008779509.1 transcripts were selected for further analysis. RNA-seq reads were filtered, quality trimmed using Trimmomatic v.0.35 (Bolger et al. 2014), and mapped to the reference consisting of the P. dactilyfera genome assembly GCA 000413155.1 and the IspS pseudo-scaffold using STAR v2.5.2a (Dobin et al. 2013). The IspS pseudo-scaffold alignment region was extracted from the bam files using SAMtools (Li et al. 2009) and visualized and processed using Integrative Genomics Viewer v2.4.19 (Robinson et al. 2011). The IspS coding sequence (CDS) encoded by this pseudo-scaffold was reconstructed based on the consensus of RNA-seq sequences aligned to this region. The IspS intron-exon splice sites were defined manually based on the alignments. Nucleotide and amino acid CDS sequences of PdIspS are provided in the Supplementary Figs S3-5.

Material and Methods MM2: *In vitro* enzymatic activity assay of *P. dactylifera IspS* following expression in *E. coli* and protein purification

Date palm IspS activities were determined at 5mM of the substrate dimethylallyl diphosphate (DMADP) for isoprene biosynthesis or by 5 mM geranyl diphosphate (GDP) for monoterpenes. Each sample contained 50 µL protein extract, corresponding to 169 µg in the empty vector (EV) control and 174 µg in PdIspS samples, respectively, as determined by the Bradford assay. The incubation time was 30 min, and the standard incubation temperature was 40°C. For the temperature dependence analysis of PdIspS, samples were incubated between 20 and 60° with 5°C steps and the respective data collected from EV were used for background corrections. After incubation, the reaction was stopped by removal of the aqueous solution and rinsing the vial with 100 µL buffer solution. Finally, the headspace was injected by a self-made autosampler in the inlet of PTR-QMS using N₂ as carrier gas (170 ml min⁻¹) for VOC analysis. The PTR-QMS was operated at E/N = 132 Td, p-drift = 2.2 mbar, Tdrift = 60 °C, Udrift = 590V, O_2^+ and $NO^+ < 2\%$ of H_3O^+ ; $H_2OH_3O^+ < 8\%$ of H_3O^+ . Five replicates were analyzed for each sample. Quantitative analysis was achieved by the dilution curve of 11 VOC-mixture standards in N₂ (Apel-Riemer Environmental, Miami, FL, USA) containing isoprene and the monoterpene α -pinene, diluted between 0-640 ppbv (four-point calibration) by N₂ (5.0 purity) at 90% of rel. humidity and 24°C (r²>0.98). For each concentration, standards were produced in triplicate. Calibration curves were performed before and after each analysis. No statistical difference was found between the pre and post-calibration procedures. Therefore, the mean of the instrumental sensitivities was used for final VOC quantification.

3

Supplementary Figures:



Figure S1. Daily environmental conditions

Daily environmental conditions measured during the simulation of winter (in blue) and summer average day (in red) in Saudi Arabia. (a) Diurnal variation of light (incident photosynthetically active quantum flux density, PPFD), (b) air temperature, and (c) relative humidity inside the climate chambers. The environmental conditions represent the mean values of the ten years climate (2003-2012) in Alahsa, Saudi-Arabia, in winter (21.12-21.03) or summer (21.06-21.09). These conditions were repeated throughout the entire experimental period. Data depict means of n = 4 sub-chambers, \pm s.d.



Figure S2. Effects of climate and drought on fresh weight and dry to fresh weight ratio (DW FW⁻¹) of date palm leaves.

Blue and red colors indicate data obtained from plants growing under winter and summer climate, respectively. Pattern bars refer to drought treatments. T1-T4 indicates sampling times under pre-stress (T1), mild (T2) and severe (T3) drought (T3), and post-drought stress recovery phase as a result of re-watering (T4). Data shown are means \pm s.e. of 5 plants.

