

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/14391791)

# Basic and Applied Ecology



journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/baae](https://www.elsevier.com/locate/baae)

## INVITED VIEWS IN BASIC AND APPLIED ECOLOGY

## The multiple-mechanisms hypothesis of biodiversity–stability relationships



Nico Eisenhauer <sup>a, b, \*</sup>, Kevin Mueller <sup>c</sup>, Anne Ebeling <sup>d</sup>, Gerd Gleixner <sup>e</sup>, Yuanyuan Huang <sup>a, b</sup>, Anna-Maria Madaj<sup>a,b</sup>, Christiane Roscher<sup>a,f</sup>, Alexandra Weigelt<sup>a,g</sup>, Michael Bahn<sup>h</sup>, Michael Bonkowski<sup>i</sup>, Ulrich Brose<sup>a,j</sup>, Simone Cesarz<sup>a,b</sup>, Hannes Feilhauer<sup>a,k,l</sup>, Claudia Guimaraes-Steinicke <sup>j, k, l</sup>, Anna Heintz-Buschart <sup>m</sup>, Jes Hines <sup>a, b</sup>, Markus Lange <sup>e</sup>, Sebastian T. Meyer $\text{ }^{\text{n}}$ , Neha Mohanbabu $\text{ }^{\text{o}}$ , Liesje Mommer $\text{ }^{\text{p}}$ , Sigrid Neuhauser $\text{ }^{\text{q}},$ Yvonne Oelmann<sup>r</sup>, Soroor Rahmanian<sup>a, b, l</sup>, Takehiro Sasaki <sup>b, s, t</sup>, Stefan Scheu<sup>u</sup>, Holger Schielzeth $^\mathrm{d}$ , Bernhard Schmid $^\mathrm{v}$ , Michael Schloter $^\mathrm{w,x}$ , Stefanie Schulz $^\mathrm{w},$ Sybille B. Unsicker<sup>y</sup>, Cordula Vogel<sup>z</sup>, Wolfgang W. Weisser<sup>n</sup>, Forest Isbell<sup>aa</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research (iDiv) Halle-Jena-Leipzig, Puschstraße 4, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*

<sup>b</sup> *Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Puschstraße 4, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*

<sup>c</sup> Department of Biological, Geological, and Environmental Sciences, Cleveland State University, 2121 Euclid Avenue, 44115 Cleveland, USA

- <sup>d</sup> *Institute of Ecology and Evolution, University Jena, Dornburger Straße 159, 07743 Jena, Germany*
- <sup>e</sup> *Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany*
- <sup>f</sup> *UFZ, Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research, Physiological Diversity, Permoserstrasse 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany*
- <sup>g</sup> *Institute of Biology, Leipzig University, Johannisallee 21-23, 04103 Leipzig, Germany*
- <sup>h</sup> Department of Ecology, Universität Innsbruck, Sternwartestr. 15, 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- <sup>i</sup> Terrestrial *Ecology, Institute of Zoology, University of Cologne, Zülpicher Str 47b, 50674 Köln, Germany*
- <sup>j</sup> *Institute of Biodiversity, Friedrich Schiller University Jena, Jena, Germany*
- <sup>k</sup> Remote Sensing Center for Earth System Research, Department of Remote Sensing in Geo- Ecosystem research, Leipzig University, Talstr. 35 04103, Leipzig, Germany
- <sup>l</sup> *Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research - UFZ Leipzig, Permoserstraße 15, 04318 Leipzig, Germany*
- m Biosystems Data Analysis, Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, Universiteit van Amsterdam, Science park 904, 1098 XH Amsterdam, the Netherlands
- n Technical University of Munich, Terrestrial Ecology Research Group, Department for Life Science Systems, School of Life Sciences, Freising, Germany
- <sup>o</sup> *Department of Forest Resources, Green Hall, 2005 Upper Buford Cir, St Paul, MN 55108, USA*
- P Forest Ecology and Forest Management group, Wageningen University & Research, PObox 47 6700 AA, the Netherlands
- <sup>q</sup> Department of Microbiology, Universität Innsbruck, Technikerstr. 25 6020 Innsbruck, Austria
- <sup>r</sup> *Geoecology, Department of Geoscience, University of Tübingen, Rümelinstr. 19-23, 72070 Tübingen, Germany*
- <sup>s</sup> Graduate School of Environment and Information Sciences, Yokohama National University, 79-7 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya, Yokohama, 2408501, Japan
- <sup>t</sup> JFB Institute of Zoology and Anthropology, University of Göttingen, Untere Karspüle 2, 37073 Göttingen, Germany
- <sup>u</sup> *Centre of Biodiversity and Sustainable Land Use, University of G*¨ *ottingen, Büsgenweg 1, G*¨ *ottingen, Germany*
- V Remote Sensing Laboratories, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
- <sup>w</sup> *Research Unit for Comparative Microbiome Analysis, Helmholtz Munich, Ingolstaedter Landstr.1, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany*
- <sup>x</sup> *Chair for Environmental Microbiology, Technical University of Munich, Emil Ramann Str. 2 85354 Freising*
- <sup>y</sup> *Plant-Environment-Interactions Group, Botanical Institute, University of Kiel, Am Botanischen Garten 5, 24118 Kiel, Germany*
- <sup>z</sup> Institute of Soil Science and Site Ecology, Technical University of Dresden, Pienner Strasse 19, 01737 Tharandt, Germany
- aa Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota, 1479 Gortner Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

*Keywords:* Biodiversity change Biodiversity–ecosystem functioning Complementarity Resistance Recovery Resilience

Long-term research in grassland biodiversity experiments has provided empirical evidence that ecological and evolutionary processes are intertwined in determining both biodiversity–ecosystem functioning (BEF) and biodiversity–stability relationships. Focusing on plant diversity, we hypothesize that multifunctional stability is highest in high-diversity plant communities and that biodiversity–stability relationships increase over time due to a variety of forms of ecological complementarity including the interaction with other biota above and below ground. We introduce the *multiple-mechanisms hypothesis of biodiversity*–*stability relationships* suggesting that it is not an individual mechanism that drives long-term biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and stability but

\* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2024.07.004>

Available online 20 July 2024 Received 9 February 2024; Accepted 17 July 2024

1439-1791/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier GmbH on behalf of Gesellschaft für Ökologie. This is an open access article under the CC BY license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

*E-mail address:* [nico.eisenhauer@idiv.de](mailto:nico.eisenhauer@idiv.de) (N. Eisenhauer).

that several intertwined processes produce increasingly positive ecosystem effects. The following six mechanisms are important. Low-diversity plant communities accumulate more plant antagonists over time (1), and use resources less efficiently and have more open, leaky nutrient cycles (2). Conversely, high-diversity plant communities support a greater diversity and activity of beneficial interaction partners across trophic levels (3); diversify in their traits over time and space, within and across species, to optimize temporal (intra- and interannual) and spatial complementarity (4), create a more stable microclimate (5), and foster higher top-down control of aboveground and belowground herbivores by predators (6). In line with the observation that different species play unique roles in ecosystems that are dynamic and multifaceted, the particular mechanism contributing most to the higher performance and stability of diverse plant communities might differ across ecosystem functions, years, locations, and environmental change scenarios. This indicates "between-context insurance" or "across-context complementarity" of different mechanisms. We introduce examples of experiments that will be conducted to test our hypotheses and which might inspire additional work.

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Long-term biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships and underlying mechanisms**

Ecosystem-level impacts of biodiversity change have been the focus of many experiments since the 1990s. Long-term experiments where biodiversity is manipulated have shown that *the strength of the mostly positive biodiversity effect on ecosystem functioning increases with time. That is,* the initially saturating biodiversity–ecosystem functioning (BEF) relationship typically observed a couple of years after starting the experiment becomes (more) linear in later years ([Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Meyer](#page-11-0) et al., 2016; [Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017; [Huang](#page-11-0) et al., 2018; [Bongers](#page-10-0) et al., 2021; [Eisenhauer,](#page-10-0) 2022; Van Ruijven & [Berendse,](#page-12-0) 2009; [Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022). This has now been shown for primary productivity in both grassland ([Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022) and forest ([Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017; [Bongers](#page-10-0) et al., 2021) biodiversity experiments, suggesting that biodiversity effects need time to establish and that old, biodiverse grasslands and forests are highly multifunctional and should be in the focus of nature conservation. The implications of such dissimilar short- *versus* long-term BEF relationships are important: while short-term studies imply functional redundancy among species, long-term studies support the singular hypothesis of biodiversity ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2010), meaning that each coexisting species in a community is required for maximizing ecosystem functioning [\(Allan](#page-10-0) et al., [2011;](#page-10-0) [Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2023). Recent studies have investigated the mechanistic basis of the divergence of short-term from long-term effects by manipulating the temporal evolution of both plant traits (*i.e.,* plant history) and plant-soil interactions (*i.e.,* soil history; see below) ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019a; [Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019). Moreover, studies have found evidence that strengthening biodiversity effects are attributable to (i) deteriorating performance of low-diversity communities, (ii) improving performance of high-diversity communities, or (iii) both [\(Meyer](#page-11-0) et al., 2016; [Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017). Since low-diversity plant communities are widely used in landscapes managed for production, such as agricultural systems and tree plantations ([Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., [2017a;](#page-11-0) [b](#page-11-0)), deteriorating low-diversity communities may compromise the long-term provisioning of vital ecosystem services in managed ecosystems [\(Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019b). It is therefore crucial to develop a comprehensive understanding of how *biotic interactions and eco-evolutionary dynamics* are affected by biodiversity and how this influences ecosystem functioning in the short and in the long term.

Recent results show that *both soil and plant history are important determinants of long-term biodiversity effects* (*e.g.*, [Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019; [Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al., 2021; van [Moorsel](#page-12-0) et al., 2021; Fig. 1). In this context, *soil history* refers to the assembly of distinct soil biotic communities and changes in soil nutrient availability over time ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019a; [Lange](#page-11-0) et al., 2019) due to differences in plant diversity ([Bever](#page-10-0) et al., [1997;](#page-10-0) [Bever,](#page-10-0) 2003; [Eisenhauer,](#page-10-0) 2012; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2012; [Wang](#page-13-0) et al., [2023\)](#page-13-0). Accumulation of specific plant antagonists and imbalanced use of resources can generate '*negative feedback effects*' on plants at low plant diversity [\(Maron](#page-11-0) et al., 2011; [Schnitzer](#page-12-0) et al., 2011; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0)



Fig. 1. Effects of plant diversity on plant biomass production as affected by plant history (PH) and soil history (SH). Six years of data from the ABEF Experiment [\(Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019). We established subplots without soil history and without plant history (treatment D1), by excavating the soil and plant layer to a depth of 30 cm, replacing it with soil of an adjacent arable field of the same soil layer, and sowing the same plot-specific plant species mixtures as in 2002 (new seeds). In subplots with soil history and without plant history (treatment D2), we removed the plant sod by using a digger while keeping the soil of the respective plots, homogenized the upper 30 cm, and sowed the same plot-specific plant species mixtures as in 2002 (new seeds). As the third subplot (treatment D3), we used parts of the existing Main Experiment, which has a grown soil and plant history since 2002. Aboveground biomass was harvested twice per year (~late May/early June and late August/early September) before mowing the entire experimental plots, as typically done in extensively-used meadows in the region. The vegetation was clipped 3 cm above soil surface within two randomly placed frames  $(20 \times 50 \text{ cm})$  per subplot and sorted by sown species, while non-target weeds, dead, and unidentifiable plant material were removed. After drying at 70 ℃ for 48 h, samples were weighed and dry mass of target species was summed to community-level values for each subplot. Annual biomass was calculated as the sum of the two individual harvests. These results indicate that the steeper BEF slope was primarily determined by poorly functioning low-diversity plant communities in the initial years of the experiment (see different slopes in 2017) but that these differences among history treatments disappeared over time, as hypothesized [\(Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019).

et al., [2012;](#page-10-0) [Kulmatiski](#page-11-0) et al., 2012; [Mommer](#page-12-0) et al., 2018). There is ample empirical evidence showing that pathogens and parasites accumulate and spread specifically in low-diversity plant communities, while high-diversity communities dilute target organisms in a diverse matrix of other species and provide more protection via plant mutualists ([Latz](#page-11-0) et al., [2012;](#page-11-0) [Rottstock](#page-12-0) et al., 2014; [Civitello](#page-10-0) et al., 2015; [Wang](#page-13-0) et al., [2023;](#page-13-0) [Mahon](#page-11-0) et al., 2024). Increased concentrations of plant antagonists have, in turn, been shown to drive positive biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships by decreasing the performance of low-diversity communities [\(Maron](#page-11-0) et al., 2011; [Schnitzer](#page-12-0) et al., 2011). In contrast, enhancement of high-diversity communities has been associated with plant diversity-dependent increases in soil fertility through increased storage of carbon and nitrogen [\(Fornara](#page-10-0) & Tilman, 2008; [Steinbeiss](#page-12-0) et al., 2008; [Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Leimer](#page-11-0) et al., 2016; [Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al., [2023](#page-10-0); Lange et al., [2023a\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, there is an accumulation of plant growth-promoting organisms under high plant diversity ('*positive feedback effects*' by *e.g.*, mycorrhizal fungi, microbes with the potential for biocontrol of pathogens as well as microbes which catalyze the mobilization of plant available nutrients; [Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al., 2020; [Eisen](#page-10-0)[hauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2012; Latz et al., [2012](#page-11-0); [Rosenkranz](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., [2019a\)](#page-10-0).

