ARTICLE OPEN

Check for updates

Acylcarnitines metabolism in depression: association with diagnostic status, depression severity and symptom profile in the NESDA cohort

Silvia Montanari¹, Rick Jansen ($D^{2,3,4}$, Daniela Schranner⁵, Gabi Kastenmüller⁵, Matthias Arnold ($D^{5,6}$, Delfina Janiri^{1,7}, Gabriele Sani ($D^{1,7}$, Sudeepa Bhattacharyya (D^8 , Siamak Mahmoudian Dehkordi⁶, Boadie W. Dunlop (D^9 , A. John Rush^{6,10}, Brenda W. H. J. Penninx ($D^{2,3,4}$, Rima Kaddurah-Daouk^{6,11,12,13 \cong} and Yuri Milaneschi ($D^{2,3,4,13 \cong}$

© The Author(s) 2025

Acylcarnitines (ACs) are involved in bioenergetics processes that may play a role in the pathophysiology of depression. Previous genomic evidence identified four ACs potentially linked to depression risk. We carried forward these ACs and tested the association of their circulating levels with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) diagnosis, overall depression severity and specific symptom profiles. The sample from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety included participants with current (n = 1035) or remitted (n = 739) MDD and healthy controls (n = 800). Plasma levels of four ACs (short-chain: acetylcarnitine C2 and propionylcarnitine C3; medium-chain: octanoylcarnitine C8 and decanoylcarnitine C10) were measured. Overall depression severity as well as atypical/energy-related (AES), anhedonic and melancholic symptom profiles were derived from the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology. As compared to healthy controls, subjects with current or remitted MDD presented similarly lower mean C2 levels (Cohen's d = 0.2, $p \le 1e-4$). Higher overall depression severity was significantly associated with higher C3 levels ($\beta = 0.06$, SE = 0.02, p = 1.21e-3). No associations were found for C8 and C10. Focusing on symptom profiles, only higher AES scores were linked to lower C2 ($\beta = -0.05$, SE = 0.02, p = 1.85e-2) and higher C3 ($\beta = 0.08$, SE = 0.02, p = 3.41e-5) levels. Results were confirmed in analyses pooling data with an additional internal replication sample from the same subjects measured at 6-year follow-up (totaling 4141 observations). Small alterations in levels of short-chain acylcarnitine levels were related to the presence and severity of depression, especially for symptoms reflecting altered energy homeostasis. Cellular metabolic dysfunctions may represent a key pathway in depression pathophysiology potentially accessible through AC metabolism.

Translational Psychiatry (2025)15:65; https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-025-03274-x

INTRODUCTION

Depression is the second-leading cause of disability worldwide [1]. The detrimental impact of depression includes sequelae that extend beyond mental health, including increased risk for the development of cardiometabolic conditions such as cardiovascular disease and diabetes. Immuno-metabolic dysregulations have been proposed as mechanisms contributing to the overlapping pathophysiology of depression and cardiometabolic disorders [2].

Mitochondrial dysfunction has been recently proposed as a key pathophysiological mechanism [3] linked to processes commonly found in depression, including neurotoxicity, impaired neuroplasticity, inflammation and insulin resistance [2–5]. Emerging evidence suggests a potential association of altered levels of acylcarnitines (ACs), which are involved in mitochondrial fatty acids β -oxidation,

with insulin resistance, cardiovascular and neurodegenerative diseases [6–9]. Currently, ACs are classified according the length of the carbon chain in short-chain (C2–C5), medium-chain (C6–C12), long-chain (C13–C20) and very long-chain (>C21) [10]. The main function of ACs is to be carriers, transporting long-chain fatty acids into mitochondria, where a series of reactions will make possible their use in β -oxidation, for the production of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), the main source of energy for use and storage at cellular level (Fig. 1) [10, 11]. The end products of these reactions, acetyl-CoAs, are converted to acetylcarnitines (C2), which can then transport acyl groups outside mitochondria, a step required for utilization of fatty acids and glucose [12].

Thus, ACs play a critical role in mitochondrial energy metabolism, essential for functioning of all tissues, as they

Received: 9 August 2024 Revised: 14 January 2025 Accepted: 7 February 2025 Published online: 23 February 2025

¹Department of Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy. ²Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam UMC, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ³Amsterdam Public Health, Mental Health program, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁴Amsterdam Neuroscience, Mood, Anxiety, Psychosis, Sleep & Stress program, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. ⁵Institute of Computational Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany. ⁶Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. ⁷Department of Psychiatry, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario Agostino Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy. ⁸Arkansas Biosciences Institute, Department of Biological Sciences, Arkansas Steu University, Jonesboro, AR, USA. ⁹Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA. ¹⁰Duke-National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore. ¹¹Duke Institute of Brain Sciences, Duke University, Durham, NC, USA. ¹³These authors contributed equally: Rima Kaddurah-Daouk, Yuri Milaneschi. ⁶⁴email: rima.kaddurahdaouk@duke.edu; y.milaneschi@amsterdamumc.nl



Fig. 1 Acylcarnitine production and main roles in mitochondrial function. Abbreviations: CIT, cytosol; MIT, mitochondria; PEX, peroxisome; LC, long-chain; MC, medium-chain; SC, short-chain; CoA, coenzyme A; LACS, long-chain acyl-coenzyme A synthetase; CACT, carnitine/ acylcarnitine translocase; CPT1 and CPT2, carnitine palmitoyl-transferase 1 and 2; CRAT, carnitine O-acetyltransferase; ATP, adenosine triphosphate; BCAA, branched-chain amino acid; OC Fatty Acid, Odd-chain fatty acid. Model based on Dambrova et al. [10] and Li et al. [11]. Full description in online supplement.

transport long-chain fatty acids into mitochondria for β-oxidation, a key energy production process [13]. Disruptions in this pathway can cause energy deficits affecting multiple organs, including those involved in mood and behavior regulation [14]. Emerging evidence suggests that ACs play a pivotal role in immunemetabolic pathways, linking lipid metabolism to mitochondrial function, with inadequate energy production contributing to metabolic stress, inflammation, and insulin resistance, all of which tied to depression pathophysiology [15]. ACs influence immune responses by modulating inflammatory cytokine production [16], while mitochondrial dysfunction exacerbates oxidative stress and inflammatory signaling [17]. Altered AC levels in peripheral tissues may indicate systemic metabolic dysregulation affecting energy homeostasis and immune-metabolic pathways in depression [13]. Although peripheral AC levels may not directly reflect brainspecific alterations, their role in systemic energy balance suggests an impact on central processes like mood regulation [18].

Besides its role in energy metabolism, a number of studies have shown that C2 actions range from antioxidant, neuromodulatory, and neuroprotective effects to modulation of gene expression [19–22].

In the past decades, a growing number of studies have observed unique acylcarnitine signatures in patients with neurodegenerative and neuropsychiatric disorders [23]. In clinical studies, higher levels of circulating long- and medium-chain acylcarnitines showed mixed results in patients with Alzheimer's disease [24, 25] whereas clinical trials of patients with Parkinson's disease have consistently shown that circulating levels of long-chain acylcarnitines are decreased [26–28].

