

International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology
Pseudomonas campi sp. nov., a nitrate-reducing bacterium isolated from grassland soil
 --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	IJSEM-D-20-00691
Full Title:	Pseudomonas campi sp. nov., a nitrate-reducing bacterium isolated from grassland soil
Article Type:	Taxonomic Description
Section/Category:	New taxa - Proteobacteria
Corresponding Author:	Undine Behrendt, Dr Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF) Müncheberg, GERMANY
First Author:	Timsy Timsy
Order of Authors:	Timsy Timsy Tobias Spanner Andreas Ulrich Susanne Kublik Bärbel U. Foesel Steffen Kolb Marcus A. Horn Undine Behrendt, Dr
Manuscript Region of Origin:	GERMANY
Abstract:	A new strain was isolated from grassland soil that has the potential to assimilate ammonium by the reduction of nitrate in the presence of oxygen. Whole genome sequence analysis shows the presence of an assimilatory cytoplasmic nitrate reductase gene <i>nasA</i> and the assimilatory nitrite reductase genes <i>nirBD</i> which are involved in the sequential reduction of nitrate to nitrite and further to ammonium, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed that the isolate belongs to the genus <i>Pseudomonas</i> . The closest phylogenetic neighbours based on 16S rRNA gene sequence analysis are the type strains of <i>Pseudomonas peli</i> (98.15%) and <i>Pseudomonas guineae</i> (97.88%). Computation of the average nucleotide index (ANI) and digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) with closest phylogenetic neighbours of S1-A32-2 T revealed genetic differences at the species level, which were further substantiated by differences in several physiological characteristics. Based on these results, it was concluded that the soil isolate represents a novel species of the genus <i>Pseudomonas</i> , for which the name <i>Pseudomonas campi</i> sp. nov. (type strain S1-A32-2 T = LMG 31521 T = DSM 110222 T) is proposed.
Author Comments:	
Opposed Reviewers:	
Suggested Reviewers:	Elena Garcia-Valdes Universitat de les Illes Balears elena.garciavaldes@uib.es Various publications on the taxonomy of the genus <i>Pseudomonas</i> Sergio H. Marshall UV: Universidad de Valparaiso sergio.marshall@pucv.cl Publications on the taxonomy of the genus <i>Pseudomonas</i>

Leibniz-Zentrum für Agrarlandschaftsforschung (ZALF) e.V.
Eberswalder Straße 84, 15374 Müncheberg

Abteilung/Institut

Dr. Undine Behrendt
Research Area 1 "Landscape Functioning"
Working group: Microbial Biogeochemistry
T +49 33432 82- 460
F +49 33432 82- 344
E ubehrendt@zalf.de

Eberswalder Straße 84
15374 Müncheberg
www.zalf.de

Datum
10.09.2020

Dear Editor,

we are pleased to submit our manuscript entitled "*Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov., a nitrate-reducing bacterium isolated from grassland soil", by Timsy, Tobias Spanner, Andreas Ulrich, Susanne Kublik, Bärbel U. Foessel, Steffen Kolb, Marcus A. Horn and Undine Behrendt for publication in the section "Taxonomic Description" of the International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology.

In a high-throughput cultivation experiment of NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻ reducing soil bacteria conducted at the Biodiversity Exploratories research platform in Germany, a strain was isolated that could not be assigned to any previously known species. Based on a comprehensive phylogenetic and phenotypic analysis, it was concluded that the soil isolate represents a novel species of the genus *Pseudomonas*, for which the name *Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov. is proposed.

This manuscript describes original works and is not considered by any other journal. All authors approved the manuscript and this submission.

We are looking forward to your reply.

Thank you for your efforts in advance.

Yours sincerely

U. Behrendt

Taxonomic Description template

1 ***Pseudomonas campi* sp. nov., a nitrate-reducing bacterium isolated from**

2 **grassland soil**

4 **1.1 Author names**

5 Timsy¹, Tobias Spanner², Andreas Ulrich¹, Susanne Kublik³, Bärbel U. Foesel³, Steffen Kolb¹, Marcus A.
6 Horn² and Undine Behrendt^{1*}

8 **1.2 Affiliation**

9 ¹Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Eberswalder Str. 84, D-15374
10 Müncheberg, Germany

11 ²Leibniz University Hannover, Institute of Microbiology, Herrenhäuser Str. 2, 30419 Hannover, Ger-
12 many

13 ³Helmholtz Center Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Research Unit for
14 Comparative Microbiome Analysis, Ingolstädter Landstraße 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany

16 **1.3 Corresponding author**

17 *ubehrendt@zalf.de

19 **1.4 Keyword**

20 *Pseudomonas campi* sp. nov., polyphasic taxonomy, phylogenomic analysis, soil bacterium, assimila-
21 tive nitrate reduction

23 **1.5 Repositories:**

24 The NCBI accession numbers for the genome and 16S rRNA gene sequences of *Pseudomonas campi*
25 sp. nov. S1-A32-2^T are CP053697 and MT415401, respectively

26

27

28 ABSTRACT

29 A new strain was isolated from grassland soil that has the potential to assimilate ammonium by the
30 reduction of nitrate in the presence of oxygen. Whole genome sequence analysis shows the presence
31 of an assimilatory cytoplasmic nitrate reductase gene *nasA* and the assimilatory nitrite reductase
32 genes *nirBD* which are involved in the sequential reduction of nitrate to nitrite and further to ammo-
33 nium, respectively. Phylogenetic analysis based on 16S rRNA gene sequences revealed that the isolate
34 belongs to the genus *Pseudomonas*. The closest phylogenetic neighbours based on 16S rRNA gene
35 sequence analysis are the type strains of *Pseudomonas peli* (98.15%) and *Pseudomonas guineae*
36 (97.88%). Computation of the average nucleotide index (ANI) and digital DNA-DNA hybridization
37 (dDDH) with closest phylogenetic neighbours of S1-A32-2^T revealed genetic differences at the species
38 level, which were further substantiated by differences in several physiological characteristics. Based
39 on these results, it was concluded that the soil isolate represents a novel species of the genus *Pseu-*
40 *domonas*, for which the name *Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov. (type strain S1-A32-2^T = LMG 31521^T =
41 DSM 110222^T) is proposed.