*Plant history* refers to variation in trait expression of plants mediated by micro-evolutionary changes, with an emphasis on traits that are relevant for biotic interactions and feedback effects on ecosystem functioning ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019b). The competitive environment, as well as mutualistic and antagonistic multitrophic interaction partners above and below the ground, impose selective pressures on members of the plant community [\(Lipowsky](#page-11-0) et al., 2011; [Zuppinger-Dingley](#page-13-0) et al., [2014\)](#page-13-0) and thereby create 'eco-to-evo' feedbacks ([Hendry,](#page-11-0) 2016). Evolutionary changes, such as increased niche differentiation [\(Zuppin](#page-13-0)[ger-Dingley](#page-13-0) et al., 2014), and increased resource-use complementarity due to community assembly processes ([Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., [2013b;](#page-12-0) [Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022), will slowly build up and can thus produce increasing biodiversity effects over time [\(Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019b; [Thakur](#page-12-0) et al., 2021; Chen et al., [2022\)](#page-10-0).

BEF research has revealed strong positive effects of biodiversity on various ecosystem functions (*e.g.*, productivity, decomposition, soil carbon storage, herbivory, pollination, and pest regulation; [Weisser](#page-13-0) et al., [2017](#page-13-0)) and has linked these effects to a range of underlying mechanisms (see Box 1 for definitions). Positive BEF relationships can be observed at different spatial ([Cardinale](#page-10-0) et al., 2012; [Hautier](#page-11-0) et al., 2018; [Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2011; [Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., 2005; [Thompson](#page-12-0) et al., 2018; [van](#page-12-0) der Plas et al., [2016](#page-12-0)) and temporal scales ([Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017; [Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Zavaleta](#page-13-0) et al., 2010), and can be multi-dimensional on both the driver (*i.e.,* multidiversity) and response side (*i.e.,* multifunctionality; Hector & [Bagchi,](#page-11-0) 2007; [Lefcheck](#page-11-0) et al., 2015; [Meyer](#page-12-0) et al., [2018;](#page-12-0) [Schuldt](#page-12-0) et al., 2018; [Soliveres](#page-12-0) et al., 2016). One of the most important conclusions of BEF research is that the strength of BEF relationships is strongly context-dependent [\(Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019b; [Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2011; but see [Hong](#page-11-0) et al., 2022). BEF relationships have been shown to depend on climatic conditions [\(Maestre](#page-11-0) et al., 2012; [Ratcliffe](#page-12-0) et al., 2017), local site conditions [\(Allan](#page-10-0) et al., 2015; [Eisen](#page-10-0)hauer et al., [2019b;](#page-10-0) [Fridley,](#page-10-0) 2002; [Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2001), and disturbance and management regimes [\(Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017; [Kardol](#page-11-0) et al., [2018;](#page-11-0) [Weigelt](#page-13-0) et al., 2009), which interact with biodiversity ([Guerrer](#page-11-0)o-Ramírez & [Eisenhauer,](#page-11-0) 2017; but see [Craven](#page-10-0) et al., 2016). Accordingly, mechanisms underlying biodiversity effects have been found to differ from one community to the next.

**Box 1. Definitions and main concepts explaining biodiversity-ecosystem functioning and biodiversity-stability relationships (in** alphabetical order). For details, see the recent reviews by de Bello et al. [\(2021\),](#page-10-0) [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al. (2021), Yi and [Jackson](#page-13-0) (2021), and **[Loreau](#page-11-0) et al. (2022).**

**Abiotic facilitation effect:** occurs when other species mitigate environmental stress via nutrient enrichment or other changes in the abiotic environment, *e.g.*, microclimate, soil chemical or physical properties [\(Wright](#page-13-0) et al., 2017; [Barry](#page-10-0) et al., 2019). Recent work suggests that an accumulation of soil carbon (Lange et al., 2021; Lange et al., [2023a](#page-11-0)) may increase the buffering capacity of microclimatic conditions in high-diversity plant communities ([Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al. 2024).

**Biological insurance:** general concept used to denote the fact that aggregate ecosystem properties vary less in more diverse communities because of compensatory changes between functionally similar species or phenotypes across time, space, or both ([Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021). Biological insurance theory identified differential responses of species to environmental variations through either time (Yachi & [Loreau,](#page-13-0) 1999) or space [\(Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2003). The term "**spatial insurance**" is sometimes used in the literature when focusing on spatial dimensions of biological insurance ([Weigelt](#page-13-0) et al., 2008; [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021; although being less in the focus of the experimental ideas presented below).

**Biotic facilitation effect:** occurs when biodiversity confers a facilitative effect by diluting the effects of pathogens in high-diversity plant communities [\(Wright](#page-13-0) et al., 2017; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019b; [Huang](#page-11-0) et al. 2022; [Wang](#page-13-0) et al., 2023) or via enhanced positive soil feedback effects through mutualistic organisms like mycorrhiza (soil nutrient uptake) and rhizobia (N fixation; *e.g.*, in legumes) [\(Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2012, [2019b\)](#page-10-0).

**Buffering/portfolio effect:** the increased temporal stability or reduced variability of aggregate ecosystem properties that result from increasing biodiversity [\(Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021) because of the statistical averaging of the fluctuations in species properties.

**Complementarity:** broad concept describing a situation where differences between co-occurring individuals or species may cause enhanced ecosystem functioning in more diverse ecosystems. Barry et al. [\(2019\)](#page-10-0) separate complementarity into three types: (i) resource-use complementarity or resource partitioning (*i.e.*, different co-occurring species use resources in distinct, complementary ways for growth, defense, and reproduction through resource partitioning), (ii) abiotic facilitation, and (iii) biotic feedbacks (including biotic facilitation). While many of the types of complementarity refer to different species complementing each other in space, temporal complementarity of species might be at least equally important for biodiversity-stability relationships. In this context, a key underlying mechanism of the biodiversity-stability relationship is the **asynchrony** of different species (Loreau & de [Mazancourt,](#page-11-0) 2008; de [Bello](#page-10-0) et al., 2021; [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021).

**Net biodiversity effects:** can be mathematically partitioned into separate but additive **complementarity effects** and **selection effects** when comparing species mixtures to monocultures.

**Complementarity effects:** are often quantified as the performance of mixtures relative to the performance of the component monocultures according to the additive partitioning method (Loreau & [Hector,](#page-11-0) 2001). They are theoretically attributed to the factors described above for complementarity.

**Selection effect:** is often quantified using the additive partitioning method and describes a situation where the presence and abundance of species with particular traits affect ecosystem processes (*e.g.*, biomass production; Loreau & [Hector,](#page-11-0) 2001). A selection effect can be detected when species that have the greatest performance in monoculture become dominant in multispecies communities [\(Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021).

**Disturbances** or perturbations: are often classified as pulse (short) or press (longer-term), depending on their duration. What is experienced as a short pulse disturbance by a plant community might be considered a press disturbance for its microbiome and other biota [\(Shade](#page-12-0) et al., 2012), due to differences in life history of the different organismic groups.

**Extreme climate events:** have various definitions in the literature taking a climatological or impact-related perspective ([van](#page-12-0) de Pol et al., [2017](#page-12-0)), and no universally accepted definition exists (van de Pol et al., [2017\)](#page-12-0). Here, we follow previous work (*e.g.*, [Ummenhofer](#page-12-0) & Meehl, 2017) and apply the climatological definition by the IPCC Special Report on Extreme Events [\(IPCC,](#page-11-0) 2012) for a climate extreme as "*the occurrence of a* value of a weather or climate variable above (or below) a threshold value near the upper (or lower) ends of the range of observed values of the variable *(typically 5 % or 10 %)*". Moreover, 'climate extreme' is regarded as an aggregate term encompassing both 'extreme weather' and 'extreme climate' events [\(Frank](#page-10-0) et al. 2015). Notably, "the distinction of weather events and climate events is related to the timescale: an extreme climate event occurs on longer timescales than an extreme weather event and can be the accumulation of extreme weather events" ([Frank](#page-10-0) et al., 2015). We note that "climate" is a term used for long-term characteristics, while many extreme events may be more adequately referred to as "weather events". However, given the dominance of the term "climate extreme events" in the literature (e.g., Pörtner et al., 2021; [Mahecha](#page-11-0) et al., 2022), we use this term for comparability and consistency.

**Fast-slow trade-off** (with focus on biodiversity-stability relationships)**:** broad hypothesis based on plant functional traits suggesting that "slow" species or communities are more resistant (but recover less quickly) to environmental disturbances, while "fast" species or communities are not resistant to environmental disturbances but recover more quickly ([Reich,](#page-12-0) 2014). For an in-depth review of trait-based mechanisms driving ecosystem stability, see de Bello et al. [\(2021\).](#page-10-0)

**Growth-defense trade-off**: it is assumed that plants face a physiological trade-off between either the allocation of resources to defense and away from plant growth and development or reduced investments to root symbionts, like mycorrhiza ([Walters](#page-13-0) & Heil, 2007). As plant pathogens are assumed to accumulate in plant species-poor communities over time, a reduced performance of plant offspring with a history of low diversity compared to high diversity is seen as an adaptation to higher plant investments into defense ([Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al., 2021). Accordingly, the growth-defense trade-off contributes to explain the strengthening of the BEF relationship over time.

**Outsourcing-"do-it-yourself" trade-off** (with focus on biodiversity-stability relationships)**:** collaboration gradient ranging from "do-it-yourself" soil exploration by roots with high specific length to "outsourcing" by investing carbon into the mycorrhizal partner and hence extraradical hyphae, which requires a large cortex fraction and root diameter ([Bergmann](#page-10-0) et al., 2020). The collaboration gradient likely has consequences for biodiversity-stability relationships. Given the well-established role of mycorrhizal fungi in drought and pathogen resistance, it is likely that species or communities dominated by outsourcing traits might be more resistant to abiotic and biotic stress but recover more slowly. For an in-depth review of trait-based mechanisms driving ecosystem stability, see de Bello et al. [\(2021\).](#page-10-0)

**Performance-enhancing effect:** a type of selection effect that applies when environmental conditions vary and the best-performing species are favored under each environmental condition [\(Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021).

**Recovery:** the ability of ecosystem properties to return to their pre-disturbance status after a disturbance (Yi & [Jackson,](#page-13-0) 2021) ([Fig.](#page-6-0) 3).

**Resilience:** integrated measure of stability, including the resistance and recovery of ecosystem properties in response to a disturbance [\(Helfgott,](#page-11-0) [2015](#page-11-0); [Ingrisch](#page-11-0) & Bahn, 2018; Yi & [Jackson](#page-13-0) 2021). Many perspectives and definitions exist for resilience (*e.g.*, ecological resilience *versus* engineering resilience; [Holling,](#page-11-0) 1996), and we mostly refer to resistance and recovery following Isbell et al. [\(2015\)](#page-11-0) and [Fig.](#page-6-0) 3 below.

**Resistance:** the ability to resist changes in ecosystem properties (*i.e.*, staying essentially unchanged) in response to a disturbance ([Grimm](#page-11-0) & [Calabrese,](#page-11-0) 2011; van [Meerbeek](#page-12-0) et al., 2021) ([Fig.](#page-4-0) 2).

**Stability:** a broad concept that we use here to denote a reduced variability of an aggregate ecosystem property, usually through time (*i.e.*, across multiple years; [Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015; [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021). Stability is often decomposed into the components resistance, recovery, and resilience.

**Variability:** variation of an ecological property (*e.g.*, plant biomass or production) through either time or space. Classic measures of variability include the variance, standard deviation, or coefficient of variation of the ecological property [\(Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021).