Findings on altered ACs levels in depression have recently emerged [29]. In a clinical sample of 116 participants [30], subjects with Major Depressive Disorder (MDD) showed significantly lower C2 levels than healthy controls. A recent epidemiological study [31] on >1000 subjects from the general population identified lower levels of the medium-chain decanoylcarnitine (C10) and dodecanoylcarnitine/laurylcarnitine (C12) in participants with elevated depressive symptoms assessed with self-report symptom questionnaires. In order to establish the role of AC metabolism in depression risk, we previously [32] leveraged summary-level data from large GWAS (up to ~800,000 samples) and Mendelian randomization analyses to examine the potential reciprocal relationships between circulating levels of 15 ACs and depression. We showed that genetically-predicted lower levels of short-chain C2 (acetylcarnitine) and C3 (propionylcarnitine) and geneticallypredicted higher levels of medium-chain C8 (octanoylcarnitine) and C10 (decanoylcarnitine) were associated with increased depression risk. No reverse impact of depression liability on AC levels was found. These Mendelian randomization findings provide valuable insights into the potential causal roles of acylcarnitines in depression pathophysiology, further supporting the need for additional studies to confirm these relationships.

In the present study, we carried forward these four ACs and tested whether the relationships with depression predicted from genomic data (negative with C2 and C3, and positive with C8 and C10) were expressed in actual phenotypes measured in a large

particular the reversed neurovegetative symptoms of hyperphagia, hypersomnia with leaden paralysis and fatigue) [2] and symptoms of anhedonia [33]. We examined the associations of AC levels with three symptom profile scores of atypical/energyrelated, anhedonic and melancholic symptoms.

METHODS

Study design and setting

Data were obtained from the Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA), an ongoing naturalistic longitudinal cohort study examining course and consequences of depressive and anxiety disorders. A description of the study rationale, design, and methods is given elsewhere [34]. Briefly, in 2003–2007, 2981 participants were recruited from community settings, primary care practices and mental health care institutions and were followed-up during biennial assessments. During the 9-year follow-up (2014–2017), full-biological siblings of NESDA participants with a lifetime affective disorder were additionally recruited. Participants were excluded if they had a self-reported diagnosis of psychiatric disorders) or were not fluent in Dutch. All participants provided written informed consent, and the study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committees of all the participating universities.

From the 3348 subjects of the NESDA cohort, we aimed to select healthy controls (without any lifetime depressive/anxiety disorder) and those with a diagnosis of MDD at their baseline assessment. Thus, among participants without a current diagnosis of MDD we excluded those with a diagnosis of anxiety disorder (n = 508) and dysthymia or minor depression (n = 66). Among the remaining subjects, we further excluded those who received a diagnosis of bipolar disorder during the follow-up assessment (n = 9), those with missing metabolite data due to the lack of blood samples (n = 158) and those who did not complete the Inventory for Depressive Symptoms questionnaire (n = 33). Supplemental Fig. 1 shows the flow chart for subject' inclusion.

Thus, the main analytical sample included 2574 participants (2363 from NESDA baseline and 211 siblings) with data on MDD diagnostic status, overall depression severity, and depressive symptoms profiles and at least one of the investigated metabolites.

Furthermore, additional data from 1567 subjects with the same measures of depression and metabolites repeated at 6-year follow-up (2010–2013) were used in a secondary analysis.

Specific analysis comparing the characteristics of included vs. excluded participants demonstrates that the excluded group is generally comparable to the included group in terms of sociodemographic and health-related factors (Table S1).

MDD diagnostic status, overall depression severity and profiles

The presence of DSM-IV diagnosis of MDD was assessed using the Composite Interview Diagnostic Instrument version 2.1 [35] administered by specially trained research staff. Three groups were identified: participants with current MDD (that is, participants meeting DSM-IV criteria for MDD within the past 6 months), with remitted (i.e., lifetime but not current) MDD, and healthy controls (without any lifetime depressive/ anxiety disorder). Overall depression symptom severity was measured with the Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology self-report questionnaire (IDS-SR₃₀) [36–38], with scores ranging from 0 to 84.

Three depression symptom profiles were created using items from the $IDS-SR_{30}$ as described in previous studies [2, 39, 40]. The atypical energyrelated symptom (AES) profile is based on the five items of hypersomnia, increased appetite, increased weight, low energy and leaden paralysis (range 0–15); the definition of this profile showed a good level of homogeneity (mean inter-item Spearman 0.25) [41] and has been extensively described previously as belonging to the immuno-metabolic depression (IMD) domain, based on previous findings on individual symptoms with immuno-metabolic dysregulation [2]. The anhedonic profile is based on three items of response of mood to good or desired events, general interest and capacity for pleasure or enjoyment (range 0-9); this IDS-SR₃₀ anhedonia subscale has been previously demonstrated to highly correlate with the self-administered and clinician-administered versions of the SHAPS [42] and replicated in other samples [40]. Lastly, the melancholic profile is based on eight items of waking up too early, quality of mood, hypophagia, decreased weight, linkage of mood to time of day (if worse in the morning), view of self, psychomotor agitation and psychomotor retardation (range 0–24).

Metabolomics profiling and data processing

After an overnight fast, EDTA plasma samples were collected and stored in aliquots at -80 °C until further analysis. Samples were sent in two shipments to the USA. Metabolic profiles were measured using the untargeted metabolomics platform from Metabolon Inc (Durham, NC). Extended description of the assessment is provided elsewhere [43] and in Supplemental methods. Three of the four ACs (C2, C8 and C10) investigated in the present study were not available in the previously described [43] metabolomics dataset. Issues with batch normalization using NIST (National Institute of Standards and Technology) samples as reference due to low levels of these ACs in NIST compared to NESDA samples led to exclusion of these measures according to our quality control criteria after batch correction. For the present analyses, we reprocessed the raw measurements of these three ACs using their median ion counts in each batch for normalization. Applying this approach, coefficients of variation of plasma reference samples that were run along with the NESDA samples met the original quality control criteria. Batchnormalized values of the four AC metabolites were log2-transformed and metabolite levels higher than 3 standard deviations (C2 1.30%; C3 0.82%; C8 0.90%; C10 0.70%) were set as missing. Quality Check was performed according to the established pipeline used by previous epidemiological studies and international metabolomics consortia [31, 43-45].

Covariates

Covariates included age, sex, educational level (as total year of formal education), obtained from structured interviews conducted at the beginning of the study, as well as metabolomic assessment shipment (first vs second). Health and lifestyle information included smoking status (non-smoker vs current smoker), alcohol consumption as units per week, physical activity assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ, expressed in Metabolic Equivalent Total (MET) minutes per week [46], and body mass index (BMI) measured during physical examination. The number of self-reported current somatic diseases (including cardiometabolic, respiratory, musculoskeletal, digestive, neurological, endocrine diseases and cancer) for which participants received medical treatment was counted (coded as 0, 1, 2+) as a global marker of poor physical health. In specific secondary analyses we examined the impact of antidepressant use, measured based on drug container inspection of medications used in the past month, classified according to the World Health Organization Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical classification: selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (N06AB), tricyclic antidepressants (N06AA) and other less commonly prescribed medications (N06AX, N06AF, N06AG).

Statistical methods

Variables were reported as percentages or means \pm SD as appropriate. Pairwise correlation between depressive symptom scores were estimated with Pearson's r coefficient.