		F312
1 PdIspS	100.0%	LLQFAKVDFNTVQSIHQSEIRKLTRWWKDVGLGDKLSFARDRLIEYFFFATGIVFEPHLGYCREELTKAFALVAIIDDFYDIYGTPEELNLFTNAVQRWDSNAMEGFPEYMKILYSALY
2 Arundo donax	52.5%	IIQFAKQDFNNVQSMHTQELAALTSWWTDVALGEKLTFARDRLIECFHYANGVMWEPNLAKCREAITKAFALVVHLDDVYDVYGTLDELSLFTEAMGRWDVSASEALPEYMRAIYCTII
3 Eucalyptus globulus	48.2%	ILELALTDFNVSQSYLQRDLQEMLGWWNNTGLAKRLSFARDRLIECFFWAVGIAHEPSLSICRKAVTKAFALILVLDDVYDVFGTLEELELFTDAVRRWDLNAVEDLPVYMKLCYLALY
4 Melaleuca alternifolia	47.4%	ILELAVMKFNSSQLTLQRDLQDMLGWWNNVGLAKRLSFARDRLMECFFWAVGIAREPALSNCRKGVTKAFSLILVLDDVYDVFGTLDELELFTDAVRRWHEDAVENLPGYMKLCFLALY
5 Populus tremula	45.9%	LLELAILDYNMIQSVYQRDLRETSRWWRRVGLATKLHFAKDRLIESFYWAVGVAFEPQYSDCRNSVAKMFSFVTIIDDIYDVYGTLDELELFTDAVERWDVNAINDLPDYMKLCFLALY
6 Populus alba	46.5%	LLELAILDYNMIQSVYQRDLRETSRWWRRVGLATKLHFARDRLIESFYWAVGVALEPQYSDCRNSVAKMFSFVTIIDDIYDVYGTLDELELFTDAVERWDVNAINDLPDYMKLCFLALY
7 Populus fremontii	47.4%	LLELAILDYNMIQSVYQRDLRETSRWWRRVGLATKLHFARDRLIESFYWAVGVAFEPQYSDCRNSVAKMFSFVTIIDDIYDVYGTLDELELFTDAVERWDVNAINDLPDYMKLCFLALY
8 Casuarina equisetifolia	a 47.4%	LLELATLDFNLVQTSHQEDVKEISRWWKNTGLGEKLSFARDRLMESFLWSAGVMFQPQYGYSRRIFTKIFALITILDDVYDVYGTLDELELFTNAIERWDTNTIDQLPYYMKICFLTLH
9 Humulus lupulus*	43.5%	LLEFAKLDFNMVQSTHQEDLKHLSRWWRHSKLGEKLNFARDRLMEAFLWEVGLKFEPEFSYFKRISARL <mark>F</mark> VLITIIDDIYDVYGTLEELELFTKAVERWDVNAINELPEYMKMPFLVLH
		V420 F459 T479
1 PdIspS	100.0%	TTNEVADHIHREEGWDALPYLRKAWEDLFNAFLTEAKWHYNGYKPALEEYLNNARMSVSGCVLLVHASLLSXQRLTKEASQCLKT-YPSLFLSSSEIFRLCNDLATSSAELERGDTPTS
2 Arundo donax	52.5%	TSNEMADHVLREQGQSTQHLFHKGWHDLCKAFLVEAKWHYGNYRPTLSEYLNNGWMS <mark>S</mark> SGPLLLLHAFPFLNEKFSTKHLEWLER-YPRIVQSSSKI <mark>FRLCNDSATHSDE</mark> LQRGDAP <mark>S</mark> S
3 Eucalyptus globulus	48.2%	SVNEMAYETLKEKGENVIPYLAKAWYDLCKAFLQEAKWSNSRIIPGVEEYLNNGWVS <mark>S</mark> SGSVMLIHAYFLASPSIRKEELESLEH-YHDLLRLPSLI <mark>F</mark> RLTNDIASSSABLERGETTNS
4 Melaleuca alternifolia	47.4%	SVNDMAYETLKETGENVTPYLTKVWYDLCKAFLQEAKWSYNKITPGVEEYLNNGWVS <mark>S</mark> SGQVMLTHAYFLSSPSLRKEELESLEH-YHDLLRLPSLI <mark>F</mark> RLT <mark>NDLATSSAELGR</mark> GETT <mark>N</mark> S