Exploring soil- and plant-history effects in more detail, recent work in biodiversity experiments suggests it is not individual mechanisms that drive long-term biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning, but it is several intertwined processes and organisms across different trophic levels that produce increasingly positive ecosystem effects ("*multiplemechanisms hypothesis*"; Box 2). The processes listed below are vital mechanisms in the context of BEF that should be considered together. More specifically, it was demonstrated that (i) specific plant antagonists accumulate and dominate in low-diversity plant communities ([Mommer](#page-12-0) et al. [2018;](#page-12-0) [Thakur](#page-12-0) et al. 2021; [Huang](#page-11-0) et al. 2022; [Amyntas](#page-10-0) et al. 2023) and correlate especially with "do-it-yourself" root traits (J. Hennecke *unpubl. data;* Xi et al., [2021](#page-13-0)) (ii) diverse plant communities support a greater diversity and activity of beneficial interaction partners across trophic levels ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al. 2017; [Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al. 2020; A. Asato *unpubl. data*; [Amyntas](#page-10-0) et al., 2023; Li et al., [2023;](#page-11-0) [Zytynska](#page-13-0) et al., 2016), (iii) use resources more efficiently ([Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al., 2020; [Oelmann](#page-12-0) et al.,

[2021\)](#page-12-0), and (iv) diversify in their traits to optimize complementarity ([Zuppinger-Dingley](#page-13-0) et al., 2014). Moreover, energy flux calculations indicate (v) higher top-down control of aboveground herbivores by predators and lower herbivory pressure on plants above [\(Barnes](#page-10-0) et al., [2020\)](#page-10-0) and below the ground [\(Amyntas](#page-10-0) et al., 2023) in diverse plant communities. Simply put, at low plant diversity, it may be most important for plants to defend themselves against various kinds of antagonists or to have a faster turnover in the populations (shorter life cycle) [\(Roeder](#page-12-0) et al., 2019), while at high plant diversity, the resource competition among plant species may make it more important to have beneficial partners in soil to optimize nutrient acquisition and/or to have different or plastic traits in comparison to neighboring plants for use of water, nutrients, and light [\(Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., 2015, [2018;](#page-12-0) [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., [2019a\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, (vi) high-diversity plant communities provide more stable microclimate conditions than low-diversity plant communities that may be key for the maintenance of multitrophic biodiversity (Lange et al., [2023b](#page-11-0); [Schnabel](#page-12-0) et al., 2023) and ecosystem functioning ([Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al., 2024). Based on these multiple mechanisms, the

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Fig. 2.** Visual representation of the multiple-mechanisms hypothesis of biodiversity–stability relationships.

importance of biodiversity for sustaining ecosystem functioning can be attributed to an increasing complementarity among species through time ([Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022), whereby species are on average able to better maintain, or even increase, their relative productivity over many years in high-diversity communities than in low-diversity communities, *e.g.*, by resource partitioning, facilitation, or biotic interactions ([Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017; [Barry](#page-10-0) et al., 2019; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019a; [Wright](#page-13-0) et al., 2021).

The mechanisms generating stronger biodiversity-ecosystem functioning relationships over time (*e.g.,* enhanced complementarity, abiotic and biotic facilitation, higher resource-use efficiency; Box 1) are also likely to make more diverse plant communities more stable in terms of ecosystem functioning ([Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015; [Craven](#page-10-0) et al., 2018; [Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., [2022\)](#page-12-0). Thus, the temporally increasing biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships should lead to increasing biodiversity–stability relationships, but there has been limited empirical evidence collected so far, and the theoretical work is in its infancy ([Amyntas](#page-10-0) et al., 2023). Past research suggests that higher plant species richness can maintain more stable productivity due to a greater likelihood that some species will be able to maintain productivity during times when others cannot, such as during a drought or other disturbances, referred to as the portfolio or insurance effect [\(Allan](#page-10-0) et al., 2011; [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021; Box 1). This means that ecosystem functioning may be stabilized by species that are temporally asynchronous in their performance as well as by the presence of particularly productive species that exhibit stable population dynamics through time (de Bello et al., [2021](#page-10-0); [Craven](#page-10-0) et al., 2018). Recent studies in the Jena Experiment ([Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., 2004) show that the mechanisms stabilizing ecosystem functioning can change with community age [\(Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022; [Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al., 2024) and that the roles of species' interannual complementarity (asynchrony) for stabilizing ecosystem functioning may take up to decades to grow in experiments that manipulate plant diversity ([Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022). Moreover, yield decline over time (due to the fact that the Jena Experiment was established on a previously heavily fertilized agricultural field, and the soil continuously lost nutrients through the removal of plant biomass during harvests; [Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., 2004) was lower in high-diversity communities in absolute and relative terms, resulting in temporally strengthening positive effects of richness on productivity, complementarity effects, and stability relationships ([Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022). In the later years of the experiment, asynchrony played a more important role in providing community stability at high plant diversity, and only then were species complementarity effects significantly related to species asynchrony.

## **Box 2. The multiple-mechanisms hypothesis of biodiversity–stability relationships.**

New hypothesis based on an earlier synthesis [\(Weisser](#page-13-0) et al., 2017) and recent work in the Jena Experiment (see main text). It suggests that it is not individual mechanisms that drive long-term biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and stability, but it is a *multitude of intertwined processes that produce increasingly positive ecosystem effects* [\(Fig.](#page-4-0) 2). Important mechanisms include:

(i) Low-diversity plant communities are dominated by accumulating above- and belowground plant-antagonists (*i.e.*, pests and pathogens) over time;

(ii) Low-diversity plant communities use resources less efficiently than high-diversity plant communities and have more open, leaky nutrient cycles;

(iii) High-diversity plant communities support a greater diversity and activity of beneficial interaction partners across trophic levels;

(iv) High-diversity plant communities diversify in their traits within and across species to optimize temporal (intra- and interannual) and spatial complementarity;

(v) High-diversity plant communities have greater top-down control of aboveground and belowground herbivores by predators;

(vi) High-diversity plant communities create more stable environmental conditions than low-diversity plant communities.

In line with the observation that different species fulfill unique roles in ecosystems when considering multiple ecosystem functions, years, locations, and environmental change scenarios [\(Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2011), the prevalence of specific mechanisms contributing to the enhanced performance and stability of diverse plant communities could vary in different contexts. This suggests the existence of "between-context insurance" or "across-context complementarity," indicating that different mechanisms may play a more prominent role in different scenarios or environments. Taken together, these mechanisms might represent a prerequisite for coexistence without competitive exclusion, as interspecific competition for resources is weaker than intraspecific competition (coexistence principles; [Turnbull](#page-12-0) et al., 2016; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., [2019a,](#page-10-0) [2023](#page-10-0); Yu et al., [2024\)](#page-13-0).

Given the significant role of plant and soil history in driving biodiversity-productivity relationships ([Fig.](#page-1-0) 1) and the stabilizing mechanisms of biodiversity (Boxes 1 and 2), plant and soil history may be critical components driving long-term biodiversity–stability relationships. Recent work shows that plant-community responses to disturbances like drought and the stability of ecosystem functioning are determined by the history of plant-environment interactions. Under drought, soil history and plant history had species-specific effects on trait expression (shoot, leaf, and root traits) and community functioning (*i.e.,* plant biomass production) ([Dietrich](#page-10-0) et al., 2022). Moreover, drought-selected plants showed greater among-species complementarity (as indicated by a significant positive complementarity effect; [Loreau](#page-11-0)  $\&$ [Hector,](#page-11-0) 2001) than ambient climate-selected plants when recovering from a subsequent drought, causing stronger biodiversity effects on productivity and better recovery of drought-selected mixtures after the drought ([Chen](#page-10-0) et al., 2022). Moreover, drought was shown to decrease bacterial and fungal richness and modify relationships between plant species richness and microbial groups in experimental microcosms, as well as increase net biodiversity effects via drought-related soil-legacy effects (Xi et al., [2022](#page-13-0); note that it may be important to differentiate short-term *versus* long-term drought effects, [Albracht](#page-10-0) et al., 2023). Taken together, these findings suggest that "old" high-diversity plant communities with exposure to recurrent extreme climatic events can improve ecosystem responses to future events through transgenerational reinforcement of species complementarity. However, these prior studies of biodiversity-stability relationships primarily quantified the "complementarity effect" following [Loreau](#page-11-0) and Hector (2001) ([Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., [2022\)](#page-12-0), which is "blind" to the mechanisms, and implies that there must be complementarity of one or more functional traits of the species in more diverse communities (de Bello et al., [2021\)](#page-10-0). This complementarity can be achieved by multiple combinations of different mechanisms, such as by mechanisms associated with plant traits conferring *e.g.* drought tolerance or by stronger interactions between plants and their microbiome, which can complement or facilitate plant drought resistance traits (de Vries et al., [2020\)](#page-10-0). An important frontier of future work is to go beyond quantifying the "complementarity effect", to identifying and quantifying its underlying mechanisms. In this paper, we focus on biodiversity-stability relationships based on long-term temporal stability, stability of seasonal dynamics, as well as the stability facets resistance and recovery (Box 1). Moreover, we put particular emphasis on the stabilizing role of biodiversity under extreme climate events. We note that "climate" is a term used for long-term characteristics, while many extreme events may be more adequately referred to as "weather events". However, given the dominance of the term "climate extreme events" in the literature (e.g., Pörtner et al., 2021; [Mahecha](#page-11-0) et al., 2022), we use this term for comparability and consistency (Box 1).

#### *Ecosystem stability*

Ecosystem stability has a long history of ecological research as well as scientific debate, and it is now of exceptionally high scientific interest given the unprecedented global changes [\(Hautier](#page-11-0) et al., 2015; [Oliver](#page-12-0) et al., [2015;](#page-12-0) de Bello et al., [2021;](#page-10-0) [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021). First, with the human population having just reached eight billion people, the stable provisioning of multiple ecosystem services is critical for food security and human health. Second, propelled by increasing climate change, environmental fluctuation and the frequency and intensity of extreme climate events are threatening ecosystems and their services around the world (Pörtner et al., 2021; [Mahecha](#page-11-0) et al., 2022). This gives the quest for stabilizing ecosystem properties particular importance and has sparked the idea of nature-based solutions to address the climate and biodiversity crises in concert (Pörtner et al., 2021; [Mahecha](#page-11-0) et al., [2022\)](#page-11-0). After some initial debate on biodiversity's role in different stability facets (May [1974](#page-11-0); [Pimm,](#page-12-0) 1984; [McCann,](#page-11-0) 2000; Ives & [Carpenter,](#page-11-0) [2007;](#page-11-0) [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021), maximizing biodiversity is now thought to be key for the development of sustainable management strategies and the stable supply of multiple ecosystem services (Isbell et al., [2017a](#page-11-0); [Meyer](#page-12-0) et al., [2018](#page-12-0)) due to a multitude of mechanisms (Box 1; de [Bello](#page-10-0) et al., [2021;](#page-10-0) [Loreau](#page-11-0) et al., 2021). However, biodiversity may be particularly important in providing ecosystem resistance, while the effect of biodiversity on recovery might be a less consistent predictor for long-term stability ([Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015). Exploring biodiversity effects on different stability facets and formalizing relationships among stability components may help synthesize previous theoretical and empirical results while also providing new strategies for predicting and enhancing stability and forecasting responses to environmental disturbances. For

<span id="page-6-0"></span>example, statistical and experimental attempts to quantify *which* parts of the multiple-mechanisms hypothesis have the greatest impacts on ecosystem stability from one context to another could help identify both: i) which aspects of biodiversity are most important to monitor and manage for optimal stability of ecosystem services, and ii) other management strategies that may be important to consider, coincident with biodiversity, because they could affect stability in ways that are not mediated by biodiversity alone (*e.g.*, strategies for fertilization, livestock grazing, and biomass harvesting). Given the novel results summarized above and the methodological value of direct and persistent manipulations of biodiversity, long-term biodiversity experiments may be particularly valuable in testing the stabilizing effects of biodiversity due to changing stabilizing mechanisms over time ([Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022), including eco-evolutionary feedbacks [\(Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019a; [van](#page-12-0) [Moorsel](#page-12-0) et al., 2021; Chen et al., [2022\)](#page-10-0).