All analyses were performed using linear mixed models with "family-factor" as random effect, in order to take into account the pedigree structure of the sample. We initially tested differences in adjusted mean AC levels across the three diagnostic groups: current MDD, remitted MDD and healthy controls. Adjusted mean AC levels across the three groups were estimated from the mixed models, standardized differences between groups were reported using Cohen's *d* tested in post-hoc pairwise comparisons. To estimate the association between metabolites and overall depression severity, we regressed AC levels on IDS-SR₃₀ total scores. Metabolite levels and depressive symptom scales were expressed as SD unit increase to derive standardized estimates. To further explore the functional shape of this association we applied restricted cubic splines with 3 knots to regression models. We examined the potential impact of antidepressant use on previous analyses by repeating the models excluding participants on antidepressants. ACs significantly linked to

	Total sample (2574)	Current MDD (1035)	Remitted MDD (739)	HC (800)	Ρ	
eristics						
	42.80 ± 13.19	41.55 ± 12.24	43.59 ± 12.42	43.69 ± 14.86	< 0.001	
Male	892 (34.7)	336 (32.5)	224 (30.3)	332 (41.5)	< 0.001	
Female	1682 (65.3)	699 (67.5)	515 (69.7)	468 (58.5)		
Level of education, years - mean \pm SD		11.79 ± 3.27	12.40 ± 3.19	12.94 ± 3.22	< 0.001	
No smoker	1636 (63.6)	583 (56.3)	450 (60.9)	603 (75.4)	<0.001	
Current smoker	938 (36.4)	452 (43.7)	289 (39.1)	197 (24.6)		
MET total	3752.16 ± 3097.32	3605.11 ± 3152.31	3802.95 ± 2968.32	3895.49 ± 3137.58	0.120	
None	1174 (45.6)	412 (39.8)	331 (44.8)	431 (53.9)	< 0.001	
1 disease	819 (31.8)	343 (33.1)	235 (31.8)	241 (30.1)		
2 or more	581 (22.6)	280 (27.1)	173 (23.4)	128 (16.0)		
ean ± SD)	7.04 ± 9.66	6.72 ± 10.32	7.21 ± 9.56	7.31 ± 8.83	0.376	
BMI - mean ± SD		25.78±5.10	25.62 ± 4.59	25.11 ± 4.45	0.009	
Antidepressant use, yes – n(%)		465 (44.9)	177 (24.0)	16 (2.0)	< 0.001	
₀ total score	20.01 ± 13.98	31.25 ± 11.87	16.98 ± 9.70	8.26 ± 7.07	< 0.001	
AES		5.02 ± 2.71	2.69 ± 2.25	1.42 ± 1.72	< 0.001	
Anhedonic		2.95 ± 2.16	0.84 ± 1.24	0.34 ± 0.83	<0.001	
Melancholic		7.23 ± 3.66	3.46 ± 2.98	1.48 ± 2.07	<0.001	
	eristics Male Female - mean ± SD No smoker Current smoker MET total None 1 disease 2 or more ean ± SD) n(%) total score	Total sample (2574) eristics 42.80 ± 13.19 Male 892 (34.7) Female 1682 (65.3) - mean ± SD 12.32 ± 3.27 No smoker 1636 (63.6) Current smoker 938 (36.4) MET total 3752.16 ± 3097.32 None 1174 (45.6) 1 disease 819 (31.8) 2 or more 581 (22.6) ean ± SD) 7.04 ± 9.66 at 15D 7.04 ± 9.66 at 25.3 ± 4.77 3.23 ± 2.78 1.53 ± 1.98 4.36 ± 3.92	Total sample (2574) Current MDD (1035) eristics 42.80 ± 13.19 41.55 ± 12.24 Male 892 (34.7) 336 (32.5) Female 1682 (65.3) 699 (67.5) - mean ± SD 12.32 ± 3.27 11.79 ± 3.27 No smoker 1636 (63.6) 583 (56.3) Current smoker 938 (36.4) 452 (43.7) MET total 3752.16 ± 3097.32 3605.11 ± 3152.31 None 1174 (45.6) 412 (39.8) 1 disease 819 (31.8) 343 (33.1) 2 or more 581 (22.6) 280 (27.1) ean ± SD) 7.04 ± 9.66 6.72 ± 10.32 at 174 5.53 ± 4.77 2.78 ± 5.10 en (%) 658 (25.6) 465 (44.9) at 2.3 ± 2.78 5.02 ± 2.71 at 3.23 ± 2.78 5.02 ± 2.71 at 3.23 ± 2.78 5.02 ± 2.71 at 3.6 ± 3.92 7.23 ± 3.66	Image: Note of the second se	Nore 1036 (30.6) Current MDD (1035) Remitted MDD (739) HC (800) eristics 42.80 ± 13.19 41.55 ± 12.24 43.59 ± 12.42 43.69 ± 14.86 Male 892 (34.7) 336 (32.5) 224 (30.3) 332 (41.5) Female 1682 (65.3) 699 (67.5) 515 (69.7) 468 (58.5) - mean ± SD 12.32 ± 3.27 11.79 ± 3.27 12.40 ± 3.19 12.94 ± 3.22 No smoker 1636 (63.6) 583 (56.3) 450 (60.9) 603 (75.4) Current smoker 938 (36.4) 452 (43.7) 289 (39.1) 197 (24.6) MET total 375.21.6 ± 3097.32 3605.11 ± 3152.31 3802.95 ± 2968.32 3895.49 ± 3137.58 None 1174 (45.6) 412 (39.8) 331 (44.8) 431 (53.9) 1 disease 819 (31.8) 343 (33.1) 235 (31.8) 241 (30.1) 2 or more 581 (22.6) 280 (27.1) 173 (23.4) 128 (16.0) ean ± SD) 7.04 ± 9.66 6.72 ± 10.32 7.21 ± 9.56 7.31 ± 8.83 2 or more 581 (22.6) 465 (44.9) </td	

P-values derive from one-way ANOVAs for continuous outcomes and from X^2 for categorical outcomes.

SD, standard deviation; MET, metabolic equivalent of task; BMI, body mass index; MDD, major depressive disorder; HC, healthy control; IDS-SR₃₀, inventory of depressive symptoms, self rated 30 items; AES, atypical energy-related symptoms.

MDD status and/or depression severity were carried forward in subsequent analyses examining whether the association was mainly driven by specific symptom profiles, by regressing the metabolite levels on each of the three symptom profile scales.

 Table 1
 General characteristics of the main sample

All models were adjusted for age, sex, educational level, and shipment. For significant associations, we tested the potential explanatory effect of lifestyle and health-related variables by further including alcohol consumption, smoking status, physical activity, BMI and the number of self-reported current somatic diseases in the analytical models.

Lastly, to evaluate the robustness of the associations detected, we additionally included data collected from NESDA subjects still available at 6-year follow-up by pooling all observations (N = 4141) in a unified mixed model with two random factors (one for the family effect and one for the repeated observations from the same subject over time).

Analyses were performed in *RStudio* version 2023.03.0 + 386 (RStudio: Integrated Development for R). All statistical tests were two-sided and used a significance level of P < 0.05. In main analyses, False-Discovery Rate (FDR) q-values were calculated. The present study report follows the STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology) Statement (Supplementary Table S6).

RESULTS

The sample's mean age was 42.8 years (SD 13.19) and 65.3% were females (Table 1). Participants had current MDD (N = 1035), remitted MDD (N = 739) or were healthy controls (N = 800); the mean IDS-SR₃₀ score was 20.01 ± 13.98. Table 1 describe the main characteristics in the total sample and across the three diagnostic groups. Distributions of metabolite levels are depicted in Supplementary Figure S2 and pairwise correlation between metabolites are shown in Supplementary Figure S3. C8 and C10 were highly correlated (Pearson's r = 0.9) in line with previously reported genetic correlations (rg = 0.98) [32] while all other pairs showed Pearson's r < 0.5.

Differences in ACs across MDD groups

Figure 2 shows the age-, sex-, education-, and shipment-adjusted standardized means of the four metabolite levels across the three diagnostic groups. A significant overall difference across groups was found only for C2 levels (overall-p = 1.05e-5, q = 4.26e-5): current (mean = -0.06, SE = 0.01) and remitted (mean = -0.05, SE = 0.03) MDD cases had similar significantly lower mean C2 levels (d = -0.2) as compared to controls (mean=0.03, SE = 0.06). This difference remained statistically significant after further adjustment for alcohol consumption, smoking status, physical activity, BMI and number of somatic diseases (current MDD mean = -0.06, SE = 0.01; remitted MDD mean = -0.06, SE = 0.02; healthy controls mean 0.03, SE = 0.02; overall-p = 3.14e-5, q = 1.26e-4). Of interest, C3 levels were increasingly elevated from healthy controls (mean = 0.63, SE = 0.02) to subjects with remitted (mean = 0.65, SE = 0.02) to those with current (mean = 0.68, SE = 0.02) MDD, although the overall difference was not statistically significant (overall-p = 1.65e-1, q = 2.32e-1).