5 Populus tremula	45.9%	TINEIAYDNLKDKGENILPYLTKAWADLCNAFLQEAKWLYNKSTPTFDDYFGNAWKS <mark>S</mark> SGPLQLIFAYFAVVQNIKKEEIENLQK-YHDIISRPSHI <mark>FRLCNDLASASABIAR</mark> GETANS ³
6 Populus alba	46.5%	TINEIAYDDLKDKGENILPYLTKAWADLCNAFLQEAKWLYNKSTPTFDDYFGNAWKS <mark>S</mark> SGPLQLVFAYFAVVQNIKKEEIENLQK-YHDTISRPSHI <mark>FRLCNDLASASAE</mark> IARGETAN <mark>S</mark>
7 Populus fremontii	47.4%	TINEIAYDNLKEKGENILPYLTKAWADLCNAFLQEAKWLYNKSTPTFDDYFGNAWKS <mark>S</mark> SGPLQLVFAYFAVVQNIKKEEIENLQK-YHDIISRPSHI <mark>FRLCNDLASASABIAR</mark> GETANS ³
8 Casuarina equisetifolia	a 47.4%	SINEIAYDILRERGVNVIPSLRKVWTDLCRSFLLEATWYHKKHTPTFEEYLQNAWVSVLGPSVLVHVYLSITNPITEETTRFLEE-YPNIIRWSSTIFRLANDLETSEDEIERGDVSKS
9 Humulus lupulus*	43.5%	TINEMAFDVLGDQNFLNIEYLKKSLVDLCKCYLQEAKWYYSGYQPTLQEYIEMAWLS <mark>I</mark> GGPVILVHAYFCFTNPITKESMKFFTEGYPNIIQQSCLI <mark>VR</mark> LADDFGTFSDELNRGDVPKS
1 PdIspS	100.0%	QCYMQDNGVSEAVARNGIQDLIINSWKKLNKEAVDCHPLPRYIANAAINLGRISHCTYHKGDGLGAPDQEKKNMIKSLFFDPVALKGGQDSLGLLDDRFVVSNV
2 Arundo donax	52.5%	AIYMLENNADEQESRAAMHEYTIDIWKSVNEEAFCHHHYPKSFRKACLNLSRISHCIYQGGDGLGAPDDEKKKQIKELFLEPCIF
3 Eucalyptus globulus	48.2%	RCFMQEKGISELEARECVKEEIDTAWKKMNKYMVDRSTFNQSFVRMTYNLARMAHCVYQDGDAIGSPDDLSWNRVHSLIIKPISPAA
4 Melaleuca alternifolia	47.4%	LCYMREKGFSESEARKQVIEQIDTAWRQMNKYMVDHSTFNRSFMQMTYNLARMAHCVYQDGDAIGAPDDQSWNRVHSLIIKPVSLAPC
5 Populus tremula	45.9%	SCYMRTKGISEELATESVMNLIDETC <mark>KKMNK</mark> EKLGGSLFAKPFVETAINL <mark>AR</mark> QSHCTYHNGDAHTSPDELTRKRVLSVITEPILPFER
6 Populus alba	46.5%	SCYMRTKGISEEFATESVMNLIDETWKKMNKEKLGGSLFAKPFVETAINLARQSHCTYHNGDAHTSPDELTRKRVLSVITEPILPFER
7 Populus fremontii	47.4%	SCYMRTKGISEELATESVMNLIDETWKKMNKEKLGGSLFPKPFVETAINLARQSHCTYHNGDAHTSPDELTRKRVLSVITEPILPFER
8 Casuarina equisetifolia	a 47.4%	QCYMHETGKSQEES R KYISSLIETT WKKM NKERAVGSSLFQTYVEIGINL AR TAQCMYQHGDGLSVSDRE T KDRIQSVLINPIPLR
9 Humulus lupulus*	43.5%	QCYMYDTGASEDEAREHIKFLICETWKDMNKNDEDNSCFSETFVEVCKNLARTALFMYQYGDGHASQNCLSKERIFALIINPINFHERK