To study long-term biodiversity–stability relationships, we suggest that future analyses should follow the theoretical assumptions and definitions of stability components by Isbell et al. [\(2015\)](#page-11-0) and apply this approach to multiple ecosystem functions and ecosystem multistability ([Sasaki](#page-12-0) et al., 2019; [Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2024). These stability measures have the advantage of being dimensionless which facilitates synthesis across scales of space, time, and biological organization and between system levels. The three measures are resistance, recovery, and invariability. These stability measures are also symmetric and can be directly compared between positive and negative disturbances, such as the case of drought (mostly negative; [Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015) and moderate flooding ([Wright](#page-13-0) et al., 2015) (Fig. 3). To do so, consider a discrete time series representing system levels, such as net primary productivity (*e.g.*, in grassland plant communities) or community density (*e.g.*, in plankton communities). These levels experience periodic disturbances, such as wet and dry climate events, followed by recovery either towards an attractor or away from a repeller after each disturbance. As in previous studies [\(Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015), resistance (Ω) is defined as  $\Omega \equiv \frac{Y_n}{|Y_e - Y_n|}$ , and recovery ( $\Delta$ ) is defined as  $\Delta \equiv \left| \frac{Y_e - Y_n}{Y_{e+1} - Y_n} \right|$ , where  $\frac{Y_n}{Y_e}$ ,  $Y_e$ , and  $Y_{e+1}$  are values during "normal" times (averaged across all non-perturbed times, such as normal climate years), during a disturbance (such as during a drought), and during one-time unit after a disturbance, respectively. Resistance indicates proximity of the system to normal levels during a disturbance, quantified as the inverse of a proportional displacement from normal levels during a disturbance. For example, if the system is reduced by ¼ of its normal level during a disturbance, then  $\Omega = 4$  (the inverse of ¼). Recovery indicates the rate of change toward ( $\Delta > 1$ ) or away from  $(0 < \Delta < 1)$  normal levels after a disturbance, quantified as the inverse of proportional lack of recovery after a disturbance. For example, if the system recovers all but ¼ of the way from perturbed to normal levels during the time step following a disturbance, then  $\Delta = 4$ (the inverse of the ¼ proportion remaining). Stability  $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma})$  is the inverse of the coefficient of variation of the time series, where *μ* and  $\sigma$  are the



**Fig. 3.** Illustration of how stability can depend on both resistance and recovery. These hypothetical time series show contrasting cases of resistance to and recovery after an extreme drought in year one and an extreme wet event in year 11, with recovery following each event. Stability ( $\frac{\mu}{\sigma}$ ) can be increased by increasing resistance (Ω), recovery (Δ), or both. Dashed black lines and triangles show monotonic recovery. Gray lines and points show recovery via damped oscillations. Figure modified from Isbell et al. [\(2015\).](#page-11-0)

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Fig. 4. Long-term plant diversity effects on ecosystem stability.** Effects of plant diversity on the stability of plant biomass production as affected by plant (PH) and soil history (SH). D1: without PH, without SH (red line); D2: without PH, with SH (yellow line); D3: with PH, with SH (green line) (see legend of [Fig.](#page-1-0) 1 for details). Data from the ΔBEF Experiment [\(Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019).

temporal mean and standard deviation, respectively. There have been multiple absolute and relative stability indices in the ecological literature (*e.g.*, [McCann,](#page-11-0) 2000; van Ruijven & [Berendse,](#page-12-0) 2010; [Donohue](#page-10-0) et al., [2013;](#page-10-0) Kéfi et al., [2019](#page-11-0)), and discussing their pros and cons would go beyond the scope of our paper. Note that we refer to proportional changes ([Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015), and in time-series analyses, we intend to propose data to account for potential directional changes over time.

Indeed, recent studies provide empirical evidence that the stabilizing effects of biodiversity increase over time for several ecosystem functions, and that plant- and soil-history effects contribute to this development. First, even though soil temperature is essential for many soil processes that include cycling of water and elements as well as metabolic activities of plants and microbes, which are important for ecosystem responses to climate change and extremes, few studies have investigated whether plant diversity can buffer fluctuations in soil temperature.

Using a unique dataset to investigate the effects of plant diversity on soil temperature stability at 5 and 15 cm depth across 18 years, [Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al. [\(2024\)](#page-11-0) showed that more diverse plant communities had warmer temperatures in winter and cooler temperatures in summer, such that diversity buffered the seasonal shift in soil temperature over time. Using structural equation models, it was observed that plant diversity increased soil temperature stability  $(\frac{\mu}{\sigma})$ , both within and across seasons, by increasing both plant cover and soil organic carbon concentrations ([Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al., 2024). Moreover, plant diversity effects on buffering soil temperature increased significantly over time, intensifying especially on hot days and in dry years; thus, the absence of this buffering effect, such as in low-diversity grasslands, would likely exacerbate the negative effects of heat and drought, which often co-occur, on the function of this grassland [\(Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al., 2024).

Second, we used plant biomass data from the *ΔBEF Experiment* ([Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., [2019\)](#page-12-0), a sub-experiment within the Jena Experiment testing effects of plant and soil history, sampled in the years 2017–2022, which include two exceptionally strong summer droughts in 2018 and 2019 (see legend of [Fig.](#page-1-0) 1 and Box 3 for details on the experiment). We calculated the temporal stability  $\binom{\mu}{\sigma}$  of plant biomass production and tested for potential stabilizing effects of plant diversity as well as plant and soil history (Fig. 4). In all treatments, plant diversity had significant positive effects on productivity stability. However, stability of plant biomass production, including resistance to the summer droughts, tended to be lower for plant communities grown on soils that had not co-evolved with the plants over time (*i.e.*, without soil history,  $F_{(1, 78)} =$ 6.06,  $P = 0.016$ ) and for plant communities for which the plant genotypic diversity was not allowed to evolve over time (*i.e.*, without plant history,  $F_{(1, 78)} = 3.92$ ,  $P = 0.051$ ) (Fig. 4). The effects of plant diversity in plant communities without soil history were weaker than in plant communities with soil history (Fig. 4;  $F_{(1, 78)} = 3.61$ ,  $P = 0.061$ ). Overall, these results highlight the importance of old and biodiverse plant communities for ecosystem functioning and its stability. Future work should test the theoretical predictions outlined above for multiple ecosystem functions and ecosystem multistability by considering the multiple mechanisms hypothesis of long-term biodiversity effects. Although we test our hypotheses in BEF experiments, we note that direct comparisons between experimental and observational data have to be done with care (*e.g.*, [Jochum](#page-11-0) et al., 2020; [Oelmann](#page-12-0) et al., 2021), and BEF experiments are particularly useful to test biodiversity theory and mechanisms by simulating local biodiversity loss ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., [2016\)](#page-10-0).

## Box 3. Novel experimental approaches to address current knowledge gaps - a case study in the Jena Experiment.

To build on the many recent developments in the field and address current research frontiers, we present some targeted experiments to inspire future work. We propose to use a set of three complementary experimental approaches (field experiment, Ecotron experiment, and microcosm experiments; [Fig.](#page-9-0) 5) as well as extensive synthesis to advance the mechanistic understanding of biodiversity-stability relationships by focusing on long-term effects of plant diversity on ecosystem functioning. Before we outline these case studies in more detail, we provide a short overview of the different sub-experiments within the Jena Experiment. <http://the-jena-experiment.de/index.php/design-2/>

#### **a. Overview of experiments**

*CoMic Experiments:* NEW Complementary Microcosm experiments will build on the *Common Research Platform* provided by the *DrY Experiment* and help individual subprojects to study additional abiotic and biotic stressors as well as stabilizing mechanisms (see below for details). *CoMic Experiments* run by different subprojects have unique names (see below and Supplementary Materials).

*ΔBEF Experiment:* Plant diversity experiment established in 2016 to explore mechanisms underlying the strengthening relationships between biodiversity and ecosystem functioning over time [\(Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019) with a focus on soil and plant history. We therefore reestablished the plant communities of the *Main Experiment* with new seeds and old or new soil again in 2016. By comparing these treatments with the original communities set up in 2002, we test whether old communities (= with history) have stronger plant diversity effects on plant productivity than young ones (= without history) and if this depends on soil- or plant-related processes. We established subplots without soil history (-SH), without plant history (-PH), and with plant and soil history (+PH+SH) ([Fig.](#page-1-0) 1). For removing the plant and soil history, the soil and plant layer to a depth of 30 cm were replaced by soil of an adjacent arable field of the same soil layer, and sowing the same plot-specific plant species mixtures as in 2002 (new seeds). In subplots without plant history and with soil history and (-PH+SH), we removed the plant sod by using a digger while keeping the soil of the respective plots, homogenized the upper 30 cm, and sowed the same plot-specific plant species mixtures as in 2002 (new seeds). As the third subplot (+PH+SH), we used parts of the existing *Main Experiment*, which has an established soil and plant history since 2002.

This treatment serves as a long-term control. This experiment has been terminated.

*Drought Experiment:* The treatments of this experiment were nested in the plots of the *Main Experiment* and ran from 2008 to 2016 [\(Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., [2013](#page-12-0)). We induced a prolonged summer drought period by means of transparent rainout shelters for 6 weeks in summer. Rain shelters were made of a wooden frame and PVC sheets and had a size of  $2.6 \times 3$  m. Each rain shelter covered two subplots (each had a size of  $1 \times 1$  m), of which one received no water when rain shelters were installed ('drought'), while one was watered with collected rainwater to control for shelter effects ('control'). This experiment has been terminated.

*DrY Experiment:* Proposed NEW experiment to (1) test the response shape of ecosystem resistance and recovery to increasing drought intensity (*DrY Experiment*) and (2) prepare the *ResCUE Experiment* (see below) and subproject-specific microcosm experiments (*CoMic Experiments*; see below). The experiment will help to determine the conditions that cause stress in plant and soil communities in realistic ways (*e.g.*, such as seen under extreme climatic conditions in the field) and develop a *Common Research Platform* with standardized soil (taken from the same location at the Jena Experiment field site) as well as common plant species and communities (*e.g.*, to compare the results of different microcosm studies performed at different locations). This experiment will be conducted in the iDiv Greenhouse in Leipzig in 2024.

*JenaTron Experiment:* In spring 2022, we excavated two monoliths of each of 22 selected plots of the former *Trait-Based Experiment* covering a gradient from 1 to 3 plant species, and additional four monoliths of a selected 6 species plot (48 monoliths in total; soil with plant communityspecific history; +SH). In addition, we excavated 48 monoliths from the bare ground plots of the *Main Experiment* (soil without plant communityspecific soil history; -SH). From all four monoliths, the upper soil layer was gently removed, and newly grown plant individuals were transplanted, either gained from seed material from a supplier (without plant history; -PH) or collected from the selected plots of the former *Trait-Based Experiment* (with plant history; +PH). This resulted in four treatments in each EcoUnit of the iDiv Ecotron: (1) *'with plant history, with soil* history' (+PH+SH); (2) 'without plant history, with soil history' (-PH+SH); (3) 'with plant history, without soil history' (+PH-SH); and (4) 'without *plant history, without soil history*' (-PH-SH). The experiment ran for 6 months and was destructively sampled in October 2022. This experiment has been terminated.

*Main Experiment***:** Plant diversity experiment with sown plant species richness levels of 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, and 60 species that was set up in 2002 [\(Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., 2004; [Weisser](#page-13-0) et al., 2017) and is still running. All subprojects will work on all or a selection of the 80 plots of this experiment to study long-term biodiversity-stability relationships and mechanisms underlying BEF as well as perform synthesis work.

*Monocultures:* Monocultures of all 60 plant species were set up in 2002 (plot size today: 1 m2 ) in parallel to the *Main Experiment* to allow for plant species-specific trait measurements, phenology assessments, and applying the additive partitioning method (Loreau & [Hector,](#page-11-0) 2001). These plots will be maintained.

*ResCUE Experiment:* Proposed NEW experiment to study plant diversity-mediated resistance and recovery under climate extremes (hot drought) in the iDiv Ecotron in 2026.

*Trait-based Experiment:* Plant diversity experiment with sown plant species richness levels of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 8 species that was set up in 2010 [\(Ebeling](#page-10-0) et al., 2014; [Weisser](#page-13-0) et al., 2017) and was terminated in 2021. Species fall into three different species pools with different trait combinations focused on spatial resource use (*e.g.*, root characteristics), temporal resource use (*e.g.*, plant phenology), or on both together. A selection of plots was used for the *JenaTron Experiment*.

## **b. Experiments to study plant diversity-stability relationships**

While the Jena *Main Experiment* [\(Roscher](#page-12-0) et al., 2004; [Weisser](#page-13-0) et al., 2017) allows testing the climate drivers of biodiversity-ecosystem functioning and -stability relationships due to observed climatic variability, the Ecotron experiment and microcosm experiments enable us to experimentally manipulate abiotic and biotic stresses and zoom in on the mechanisms underlying the effects of plant diversity on the stability, resistance, and recovery of multiple ecosystem functions. The overarching hypotheses are that **(i) plant diversity stabilizes multiple** ecosystem functions (ecosystem multistability), (ii) these stabilizing effects of plant diversity increase over time ([Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al., [2024](#page-11-0); [Fig.](#page-9-0) 5A), and (iii) can be predicted based on short-term resistance to effects of climate extremes ([Isbell](#page-11-0) et al., 2015; Fig. 5B). To understand the stabilizing effects of plant diversity, important multitrophic interaction partners above and below the ground need to be considered, including microorganisms and animals. This includes also the use of synthetic communities of microbiota and soil animals and aboveground consumers, with well-defined functional traits to improve our understanding of above- and belowground interactions. The planned research projects will use and synthesize data from the *ΔBEF Experiment* ([Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2019) and *JenaTron Experiment* ([Eisenhauer](#page-10-0) et al., 2019b) to evaluate eco-evolutionary dynamics in BEF relationships (Box 2). In all experimental and synthesis approaches, studying the stabilizing features of ecosystems will be key to better understanding why high-diversity plant communities function better than low-diversity plant communities and why this difference increases over time ([Reich](#page-12-0) et al., 2012; [Guerrero-Ramírez](#page-11-0) et al., 2017; [Wagg](#page-12-0) et al., 2022).