Participants using antidepressants were 24.9% of the total sample, and specifically 44.9% of those with current MDD and 31.5% of those in the remitted group. To evaluate whether the identified association between C2 and MDD status was driven by antidepressant use, we repeated the analysis only considering participants who did not use antidepressants (Table S2). The differences in C2 levels between cases (both current and remitted) versus healthy controls was confirmed to be similar (d = -0.2) and statistically significant (overall-p = 1.20e-3).

Association of ACs with overall depression severity

We estimated the association between AC levels and overall severity of depression measured by IDS-SR₃₀ total score (Table 2). Higher depression severity was significantly associated only with



Metabolite (log2)	MDD current		MDD remitted		HC		p	a
	mean	SE	mean	SE	mean	SE	P	7
C2	-0.06 ^A	0.01	-0.05 ^B	0.02	0.03 ^{A, B}	0.06	1.05e-5	4.26e-5
C3	0.68	0.02	0.65	0.02	0.63	0.02	1.65e-1	2.32e-1
C8	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	2.32e-1	2.32e-1
C10	-0.01	0.03	-0.02	0.03	0.05	0.03	1.77e-1	2.32e-1
Pairwise comparison: groups with the same letter (A, B) for each model are significantly different.								

Fig. 2 Metabolite levels across the three groups: current MDD (n = 1035), remitted MDD (n = 739) and healthy controls (n = 800). Y-axes: SD change. Analysis were adjusted for age, sex, education and shipment. Adjusted P-levels (q) are obtained by BH-FDR (Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate) correction, used to control the rate of false positives in multiple testing.

higher C3 levels ($\beta = 0.06$, SE = 0.02, p = 1.20e-3, q = 9.60e-3) correcting for age, sex, education and shipment. Although slightly reduced, the association was still statistically significant ($\beta = 0.04$, SE = 0.02, p = 2.67e-3) in the fully adjusted model. To examine the potential impact of antidepressant use, we re-estimated the

association between C3 and depression severity in participants without such medications (N = 1916): results were substantially similar ($\beta = 0.06$, SE = 0.02, p = 1.16e-2). In line with previous analyses examining MDD diagnostic status, we found a negative association between overall depressive severity and C2 levels,

S. Montanari et al.

Table 2. Metabolite levels association with 1D5-5h ₃₀ total scores and with symptom promes.								
Metabolite		Estimate	SE	Р	q			
C2	Total IDS-SR ₃₀	-0.03	0.02	8.10E-2	2.16E-1			
	AES	-0.05	0.02	1.85E-2	7.40E-2			
	Anhedonic	-0.01	0.02	4.99E–1	7.26E-1			
	Melancholic	-0.01	0.02	4.77E–1	7.26E-1			
C3	Total IDS-SR ₃₀	0.06	0.02	1.20E-3	9.60E-3			
	AES	0.08	0.02	3.42E–5	5.47E-4			
	Anhedonic	0.05	0.02	5.80E-3	3.09E-2			
	Melancholic	0.03	0.02	7.67E-2	2.16E-1			
C8	Total IDS-SR ₃₀	-0.001	0.02	9.48E-1	9.48E-1			
	AES	-0.02	0.02	2.33E-1	4.66E-1			
	Anhedonic	-0.002	0.02	9.35E-1	9.48E-1			
	Melancholic	0.01	0.02	5.89E-1	7.85E-1			
C10	Total IDS-SR ₃₀	-0.002	0.02	9.26E-1	9.48E-1			
	AES	-0.03	0.02	1.30E-1	2.97E-1			
	Anhedonic	0.01	0.02	7.40E-1	9.11E-1			
	Melancholic	0.02	0.02	3.00E-1	5.33E-1			

Table 2. Metabolite levels association with IDS-SR₃₀ total scores and with symptom profiles.

Covariates - shipment, age, sex, education.

SE, Standard error; P, level of significance; AES, atypical energy-related symptoms; q, Adjusted P-levels obtained by BH-FDR (Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate) correction, used to control the rate of false positives in multiple testing.



Fig. 3 Restricted cubic spline for C2 and C3. Dashed fitted spline of C2 (A) and C3 (B) levels across IDS-SR₃₀ scores. Vertical lines illustrate the mean IDS-SR₃₀ values across the three diagnostic groups: current MDD (red line), remitted MDD (yellow line), HC (blue line).

although not reaching statistical significance ($\beta = -0.03$, SE = 0.02, p = 8.10e-2, q = 2.16e-1). Furthermore, IDS-SR₃₀ scores were not significantly associated with C8 ($\beta = -0.001$, SE = 0.02, p = 9.48e-1, q = 9.48e-1) or C10 ($\beta = -0.002$, SE = 0.02, p = 9.26e-1, q = 9.48e-1).

To study the apparently discordant results for C2 and C3 in analyses using MDD diagnostic status versus those with continuous symptom severity, we examined the functional shape of the association between depression severity and ACs by fitting restricted cubic splines (3 knots) regression models. As shown in Fig. 3A the fitted spline (adjusted for age, sex, education and shipment) revealed a threshold in the symptoms-C2 relationship, becoming inversely associated mainly for IDS-SR₃₀ scores values below the sample mean. Healthy controls had mean IDS-SR₃₀ of ~1 SD above the mean (the threshold point of the association), while MDD cases with remitted and those with current MDD had mean value, respectively, around the mean and ~1 SD below it. This shows how this non-fully-linear relationship was better captured by the analyses employing

categorical diagnostic groups. In contrast, the association between $IDS-SR_{30}$ scores and C3 appeared substantially linear (Fig. 3B), thus potentially better captured in analyses using continuous depressive symptom scores.

Associations of ACs with symptom profiles

We further evaluated whether the identified associations were specific for certain symptom profiles. There were moderate positive correlations between the three symptom profiles (Figure S4) varying from r = 0.75 (anhedonic and melancholic profiles) to r = 0.56 (AES and melancholic profiles), indicating that the scales captured partially non-overlapping dimensions. The AES profile score was significantly associated with lower C2 (β = -0.05, SE = 0.02, p = 1.85e-2, q = 7.40e-2) and higher C3 (β = 0.08, SE = 0.02, p = 3.42e-5, q = 5.47e-4) levels (Table 2). These associations remained statistically significant after further adjustment for lifestyle and health-related variables (C2 β = -0.04, SE = 0.02, p = 3.92e-2; C3 β = 0.04, SE = 0.02, p = 3.13e-2).

The anhedonic profile score was associated with higher C3 ($\beta = 0.05$, SE = 0.02, p = 5.80e-3, q = 3.09e-2) levels, although the association was reduced ($\beta = 0.03$, SE = 0.02, p = 5.98e-2) after additional adjustment for lifestyle and health-related variables. The melancholic profile score was not significantly associated with C2 or C3. No associations were found for C8 and C10 (Table 2).

To consider the possible non-linear shape of the relationship between C2 levels and symptom profile scales, we performed additional analysis for C2 dividing the profile scores in tertiles. Analyses confirmed the association between C2 and AES (Table S3) and its non-fully linear functional form (Figure S5).