Figure S3. Comparison of consensus PdIspS protein sequence to functionally characterized plant isoprene synthases

Multiple sequence alignment of the C-terminal domain (Class I terpenoid synthase fold). Red shading indicates residues of the diagnostic tetrad. Numbers in bold refer to the positions in the amino acid sequence of the *PdIspS* protein precursor. The purple shading indicates conserved TPS motifs: RXR, DDXXD and DTE/NSE. Dots represent residues that are identical to those in *PdIspS*. A star indicates the *Humulus lupulus* myrcene synthase, which shows a minor *IspS* activity (Sharkey et al. 2013). NCBI accession numbers of genes included in the alignment are: *Arundo donax* ASF20076.1, *Eucalyptus globulus* BAF02831.1, *Melaleuca alternifolia* AAP40638.1, *Populus tremula* CAC35696, *P. alba* ADG96473.1, *P. fremontii* AEK70967.1, *Casuarina equisetifolia* BAS30549.1, and *Humulus lupulus* ACI32638.1.

ATGGCACTCTCTACATGCTTCGCATCCACCGCATGTCATGCCGGGAGCAAAGGTT <u>GGGTGGTG</u>GCAAGTCAAGTCCGTTCCCAACCTCCGACGAGGCGATCGGCAAATTA TCAGCCTAGCTCATGGGACTATGACTCCCTTCAGTCTTTGAGGGGGTGGCGACTTG AACGGGACACACGACACACTTGGAAAAGTTGAAGGAGAACACGAGGCACTTG CTATCCAAGGAGGCTGAACCAGTGGCTCGACTTAAGCTGGTCGACGTCCTGCAGC GTCTTGGTGTGGGTTATCACTTTGAGGAGGAGAGATCAAGGATGCCCTTGGCTCGAT GCCCATTGAGAGGGCAAACACATTGTTCAAAGACGACATCCACTCCATGTCTTTG CTATTTAGACTGCTTAGAGAGCACGGGTTTCCTGTTTCCCCAGACATCTTCAGTAG CTTGAAAGAAGAAGAGAACGGTAACTTCAAGGCAAGCCTTCTCAGGGACACTCAAGC ATTATTATCCCTACATGAGGCTTCATATCTTGCTTTTGAAGGAGAAACACTGCTGG ATGAAGCAAGAATTTTCACAACTAAATATCTCAGCGATCTCAAGCTACAGATGGA TCCACACCTTAAGGGAAAAGTKTCTCTATCTTTGGATCTTCCTTTGCACTGGAGGA CTCCAAGGTTGGAAGCAAGATGGCACATAGATCAGTATGAAAGAGATGGAAACA TGGACCATAYGCTTCTTCAATTTGCTAAGGTCGATTTCAACACAGTGCAAAGCAT ACACCAAAGTGAAATTAGAAAACTGACAAGGTGGTGGAAAGATGTAGGCCTTGG GGATAAATTGAGCTTTGCTAGAGATCGATTGATAGAGTACTTCTTCTTTGCAACTG GAATTGTATTCGAGCCGCATCTTGGATATTGTCGAGAGGAACTTACTAAAGCATT TGCTTTGGTAGCAATTATAGATGATTTCTATGATATTTACGGAACACCGGAAGAG GGCRGATCACATACATAGAGAAGAGGGYTGGGATGCCCTTCCTTATCTCAGAAA AGCGTGGGAGGACCTATTCAACGCCTTCCTAACGGAGGCAAAGTGGCATTACAA CGGCTACAAACCAGCCCTCGAGGAGTATCTAAACAATGCYCGGATGTCAGTSTCA GGCTGCGTCCTATTAGTCCATGCTAGCCTCTTATCAASGCAGAGACTAACYAAGG AGGCATCGCAGTGCTTGAAGACCTATCCAAGCCTCTTCCTGTCCTCATCCGAGAT CTCCAACATCCATCCAATGCTACATGCAAGACAATGGTGTMTCCGAGGCAGTTGC TCGCAATGGCATTCAAGATTTAATCATTAATTCATGGAAGAAGCTGAACAAAGAA GCTGTTGATTGCCATCCACTCCCTCGATACATTGCTAATGCAGCCATAAATCTTGG ACGGATATCGCATTGCACATACCATAAGGGAGATGGCTTGGGTGCTCCAGATCAA GAGAAGAAGAATATGATCAAGTCCCTGTTTTTTGATCCTGTAGCACTCAAAGGAG GCCAGGAYTCTTTAGGGCTTCTTGATGATAGGTTTGTGGTCAGCAATGTGTAG

7

Figure S4. Consensus PdIspS CDS

The coding sequence of isoprene synthase in *Phoenix dactylifera (PdIspS)*. The sequence encoding predicted plastid-targeted peptide is underlined.

MALSTCFASTACHAGSKGWVVASQVRSQPPTRRSANYQPSSWDYDSLQSLRGGDLN GTHTTHLEKLKENTRHLLSKEAEPVARLKLVDVLQRLGVGYHFEEEIKDALGSMPIE RANTLFKDDIHSMSLLFRLLREHGFPVSPDIFSSLKEENGNFKASLLRDTQALLSLHEA SYLAFEGETLLDEARIFTTKYLSDLKLQMDPHLKGKVSLSLDLPLHWRTPRLEARWHI DQYERDGNMDHXLLQFAKVDFNTVQSIHQSEIRKLTRWWKDVGLGDKLSFARDRLI EYFFFATGIVFEPHLGYCREELTKAFALVAIIDDFYDIYGTPEELNLFTNAVQRWDSNA MEGFPEYMKILYSALYNTTNEVADHIHREEGWDALPYLRKAWEDLFNAFLTEAKWH YNGYKPALEEYLNNARMSVSGCVLLVHASLLSXQRLTKEASQCLKTYPSLFLSSSEIF RLCNDLATSSAELERGDTPTSIQCYMQDNGVSEAVARNGIQDLIINSWKKLNKEAVD CHPLPRYIANAAINLGRISHCTYHKGDGLGAPDQEKKNMIKSLFFDPVALKGGQDSL GLLDDRFVVSNV*

