## Characteristics and Statistics of Optuna Models

Table A1: Model statistics of the highest accuracy and rate correct score found using Optuna. Three training variations include using randomly initialized models and using KD based on the original Chemformer and the model trained on Zinc.

Training	Objective	Size	Sample Time	Val Accuracy	RCS	Percentage Invalid	Percentage Unique
RandomInit KD-Zinc KD-Chemformer	Accuracy Accuracy Accuracy	11.3M 27.9M 27.9M	0.017 s 0.012 s 0.013 s	$46.7 \\ 45.2 \\ 46.1$	$0.49 \\ 0.65 \\ 0.63$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.57 \\ 1.95 \\ 1.99 \end{array}$	100 100 100
RandomInit KD-Zinc KD-Chemformer	RCS RCS RCS	4.0M 23.7M 23.7M	0.011 s 0.011 s 0.011 s	$38.4 \\ 35.4 \\ 35.4$	$0.62 \\ 0.73 \\ 0.71$	2.07 17.08 16.00	100 100 100

Table A2: Model parameters of the highest accuracy and rate correct score found using Optuna. Three training variations include using randomly initialized models and using KD based on the original Chemformer and the model trained on Zinc.

Training	RandomInit	KD-Zinc	KD-Chem	RandomInit	KD-Zinc	KD-Chem
Objective	Accuracy	Accuracy	Accuracy	RCS	RCS	RCS
Parameter						
Size	11.3M	$27.9 \mathrm{M}$	27.9M	4.0M	$23.7 \mathrm{M}$	23.7M
Feed Forward Dimension	1024	2048	2048	1024	2048	2048
Embedding Dimension	256	512	512	256	512	512
Encoder Layers	6	6	6	2	6	6
Decoder Layers	6	2	2	2	1	1
Attention Heads	4	16	8	16	16	16
Learning Rate	0.005	0.001	0.001	0.01	0.001	0.001
Hard Label Weight	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
KD Temperature	0	8	8	0	8	8
Feature KD						
Embedding Weight	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
Feature KD						
Encoder Weight	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5
Feature KD						
Decoder Weight	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0
Response KD Weight	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	1.0	0.5

Beam Search Accuracy Scores

thout invalid SMILES. Moding KD on the Chemformer medication (original), identuals search (opt: Acc/RCS) we USPTO-50k and USPTO-full.	lels are odel (K ntical t here sp Repon	traine CD-Che to the c ecific p ted sta	au chunc em) or original oarame atistics	KD on but usi ters dep are bas	ng retr end on eed on	ained ( the trapeam-s beam-s	baselin aining s earch r	e) or us setting. esults o v	Model on rand Vali	lom sp. d Unio	lit test ue Acc	ned and e set values uracv	rom the from an valuated –
Training	Top 1	Top 3	Top 5	Top $10$	Top 1	Top 3	Top 5	$\frac{1}{100 10}$	Top 1	Top 3	Top 5	Top 10	
						LdSU	[O-50k						I
RandomInit-Original FT-Zinc-Original	50.6 53.5	60.5 60.2	$62.2 \\ 61.1$	$63.1 \\ 61.6$	50.6 53.6	60.5 60.2	62.3 61.1	63.1 61.6	50.6 53.6	61.7 60.9	62.9 61.5	$63.1 \\ 61.6$	I
RandomInit-Baseline	51.3	61.2	62.7	63.7	51.4	61.2	62.8	63.7	51.4	62.2	63.5	63.7	I
RandomInit-OptAcc	50.9	65.6	68.5	70.2	51.0	65.6	68.6	70.2	51.0	67.1	69.5	70.2	
RandomInit-OptRCS	45.8	67.8	74.0	79.5	46.0	68.0	74.2	79.5	46.0	69.1	76.0	79.5	
FT-Zinc-Baseline	53.6	60.3	61.3	61.7	53.7	60.4	61.3	61.7	53.7	61.1	61.6	61.7	I
KD-Chemformer-OptAcc	48.5	57.1	59.1	60.4	48.7	57.2	59.2	60.4	48.7	57.9	59.8	60.4	I
KD-Chemformer-OptRCS	43.3	51.0	53.5	55.7	44.3	52.3	54.4	55.7	44.3	53.2	55.3	55.7	
KD-Zinc-OptAcc	19.0	37.9	46.0	53.5	19.0	39.1	47.2	53.5	19.0	42.4	51.1	53.5	
KD-Zinc-OptRCS	19.5	39.1	44.5	49.1	20.2	40.5	45.9	49.1	20.2	42.7	47.4	49.1	
			n	PTO-f	ull								I
${ m Random Init-Baseline}$	46.5	64.3	69.4	72.7	46.6	64.4	69.4	72.7	46.6	64.8	69.9	72.7	
RandomInit-OptAcc	40.1	58.5	64.3	68.6	40.2	58.6	64.4	68.6	40.2	59.1	65.0	68.6	
RandomInit-OptAcc	29.7	46.5	52.6	57.8	29.8	46.6	52.7	57.8	29.8	47.6	54.0	57.8	
FT-Zinc-Baseline	47.9	64.9	69.6	72.6	47.9	65.0	69.7	72.6	47.9	65.5	70.2	72.6	

## Additional Highest Route Statistics

Table A4: Analysis on the number of pre-cursors and route length of the highest predicted routes.