42

43 The genus *Pseudomonas*, described by Migula in 1894, is one of the most complex genera of the *Gam-*
44 *maproteobacteria* with a large number of species that have been continuously subjected to taxonom-
45 ical studies over the recent decades [1-4]. Members of the genus *Pseudomonas* can be isolated from
46 a wide variety of habitats such as soils, water, sewage, plants, animals, humans etc. [5]. Colonization
47 of various ecological niches is attributed to their metabolic, physiologic and genomic versatility [6]. It
48 has also been observed that different functions of nitrogen (N) transformation processes in the N cycle
49 can be found in this genus, for instance the uptake of nitrate (NO₃⁻) by *P. fluorescens* for assimilation
50 purposes [7] or the anaerobic NO₃⁻ respiration by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* [8], i.e. a canonical reduc-
51 tion of NO₃⁻ to dinitrogen (N₂) with nitrite (NO₂⁻), gaseous nitric (NO) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) as inter-
52 mediates in a well-known dissimilatory process called denitrification. On the other hand, *Pseudomo-*
53 *nas alcaliphila* [9] and *Pseudomonas putrefaciens* [10] are involved in NO₃⁻ ammonification. This is
54 considered as a crucial N transformation process that retains N in terrestrial ecosystems in the less
55 mobile form ammonium (NH₄⁺) and makes it available to primary producers, whereas gaseous reduc-
56 tion products through denitrification contribute substantially to N losses in soils [11, 12].

57 With the motive to investigate the biodiversity of NO₃⁻/NO₂⁻ reducing bacteria, a high-throughput cul-
58 tivation experiment was performed on the research platform Biodiversity Exploratories located in Ger-
59 many (www.biodiversity-exploratories.de). To investigate the impact of land use intensity on this bac-
60 terial group, soil samples were taken from differently managed grassland plots. Several selective me-
61 dia and enrichment cultures have been used, which differ in N source, C/N ratio, pH and carbon source
62 to cover a wide range of diversity in the bacterial isolates. A first taxonomic classification was per-
63 formed by a similarity analysis using MALDI-TOF MS (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation time-
64 of-flight mass spectrometry) combined with a 16S rRNA gene analysis. In this context, a NO₃⁻ reducing
65 strain with the designation S1-A32-2^T was isolated, which could not be assigned to a hitherto known
66 species. Therefore, in this study the exact taxonomic position of this strain was investigated by a pol-
67 yphasic approach. Furthermore, physiological characteristics and the gene inventory in relation to the
68 N-transformation processes were studied to determine the isolate's potential to participate in N trans-
69 formation processes.

70

71 Isolation and Ecology

72 Strain S1-A32-2^T was isolated from the top soil (peat soil) of a grassland plot of the exploratory Schorf-
73 heide-Chorin (Germany, State Brandenburg 53° 5' 14.7" N; 13° 58' 10.7" E). The study plot was located
74 on a meadow that was extensively managed in the years prior to sampling. It was usually cut twice a
75 year and not fertilized. In the year before sampling, additional grazing with sheep was carried out. The
76 preparation of soil samples and isolation of strains was performed according to a study conducted
77 previously [13]. The strain was isolated from medium G3M12 described by Heylen *et al.* [14] which is
78 characterized by a C/N ratio of 2.5, pH 7.5, nitrate as mineral N source and ethanol as a carbon source.
79 The strain was purified by streak dilution on nutrient agar II (NAII; SIFIN, Germany) and culturing at 22
80 °C for 3- 5 days, and then stored at -80°C in nutrient broth II (NBII; SIFIN, Germany) containing 40%
81 glycerol until further processing.

82

83 16S RNA phylogeny

84 For estimation of the taxonomic affiliation, the nearly complete 16S rRNA gene of S1-A32-2^T was se-
85 quenced as described previously [15]. The pairwise 16S rRNA gene sequence comparison reveals *Pseu-*
86 *domonas peli* (98.15%) as the closest phylogenetic neighbour (<https://www.ezbiocloud.net> [16]). For
87 all other *Pseudomonas* species, values below 98.0% were determined (Tab. 1). Considering the species
88 boundary of 98.2-99.0% for the 16S rRNA sequence similarity which was derived from a comparative
89 study between DNA-DNA hybridization and 16S rRNA gene analysis by Meier-Kolthoff *et al.* [17], or
90 the value of 98.65% corresponding to the average nucleotide identity (ANI) threshold determined by
91 Kim *et al.* [18], a separate species position of the investigated strain is indicated.

92 For a more detailed investigation of the phylogenetic position within the genus *Pseudomonas*, the
93 type strains of closely related species as well as representative strains from subgroups categorized for
94 the genus by García-Valdés and Lalucat [3] were selected. A sequence alignment of 1333 nt was used
95 as basis for the calculation. The evolutionary history of the strain was inferred using Maximum-likeli-
96 hood (ML) as well as Neighbour-joining (NJ) algorithms based on general time reversible model and
97 maximum composite likelihood, respectively. Trees were calculated using the discrete gamma distri-
98 butions and evolutionary invariable model (G+I) with bootstrap values based on 100 resampling using
99 Mega7 software (Molecular Evolutionary Genetic Analysis, version 7.0; [19]). Phylogenetic trees de-
100 rived from the analysis of 16S rRNA gene sequences show that strain S1-A32-2^T forms a cluster to-
101 gether with *P. anguilliseptica*, *P. peli*, and *P. guineae*, which belong to the *P. anguilliseptica* subgroup
102 of the *Pseudomonas fluorescens* lineage, by both treeing methods (Fig.1). The clustering of strain S1-
103 A32-2^T with *P. anguilliseptica*, *P. peli* and *P. guineae* was supported by a bootstrap value of 82%.