Pooled analyses

The robustness of the significant associations detected using baseline data were further tested including an additional sample of 1567 subjects with metabolites and depressive symptoms assessed at the 6-year follow-up. Participants still available at this later wave of assessment had a substantially improved clinical profile as compared to baseline, with a significantly lower proportion of subjects currently depressed (16% versus 40% at baseline) and lower symptom severity measured with IDS-SR₃₀ (15.21 ± 12.09 versus 20.01 ± 13.98 at baseline). Furthermore, among subjects from the main NESDA sample potentially available at multiple waves, those still present at the 6-year follow-up exhibited a substantially better baseline health profile (including physical health, lifestyle metrics, and lower depression symptom severity) compared to those lost to follow-up. (Table S4). Estimates derived for the 6-year follow-up assessment from the main mixed model (Table S5, obtained by modeling depression measure by assessment wave interactions) showed consistent but substantially diluted associations between depression and AC levels. Nevertheless, despite including these diluted signals, the overall model pooling 4141 observations (Table S5) confirmed the associations between C2 and current MDD ($\beta = -0.07$, SE = 0.02, p = 1.03e-4), remitted MDD (B = -0.04, SE = 0.02, p = 1.06e-2) and with the AES profile ($\beta = -0.01$, SE = 0.003, p = 1.99e-2). For C3, the model confirmed the association with overall MDD severity $(\beta = 0.002, SE = 0.001, p = 1.24e-2)$ and AES $(\beta = 0.01, SE = 0.003, P = 0.003)$ p = 1.34e-3) symptom scores.

DISCUSSION

This is the largest study to date to explore the relationship between ACs blood concentrations and depression in a cohort enriched of clinical cases psychiatrically well characterized. Alterations in levels of short-chain ACs, reduced acetylcarnitine (C2) and elevated propionylcarnitine (C3), were linked to the presence and intensity of depression. Differences in C2 levels between MDD cases and controls were of small effect size (Cohen's d = 0.2) comparable to those previously reported for other biomarkers such as CRP (d = 0.15) [47] and insulin resistance (d = 0.19) [48] Results were confirmed in pooled analyses with >4000 observations additionally including an additional sample with data collected in subjects still available at 6-year follow-up.

Findings from a previous study [32] leveraging genomic data and Mendelian randomization analyses suggested a potential causal relationship between low C2 and depression risk, although longitudinal studies are necessary to confirm these findings. Consistently, in the present study lower C2 levels as compared to healthy controls were observed for subjects experiencing a current depressive episode as well those who had remitted. This pattern supports the interpretation of decreased C2 levels as a potential "trait marker" indexing an underlying vulnerability for the development of depression, in line with previous genetic analyses [32]. Nevertheless, lower C2 in remitted subjects could also represent the result of a "scar effect" of depression, not improving after symptomatologic remission. Further longitudinal

Furthermore, we found that C3 levels were positively correlated with the severity of depression, acting as a potential "state marker" of the current symptomatology. This finding is in contrast with the expectation from Mendelian randomization analyses, showing an association between genetically predicted lower C3 levels and depression risk. Discrepancies between analyses employing genetic instruments and actual phenotypes may provide intriguing insights on relevant dynamics. For instance, it could be speculated that such discrepancies may reflect compensatory mechanisms aimed at correcting underlying vulnerability, consistently with previous findings [49] showing an increase in C3 during antidepressant treatment. Presently such hypothesis remains merely speculative; to reconcile the genetics and observational estimates additional longitudinal and experimental studies are needed. Intriguingly, the direction of the association with depression was opposite for the two short-chain ACs C2 and C3. Although having a partially overlapping genetic basis, the actual correlation of C2 and C3 blood concentrations was weak (r = 0.2) and these ACs are components of partially independent pathways (Fig. 1). C2 is a downstream product of mitochondrial beta-oxidation of long-chain fatty acids. Disruptions in this process may result in reduced in C2 levels. C3 is a downstream product of the metabolism of branched-chain amino-acids (leucine, isoleucine, valine) and of odd-chain fatty acids. In diseases where aminoacid metabolizing enzymes are dysfunctional or absent (e.g., propionic acidemia and methylmalonic acidemias characterized by neurological symptoms, muscle weakness and low energy) C3 accumulates, and higher blood levels are used as a screening tool. Hence, it is possible that C2 and C3 play distinct roles in various molecular pathways associated with depression. Further research is required to gain a more comprehensive understanding of this aspect.

Finally, while genetically predicted higher levels of the mediumchain ACs C8 and C10 were associated with increased depression risk in previous Mendelian randomization analyses, no significant association with depression presence or severity was found for levels of these ACs in the present study. Potential compensatory mechanisms correcting underlying vulnerability may be speculated as one of the reasons for such discrepancies, consistently with previous findings showing decrease in C8 and C10 after antidepressant treatment [49]. Nevertheless, other conceptual and methodological differences may explain discrepancies in results between genetically informed (capturing average lifetime risk and etiological mechanisms) and observational (capturing time specific or acute events and disease progression) analyses. As addressed for other mental disorders, the integration of static genetic data and dynamic "omics" data is necessary to define better biomarkers for clinical management [50]. Furthermore, the network of biological pathways involving ACs and converging on the mitochondria is extremely complex (Fig. 1). Rather than alterations in single components, the impact on depression pathobiology may be due to the net effect of different dysregulations and interrelated compensatory mechanisms in such a complex network, which could be fully unraveled only by further functional and mechanistic studies.

The present findings are in line with previous evidence suggesting that metabolic alterations are not uniformly associated with all clinical manifestation of depression, but map more consistently with specific symptom profiles [51]. Across different analyses, lower C2 and higher C3 levels were associated with an atypical/energy-related symptom profile characterized by altered energy intake/expenditure balance (e.g. hyperphagia, weight gain, hypersomnia, fatigue, leaden paralysis) and previously shown to be linked [2, 39, 41] to inflammatory and metabolic alterations. Less consistent evidence across analyses were found for an association between higher C3 levels and an anhedonic symptom

8

profile previously linked with inflammation and neurobiological reward processes. The clustering between specific biological and clinical features has been postulated to identify a theoretical dimension labelled "immuno-metabolic depression (IMD)" [2] (aligning with the Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) framework [52]; that may be conceptualized as a depression dimension in mapping the degree of expression of transdiagnostic biobehavioral processes overlapping with those of other constructs (e.g. sickness behavior) [53, 54], psychiatric diagnoses (e.g. bipolar disorder, seasonal affective disorder) or somatic (e.g. cardiovascular diseases, diabetes) conditions. In this context, engagement of the specific immuno-metabolic biological pathways (e.g. AC metabolism) in conjunction with the expression of specific clinical symptoms (e.g. atypical/energy-related, anhedonia) may identify depressed subjects at higher cardiometabolic risk. For example, altered levels of short-chain ACs, including C2 and C3, have been observed in coronary artery disease and diabetes [6, 55].

Findings from the present study align with hypotheses [3] suggesting that mitochondrial energetic dysfunction may be involved in the pathophysiology of depression. Cellular energy dysfunction may contribute to depression through various pathways, leading to neurotoxicity and impaired neuroplasticity [4, 56]. In animal models, C2 supplementation promoted neuroplasticity, synthesis of neurotrophic factors, modulation of glutamatergic dysfunction, reversal of neuronal atrophy in regions like the hippocampus and amygdala, and improvement in depression-like behavioral symptoms [20, 30, 57, 58].

Moreover, mitochondrial dysfunction and related oxidative stress can activate the innate branch of the immune system, leading to the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines influencing various depression-related pathophysiological mechanisms: monoaminergic neurotransmission disruption, tryptophan degradation toward neurotoxic catabolites, glutamate-related excitotoxicity, decreased neurotrophic factors and alterations in the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis [3, 33, 59]. Mitochondrial bioenergetic dysfunctions may also have broader impact on immune processes. It has been recently shown [60] that T cells from depressed patients displayed a compromised metabolic profile accompanied by heightened gene expression of CTP1a (carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1 A), the mitochondrial enzyme responsible for ACs synthesis.