In the *Main Experiment*, which is a grassland biodiversity experiment initiated in a floodplain in 2002 and has been maintained to the present, we will test the hypothesis that plant diversity stabilizes multiple ecosystem functions and that these stabilizing effects of plant diversity increase over time [\(Fig.](#page-7-0) 4**A**). Moreover, the *Main Experiment* has experienced multiple extreme climate events over the past two decades, which allows us to test the hypothesis that the (stabilizing) effects of plant diversity are most pronounced under harsh environmental conditions ([Huang,](#page-11-0) Stein et al., [2024\)](#page-11-0). The *Main Experiment* offers unique long-term time series datasets on multiple ecosystem functions. Data from the *Drought Experiment* ([Vogel](#page-12-0) et al., 2013), a field study using rainout shelters on subplots of the *Main Experiment* (Box 3), will allow us to calculate resistance to summer drought and can be used to test the hypothesis that long-term stability can be well predicted based on short-term resistance. In the Ecotron experiment (*ResCUE*), we will induce a standardized hot drought (that cannot be guaranteed under field conditions) to study the resistance and recovery of multiple ecosystem functions as well as the <sup>15</sup>N labeling. This setup will allow us to test the hypothesis that plant diversity has a strong positive effect on the resistance of multiple ecosystem functions, while the effect on recovery might be weaker [\(Fig.](#page-9-0) 5**B**; for design details, see Supplementary Materials). In the *DrY Experiment*, we will use microcosms to test the hypothesis that the stabilizing effects of plant diversity increase with increasing drought intensity, until a certain threshold is reached (Baert et al., [2018;](#page-10-0) [Fig.](#page-9-0) 5**C**). Moreover, this experiment will serve as the basis for developing a *Common Research Platform* for *CoMic Experiments* (for design, see Supplementary Materials).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Fig. 5. Main experimental infrastructures and hypotheses.** Main Experiment (**A**), ResCUE Experiment (**B**), and DrY Experiment (**C**); for details, see Box 2 and main text.

### **Conclusions**

We here establish the hypotheses that multifunctional stability is highest in high-diversity plant communities and that biodiversity–stability relationships increase over time due to a variety of forms of ecological complementarity. We introduce the multiplemechanisms hypothesis of biodiversity–stability relationships, suggesting that it is not an individual mechanism that drives long-term biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning and stability, but that a multitude of intertwined processes produce increasingly positive ecosystem effects including interactions with other biota above- and belowground. This concept implies "between-context insurance" or "across-context complementarity" of different stabilizing mechanisms, indicating that different mechanisms may play a more prominent role in different scenarios or environments. In addition to introducing this novel concept, we provide examples of concrete experiments that will be conducted to test our hypotheses. These experiments may inspire future work on the stabilizing features of ecosystems.

### **CRediT authorship contribution statement**

**Nico Eisenhauer:** Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. **Kevin Mueller:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Anne Ebeling:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Gerd Gleixner:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Yuanyuan Huang:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Visualization, Writing – review & editing. **Anna-Maria Madaj:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Christiane Roscher:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Alexandra Weigelt:** Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing – review & editing. **Michael Bahn:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Michael Bonkowski:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Ulrich Brose:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Simone Cesarz:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Hannes Feilhauer:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Claudia Guimaraes-Steinicke:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Anna Heintz-Buschart:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Jes Hines:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Markus**

**Lange:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Sebastian T. Meyer:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Neha Mohanbabu:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Liesje Mommer:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Sigrid Neuhauser:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Yvonne Oelmann:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Soroor Rahmanian:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Takehiro Sasaki:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Stefan Scheu:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Holger Schielzeth:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Bernhard Schmid:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Michael Schloter:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Stefanie Schulz:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Sybille B. Unsicker:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Cordula Vogel:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Wolfgang W. Weisser:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Forest Isbell:** Conceptualization, Writing – review  $\&$  editing.

## **Declaration of interests**

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:

Nico Eisenhauer reports financial support was provided by German Research Foundation. If there are other authors, they declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## **Acknowledgements**

The Jena Experiment is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation; FOR 5000), with additional support by the Friedrich Schiller University Jena, the Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, and Leipzig University. This project received additional support from the German Centre for Integrative Biodiversity Research Halle–Jena–Leipzig, funded by the DFG (FZT 118 – FZT 118, 202548816), as well as by the DFG (Ei 862/29–1; Ei 862/31–1). FI was supported by the US National Science Foundation's Biodiversity on a Changing Planet program (DEB-2224852) and the Long-Term Ecological Research program (NSF DEB-1831944).

#### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Supplementary materials**

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.baae.2024.07.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2024.07.004)

## **References**

- Albracht, C., Eisenhauer, N., Vogel, A., Wagg, C., Buscot, F., & Heintz-Buschart, A. (2023). Effects of recurrent summer droughts on arbuscular mycorrhizal and total fungal communities in experimental grasslands differing in plant diversity and community composition. *Frontiers in Soil Science, 3*, Article 1129845. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoil.2023.1129845) [org/10.3389/fsoil.2023.1129845](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoil.2023.1129845)
- Allan, E., Manning, P., Alt, F., Binkenstein, J., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Böhm, S., Grassein, F., Hölzel, N., Klaus, V. H., Kleinebecker, T., Morris, E. K., Oelmann, Y., Prati, D., Renner, S. C., Rillig, M. C., Schaefer, M., Schloter, M., Schmitt, B., … Fischer, M. (2015). Land use intensification alters ecosystem multifunctionality via loss of biodiversity and changes to functional composition. *Ecology Letters, 18*(8), 834–843. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12469>
- Allan, E., Weisser, W., Weigelt, A., Roscher, C., Fischer, M., & Hillebrand, H. (2011). More diverse plant communities have higher functioning over time due to turnover in complementary dominant species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108*(41), 17034–17039. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1104015108>
- Amyntas, A., Gauzens, B., Ciobanu, M., Warnke, L., Maraun, M., Salamon, J.-A., Merkle, M., Bassi, L., Hennecke, J., Lange, M., Gleixner, G., Scheu, S., Eisenhauer, N., & Brose, U. (2023). Shared community history strengthens plant diversity effects on belowground multitrophic functioning [Preprint]. *Preprints*. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.22541/au.169168995.54392386/v2) [10.22541/au.169168995.54392386/v2](https://doi.org/10.22541/au.169168995.54392386/v2)
- Baert, J. M., Eisenhauer, N., Janssen, C. R., & De Laender, F. (2018). Biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning respond unimodally to environmental stress. *Ecology Letters, 21*(8), 1191–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13088>
- Barnes, A. D., Scherber, C., Brose, U., Borer, E. T., Ebeling, A., Gauzens, B., Giling, D. P., Hines, J., Isbell, F., Ristok, C., Tilman, D., Weisser, W. W., & Eisenhauer, N. (2020). Biodiversity enhances the multitrophic control of arthropod herbivory. *Science Advances, 6*(45), eabb6603. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abb6603>
- Barry, K. E., Mommer, L., van Ruijven, J., Wirth, C., Wright, A. J., Bai, Y., Connolly, J., De Deyn, G. B., de Kroon, H., Isbell, F., Milcu, A., Roscher, C., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., & Weigelt, A. (2019). The future of complementarity: Disentangling causes from consequences. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 34(2), 167-180. http:// [doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.10.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.10.013)
- Bergmann, J., Weigelt, A., van der Plas, F., Laughlin, D. C., Kuyper, T. W., Guerrero-Ramirez, N., Valverde-Barrantes, O. J., Bruelheide, H., Freschet, G. T., Iversen, C. M., Kattge, J., McCormack, M. L., Meier, I. C., Rillig, M. C., Roumet, C., Semchenko, M., Sweeney, C. J., van Ruijven, J., York, L. M., & Mommer, L. (2020). The fungal collaboration gradient dominates the root economics space in plants. *Science Advances, 6*(27), eaba3756. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aba3756>
- Bever, J. D. (2003). Soil community feedback and the coexistence of competitors: Conceptual frameworks and empirical tests. *New Phytologist, 157*(3), 465–473. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1469-8137.2003.00714.x>
- Bever, J. D., Westover, K. M., & Antonovics, J. (1997). Incorporating the soil community into plant population dynamics: The utility of the feedback approach. *Journal of Ecology, 85*(5), 561–573. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2960528>
- Bongers, F. J., Schmid, B., Bruelheide, H., Bongers, F., Li, S., von Oheimb, G., Li, Y., Cheng, A., Ma, K., & Liu, X. (2021). Functional diversity effects on productivity increase with age in a forest biodiversity experiment. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 5* (12), 1594-1603. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-021-0156-
- Cardinale, B. J., Duffy, J. E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D. U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., Narwani, A., Mace, G. M., Tilman, D., Wardle, D. A., Kinzig, A. P., Daily, G. C., Loreau, M., Grace, J. B., Larigauderie, A., Srivastava, D. S., & Naeem, S. (2012). Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. *Nature, 486*(7401), 59–67. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148) [org/10.1038/nature11148](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148)
- Chen, Y., Vogel, A., Wagg, C., Xu, T., Iturrate-Garcia, M., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Weigelt, A., Eisenhauer, N., & Schmid, B. (2022). Drought-exposure history increases complementarity between plant species in response to a subsequent drought. *Nature Communications, 13*(1), 3217. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30954-9>
- Civitello, D. J., Cohen, J., Fatima, H., Halstead, N. T., Liriano, J., McMahon, T. A., Ortega, C. N., Sauer, E. L., Sehgal, T., Young, S., & Rohr, J. R. (2015). Biodiversity inhibits parasites: Broad evidence for the dilution effect. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112*(28), 8667–8671. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506279112) [pnas.1506279112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1506279112)
- Craven, D., Eisenhauer, N., Pearse, W. D., Hautier, Y., Isbell, F., Roscher, C., Bahn, M., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bönisch, G., Buchmann, N., Byun, C., Catford, J. A., Cerabolini, B. E. L., Cornelissen, J. H. C., Craine, J. M., De Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J. N., Hector, A., … Manning, P. (2018). Multiple facets of biodiversity drive the diversity–stability relationship. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2*(10), 1579–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-018-0647-7>
- Craven, D., Isbell, F., Manning, P., Connolly, J., Bruelheide, H., Ebeling, A., Roscher, C., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., de Luca, E., Griffin, J. N., Hautier, Y., Hector, A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Loreau, M., … Eisenhauer, N. (2016). Plant diversity effects on grassland productivity are robust to both nutrient enrichment and drought. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 371*(1694), Article 20150277. [https://doi.org/10.1098/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0277) [rstb.2015.0277](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2015.0277)
- de Bello, F., Lavorel, S., Hallett, L. M., Valencia, E., Garnier, E., Roscher, C., Conti, L., Galland, T., Goberna, M., Májeková, M., Montesinos-Navarro, A., Pausas, J. G.,

Verdú, M., E-Vojtkó, A., Götzenberger, L., & Lepš, J. (2021). Functional trait effects on ecosystem stability: Assembling the jigsaw puzzle. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 36*(9), 822–836. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.05.001>