104 The analysis of housekeeping genes is very helpful to obtain higher resolution at the intragenic level
105 than with 16S rRNA genes alone within *Pseudomonas* [2, 3]. The *rpoD* gene (encoding the sigma70
106 subunit of the RNA polymerase gene) in particular has a high discriminatory power at species level.
107 Therefore, phylogenetic trees were constructed based on amino acid based alignments of in silico
108 translated *rpoD* genes with a length of 627 AA using MEGA 7 software. Trees were obtained using ML
109 and NJ methods based on the WAG and Poisson models. In contrast to the 16S rRNA gene based phy-
110 logeny, strain S1-A32-2^T formed a cluster with *Pseudomonas alcaligenes* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

111 which indicates an affiliation to the *P. aeruginosa* lineage of the genus (Fig. S1, supplemental material).
112 However, this position is only supported by a bootstrap value of 33 %.

113

114 **Genome Features**

115 To support the results of the phylogenetic analysis and to get deeper taxonomic insights, the genome
116 of S1-A32-2^T was sequenced and analysed. Genome sequencing was carried out on the PacBio Sequel
117 Platform (Pacific Biosciences, Menlo Park, CA) using Sequel Binding Kit 3.0, Sequencing Plate 3.0, and
118 SMRT cell 1M v2 (PacBio). Briefly, DNA from cells grown in liquid nutrient broth medium at 25°C for
119 24h was extracted using the Genomic-tip 20/G kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) as recommended by the
120 manufacturer. Genomic DNA was sheared to approximately 10 kb using g-TUBEs (Covaris Inc., Wo-
121 burn, MA) and further processed according to the protocol. Library preparation was performed apply-
122 ing the SMRTbell template prep kit 1.0 SPv3 and Barcoded overhang adapter kit- 8 A according to the
123 Procedure & Checklist – Preparing Multiplexed Microbial SMRTbell® Libraries for the PacBio® Sequel®
124 System (PacBio). The prepared SMRTBell® libraries were loaded according to the diffusion loading
125 protocol (PacBio). The multiplexed library including S1-A32-2^T was loaded on one SMRT cells in the
126 concentration of 5 pM. Movie time was 10 h per SMRT cell after immobilization for 2 h and pre-exten-
127 sion for 2 h. After data demultiplexing, genome assembly for S1-A32-2^T was performed using the Mi-
128 crobial Assembly pipeline as embedded in SMRT Link version 8.0.0.80529 (PacBio), utilizing 262,061
129 realigned subreads, with an average subread length of 4,193 bp. As a result, the complete genome
130 sequence of 4,436,925 bp was obtained forming one circular contig. The sequence was annotated
131 using the rapid annotation using subsystem technology, version 2.0 (RAST) [20, 21]. The genome sta-
132 tistics of strain S1-A32-2^T is provided in Table 2.

133 To confirm the novel species position of strain S1-A32-2^T as revealed by 16S rRNA gene comparisons,
134 overall genome relatedness indices [(OGRI); 22] were calculated to analyse the relationship with
135 closely related species (Tab. 1). ANI values were calculated by the OrthoANIu procedure [23]. Digital
136 DNA–DNA hybridization (dDDH) and genomic G+C content via the genome to genome distance calcu-
137 lator [(GGDC); 17]. The OGRI values obtained (Tab. 1) were below the species thresholds of 95–96%
138 for ANI [18, 24, 25] and 70% for dDDH [17] and therefore support the affiliation of strain S1-A32-2^T to
139 a novel species. The G+C content of 63.43% determined for S1-A32-2^T was within the genus range and
140 similar to the G+C content calculated for the closest phylogenetically related species (62.97 – 66.52%)
141 as shown in the Table 1. It lies well in the range of the G+C values of the genus *Pseudomonas* (58–69
142 mol%) [26]. Moreover, the differences calculated between the DNA G+C content of S1-A32-2^T and
143 related type strains are greater than 1% (Tab. 1) further substantiating affiliation to a distinct species
144 [27]. Thus, ANI values coupled with dDDH similarity values and DNA G+C content data corroborate
145 that S1-A32-2^T represents a novel species within the genus of *Pseudomonas*.

146

147 **Physiology and Chemotaxonomy**

148 In addition to the demarcation on the genetic level, a polyphasic approach also requires a differentia-
149 tion of a novel species on the phenotypic level. Accordingly, fatty acid methyl esters were determined
150 for S1-A32-2^T and 16S rRNA gene analysis based closely related type strains (Fig. 1), namely, *P. peli*
151 DSM 17833^T, *P. guineae* DSM 21282^T and *P. anguilliseptica* DSM 12111^T. The analysis of cellular fatty
152 acid was performed by the German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures GmbH (DSMZ). The

153 strains were cultured on nutrient broth medium at 22°C for 3 days and the extraction and preparations
154 were conducted according to the standard protocol of the Sherlock Microbial Identification system
155 (MIDI, version 6.1). Analysis and identification of fatty acids were carried out using a Hewlett Packard
156 HP 6890 gas chromatograph and TSBA database version 4.10. The predominant cellular fatty acids
157 found in S1-A32-2^T were C_{18:1}ω7c, summed feature 3 (C_{16:1}ω7c and/or iso-C_{15:0} 2-OH) and C_{16:0}. Minor
158 amounts were found for C_{12:0}, C_{10:0} 3-OH, C_{12:0} 3-OH, C_{17:1}ω8c, C_{15:0}, and C_{14:0}. The profile was similar to
159 that of the related species (Table S1, supplemental material). However, some quantitative differences
160 could be found between the most abundant fatty acids, while qualitative differences were found only
161 for fatty acids that were present in minor amounts or traces.