Figure S5. PdIspS protein sequence

Amino acid sequence of the isoprene synthase in *Phoenix dactylifera (PdIspS*). The predicted plastid-targeted peptide is underlined. The TargetP cleavage site probability was 0.722.

Supplementary Table:

Table S1. VOC emitted from date palm leaves and log2 of fold changes between treatments.

Volatiles were collected on VOC adsorbents from enclosed palm leaves under standard conditions (T= 30 °C; 400 ppm; 1,000 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ PPFD) and analyzed by GC-MS analysis. No indicate compounds shown in Figure 2 (biplot of PCA). Increased and decreased emissions due to treatments are indicated by the intensity of red and blue colors, respectively. Significant differences between treatments at *p* <0.05 as calculated by non-parametric *t*-test are indicated in bold.

No	Compound	Summer/	Summer	Winter
		Winter	drought/	drought/
		Control	Control	Control
1	Acetaldehyde	-0.47	-1.00	-0.59
2	Ethanol	0.21	-1.64	-3.76
3	Isoprene	0.54	-0.44	-0.08
4	1-Butene, 2-methyl-	-0.10	-2.21	-3.30
5	2-Propanone	0.33	-1.28	-1.88
6	3-Hexene, Z-	0.07	-2.27	-2.57
7	2-Hexene	0.08	-2.34	-2.64
8	2-Pentene, 3-methyl-, E-	0.06	-2.40	-2.64
9	Butane, 2,2,3-trimethyl-	0.28	-2.29	-2.62
10	Cyclopentane, methyl-	-0.32	0.18	0.64
11	Cyclohexane	-0.15	0.49	0.67
12	1-Pentene, 2,4,4-trimethyl-	-0.02	-0.28	-0.39
13	2-Pentene, 2,3,4-trimethyl-	0.45	-1.43	-2.34
14	1,2,4-Trimethyl-cyclopentane	-1.52	-0.94	0.34
15	trans-4,4-Dimethyl-2-hexene	0.07	-1.96	-2.37
16	Heptane, 2,4-dimethyl-	-0.41	-0.27	-0.08
17	1-Hexene, 4,5-dimethyl-	0.31	-0.85	-1.43
18	1-Hexene, 3,4-dimethyl-	0.33	-1.07	-1.82
19	Cyclohexane, 1-methyl-3-pentyl-	0.44	-0.25	-1.19
20	4-Undecanol, 7-ethyl-2-methyl-	-0.01	-0.84	-1.24
21	Benzene, 1-methyl-4-1-methylethyl-	0.08	-0.72	-1.34

Table S2. Proteomic data.

See separate excel file

References:

- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B (2014) Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bray NL, Pimentel H, Melsted P, Pachter L (2016) Near-optimal probabilistic RNA-seq quantification. Nat Biotechnol 34:525–527. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.3519
- Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, et al (2013) STAR: Ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinformatics 29:15–21. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
- Ghirardo A, Koch K, Taipale R, et al (2010) Determination of de novo and pool emissions of terpenes from four common boreal/alpine trees by ¹³CO₂ labelling and PTR-MS analysis. Plant cell Environ 33:781–792. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2009.02104.x
- Ghirardo A, Wright LP, Bi Z, et al (2014) Metabolic flux analysis of plastidic isoprenoid biosynthesis in poplar leaves emitting and nonemitting isoprene. Plant Physiol 165:37–51. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.114.236018
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, et al (2009) The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352
- Mayrhofer S, Teuber M, Zimmer I, et al (2005) Diurnal and seasonal variation of isoprene biosynthesis-related genes in grey poplar leaves. Plant Physiol 139:474–484. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.066373.474
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, et al (2011) Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol 29:24–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.1754
- Schnitzler J-P, Zimmer I, Bachl A, et al (2005) Biochemical properties of isoprene synthase in poplar (*Populus x canescens*). Planta 222:777–786. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-005-0022-1