- de Vries, F. T., Griffiths, R. I., Knight, C. G., Nicolitch, O., & Williams, A. (2020). Harnessing rhizosphere microbiomes for drought-resilient crop production. *Science (New York, N.Y.), 368*(6488), 270–274. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaz5192>
- Dietrich, P., Eisenhauer, N., Otto, P., & Roscher, C. (2021). Plant history and soil history jointly influence the selection environment for plant species in a long-term grassland biodiversity experiment. *Ecology and Evolution, 11*(12), 8156–8169. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7647) [10.1002/ece3.7647](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.7647)
- Dietrich, P., Eisenhauer, N., & Roscher, C. (2023). Linking plant diversity–productivity relationships to plant functional traits of dominant species and changes in soil properties in 15-year-old experimental grasslands. *Ecology and Evolution, 13*(3), e9883. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.9883>
- Dietrich, P., Roscher, C., Clark, A. T., Eisenhauer, N., Schmid, B., & Wagg, C. (2020). Diverse plant mixtures sustain a greater arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi spore viability than monocultures after 12 years. *Journal of Plant Ecology, 13*(4), 478–488. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtaa037) [doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtaa037](https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtaa037)
- Dietrich, P., Schumacher, J., Eisenhauer, N., & Roscher, C. (2022). Eco-evolutionary dynamics modulate plant responses to global change depending on plant diversity and species identity. *ELife*, 11, e74054. https://doi.org/10.755
- Donohue, I., Petchey, O. L., Montoya, J. M., Jackson, A. L., McNally, L., Viana, M., Healy, K., Lurgi, M., O'Connor, N. E., & Emmerson, M. C. (2013). On the dimensionality of ecological stability. *Ecology Letters, 16*(4), 421–429. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12086) [org/10.1111/ele.12086](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12086)
- Ebeling, A., Pompe, S., Baade, J., Eisenhauer, N., Hillebrand, H., Proulx, R., Roscher, C., Schmid, B., Wirth, C., & Weisser, W. W. (2014). A trait-based experimental approach to understand the mechanisms underlying biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships. *Basic and Applied Ecology, 15*(3), 229–240. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2014.02.003) [baae.2014.02.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2014.02.003)
- Eisenhauer, N. (2012). Aboveground–belowground interactions as a source of complementarity effects in biodiversity experiments. *Plant and Soil, 351*(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-011-1027-0>
- Eisenhauer, N. (2022). The shape that matters: how important is biodiversity for ecosystem functioning? *Science China Life Sciences, 65*(3), 651–653. [https://link.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11427-021-2052-5) [springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11427-021-2052-5.](https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs11427-021-2052-5)
- Eisenhauer, N., Angst, G., Asato, A. E. B., Beugnon, R., Bönisch, E., Cesarz, S., Dietrich, P., Jurburg, S. D., Madaj, A.-M., Reuben, R. C., Ristok, C., Sünnemann, M., Yi, H., Guerra, C. A., & Hines, J. (2023). The heterogeneity–diversity–system performance nexus. *National Science Review*, nwad109. [https://doi.org/10.1093/](https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwad109) [nsr/nwad109](https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwad109)
- Eisenhauer, N., Barnes, A. D., Cesarz, S., Craven, D., Ferlian, O., Gottschall, F., Hines, J., Sendek, A., Siebert, J., Thakur, M. P., & Türke, M. (2016). Biodiversity–ecosystem function experiments reveal the mechanisms underlying the consequences of biodiversity change in real world ecosystems. *Journal of Vegetation Science, 27*(5), 1061–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvs.12435>
- Eisenhauer, N., Beßler, H., Engels, C., Gleixner, G., Habekost, M., Milcu, A., Partsch, S., Sabais, A. C. W., Scherber, C., Steinbeiss, S., Weigelt, A., Weisser, W. W., & Scheu, S. (2010). Plant diversity effects on soil microorganisms support the singular hypothesis. *Ecology, 91*(2), 485–496. <https://doi.org/10.1890/08-2338.1>
- Eisenhauer, N., Bonkowski, M., Brose, U., Buscot, F., Durka, W., Ebeling, A., Fischer, M., Gleixner, G., Heintz-Buschart, A., Hines, J., Jesch, A., Lange, M., Meyer, S., Roscher, C., Scheu, S., Schielzeth, H., Schloter, M., Schulz, S., Unsicker, S., … Schmid, B. (2019b). Biotic interactions, community assembly, and eco-evolutionary dynamics as drivers of long-term biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships. *Research Ideas and Outcomes, 5*, e47042. <https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.5.e47042>
- Eisenhauer, N., Lanoue, A., Strecker, T., Scheu, S., Steinauer, K., Thakur, M. P., & Mommer, L. (2017). Root biomass and exudates link plant diversity with soil bacterial and fungal biomass. *Scientific Reports, 7*(1), 44641. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44641) [10.1038/srep44641](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44641)
- Eisenhauer, N., Reich, P. B., & Scheu, S. (2012). Increasing plant diversity effects on productivity with time due to delayed soil biota effects on plants. *Basic and Applied Ecology, 13*(7), 571–578. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2012.09.002>
- Eisenhauer, N., Schielzeth, H., Barnes, A.D., Barry, K.E., Bonn, A., Brose, U., Bruelheide, H., Buchmann, N., Buscot, F., Ebeling, A., Ferlian, O., Freschet, G.T., Giling, D.P., Hättenschwiler, S., Hillebrand, H., Hines, J., Isbell, F., Koller-France, E., König-Ries, B., … Jochum, M. (2019a). Chapter One—A multitrophic perspective on biodiversity–ecosystem functioning research. In N. Eisenhauer, D. A. Bohan, & A. J. Dumbrell (Eds.), Advances in ecological research (Vol. 61, pp. 1–54). Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2019.06.001](http://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2019.06.001).
- Eisenhauer, N., Vogel, C., Horta, L. A. D., Asato, A. B., Janda, Z., & Cesarz, S. (2024). Plant diversity effects on soil multistability. *Research Ideas and Outcomes, 10*, Article e127123. <https://doi.org/10.3897/rio.10.e127123>
- Fornara, D. A., & Tilman, D. (2008). Plant functional composition influences rates of soil carbon and nitrogen accumulation. *Journal of Ecology, 96*(2), 314–322. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01345.x) rg/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2007.01345.
- Frank, D., Reichstein, M., Bahn, M., Thonicke, K., Frank, D., Mahecha, M. D., Smith, P., van der Velde, M., Vicca, S., Babst, F., Beer, C., Buchmann, N., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Cramer, W., Ibrom, A., Miglietta, F., Poulter, B., Rammig, A., … Zscheischler, J. (2015). Effects of climate extremes on the terrestrial carbon cycle: Concepts, processes and potential future impacts. *Global Change Biology, 21*(8), 2861–2880. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.12916>
- Fridley, J. D. (2002). Resource availability dominates and alters the relationship between species diversity and ecosystem productivity in experimental plant communities. *Oecologia, 132*(2), 271–277. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-002-0965-x>

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Grimm, V., & Calabrese, J. M. (2011). What is resilience? A short introduction. In G. Deffuant, & N. Gilbert (Eds.), *Viability and resilience of complex systems: concepts, methods and case studies from ecology and society* (pp. 3–13). Springer. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20423-4_1) [org/10.1007/978-3-642-20423-4\\_1.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-20423-4_1)

Guerrero-Ramírez, N. R., Craven, D., Reich, P. B., Ewel, J. J., Isbell, F., Koricheva, J., Parrotta, J. A., Auge, H., Erickson, H. E., Forrester, D. I., Hector, A., Joshi, J., Montagnini, F., Palmborg, C., Piotto, D., Potvin, C., Roscher, C., van Ruijven, J., Tilman, D., … Eisenhauer, N. (2017). Diversity-dependent temporal divergence of ecosystem functioning in experimental ecosystems. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 1* (11), 1639–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0325-1>

Guerrero-Ramírez, N. R., & Eisenhauer, N. (2017). Trophic and non-trophic interactions influence the mechanisms underlying biodiversity–ecosystem functioning relationships under different abiotic conditions. *Oikos, 126*(12), 1748–1759. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.04190) [doi.org/10.1111/oik.04190](https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.04190)

Hautier, Y., Isbell, F., Borer, E. T., Seabloom, E. W., Harpole, W. S., Lind, E. M., MacDougall, A. S., Stevens, C. J., Adler, P. B., Alberti, J., Bakker, J. D., Brudvig, L. A., Buckley, Y. M., Cadotte, M., Caldeira, M. C., Chaneton, E. J., Chu, C., Daleo, P., Dickman, C. R., … Hector, A. (2018). Local loss and spatial homogenization of plant diversity reduce ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2*(1), 50–56. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0395-0>

Hautier, Y., Tilman, D., Isbell, F., Seabloom, E. W., Borer, E. T., & Reich, P. B. (2015). Anthropogenic environmental changes affect ecosystem stability via biodiversity. *Science, 348*(6232), 336–340. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa1788>

Hector, A., & Bagchi, R. (2007). Biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature, 448*(7150), 188–190. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05947>

Helfgott, A.E.R. (2015). Operationalizing resilience: Conceptual, mathematical and participatory frameworks for understanding, measuring and managing resilience [Thesis]. [https://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/dspace/handle/2440/99913.](https://digital.library.adelaide.edu.au/dspace/handle/2440/99913) Hendry, A. P. (2016). Eco-evolutionary Dynamics. *Eco-evolutionary dynamics*. Princeton

University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781400883080> Holling, C. S. (1996). [Engineering](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1439-1791(24)00049-5/optdZuoMFTOVk) resilience versus ecological resilience. *Engineering*

*within ecological [constraints,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S1439-1791(24)00049-5/optdZuoMFTOVk) 31*(1996), 32. Hong, P., Schmid, B., De Laender, F., Eisenhauer, N., Zhang, X., Chen, H., Craven, D., De

Boeck, H. J., Hautier, Y., Petchey, O. L., Reich, P. B., Steudel, B., Striebel, M., Thakur, M. P., & Wang, S. (2022). Biodiversity promotes ecosystem functioning despite environmental change. *Ecology Letters, 25*(2), 555–569. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936) [10.1111/ele.13936](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13936)

Huang, Y., Chen, Y., Castro-Izaguirre, N., Baruffol, M., Brezzi, M., Lang, A., Li, Y., Härdtle, W., von Oheimb, G., Yang, X., Liu, X., Pei, K., Both, S., Yang, B., Eichenberg, D., Assmann, T., Bauhus, J., Behrens, T., Buscot, F., … Schmid, B. (2018). Impacts of species richness on productivity in a large-scale subtropical forest experiment. *Science, 362*(6410), 80–83. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat6405>

Huang, Y., Schuldt, A., Hönig, L., Yang, B., Liu, X., Bruelheide, H., Ma, K., Schmid, B., & Niklaus, P. A. (2022). Effects of enemy exclusion on biodiversity–productivity relationships in a subtropical forest experiment. *Journal of Ecology, 110*(9), 2167–2178. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13940>

Huang, Y., Stein, G., Kolle, O., Kübler, K., Schulze, E.-D., Dong, H., Eichenberg, D., Gleixner, G., Hildebrandt, A., Lange, M., Roscher, C., Schielzeth, H., Schmid, B., Weigelt, A., Weisser, W. W., Shadaydeh, M., Denzler, J., Ebeling, A., & Eisenhauer, N. (2024). Enhanced stability of grassland soil temperature by plant diversity. *Nature Geoscience, 17*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-023-01338-5>. Article 1.

Ingrisch, J., & Bahn, M. (2018). Towards a comparable quantification of resilience. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 33*(4), 251–259. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.01.013) [tree.2018.01.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2018.01.013)

IPCC. (2012). In C. B. Field, V. Barros, T. F. Stocker, Q. Dahe, D. J. Dokken, K. L. Ebi, M. D. Mastrandrea, K. J. Mach, G.-K. Plattner, S. K. Allen, M. Tignor, & P. M. Midgley (Eds.), *Managing the risks of extreme events and disasters to advance climate change adaptation: special report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139177245>.

Isbell, F., Adler, P. R., Eisenhauer, N., Fornara, D., Kimmel, K., Kremen, C., Letourneau, D. K., Liebman, M., Polley, H. W., Quijas, S., & Scherer-Lorenzen, M. (2017a). Benefits of increasing plant diversity in sustainable agroecosystems. *Journal of Ecology, 105*(4), 871–879. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12789>

Isbell, F., Calcagno, V., Hector, A., Connolly, J., Harpole, W. S., Reich, P. B., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Tilman, D., van Ruijven, J., Weigelt, A., Wilsey, B. J., Zavaleta, E. S., & Loreau, M. (2011). High plant diversity is needed to maintain ecosystem services. *Nature, 477*(7363), 199–202. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10282) [nature10282](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10282)

Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., Loreau, M., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bezemer, T. M., Bonin, C., Bruelheide, H., de Luca, E., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J. N., Guo, Q., Hautier, Y., Hector, A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Manning, P., … Eisenhauer, N. (2015). Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes. *Nature, 526*(7574). [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15374) [nature15374.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15374) Article 7574.

Isbell, F., Gonzalez, A., Loreau, M., Cowles, J., Díaz, S., Hector, A., Mace, G. M., Wardle, D. A., O'Connor, M. I., Duffy, J. E., Turnbull, L. A., Thompson, P. L., & Larigauderie, A (2017b). Linking the influence and dependence of people on biodiversity across scales. *Nature, 546*(7656), 65–72. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22899) [nature22899](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature22899)

Ives, A. R., & Carpenter, S. R. (2007). Stability and diversity of ecosystems. *Science, 317* (5834), 58-62. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.11332

Jochum, M., Fischer, M., Isbell, F., Roscher, C., van der Plas, F., Boch, S., Boenisch, G., Buchmann, N., Catford, J. A., Cavender-Bares, J., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Gleixner, G., Hölzel, N., Kattge, J., Klaus, V. H., Kleinebecker, T., Lange, M., Le Provost, G., … Manning, P. (2020). The results of biodiversity–ecosystem

functioning experiments are realistic. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 4*(11), 1485–1494. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-020-1280-9>

- Kardol, P., Fanin, N., & Wardle, D. A. (2018). Long-term effects of species loss on community properties across contrasting ecosystems. *Nature, 557*(7707), 710–713. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0138-7>
- Kéfi, S., Domínguez-García, V., Donohue, I., Fontaine, C., Thébault, E., & Dakos, V. (2019). Advancing our understanding of ecological stability. *Ecology Letters, 22*(9), 1349–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13340>
- Kulmatiski, A., Beard, K. H., & Heavilin, J. (2012). Plant–soil feedbacks provide an additional explanation for diversity–productivity relationships. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 279*(1740), 3020–3026. [https://doi.org/10.1098/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0285) [rspb.2012.0285](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.0285)

Lange, M., Ebeling, A., Voigt, W., & Weisser, W. (2023b). Restoration of insect communities after land use change is shaped by plant diversity: A case study on carabid beetles (Carabidae). *Scientific Reports, 13*(1). [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28628-7) [s41598-023-28628-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-28628-7). Article 1.