162 Furthermore, MALD-TOF MS was performed to differentiate S1-A32-2^T from the closely related
163 strains. Prior to measurement, bacterial strains were inoculated on NAI1 and cultivated for 24 h at
164 25°C. The whole-cell measurement protocol was used to obtain mass spectra [28]. The MS analysis
165 was performed with a MicroflexTM LT/SH MALDI-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics) using Flex
166 Control 3.4 software, described in detail by Müller *et al.* [29]. As shown in Fig. 2, strain S1-A32-2^T shows
167 a high spectral dissimilarity from its closest phylogenetic neighbours which clearly supports the sepa-
168 rate species position.

169 Morphological and physiological tests, such as the motility of cells, oxidase and catalase activities were
170 performed as described by Behrendt *et al.* [30]. Gram-reaction was determined by the rapid KOH
171 string test [31]. Furthermore, the GEN III microplates (Biolog) and the API 20NE test system (bioMé-
172 rieux) were applied according to the manufacturer's instructions. The reactions in the test panels were
173 read after 48 h of incubation at 25°C. Results for strain S1-A32-2^T are shown in Table 3 and the species
174 description. It can be clearly distinguished from related species due to several differentiating physio-
175 logical characteristics. For instance, amino acid L-histidine could solely be utilized by the investigated
176 strain. Furthermore, it was tested negative for bromo-succinic acid, lithium chloride, D- and L-serine
177 which were found positive for its closest phylogenetic neighbours. Further characteristics with dis-
178 criminating power are shown in Table 3.

179 These results also provide phenotypic support for the separate species status that was shown by the
180 phylogenetic analysis. Therefore, the assignment of the soil isolate to a novel species, *Pseudomonas*
181 *campi* sp. nov., is proposed.

182

183 **Genome Annotation and Evaluation of the mineral N transformation** 184 **pathway**

185 The gene inventory of strain S1-A32-2^T was searched for genes with relation to N transformation. An
186 assimilatory nitrate reductase could be identified at position 2323983-2326724 (CP053697) starting
187 with the alternative start codon GTG. BLAST searches confirmed this annotation which could be dif-
188 ferentiated from respiratory (membrane-bound) and dissimilatory (periplasmic) nitrate reductases.
189 This enzyme of S1-A32-2^T showed a similarity of more than 77% across the total length of the 913 AA
190 to the other cytoplasmic assimilatory nitrate reductases (NasA) of the genus *Pseudomonas*. Further,
191 the nitrite reductase NirBD could be found in the genome (CP053697: 2971029-2973584; CP053697:
192 2973584-2973961). This protein is known as an assimilatory nitrite reductase but is also involved in
193 the fermentative pathway of dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonium [11]. With reference to
194 this, Sun *et al.* [32] demonstrated the formation of ammonium by this enzyme.

195 For the experimental investigation of nitrate reduction strain S1-A32-2^T was grown in mineral medium
196 [33] containing 5 mM KNO₃ or KNO₂. Serum vials (120 ml) were filled with 50 ml medium and sealed
197 with butyl rubber stoppers. For anoxic tests, the head space was flushed three times with helium and
198 an overpressure was established. A pre-culture incubated in mineral medium containing NO₃⁻ or NO₂⁻
199 was used as inoculum (1% V_{preculture}/V_{fresh medium}) to start the growth monitoring. The growth experi-
200 ments were conducted three times. The controls were replicated twice to check for abiotic N₂O or
201 NH₄⁺ production. Headspace and liquid samples (1 ml each) were taken at the start of incubation and
202 every 24 h for 7 days. Headspace samples were transferred to 3 ml helium filled Exetainer (Labco
203 Limited, UK) and measured via GC [Agilent 7890, Agilent Technologies Inc., USA; N₂ and O₂ with a
204 thermal conductivity detector, CO₂ and N₂O with a pulsed-discharge helium ionization detector]. 100
205 µl of liquid samples were used for growth measurement by optical density (OD₆₀₀) with a microplate
206 reader (Tecan Infinite M Plex, Switzerland) corrected for 1 cm path length. The remaining liquid sam-
207 ples were centrifuged for 5 min at 12000g and the supernatants were frozen at -20°C until further use.
208 NH₄⁺, NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ were determined colorimetrically [34]. The strain was not able to anaerobically
209 reduce NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻. However, under aerobic conditions NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ were consumed but no NH₄⁺
210 was accumulated in the medium. Small amounts of N₂O were formed, which most likely originated
211 from the detoxification of NO₂⁻ under oxic conditions. These results indicate that the strain used the
212 mineral nitrogen species NO₃⁻ and NO₂⁻ for assimilation, and that the predicted NH₄⁺ formed is not
213 released into the medium, but is completely utilized for cell metabolism.

214

215 Protologue

216 Description of *Pseudomonas campi* sp. nov.

217 *Pseudomonas campi* (cam'pi. L. gen. n. *campi* of a field, of grassland).