It is important to acknowledge that the relationships we found between AC levels, MDD diagnosis, depression severity and depression profiles may be explained to some extent by shared distal environmental and lifestyle factors (e.g., comorbid somatic diseases, sedentary behavior, smoking, high-fat diet, alcohol consumption [61–64] that could act as confounder or mediators of the association. Nevertheless, when analyses were adjusted for BMI, level of physical activity, number of somatic comorbidities, smoking status and alcohol use, results were substantially unchanged.

Alternatively, altered levels of ACs may be a direct consequence of depression or related clinical aspect such as use of antidepressants. Nevertheless, previous genetic study employing Mendelian randomization [32] found no evidence supporting a causal role of depression liability in influencing AC levels. Furthermore, in the present study we repeated the analysis excluding subjects using antidepressants and associations were not substantially impacted.

A major limitation of the present study is the cross-sectional design of the analyses, which estimated the associations between AC levels and depression at the same assessment (either baseline or 6-year follow-up), precluding conclusions about causality. Also, to assess the AES symptoms, items from a previously established questionnaire available in the cohort were utilized, following theoretical models and earlier research findings. The consistent correlations between AES symptoms and immuno-metabolic benchmark markers point to a satisfactory level of internal

consistency in the scoring approach. Nonetheless, future studies should prioritize the development or adoption of specialized tools designed specifically for this clinical profile, ensuring these are subjected to comprehensive psychometric validation. Strengths of the current study include the large sample size, the detailed clinical assessment of depression and related characteristics, and the availability of the same data collected from participants still involved after 6 years of follow-up (totaling over 4,000 observations), which allowed further testing of the consistency of the detected associations. However, the sample available at the 6-year follow-up was not entirely comparable to the one selected for the main analyses, as it included subjects with a substantially better health profile (indicative of survival bias) compared to those lost to follow-up. These differences may have resulted in a relative reduction in effect sizes when pooling cross-sectional estimates obtained in the main analyses and those from 6-year follow-up analyses. Thus, the present findings warrant external replication in independent samples with similar measures when these become available. At the same time, it is important to remark that the finding of lower C2 as risk factor for depression is highly consistent with data from other studies using clinical samples, animal models and genomic data [32, 65].

In the future, longitudinal studies will be necessary to capture trajectories of changes over time in AC levels and depressive symptoms in order to properly disentangle trait vs state effects and provide empirical grounding for causal interpretation, triangulating evidence with experimental medicine approaches. To date, only few small studies with heterogeneous methodology tested carnitine/acetylcartinitine supplementation in depressed patients, producing inconsistent results [66]. In parallel, in-depth mechanistic studies could identify the precise biological mechanisms underlying the association between ACs and depression. An interesting approach would be to study differences in ratios between long, medium and short chain ACs in order to assess possible alterations in enzymatic function in depressed patients, a method already used in other fields of medicine [67, 68].

In conclusion, the present study identified alterations of small effect size in blood levels of short-chain ACs related to the presence and severity of depression, especially of clinical profiles expressing symptoms reflecting altered energy homeostasis. Cellular metabolic dysfunctions may represent the biological substrate connecting depression with different cardiometabolic outcomes and a key pathway in depression pathophysiology potentially accessible through AC metabolism.

DATA AVAILABILITY

Access to NESDA data used in the present study can be obtained by submitting a research proposal. Information on how to request the study data, including the data sharing policy, can be found at www.nesda.nl.

REFERENCES

- 1. GBD Results. Inst. Health Metr. Eval. https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-results (accessed 20 Oct2023).
- Milaneschi Y, Lamers F, Berk M, Penninx BWJH. Depression heterogeneity and its biological underpinnings: toward immunometabolic depression. Biol. Psychiatry. 2020;88:369–80. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2020.01.014.
- Morris G, Berk M. The many roads to mitochondrial dysfunction in neuroimmune and neuropsychiatric disorders. BMC Med. 2015;13:68.
- 4. Picard M, McEwen BS. Mitochondria impact brain function and cognition. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111:7–8.
- McEwen BS, Bowles NP, Gray JD, Hill MN, Hunter RG, Karatsoreos IN, et al. Mechanisms of stress in the brain. Nat Neurosci. 2015;18:1353–63.
- Adams SH, Hoppel CL, Lok KH, Zhao L, Wong SW, Minkler PE, et al. Plasma acylcarnitine profiles suggest incomplete long-chain fatty acid beta-oxidation and altered tricarboxylic acid cycle activity in type 2 diabetic African-American women. J Nutr. 2009;139:1073–81.
- McCoin CS, Knotts TA, Adams SH. Acylcarnitines–old actors auditioning for new roles in metabolic physiology. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2015;11:617–25.