Lange, M., Eisenhauer, N., Chen, H., & Gleixner, G. (2023a). Increased soil carbon storage through plant diversity strengthens with time and extends into the subsoil. *Global Change Biology, 29*(9), 2627–2639. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.16641>

Lange, M., Koller-France, E., Hildebrandt, A., Oelmann, Y., Wilcke, W., & Gleixner, G. (2019). How plant diversity impacts the coupled water, nutrient and carbon cycles. In N. Eisenhauer, D. A. Bohan, & A. J. Dumbrell (Eds.), *Advances in ecological research* (pp. 185–219). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2019.06.005>.

Latz, E., Eisenhauer, N., Rall, B. C., Allan, E., Roscher, C., Scheu, S., & Jousset, A. (2012). Plant diversity improves protection against soil-borne pathogens by fostering antagonistic bacterial communities. *Journal of Ecology, 100*(3), 597–604. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01940.x) [org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01940.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01940.x)

Lefcheck, J. S., Byrnes, J. E. K., Isbell, F., Gamfeldt, L., Griffin, J. N., Eisenhauer, N., Hensel, M. J. S., Hector, A., Cardinale, B. J., & Duffy, J. E. (2015). Biodiversity enhances ecosystem multifunctionality across trophic levels and habitats. *Nature Communications, 6*(1), *6936.* https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms

Leimer, S., Oelmann, Y., Eisenhauer, N., Milcu, A., Roscher, C., Scheu, S., Weigelt, A., Wirth, C., & Wilcke, W. (2016). Mechanisms behind plant diversity effects on inorganic and organic N leaching from temperate grassland. *Biogeochemistry, 131*(3), 339–353. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10533-016-0283-8>

Li, Y., Schmid, B., Schuldt, A., Li, S., Wang, M.-Q., Fornoff, F., Staab, M., Guo, P.-F., Anttonen, P., Chesters, D., Bruelheide, H., Zhu, C.-D., Ma, K., & Liu, X. (2023). Multitrophic arthropod diversity mediates tree diversity effects on primary productivity. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 7*(6), 832–840. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02049-1) [s41559-023-02049-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-023-02049-1)

Lipowsky, A., Schmid, B., & Roscher, C. (2011). Selection for monoculture and mixture genotypes in a biodiversity experiment. *Basic and Applied Ecology, 12*(4), 360–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2011.03.005>

Loreau, M. (2022). Biodiversity and ecosystem stability: New theoretical insights. *The ecological and societal consequences of biodiversity loss* (pp. 145–166). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119902911.ch

Loreau, M., Barbier, M., Filotas, E., Gravel, D., Isbell, F., Miller, S. J., Montoya, J. M., Wang, S., Aussenac, R., Germain, R., Thompson, P. L., Gonzalez, A., & Dee, L. E. (2021). Biodiversity as insurance: From concept to measurement and application. *Biological Reviews, 96*(5), 2333–2354. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12756>

Loreau, M., & de Mazancourt, C. (2008). Species synchrony and its drivers: Neutral and nonneutral community dynamics in fluctuating environments. *The American Naturalist, 172*(2), E48–E66. <https://doi.org/10.1086/589746>

Loreau, M., & Hector, A. (2001). Partitioning selection and complementarity in biodiversity experiments. *Nature, 412*(6842), 72–76. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/35083573) [35083573](https://doi.org/10.1038/35083573)

Loreau, M., Mouquet, N., & Gonzalez, A. (2003). Biodiversity as spatial insurance in heterogeneous landscapes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 100*(22), 12765–12770. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2235465100>

Maestre, F. T., Quero, J. L., Gotelli, N. J., Escudero, A., Ochoa, V., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., García-Gómez, M., Bowker, M. A., Soliveres, S., Escolar, C., García-Palacios, P., Berdugo, M., Valencia, E., Gozalo, B., Gallardo, A., Aguilera, L., Arredondo, T., Blones, J., Boeken, B., … Zaady, E. (2012). Plant species richness and ecosystem multifunctionality in global drylands. *Science, 335*(6065), 214–218. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1215442>

Mahecha, M. D., Bastos, A., Bohn, F. J., Eisenhauer, N., Feilhauer, H., Hartmann, H., Hickler, T., Kalesse-Los, H., Migliavacca, M., Otto, F. E. L., Peng, J., Quaas, J., Tegen, I., Weigelt, A., Wendisch, M., & Wirth, C. (2022). Biodiversity loss and climate extremes—Study the feedbacks. *Nature, 612*(7938), 30–32. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-04152-y) [10.1038/d41586-022-04152-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-022-04152-y)

Mahon, M. B., Sack, A., Aleuy, O. A., Barbera, C., Brown, E., Buelow, H., Civitello, D. J., Cohen, J. M., de Wit, L. A., Forstchen, M., Halliday, F. W., Heffernan, P., Knutie, S. A., Korotasz, A., Larson, J. G., Rumschlag, S. L., Selland, E., Shepack, A., Vincent, N., & Rohr, J. R. (2024). A meta-analysis on global change drivers and the risk of infectious disease. *Nature, 629*(8013), 830–836. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07380-6) [s41586-024-07380-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-024-07380-6)

Maron, J. L., Marler, M., Klironomos, J. N., & Cleveland, C. C. (2011). Soil fungal pathogens and the relationship between plant diversity and productivity. *Ecology Letters, 14*(1), 36–41. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01547.x>

May, R. M. (1974). *Stability and complexity in model ecosystems*. Princeton University Press. https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctvs32rq

McCann, K. S. (2000). The diversity–stability debate. *Nature, 405*(6783), 228–233. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35012234>

Meyer, S. T., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Hertzog, L., Hillebrand, H., Milcu, A., Pompe, S., Abbas, M., Bessler, H., Buchmann, N., De Luca, E., Engels, C., Fischer, M., Gleixner, G., Hudewenz, A., Klein, A.-M., de Kroon, H., Leimer, S., Loranger, H., … <span id="page-12-0"></span>Weisser, W. W. (2016). Effects of biodiversity strengthen over time as ecosystem functioning declines at low and increases at high biodiversity. *Ecosphere, 7*(12), e01619. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1619>

- Meyer, S. T., Ptacnik, R., Hillebrand, H., Bessler, H., Buchmann, N., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Engels, C., Fischer, M., Halle, S., Klein, A.-M., Oelmann, Y., Roscher, C., Rottstock, T., Scherber, C., Scheu, S., Schmid, B., Schulze, E.-D., Temperton, V. M., … Weisser, W. W. (2018). Biodiversity–multifunctionality relationships depend on identity and number of measured functions. *Nature Ecology & Evolution, 2*(1), 44–49. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0391-4>
- Mommer, L., Cotton, T. E. A., Raaijmakers, J. M., Termorshuizen, A. J., van Ruijven, J., Hendriks, M., van Rijssel, S. Q., van de Mortel, J. E., van der Paauw, J. W., Schijlen, E. G. W. M., Smit-Tiekstra, A. E., Berendse, F., de Kroon, H., & Dumbrell, A. J. (2018). Lost in diversity: The interactions between soil-borne fungi, biodiversity and plant productivity. *New Phytologist, 218*(2), 542–553. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15036) [org/10.1111/nph.15036](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.15036)
- Oelmann, Y., Lange, M., Leimer, S., Roscher, C., Aburto, F., Alt, F., Bange, N., Berner, D., Boch, S., Boeddinghaus, R. S., Buscot, F., Dassen, S., De Deyn, G., Eisenhauer, N., Gleixner, G., Goldmann, K., Hölzel, N., Jochum, M., Kandeler, E., ... Wilcke, W. (2021). Above- and belowground biodiversity jointly tighten the P cycle in agricultural grasslands. *Nature Communications, 12*(1), 4431. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24714-4) [10.1038/s41467-021-24714-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-24714-4)
- Oliver, T. H., Heard, M. S., Isaac, N. J. B., Roy, D. B., Procter, D., Eigenbrod, F., Freckleton, R., Hector, A., Orme, C. D. L., Petchey, O. L., Proença, V., Raffaelli, D., Suttle, K. B., Mace, G. M., Martín-López, B., Woodcock, B. A., & Bullock, J. M. (2015). Biodiversity and resilience of ecosystem functions. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 30*(11), 673–684. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.08.009>

Pimm, S. L. (1984). The complexity and stability of ecosystems. *Nature, 307*(5949), 321–326. <https://doi.org/10.1038/307321a0>