218 Cells are Gram-stain-negative, aerobic, motile and non-spore forming in nature. Colonies grown on
219 nutrient agar medium as well as on trypticase soya agar medium are round and whitely translucent
220 after 3-4 days of incubation at 25°C. No fluorescent pigment is formed. Cell growth is observed at
221 37°C, but not at 4°C. Optimum temperature for growth is 25°C. Sodium chloride is tolerated between
222 0 to 3% (w/v), with no growth observed at 4% or 8%. The strain reduces nitrate and nitrite. Positive
223 for oxidase and catalase. In the GEN III test system L-alanine, L-arginine, L-aspartic acid, L-glutamic acid,
224 L-histidine, L-malic acid, tween 40, α-hydroxy-butyric acid, propionic acid, acetic acid and formic acid
225 is oxidized. Negative reaction is shown for dextrin, D-maltose, D-trehalose, D-cellobiose, gentiobiose,
226 sucrose, D-turanose, stachyose, Draffinose, α-D-lactose, D-melibiose, β-methyl-D-glucoside, D-salicin,
227 N-acetyl-D-glucosamine, N-acetyl-β-D-mannosamine, N-acetyl-neuraminic acid, α-D-glucose, D-man-
228 nose, D-fructose, D-galactose, 3-methyl glucose, D-fucose, L-fucose, L-rhamnose, inosine, D-sorbitol, D-
229 mannitol, D-arabitol, myo-inositol, glycerol, D-glucose-6-PO₄, D-fructose-6-PO₄, D-aspartic acid, D- and
230 L-serine, glycl-L-proline, L-pyroglutamic acid, pectin, D-galacturonic acid, L-galactonic acid lactone, D-
231 gluconic acid, D-glucuronic acid, mucic acid, quinic acid, D-saccharic acid, p-hydroxy-phenylacetic acid,
232 D-lactic acid methyl ester, L-lactic acid, citric acid, α-keto-glutaric acid, D-malic acid, bromo-succinic
233 acid, γ-amino-butyric acid, α-keto-butyric acid. Weakly positive results are observed for: gelatine, glu-
234 curonamide, methyl pyruvate, β-hydroxy-D, L-butyric acid and acetoacetic acid. Resistance is shown
235 to toroleandomycin, rifamycin SV, lincomycin, guanidine HCl (weak), niaproof 4, vancomycin, potas-
236 sium tellurite and aztreonam (weak), whereas it is vulnerable for fusidic acid, D-serine, minocycline,
237 nalidixic acid, lithium chloride, sodium butyrate and sodium bromate. In the API 20NE test, indole

238 production, glucose fermentation, arginine dihydrolase, urease, β -glucosidase, protease and β -galac-
239 tosidase is negative. Assimilation of malic acid is positive whereas negative results are obtained for D-
240 glucose, L-arabinose, D-mannose, D-mannitol, *N*-acetyl-glucosamine, D-maltose, potassium gluconate,
241 capric acid, adipic acid, malic acid, trisodium citrate, and phenylacetic acid. Major fatty acids include
242 $C_{18:1}\omega 7c$, $C_{16:1}\omega 7c$ and/or iso- $C_{15:0}$ 2-OH, and $C_{16:0}$, while minor components are $C_{12:0}$, $C_{10:0}$ 3-OH, $C_{12:0}$
243 3-OH, $C_{17:1}\omega 8c$, $C_{15:0}$, and $C_{14:0}$.

244 The type strain S1-A32-2^T (LMG = 15321^T = DSM 110222^T) was isolated from the top soil of an exten-
245 sively managed grassland plot in the Schorfheide-Chorin exploratory of the long-term biodiversity re-
246 search platform in Germany (53° 5' 14.7" N; 13° 58' 10.7" E). The DNA G+C content of the type strain
247 is 63.43%.

248 Genome sequence accession number: CP053697.

249 16S rRNA gene accession number: MT415401.

250

251 AUTHOR STATEMENTS

252 1.6 Authors and contributors

253 Conceptualization: T, UB, AU, StK, MAH; Formal Analysis: T, UB, AU, TS, BUF, SuK; Writing – original
254 draft: T; Writing – review & editing: UB, BUF, StK, TS, MAH, AU.

255 1.7 Conflicts of interest

256 The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

257 1.8 Funding information

258 The work has been funded by the DFG Priority Program 1374 "Infrastructure-Biodiversity-Explorato-
259 ries". Field work permit was issued by the state environmental offices of Brandenburg.

260 1.9 Ethical approval

261 Not required.

262 1.10 Consent for publication

263 Not required.

264 1.11 Acknowledgements

265 We thank the managers of the Exploratories, Kirsten Reichel-Jung, Florian Straub, Juliane Vogt, Mir-
266 iam Teuscher and all former managers for their work in maintaining the plot and project infrastruc-
267 ture; Christiane Fischer for giving support through the central office, Andreas Ostrowski for manag-
268 ing the central data base, and Markus Fischer, Eduard Linsenmair, Dominik Hessenmöller, Daniel
269 Prati, Ingo Schöning, François Buscot, Ernst-Detlef Schulze, Wolfgang W. Weisser and the late Elisa-
270 beth Kalko for their role in setting up the Biodiversity Exploratories project. Furthermore, we thank
271 Beate Selch, Paul Reim and Ulrike Klauß for their excellent technical assistance (Zalf, Müncheberg).
272 We would also like to acknowledge Prof. Dr. Aharon Oren (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Is-
273 rael) for his guidance on the construction of Latin specific epithet.

274

275

276 ABBREVIATIONS

277 AAI, average amino acid identity; ANI, average nucleotide identity; dDDH, digital DNA-DNA hybridiza-
278 tion; TYGS, Type (Strain) Genome Server; OGRI, overall genome relatedness indices.