- Guasch-Ferré M, Zheng Y, Ruiz-Canela M, Hruby A, Martínez-González MA, Clish CB, et al. Plasma acylcarnitines and risk of cardiovascular disease: effect of Mediterranean diet interventions. Am J Clin Nutr. 2016;103:1408–16.
- Aitken-Buck HM, Krause J, Zeller T, Jones PP, Lamberts RR. Long-Chain acylcarnitines and cardiac excitation-contraction coupling: links to arrhythmias. Front Physiol. 2020;11:577856.
- Dambrova M, Makrecka-Kuka M, Kuka J, Vilskersts R, Nordberg D, Attwood MM, et al. Acylcarnitines: nomenclature, biomarkers, therapeutic potential, drug targets, and clinical trials. Pharmacol Rev. 2022;74:506–51.
- Li S, Gao D, Jiang Y. Function, detection and alteration of acylcarnitine metabolism in hepatocellular carcinoma. Metabolites. 2019;9:36. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/metabo9020036.
- Farrell S, Vogel J, Bieber LL. Entry of acetyl-L-carnitine into biosynthetic pathways. Biochim Biophys Acta. 1986;876:175–7.
- McCann MR, la Rosa MVGD, Rosania GR, Stringer KA. L-Carnitine and acylcarnitines: mitochondrial biomarkers for precision medicine. Metabolites. 2021;11:51.
- Clemente-Suárez VJ, Beltrán-Velasco AI, Redondo-Flórez L, Martín-Rodríguez A, Yáñez-Sepúlveda R, Tornero-Aguilera JF. Neuro-Vulnerability in energy metabolism regulation: a comprehensive narrative review. Nutrients. 2023;15:3106.
- Mehdi S, Wani SUD, Krishna KL, Kinattingal N, Roohi TF. A review on linking stress, depression, and insulin resistance via low-grade chronic inflammation. Biochem Biophys Rep. 2023;36:101571.
- Rutkowsky JM, Knotts TA, Ono-Moore KD, McCoin CS, Huang S, Schneider D, et al. Acylcarnitines activate proinflammatory signaling pathways. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab. 2014;306:E1378–87.
- Zong Y, Li H, Liao P, Chen L, Pan Y, Zheng Y, et al. Mitochondrial dysfunction: mechanisms and advances in therapy. Signal Transduct Target Ther. 2024;9:1–29.
- Jones LL, McDonald DA, Borum PR. Acylcarnitines: role in brain. Prog Lipid Res. 2010;49:61–75.
- Calabrese V, Giuffrida Stella AM, Calvani M, Butterfield DA. Acetylcarnitine and cellular stress response: roles in nutritional redox homeostasis and regulation of longevity genes. J Nutr Biochem. 2006;17:73–88.
- Cuccurazzu B, Bortolotto V, Valente MM, Ubezio F, Koverech A, Canonico PL, et al. Upregulation of mGlu2 receptors via NF-κB p65 acetylation is involved in the Proneurogenic and antidepressant effects of acetyl-L-carnitine. Neuropsychopharmacol Off Publ Am Coll Neuropsychopharmacol. 2013;38:2220–30.
- Pettegrew JW, Levine J, McClure RJ. Acetyl-L-carnitine physical-chemical, metabolic, and therapeutic properties: relevance for its mode of action in Alzheimer's disease and geriatric depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2000;5:616–32.
- 22. Virmani A, Binienda Z. Role of carnitine esters in brain neuropathology. Mol Aspects Med. 2004;25:533–49.
- F Guerreiro Costa LN, Carneiro BA, Alves GS, Lins Silva DH, Faria Guimaraes D, Souza LS, et al. Metabolomics of major depressive disorder: a systematic review of clinical studies. Cureus. 2022;14:e23009.
- van der Velpen V, Teav T, Gallart-Ayala H, Mehl F, Konz I, Clark C, et al. Systemic and central nervous system metabolic alterations in Alzheimer's disease. Alzheimers Res Ther. 2019;11:93.
- Huo Z, Yu L, Yang J, Zhu Y, Bennett DA, Zhao J. Brain and blood metabolome for Alzheimer's dementia: findings from a targeted metabolomics analysis. Neurobiol Aging. 2020;86:123–33.
- 26. Saiki S, Hatano T, Fujimaki M, Ishikawa K-I, Mori A, Oji Y, et al. Decreased longchain acylcarnitines from insufficient β-oxidation as potential early diagnostic markers for Parkinson's disease. Sci Rep. 2017;7:7328.
- Chang K-H, Cheng M-L, Tang H-Y, Huang C-Y, Wu Y-R, Chen C-M. Alternations of metabolic profile and kynurenine metabolism in the plasma of Parkinson's disease. Mol Neurobiol. 2018;55:6319–28.
- Molsberry S, Bjornevik K, Hughes KC, Zhang ZJ, Jeanfavre S, Clish C, et al. Plasma metabolomic markers of insulin resistance and diabetes and rate of incident Parkinson's disease. J Park Dis. 2020;10:1011–21.
- Palacios N, Bhupathiraju SN, Kelly RS, Lee JS, Ordovas JM, Tucker KL. Acylcarnitines are associated with lower depressive symptomatology in a mainland puerto rican cohort. Metabolomics Off J Metabolomic Soc. 2024;20:85.
- Nasca C, Xenos D, Barone Y, Caruso A, Scaccianoce S, Matrisciano F, et al. L-acetylcarnitine causes rapid antidepressant effects through the epigenetic induction of mGlu2 receptors. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2013;110:4804–9.
- Zacharias HU, Hertel J, Johar H, Pietzner M, Lukaschek K, Atasoy S, et al. A metabolome-wide association study in the general population reveals decreased levels of serum laurylcarnitine in people with depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2021;26:7372–83.
- Milaneschi Y, Arnold M, Kastenmüller G, Dehkordi SM, Krishnan RR, Dunlop BW, et al. Genomics-based identification of a potential causal role for acylcarnitine metabolism in depression. J Affect Disord. 2022;307:254–63.
- Miller AH, Raison CL. The role of inflammation in depression: from evolutionary imperative to modern treatment target. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016;16:22–34.

- Penninx BWJH, Eikelenboom M, Giltay EJ, van Hemert AM, Riese H, Schoevers RA, et al. Cohort profile of the longitudinal Netherlands Study of Depression and Anxiety (NESDA) on etiology, course and consequences of depressive and anxiety disorders. J Affect Disord. 2021;287:69–77. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jad.2021.03.026.
- Wittchen HU. Reliability and validity studies of the WHO-Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI): a critical review. J Psychiatr Res. 1994;28:57–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3956(94)90036-1.
- Rush AJ, Gullion CM, Basco MR, Jarrett RB, Trivedi MH. The inventory of depressive symptomatology (IDS): Psychometric properties. Psychol Med. 1996;26:477–86. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291700035558.
- Rush AJ, Giles DE, Schlesser MA, Fulton CL, Weissenburger J, Burns C. The Inventory for Depressive Symptomatology (IDS): preliminary findings. Psychiatry Res. 1986;18:65–87.
- Corruble E, Legrand JM, Duret C, Charles G, Guelfi JD. IDS-C and IDS-sr: psychometric properties in depressed in-patients. J Affect Disord. 1999;56:95–101.
- Lamers F, Milaneschi Y, Vinkers CH, Schoevers RA, Giltay EJ, Penninx BWJH. Depression profilers and immuno-metabolic dysregulation: longitudinal results from the NESDA study. Brain Behav Immun. 2020;88:174–83. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.bbi.2020.04.002.
- Felger JC, Li Z, Haroon E, Woolwine BJ, Jung MY, Hu X, et al. Inflammation is associated with decreased functional connectivity within corticostriatal reward circuitry in depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2016;21:1358–65. https://doi.org/10.1038/ mp.2015.168.
- de Kluiver H, Jansen R, Penninx BWJH, Giltay EJ, Schoevers RA, Milaneschi Y. Metabolomics signatures of depression: the role of symptom profiles. Transl Psychiatry. 2023;13:198. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-023-02484-5.
- Ameli R, Luckenbaugh DA, Gould NF, Holmes MK, Lally N, Ballard ED, et al. SHAPS-C: the Snaith-Hamilton pleasure scale modified for clinician administration. PeerJ. 2014;2:e429.
- Jansen R, Milaneschi Y, Schranner D, Kastenmuller G, Arnold M, Han X, et al. The metabolome-wide signature of major depressive disorder. Mol Psychiatry. 2024;29:3722–33.
- 44. Surendran P, Stewart ID, Au Yeung VPW, Pietzner M, Raffler J, Wörheide MA, et al. Rare and common genetic determinants of metabolic individuality and their effects on human health. Nat Med. 2022;28:2321–32.
- van der Spek A, Stewart ID, Kühnel B, Pietzner M, Alshehri T, Gauß F, et al. Circulating metabolites modulated by diet are associated with depression. Mol Psychiatry. 2023;28:3874–87.
- Craig CL, Marshall AL, Sjöström M, Bauman AE, Booth ML, Ainsworth BE, et al. International physical activity questionnaire: 12-Country reliability and validity. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2003;35:1381–95. https://doi.org/10.1249/ 01.MSS.0000078924.61453.FB.
- Dowlati Y, Herrmann N, Swardfager W, Liu H, Sham L, Reim EK, et al. A metaanalysis of cytokines in major depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2010;67:446–57.
- Kan C, Silva N, Golden SH, Rajala U, Timonen M, Stahl D, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the association between depression and insulin resistance. Diabetes Care. 2013;36:480–9.
- MahmoudianDehkordi S, Ahmed AT, Bhattacharyya S, Han X, Baillie RA, Arnold M, et al. Alterations in acylcarnitines, amines, and lipids inform about the mechanism of action of citalopram/escitalopram in major depression. Transl Psychiatry. 2021;11:153.
- Guan F, Ni T, Zhu W, Williams LK, Cui L-B, Li M, et al. Integrative omics of schizophrenia: from genetic determinants to clinical classification and risk prediction. Mol Psychiatry. 2022;27:113–26.
- Brydges CR, Bhattacharyya S, Dehkordi SM, Milaneschi Y, Penninx B, Jansen R, et al. Metabolomic and inflammatory signatures of symptom dimensions in major depression. Brain Behav Immun. 2022;102:42–52.
- Morris SE, Sanislow CA, Pacheco J, Vaidyanathan U, Gordon JA, Cuthbert BN. Revisiting the seven pillars of RDoC. BMC Med. 2022;20:220. https://doi.org/ 10.1186/s12916-022-02414-0.
- Pizzagalli D. Depression, stress, and anhedonia: toward a synthesis and integrated model. Annu Rev Clin Psychol. 2014;10:393–423. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-clinpsy-050212-185606.
- Bekhbat M, Treadway M, Felger J. Inflammation as a pathophysiologic pathway to anhedonia: mechanisms and therapeutic implications. Curr Top Behav Neurosci. 2022;58:397–419. https://doi.org/10.1007/7854_2021_294.
- 55. Shah SH, Hauser ER, Bain JR, Muehlbauer MJ, Haynes C, Stevens RD, et al. High heritability of metabolomic profiles in families burdened with premature cardiovascular disease. Mol Syst Biol. 2009;5:258.
- Mattson MP, Arumugam TV. Hallmarks of brain aging: adaptive and pathological modification by metabolic states. Cell Metab. 2018;27:1176–99.
- Wang W, Lu Y, Xue Z, Li C, Wang C, Zhao X, et al. Rapid-acting antidepressant-like effects of acetyl-l-carnitine mediated by PI3K/AKT/BDNF/VGF signaling pathway in mice. Neuroscience. 2015;285:281–91.