- Pörtner, H.-O., Scholes, R. J., Agard, J., Archer, E., Arneth, A., Bai, X., Barnes, D., Burrows, M., Chan, L., Cheung, W. L.(William), Diamond, S., Donatti, C., Duarte, C., Eisenhauer, N., Foden, W., Gasalla, M. A., Handa, C., Hickler, T., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., … Ngo, H. (2021). Scientific outcome of the IPBES-IPCC co-sponsored workshop on biodiversity and climate change. *Zenodo*. [https://doi.org/10.5281/](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5101125) [zenodo.5101125](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5101125)
- Ratcliffe, S., Wirth, C., Jucker, T., van der Plas, F., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Verheyen, K., Allan, E., Benavides, R., Bruelheide, H., Ohse, B., Paquette, A., Ampoorter, E., Bastias, C. C., Bauhus, J., Bonal, D., Bouriaud, O., Bussotti, F., Carnol, M., Castagneyrol, B., … Baeten, L. (2017). Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning relations in European forests depend on environmental context. *Ecology Letters, 20* (11), 1414–1426. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12849>
- Reich, P. B. (2014). The world-wide 'fast–slow' plant economics spectrum: A traits manifesto. *Journal of Ecology, 102*(2), 275–301. [https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12211) [2745.12211](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12211)
- Reich, P. B., Knops, J., Tilman, D., Craine, J., Ellsworth, D., Tjoelker, M., Lee, T., Wedin, D., Naeem, S., Bahauddin, D., Hendrey, G., Jose, S., Wrage, K., Goth, J., & Bengston, W. (2001). Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO2 and nitrogen deposition. *Nature, 410*(6830), 809–810. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/35071062) [35071062](https://doi.org/10.1038/35071062)
- Reich, P. B., Tilman, D., Isbell, F., Mueller, K., Hobbie, S. E., Flynn, D. F. B., & Eisenhauer, N. (2012). Impacts of biodiversity loss escalate through time as redundancy fades. *Science, 336*(6081), 589–592. [https://doi.org/10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217909) [science.1217909](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1217909)
- Roeder, A., Schweingruber, F. H., Fischer, M., & Roscher, C. (2019). Increasing plant diversity of experimental grasslands alters the age and growth of Plantago lanceolata from younger and faster to older and slower. *Oikos, 128*(8), 1182–1193. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05739) [org/10.1111/oik.05739](https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05739)
- Roscher, C., Schumacher, J., Baade, J., Wilcke, W., Gleixner, G., Weisser, W. W., Schmid, B., & Schulze, E.-D. (2004). The role of biodiversity for element cycling and trophic interactions: An experimental approach in a grassland community. *Basic and Applied Ecology, 5*(2), 107–121. <https://doi.org/10.1078/1439-1791-00216>
- Roscher, C., Schumacher, J., Gubsch, M., Lipowsky, A., Weigelt, A., Buchmann, N., Schulze, E.-D., & Schmid, B. (2018). Interspecific trait differences rather than intraspecific trait variation increase the extent and filling of community trait space with increasing plant diversity in experimental grasslands. *Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics,, 33*, 42–50. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.05.001) [ppees.2018.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2018.05.001)
- Roscher, C., Schumacher, J., Lipowsky, A., Gubsch, M., Weigelt, A., Pompe, S., et al. (2013b). A functional trait-based approach to understand community assembly and diversity–productivity relationships over 7 years in experimental grasslands. Perspectives in Plant Ecology. *Evolution and Systematics, 15*, 139–149. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2013.02.004) [org/10.1016/j.ppees.2013.02.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ppees.2013.02.004)
- Roscher, C., Schumacher, J., Schmid, B., & Schulze, E.-D. (2015). Contrasting effects of intraspecific trait variation on trait-based niches and performance of legumes in plant mixtures. *PLOS ONE, 10*(3), Article e0119786. [https://doi.org/10.1371/](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119786) [journal.pone.0119786](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119786)
- Roscher, C., Temperton, V. M., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmitz, M., Schumacher, J., Schmid, B., Buchmann, N., Weisser, W. W., & Schulze, E.-D. (2005). Overyielding in experimental grassland communities – Irrespective of species pool or spatial scale. *Ecology Letters, 8*(4), 419–429. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00736.x>
- Rosenkranz, S., Wilcke, W., Eisenhauer, N., & Oelmann, Y. (2012). Net ammonification as influenced by plant diversity in experimental grasslands. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 48*, 78–87. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2012.01.008>
- Rottstock, T., Joshi, J., Kummer, V., & Fischer, M. (2014). Higher plant diversity promotes higher diversity of fungal pathogens, while it decreases pathogen infection per plant. *Ecology, 95*(7), 1907–1917. <https://doi.org/10.1890/13-2317.1>
- Sasaki, T., Lu, X., Hirota, M., & Bai, Y. (2019). Species asynchrony and response diversity determine multifunctional stability of natural grasslands. *Journal of Ecology, 107*(4), 1862–1875. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13151>
- Schnabel, F., Beugnon, R., Yang, B., Richter, R., Eisenhauer, N., Huang, Y., Liu, X., Wirth, C., Cesarz, S., Fichtner, A., Perles-Garcia, M. D., Hähn, G. J. A., Härdtle, W., Kunz, M., Izaguirre, N. C. C., Niklaus, P. A., Oheimb, G., von, Schmid, B., Trogisch, S., … Bruelheide, H. (2023). Tree diversity increases forest temperature buffering. *bioRxiv*. [https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.11.556807,](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.11.556807) 2023-09.
- Schnitzer, S. A., Klironomos, J. N., HilleRisLambers, J., Kinkel, L. L., Reich, P. B., Xiao, K., Rillig, M. C., Sikes, B. A., Callaway, R. M., Mangan, S. A., van Nes, E. H., & Scheffer, M. (2011). Soil microbes drive the classic plant diversity–productivity pattern. *Ecology*, 92(2), 296-303. https://doi.org/10.1890/10-077
- Schuldt, A., Assmann, T., Brezzi, M., Buscot, F., Eichenberg, D., Gutknecht, J., Härdtle, W., He, J.-S., Klein, A.-M., Kühn, P., Liu, X., Ma, K., Niklaus, P. A., Pietsch, K. A., Purahong, W., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Scholten, T., Staab, M., … Bruelheide, H. (2018). Biodiversity across trophic levels drives multifunctionality in highly diverse forests. *Nature Communications, 9*(1), 2989. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05421-z>
- Shade, A., Read, J. S., Youngblut, N. D., Fierer, N., Knight, R., Kratz, T. K., Lottig, N. R., Roden, E. E., Stanley, E. H., Stombaugh, J., Whitaker, R. J., Wu, C. H., & McMahon, K. D. (2012). Lake microbial communities are resilient after a wholeecosystem disturbance. *The ISME Journal, 6*(12). [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.56) [ismej.2012.56.](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2012.56) Article 12.
- Soliveres, S., van der Plas, F., Manning, P., Prati, D., Gossner, M. M., Renner, S. C., Alt, F., Arndt, H., Baumgartner, V., Binkenstein, J., Birkhofer, K., Blaser, S., Blüthgen, N., Boch, S., Böhm, S., Börschig, C., Buscot, F., Diekötter, T., Heinze, J., ... Allan, E. (2016). Biodiversity at multiple trophic levels is needed for ecosystem multifunctionality. *Nature, 536*(7617), 456–459. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19092) [nature19092](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19092)
- Steinbeiss, S., Beßler, H., Engels, C., Temperton, V. M., Buchmann, N., Roscher, C., Kreutziger, Y., Baade, J., Habekost, M., & Gleixner, G. (2008). Plant diversity positively affects short-term soil carbon storage in experimental grasslands. *Global Change Biology, 14*(12), 2937–2949. [https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01697.x) [2486.2008.01697.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01697.x)
- Thakur, M. P., van der Putten, W. H., Wilschut, R. A., Veen, G. F.(Ciska), Kardol, P., van Ruijven, J., Allan, E., Roscher, C., van Kleunen, M., & Bezemer, T. M. (2021). Plant–soil feedbacks and temporal dynamics of plant diversity–productivity relationships. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 36*(7), 651–661. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.03.011) [10.1016/j.tree.2021.03.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.03.011)
- Thompson, P. L., Isbell, F., Loreau, M., O'Connor, M. I., & Gonzalez, A. (2018). The strength of the biodiversity–ecosystem function relationship depends on spatial scale. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 285*(1880), Article 20180038. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2018.0038>
- Turnbull, L. A., Isbell, F., Purves, D. W., Loreau, M., & Hector, A. (2016). Understanding the value of plant diversity for ecosystem functioning through niche theory.<br>Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 283(1844), Article 20160536. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0536>
- Ummenhofer, C. C., & Meehl, G. A. (2017). Extreme weather and climate events with ecological relevance: A review. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 372*(1723), Article 20160135. [https://doi.org/10.1098/](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0135) [rstb.2016.0135](https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0135)
- van de Pol, M., Jenouvrier, S., Cornelissen, J. H. C., & Visser, M. E. (2017). Behavioural, ecological and evolutionary responses to extreme climatic events: Challenges and directions. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 372* (1723), Article 20160134. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2016.0134>
- van der Plas, F., Manning, P., Soliveres, S., Allan, E., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Verheyen, K., Wirth, C., Zavala, M. A., Ampoorter, E., Baeten, L., Barbaro, L., Bauhus, J., Benavides, R., Benneter, A., Bonal, D., Bouriaud, O., Bruelheide, H., Bussotti, F., Carnol, M., … Fischer, M. (2016). Biotic homogenization can decrease landscapescale forest multifunctionality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 113* (13), 3557–3562. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1517903113>
- Van Meerbeek, K., Jucker, T., & Svenning, J.-C. (2021). Unifying the concepts of stability and resilience in ecology. *Journal of Ecology, 109*(9), 3114–3132. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13651) [10.1111/1365-2745.13651](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13651)
- van Moorsel, S. J., Hahl, T., Petchey, O. L., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Schmid, B., & Wagg, C. (2021). Co-occurrence history increases ecosystem stability and resilience in experimental plant communities. *Ecology, 102*(1), e03205. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3205) [10.1002/ecy.3205](https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3205)
- Van Ruijven, J., & Berendse, F. (2009). Long-term persistence of a positive plant diversity–productivity relationship in the absence of legumes. *Oikos, 118*(1), 101–106. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.17119.x>
- Van Ruijven, J., & Berendse, F. (2010). Diversity enhances community recovery, but not resistance, after drought. *Journal of Ecology, 98*(1), 81–86. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01603.x) [j.1365-2745.2009.01603.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2009.01603.x)
- Vogel, A., Ebeling, A., Gleixner, G., Roscher, C., Scheu, S., Ciobanu, M., Koller-France, E., Lange, M., Lochner, A., Meyer, S.T., Oelmann, Y., Wilcke, W., Schmid, B., & Eisenhauer, N. (2019). Chapter Seven—A new experimental approach to test why biodiversity effects strengthen as ecosystems age. In N. Eisenhauer, D. A. Bohan, & A. J. Dumbrell (Eds.), Advances in ecological research (Vol. 61, pp. 221–264). Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2019.06.006.](http://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2019.06.006)
- Vogel, A., Fester, T., Eisenhauer, N., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmid, B., Weisser, W. W., & Weigelt, A. (2013). Separating drought effects from roof artifacts on ecosystem processes in a grassland drought experiment. *PLOS ONE, 8*(8), e70997. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070997) [org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070997](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070997)
- Wagg, C., Roscher, C., Weigelt, A., Vogel, A., Ebeling, A., de Luca, E., Roeder, A., Kleinspehn, C., Temperton, V. M., Meyer, S. T., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Buchmann, N.,

#### <span id="page-13-0"></span>*N. Eisenhauer et al.*

Fischer, M., Weisser, W. W., Eisenhauer, N., & Schmid, B. (2022). Biodiversity–stability relationships strengthen over time in a long-term grassland experiment. *Nature Communications, 13*(1), 7752. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35189-2)

- [022-35189-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35189-2) Walters, D., & Heil, M. (2007). Costs and trade-offs associated with induced resistance. *Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 71*(1), 3–17. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2007.09.008) [pmpp.2007.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmpp.2007.09.008)
- Wang, G., Burrill, H. M., Podzikowski, L. Y., Eppinga, M. B., Zhang, F., Zhang, J., Schultz, P. A., & Bever, J. D. (2023). Dilution of specialist pathogens drives productivity benefits from diversity in plant mixtures. *Nature Communications, 14*(1), 8417. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-44253-4>
- Weigelt, A., Schumacher, J., Roscher, C., & Schmid, B. (2008). Does biodiversity increase spatial stability in plant community biomass? *Ecology Letters, 11*(4), 338–347. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01145.x>
- Weigelt, A., Weisser, W. W., Buchmann, N., & Scherer-Lorenzen, M. (2009). Biodiversity for multifunctional grasslands: equal productivity in high-diversity low-input and low-diversity high-input systems. *Biogeosciences, 6*(8), 1695–1706. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-1695-2009) [10.5194/bg-6-1695-2009](https://doi.org/10.5194/bg-6-1695-2009)
- Weisser, W. W., Roscher, C., Meyer, S. T., Ebeling, A., Luo, G., Allan, E., Beßler, H., Barnard, R. L., Buchmann, N., Buscot, F., Engels, C., Fischer, C., Fischer, M., Gessler, A., Gleixner, G., Halle, S., Hildebrandt, A., Hillebrand, H., de Kroon, H., … Eisenhauer, N. (2017). Biodiversity effects on ecosystem functioning in a 15-year grassland experiment: Patterns, mechanisms, and open questions. *Basic and Applied Ecology, 23*, 1–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2017.06.002>
- Wright, A. J., Ebeling, A., de Kroon, H., Roscher, C., Weigelt, A., Buchmann, N., Buchmann, T., Fischer, C., Hacker, N., Hildebrandt, A., Leimer, S., Mommer, L., Oelmann, Y., Scheu, S., Steinauer, K., Strecker, T., Weisser, W., Wilcke, W., & Eisenhauer, N. (2015). Flooding disturbances increase resource availability and productivity but reduce stability in diverse plant communities. *Nature Communications, 6*(1), 6092. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7092>
- Wright, A. J., Mommer, L., Barry, K., & van Ruijven, J. (2021). Stress gradients and biodiversity: Monoculture vulnerability drives stronger biodiversity effects during drought years. *Ecology, 102*(1), e03193. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3193>
- Wright, A. J., Wardle, D. A., Callaway, R., & Gaxiola, A. (2017). The overlooked role of facilitation in biodiversity experiments. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 32*(5), 383–390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.011>
- Xi, N., Adler, P. B., Chen, D., Wu, H., Catford, J. A., van Bodegom, P. M., Bahn, M., Crawford, K. M., & Chu, C. (2021). Relationships between plant–soil feedbacks and

functional traits. *Journal of Ecology, 109*(9), 3411–3423. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13731) [1365-2745.13731](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13731)

- Xi, N., Chen, D., Bahn, M., Wu, H., Chu, C., Cadotte, M. W., & Bloor, J. M. G. (2022). Drought soil legacy alters drivers of plant diversity-productivity relationships in oldfield systems. *Science Advances, 8*(18), eabn3368. [https://doi.org/10.1126/](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn3368) [sciadv.abn3368](https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.abn3368)
- Yachi, S., & Loreau, M. (1999). Biodiversity and ecosystem productivity in a fluctuating environment: The insurance hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 96*(4), 1463–1468. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.4.1463>
- Yi, C., & Jackson, N. (2021). A review of measuring ecosystem resilience to disturbance. *Environmental Research Letters, 16*(5), Article 053008. [https://doi.org/10.1088/](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abdf09) [1748-9326/abdf09](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abdf09)
- Yu, W., Albert, G., Rosenbaum, B., Schnabel, F., Bruelheide, H., Connolly, J., Härdtle, W., von Oheimb, G., Trogisch, S., Rüger, N., & Brose, U. (2024). Systematic distributions of interaction strengths across tree interaction networks yield positive diversity–productivity relationships. *Ecology Letters, 27*(1), e14338. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14338) [10.1111/ele.14338](https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.14338)
- Zavaleta, E. S., Pasari, J. R., Hulvey, K. B., & Tilman, G. D. (2010). Sustaining multiple ecosystem functions in grassland communities requires higher biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107*(4), 1443–1446. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906829107) [10.1073/pnas.0906829107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0906829107)
- Zuppinger-Dingley, D., Schmid, B., Petermann, J. S., Yadav, V., De Deyn, G. B., & Flynn, D. F. B. (2014). Selection for niche differentiation in plant communities increases biodiversity effects. *Nature, 515*(7525), 108–111. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13869) [10.1038/nature13869](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13869)
- Zytynska, S. E., Meyer, S. T., Sturm, S., Ullmann, W., Mehrparvar, M., & Weisser, W. W. (2016). Secondary bacterial symbiont community in aphids responds to plant diversity. *Oecologia, 180*(3), 735–747. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-015-3488-y>

#### **Further reading**

Lange, M., Roth, V.-N., Eisenhauer, N., Roscher, C., Dittmar, T., Fischer-Bedtke, C., … Gleixner, G. (2021). Plant diversity enhances production and downward transport of biodegradable dissolved organic matter. *Journal of Ecology, 109*(3), 1284–1297. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13556>