279

280 REFERENCES

- 281 1. **Peix A, Ramírez-Bahena M-H, Velázquez E** Historical evolution and current status of the
282 taxonomy of genus *Pseudomonas*. *Infect Genet Evol* 2009;9:1132-1147
283 doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2009.08.001
- 284 2. **Mulet M, Lalucat J, Garcia-Valdes E** DNA sequence-based analysis of the *Pseudomonas*
285 species. *Environ Microbiol* 2010;12:1513-1530 doi:10.1111/j.1462-2920.2010.02181.x
- 286 3. **García-Valdés E, Lalucat J** *Pseudomonas*: Molecular phylogeny and current taxonomy. In
287 *Pseudomonas: Molecular and applied biology* [Kahlon RS (ed.)]. Cham: Springer International
288 Publishing, 2016, 1-23 doi:10.1007/978-3-319-31198-2_1
- 289 4. **Peix A, Ramírez-Bahena M-H, Velázquez E** The current status on the taxonomy of
290 *Pseudomonas* revisited: An update. *Infect Genet Evol* 2018;57:106-116
291 doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2017.10.026
- 292 5. **Palleroni NJ** Introduction to the family *Pseudomonadaceae*. In *The prokaryotes* [Balows A,
293 Trüper HG, Dworkin M, Harder W, Schleifer K-H (eds.)]. Berlin, New York, Heidelberg: Springer-
294 Verlag, 1992, 3071-3085
- 295 6. **Silby MW, Winstanley C, Godfrey SAC, Levy SB, Jackson RW** *Pseudomonas* genomes:
296 diverse and adaptable. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2011;35:652-680 doi:10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00269.x
- 297 7. **Arai H** Regulation and function of versatile aerobic and anaerobic respiratory metabolism in
298 *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Front Microbiol* 2011;2:103 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2011.00103
- 299 8. **Betlach MR, Tiedje JM, Firestone RB** Assimilatory nitrate uptake in *Pseudomonas*
300 *fluorescens* studied using nitrogen-13. *Arch Microbiol* 1981;129:135-140 doi:10.1007/BF00455349
- 301 9. **Su W, Zhang L, Li D, Zhan G, Qian J et al.** Dissimilatory nitrate reduction by *Pseudomonas*
302 *alcaliphila* with an electrode as the sole electron donor. *Biotechnol Bioeng* 2012;109:2904-2910
303 doi:10.1002/bit.24554
- 304 10. **Samuelsson MO** Dissimilatory nitrate reduction to nitrate, nitrous oxide, and ammonium by
305 *Pseudomonas putrefaciens*. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 1985;50:812-815 doi:10.1128/AEM.50.4.812-
306 815.1985
- 307 11. **Pandey CB, Kumar U, Kaviraj M, Minick KJ, Mishra AK et al.** DNRA: A short-circuit in
308 biological N-cycling to conserve nitrogen in terrestrial ecosystems. *Sci Total Environ*
309 2020;738:139710 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139710
- 310 12. **Rütting T, Boeckx P, Muller C, Klemetsson L** Assessment of the importance of dissimilatory
311 nitrate reduction to ammonium for the terrestrial nitrogen cycle. *Biogeosci* 2011;8:1779-1791
312 doi:10.5194/bg-8-1779-2011

- 313 13. **Behrendt U, Schumann P, Stieglmeier M, Pukall R, Augustin J et al.** Characterization of
314 heterotrophic nitrifying bacteria with respiratory ammonification and denitrification activity-
315 description of *Paenibacillus uliginis* sp. nov., an inhabitant of fen peat soil and *Paenibacillus*
316 *purispatii* sp. nov., isolated from a spacecraft assembly clean room. *Syst Appl Microbiol* 2010;33:328-
317 336 doi:10.1016/j.syapm.2010.07.004
- 318 14. **Heylen K, Vanparys B, Wittebolle L, Verstraete W, Boon N et al.** Cultivation of denitrifying
319 bacteria: optimization of isolation conditions and diversity study. *Appl Environ Microbiol*
320 2006;72:2637-2643 doi:10.1128/aem.72.4.2637-2643.2006
- 321 15. **Behrendt U, Ulrich A, Schumann P** Fluorescent pseudomonads associated with the
322 phyllosphere of grasses; *Pseudomonas trivialis* sp. nov., *Pseudomonas poae* sp. nov. and
323 *Pseudomonas congelans* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2003;53:1461-1469
324 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.02567-0
- 325 16. **Yoon SH, Ha SM, Kwon S, Lim J, Kim Y et al.** Introducing EzBioCloud: a taxonomically united
326 database of 16S rRNA gene sequences and whole-genome assemblies. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*
327 2017;67:1613-1617 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.001755
- 328 17. **Meier-Kolthoff JP, Auch AF, Klenk H-P, Göker M** Genome sequence-based species
329 delimitation with confidence intervals and improved distance functions. *BMC Bioinformatics*
330 2013;14:60 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-14-60
- 331 18. **Kim M, Oh H-S, Park S-C, Chun J** Towards a taxonomic coherence between average
332 nucleotide identity and 16S rRNA gene sequence similarity for species demarcation of prokaryotes.
333 *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014;64:346-351 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.059774-0
- 334 19. **Kumar S, Stecher G, Tamura K** MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0
335 for Bigger Datasets. *Mol Biol Evol* 2016;33:1870-1874 doi:10.1093/molbev/msw054
- 336 20. **Aziz RK, Bartels D, Best AA, DeJongh M, Disz T et al.** The RAST Server: rapid annotations
337 using subsystems technology. *BMC Genomics* 2008;9:75-75 doi:10.1186/1471-2164-9-75
- 338 21. **Overbeek R, Olson R, Pusch GD, Olsen GJ, Davis JJ et al.** The SEED and the rapid annotation
339 of microbial genomes using subsystems technology (RAST). *Nucleic Acids Res* 2013;42:D206-D214
340 doi:10.1093/nar/gkt1226
- 341 22. **Chun J, Rainey FA** Integrating genomics into the taxonomy and systematics of the Bacteria
342 and Archaea. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014;64:316-324 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.054171-0
- 343 23. **Yoon SH, Ha SM, Lim J, Kwon S, Chun J** A large-scale evaluation of algorithms to calculate
344 average nucleotide identity. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2017;110:1281-1286 doi:10.1007/s10482-
345 017-0844-4
- 346 24. **Varghese NJ, Mukherjee S, Ivanova N, Konstantinidis KT, Mavrommatis K et al.** Microbial
347 species delineation using whole genome sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2015;43:6761-6771
348 doi:10.1093/nar/gkv657
- 349 25. **Palmer M, Steenkamp ET, Blom J, Hedlund BP, Venter SN** All ANIs are not created equal:
350 implications for prokaryotic species boundaries and integration of ANIs into polyphasic taxonomy.
351 *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2020DOI 10.1099/ijsem.0.004124 doi:10.1099/ijsem.0.004124