- Lau T, Bigio B, Zelli D, McEwen BS, Nasca C. Stress-induced structural plasticity of medial amygdala stellate neurons and rapid prevention by a candidate antidepressant. Mol Psychiatry. 2017;22:227–34.
- Karan KR, Trumpff C, McGill MA, Thomas JE, Sturm G, Lauriola V, et al. Mitochondrial respiratory capacity modulates LPS-induced inflammatory signatures in human blood. Brain Behav Immun - Health. 2020;5:100080.
- Gamradt S, Hasselmann H, Taenzer A, Brasanac J, Stiglbauer V, Sattler A, et al. Reduced mitochondrial respiration in T cells of patients with major depressive disorder. iScience. 2021;24:103312.
- Liepinsh E, Makrecka-Kuka M, Makarova E, Volska K, Svalbe B, Sevostjanovs E, et al. Decreased acylcarnitine content improves insulin sensitivity in experimental mice models of insulin resistance. Pharmacol Res. 2016;113:788–95.
- Langenau J, Boeing H, Bergmann MM, Nöthlings U, Oluwagbemigun K. The association between alcohol consumption and serum metabolites and the modifying effect of smoking. Nutrients. 2019;11:2331.
- 63. Paapstel K, Kals J. Metabolomics of arterial stiffness. Metabolites. 2022;12:370.
- 64. Wu Y-Y, Gou W, Yan Y, Liu C-Y, Yang Y, Chen D, et al. Gut microbiota and acylcarnitine metabolites connect the beneficial association between equol and adiposity in adults: a prospective cohort study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2022;116:1831–41.
- Nasca C, Bigio B, Lee FS, Young SP, Kautz MM, Albright A, et al. Acetyl-l-carnitine deficiency in patients with major depressive disorder. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2018;115:8627–32.
- 66. Liu T, Deng K, Xue Y, Yang R, Yang R, Gong Z, et al. Carnitine and depression. Front Nutr. 2022;9:853058.
- Baydakova GV, Tsygankova PG, Pechatnikova NL, Bazhanova OA, Nazarenko YD, Zakharova EY. New acylcarnitine ratio as a reliable indicator of long-chain 3-Hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase deficiency. Int J Neonatal Screen. 2023;9:48.
- Shi C, Ao Z, Liu B, Xiao X, Gu X, Yang Q, et al. Increased acylcarnitine ratio indices in newborn screening for carnitine-acylcarnitine translocase deficiency shows increased sensitivity and reduced false-positivity. Transl Pediatr. 2023;12:871–81.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The infrastructure for the NESDA study (http://www.nesda.nl) is funded through the Geestkracht program of the Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw, grant number 10-000-1002) and financial contributions by participating universities and mental health care organizations (VU University Medical Center, GGZ inGeest, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden University Medical Center, GGZ fivierduinen, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Lentis, GGZ Friesland, GGZ Drenthe, Rob Giel Onderzoekscentrum). R. Kaddurah-Daouk at Duke is Pl of the Mood disorder Precision Medicine Consortium (funded by NIMH R01MH108348) and the Alzheimer Gut Microbiome Project (funded by NIA U19AG063744). She also received additional funding from NIA that enabled her research (RF1AG058942, RF1AG059093, U01AG061359, and R01AG081322). Y. Milaneschi received funding from Amsterdam UMC (Starter Grant 2023). M. Arnold and G. Kastenmüller received funding (through their institutions) from the National Institutes of Health/National Institute on Aging through grants RF1AG058942, RF1AG053744, R01AG069901, and R01AG081322.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

YM conceived the initial research idea and designed the analysis plan. SM and YM performed all of the analyses. DS and GK performed quality control of the metabolomics platform and contributed to the interpretation of metabolomics results together with SB, SMD and RKD. DJ, GS, BD, AJR and BWHJP contributed to the interpretation of results related to psychiatric phenotypes. BWHJP and RKD secured funding for the project. SM and YM wrote and finalized the manuscript, with contributions from all of the other authors. All of the authors read, reviewed and approved the final version of the manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Y. Milaneschi has received consulting fees from Noema Pharma. A. John Rush has received consulting fees from Compass Inc., Curbstone Consultant LLC, Emmes Corp.,

Evecxia Therapeutics, Inc., Holmusk Technologies, Inc., ICON, PLC, Johnson and Johnson (Janssen), Liva-Nova, MindStreet, Inc., Neurocrine Biosciences Inc., Otsuka-US; speaking fees from Liva-Nova, Johnson and Johnson (Janssen); and royalties from Wolters Kluwer Health, Guilford Press and the University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX (for the Inventory of Depressive Symptoms and its derivatives). He is also named co-inventor on two patents: U.S. Patent No. 7,795,033: Methods to Predict the Outcome of Treatment with Antidepressant Medication, Inventors: McMahon FJ, Laje G, Manji H, Rush AJ, Paddock S, Wilson AS; and U.S. Patent No. 7,906,283: Methods to Identify Patients at Risk of Developing Adverse Events During Treatment with Antidepressant Medication, Inventors: McMahon FJ, Laje G, Manji H, Rush AJ, Paddock S. M. Arnold and G. Kastenmüller are co-inventors (through Duke University/Helmholtz Zentrum München) on patents on applications of metabolomics in diseases of the central nervous system and hold equity in Chymia LLC and IP in PsyProtix and Atai that are exploring the potential for therapeutic applications targeting mitochondrial metabolism in treatment-resistant depression. B. Dunlop has received research support from Boehringer Ingelheim, Compass Pathwavs, NIMH, Otsuka, Sage, Usona Institute, and Takeda and has served as a consultant for Biohaven, Cerebral Therapeutics, Myriad Neuroscience, NRx Pharmaceuticals, Otsuka, and Sage. R. Kaddurah-Daouk is an inventor on key patents in the field of Metabolomics and hold equity in Metabolon, a biotech company in North Carolina. In addition, she holds patents licensed to Chymia LLC and PsyProtix with royalties and ownership. The funders listed above had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the paper; and decision to submit the paper for publication. All the other authors declare no conflict of interest.

ETHICS APPROVAL

NESDA's research protocol was approved by the ethical review board of each participating research center in Amsterdam, Leiden, and Groningen (METC number 2003-183). All participants provided written informed consent after having received detailed verbal and printed study information.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41398-025-03274-x.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Rima Kaddurah-Daouk or Yuri Milaneschi.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/ reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



© The Author(s) 2025

10