- 352 26. **Palleroni NJ** *Pseudomonas*. In *Bergey's Manual of Systematics of Archaea and Bacteria*
353 [Whitman WB, Rainey F, Kämpfer P, Trujillo M, J. Chun *et al.* (eds.)]. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2015,
354 doi:10.1002/9781118960608.gbm01210
- 355 27. **Meier-Kolthoff JP, Klenk H-P, Göker M** Taxonomic use of DNA G+C content and DNA–DNA
356 hybridization in the genomic age. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 2014;64:352-356
357 doi:10.1099/ijs.0.056994-0
- 358 28. **Strejcek M, Smrhova T, Junkova P, Uhlik O** Whole-Cell MALDI-TOF MS versus 16S rRNA gene
359 analysis for identification and dereplication of recurrent bacterial isolates. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9
360 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.01294
- 361 29. **Müller T, Ruppel S, Behrendt U, Lentzsch P, Müller MEH** Antagonistic potential of
362 fluorescent pseudomonads colonizing wheat heads against mycotoxin producing alternaria and
363 fusaria. *Front Microbiol* 2018;9:2124 doi:10.3389/fmicb.2018.02124
- 364 30. **Behrendt U, Ulrich A, Schumann P, Eler W, Burghardt J et al.** A taxonomic study of bacteria
365 isolated from grasses: a proposed new species *Pseudomonas graminis* sp. nov. *Int J Syst Bacteriol*
366 1999;49:297-308 doi:10.1099/00207713-49-1-297
- 367 31. **Ryu E** On the Gram-differentiation of bacteria by the simplest method. *J Jpn Soc Vet Sci*
368 1938;17:58-63
- 369 32. **Sun Y, De Vos P, Heylen K** Nitrous oxide emission by the non-denitrifying, nitrate
370 ammonifier *Bacillus licheniformis*. *BMC Genomics* 2016;17:68 doi:10.1186/s12864-016-2382-2
- 371 33. **Steinbüchel A, Oppermann-Sanio FB, Ewering C, Pötter M** *Mikrobiologisches Praktikum*,
372 Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Spektrum, 2013 doi:10.1007/978-3-642-25151-1
- 373 34. **Gadkari D** Influence of the herbicides Goltix and Sencor on nitrification process in two soils.
374 *Zentralbl Mikrobiol* 1985;140:547-554
375




378 **Figure 1.** Maximum-likelihood tree on the basis of 16S rRNA gene sequences showing the phyloge-
 379 netic position of the strain S1-A32-2^T among type strains of the genus *Pseudomonas*. Filled circles
 380 indicate branches of the tree that were also obtained using the neighbour-joining method. Numbers
 381 at branch nodes refer to bootstrap values >70%. *Cellvibrio japonicus* Ueda107^T was used as the out-
 382 group. Bar, 0.05 substitutions per nucleotide site.

383

384

385



386

387 **Figure 2.** Cluster analysis showing the similarities of the MALDI-TOF mass spectra of strain S1-A32-2^T
388 and type strains of closely related *Pseudomonas* species. Obtained profiles were used to generate a
389 dendrogram using BioTyper (version 3.1, Bruker Daltonics).

390 **Table 1.** dDDH values, G+C content, ANI and 16S rRNA gene similarity values calculated for strain S1-
 391 A32-2^T and for genomes of closely related species.

392

Strains	dDDH values (%)	G+C content (%)	G+C content difference (%)	ANI (%)	Similarity 16S rRNA gene (%)
<i>P. campii</i> sp. nov. (S1-A32-2 ^T)	-	63.43	-	-	-
<i>P. composti</i> (CCUG 59231 ^T)	22.90	62.97	1.47	79.52	97.88
<i>P. guineae</i> (LMG 24016 ^T)	21.70	58.88	4.55	78.44	97.88
<i>P. peli</i> (DSM 17833 ^T)	23.10	60.15	3.28	79.26	98.15
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> (DSM 50071 ^T)	22.50	66.52	3.09	78.96	97.03
<i>P. alcaligenes</i> (NBRC 14167 ^T)	26.90	65.49	2.06	83.58	97.19
<i>P. anguilliseptica</i> (DSM 12111 ^T)	24.40	58.90	4.53	78.71	97.06
<i>P. cuatrocienegasensis</i> (CIP 109853T)	23.00	62.02	1.41	78.06	97.55

393

394 **Table 2.** General statistics of *Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov. S1-A32-2^T genome.

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

Genome	<i>Pseudomonas campii</i> sp. nov. S1-A32-2 ^T
Size (bp)	4,436,925
G+C content (%)	63.5
L50	1
Number of contigs (with PEGs)	1
Number of subsystems	316
Number of coding sequences	4036
Number of RNAs	67

403

404

405

406 **Table 3.** Physiological characteristics that differentiate strain S1-A32-2^T from its closest phylogenetic
 407 relatives.

408 Strains: 1, *Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov. S1-A32-2^T; 2, *Pseudomonas guineae* DSM 21282^T; 3, *Pseudo-*
 409 *monas anguilliseptica* DSM 12111^T; and *Pseudomonas peli* DSM 17833^T. +, Positive; -, negative; w,
 410 weak reaction. Data are from this study.

411

Characteristic	1	2	3	4
Assimilation of (GEN III)				
L-Malic acid	+	+	+	-
L-Aspartic Acid	+	+	-	-
α-Hydroxybutyric acid	+	-	-	+
L-Histidine	+	-	-	-
Formic acid	+	w	-	+
Bromosuccinic acid	-	+	+	+
D-Malic acid	-	+	+	w
α-Ketoglutaric acid	-	+	-	+
Citric acid	-	+	-	+
L-Lactic acid	-	+	-	+
D-Raffinose	-	-	+	-
D-Turanose	-	-	w	-
Sodium bromate	-	-	w	-
Sodium butyrate	-	-	w	-
3-Methyl glucose	-	-	-	w
D- and L-Serine	-	w	+	+
α-Hydroxybutyric acid	w	+	-	+
Methyl pyruvate	w	+	-	+
Acetoacetic acid	w	-	-	+
Gelatin	w	-	-	w
Glucuroamide	w	w	w	+
Tolerance to following				
Lithium chloride	-	+	w	+
Nalidixic acid	-	+	w	-
Fusidic Acid	-	-	w	-
Minocycline	-	-	w	-
Aztreonam	w	+	w	+
Guanidine HCL	w	-	w	+
4% Sodium chloride	-	+	w	+
8% Sodium chloride	-	w	w	+

412

413 Online supplementary material:

414

415

416 ***Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov., a nitrate-reducing bacterium isolated from**
417 **grassland soil**

418

419 Timsy¹, Tobias Spanner², Andreas Ulrich¹, Susanne Kublik³, Bärbel U. Foesel³, Steffen Kolb¹,
420 Marcus A. Horn² and Undine Behrendt^{1*}

421


422

423 ¹Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Eberswalder Str. 84, D-15374
424 Müncheberg, Germany

425 ²Leibniz University Hannover, Institute of Microbiology, Herrenhäuser Str. 2, 30419 Hannover, Ger-
426 many

427 ³Helmholtz Center Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Research Unit for
428 Comparative Microbiome Analysis, Ingolstädter Landstraße 1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany

CONFIDENTIAL



429 **Figure S1.** Maximum-likelihood tree based on RpoD shows phylogenetic position of the strain S1-A32-
 430 2^T among type strains of the genus *Pseudomonas*. Filled circles indicate branches of the tree that were
 431 also obtained using the neighbour-joining method. Trees were generated using 100 bootstrap replica-
 432 tions on the basis on the amino acid alignment. Numbers at branch nodes refers to bootstrap values
 433 (>70%). *Cellvibrio japonicus* Ueda107^T was used as the out-group. Bar, 0.10 substitutions per nucleo-
 434 tide site.
 435

436 **Table S1.** Cellular fatty acid compositions of S1-A32-2^T and related species.

437 Strains: 1, *Pseudomonas campii* sp. nov. S1-A32-2^T; 2, *Pseudomonas guineae* DSM 21282^T; 3, *Pseudo-*
 438 *monas anguilliseptica* DSM 12111^T; and *Pseudomonas peli* DSM 17833^T. TR, <1%; –, undetected. Data
 439 are from this study. Summed feature 1 and 3 contains iso-C_{15:1}H and/or C_{13:0} 3-OH and C_{16:1}ω7c and/or
 440 iso-C_{15:0} 2-OH, respectively.

441

Fatty acid	1	2	3	4
C _{9:0} 3-OH	TR	TR	-	TR
C _{10:0}	TR	TR	TR	TR
C _{10:0} 3-OH	5,37	3,52	2,77	4,03
C _{11:0}	TR	TR	TR	TR
C _{11:0} 3-OH	TR	TR	-	TR
iso-C _{11:0} 3-OH	TR	TR	TR	TR
C _{12:0}	5,62	5,28	3,98	6,07
C _{12:0} 2-OH	TR	TR	TR	TR
C _{12:0} 3-OH	4,25	3,89	3,05	4,91
C _{12:1} 3-OH	TR		TR	-
C _{13:0}	TR	TR	-	TR
iso-C _{13:0}	TR	TR	-	TR
C _{14:0}	1,26	TR	TR	TR
C _{14:1} ω5c	TR	-	-	TR
C _{15:0}	1,97	2,16	1,1	5,42
iso-C _{15:0}	-	-	-	TR
C _{15:1} ω6c	TR	TR	-	1,88
C _{15:1} ω8c	TR	TR	-	TR
C _{16:0}	12,57	14,27	23,03	9,18
C _{16:0} 3-OH	TR	-	-	-
iso-C _{16:0}	-	TR	TR	-
C _{16:1} ω5c	-	TR	-	-
C _{17:0}	1,08	1,49	TR	TR
iso-C _{17:0}	TR	TR	TR	TR
C _{17:1} ω6c	TR	TR	TR	1,52
C _{17:1} ω8c	2,01	1,45	TR	3,73
C _{18:0}	TR	TR	TR	TR
C _{18:1} ω5c	-	TR	-	-
C _{18:1} ω7c	34,43	24,97	31,57	24,6
C _{18:1} ω7c 11-methyl	-	TR	-	-
C _{19:0} 10-methyl	TR	-	-	-
C _{20:1} ω7c	TR	TR	-	-
C _{19:1} ω6c	-	TR	-	TR
Summed feature 1	TR	TR	-	TR
Summed feature 3	20,52	33,75	27,71	24,43
unknown (ECL 11.799)	4,55	3,38	2,93	4,74

442