	Average Number	Percentage Single	Average			
	of Pre-cursors Sin	gle Pre-cursor Routes Rou	ite Length			
USPTO-50k	Including	g Template-based Model				
Template-based	3.41	1.82	3.19			
Multi-expansion	3.07	1.88	2.36			
		Original				
RandomInit-Original	3.05	5.42	2.93			
FT-Zinc-Original	2.94	7.42	2.74			
		Baselines				
RandomInit-baseline	3.06	5.22	2.95			
FT-Zinc-baseline	2.86	7.80	2.67			
	Optimiz	ed: Randomly Initiated				
RandomInit-OptAcc	3.16	3.32	3.06			
RandomInit-OptRCS	3.09	2.62	2.76			
	Optim	ized: KD Chemformer				
KD-Chemformer-OptAcc	2.91	7.70	2.67			
KD-Chemformer-OptRCS	2.85	11.84	2.66			
	Optimized: KD Zinc					
KD-Zinc-OptAcc	1.98	39.14	2.32			
KD-Zinc-OptRCS	1.84	44.14	2.13			
USPTO-Full		Baselines				
RandomInit-baseline	3.15	1.96	2.40			
FT-Zinc-baseline	3.17	2.28	2.40			
	Optimiz	ed: Randomly Initiated				
RandomInit-OptAcc	3.07	1.88	2.37			
RandomInit-OptRCS	2.99	2.22	2.39			

Example prediction of Zinc and Template

0 OH

Figure A1: Illustrative example using routes generated for target CHEMBL1668049, using either KD-Zinc (left) and template-based models (right) indicates KD-Zinc predicts only partial reactant sets.



Figure A2: Examples to illustrate the differences in routes generated from the optimized KD Chemformer OptRCS (left) and the template-based model (right). Molecules highlighted in green are building blocks, molecules on the right of a route are the target molecules and any other molecules are treated as intermediates. Routes selected

## Alternative Weights

Table A5: Single-step model full training model statistics based on the Transformer. Models are trained either from scratch (RandomInit), fine-tuned from training on Zinc (FT-Zinc), using KD on the Chemformer model (KD-Chem) or KD on the Zinc model (KD-Zinc). Hyper-parameters are either from the original publication (original), identical to the original but using the lowest validation loss weights (baseline) or using hyper-parameters gathered from an Optuna search (opt: Acc/RCS) where specific parameters depend on the training setting. Models are then trained and evaluated on USPTO-50k and USPTO-full. Reported statistics are based on beam-search results on random split test set values.

Training	Size	Sample Time (s)	Top 1 Accuracy	Top 10 Accuracy	Percentage Invalid	Percentage Unique	$CO_2$ Emissions (g)	
USPTO-50k				Ori	ginal			
RandomInit	44.7M	18.06	50.6	63.1	0.93	21.90	2.30	
FT-Zinc	$44.7 \mathrm{M}$	17.47	53.5	61.6	0.68	18.06	1.28	
				Base	elines			
RandomInit	44.7M	23.36	44.1	76.8	3.03	63.98	1.21	
FT-Zinc	$44.7 \mathrm{M}$	16.77	48.3	79.7	1.46	55.73	0.68	
			Optin	mized: Ra	ndomly Initi	ated		
Opt: Acc	11.3M	11.08	45.5	79.1	2.84	62.54	0.97	
Opt: RCS	4.0M	4.25	45.7	79.2	2.55	62.53	0.39	
		Optimized: KD Chemformer						
Opt: Acc	27.9M	9.63	44.0	71.6	6.58	62.38	0.77	
Opt: RCS	$23.7 \mathrm{M}$	5.77	34.3	57.0	29.03	80.92	0.30	
				Optimized	l: KD Zinc			
Opt: Acc	27.9M	6.08	18.8	58.3	7.62	65.20	0.44	
Opt: RCS	$23.7 \mathrm{M}$	5.63	15.0	46.3	23.73	77.67	0.39	

	Median	Av	erage over a	search	Avera	age per mol	ecule
	Search	Search	$CO_2$	Percentage	# Solved	Policy	# Model
Training	Time $(s)$	Time (h)	emissions (	g) Solved $(\%)$	Routes	Probability	Calls
USPTO-50k			Including	Template-bas	sed Model	l	
Template-based	26.01	40	0.25	67.3	20.74	0.17	446
Multi-expansion	382.08	495	2482.29	89.0	159.17	0.19	1832
				Original			
RandomInit	25.48	62	196.34	61.5	132.92	0.48	1384
FT-Zinc	19.16	47	123.46	56.2	125.21	0.53	1259
				Baselines			
RandomInit	104.68	198	770.49	85.6	123.76	0.21	1599
FT-Zinc	81.71	165	632.99	84.1	143.69	0.22	1620
			Optimize	ed: Randomly	Initiated		
Opt: Acc	126.12	233	581.31	81.6	119.96	0.22	1680
ROpt: RCS	60.49	115	262.30	84.4	133.27	0.22	1718
			Optimiz	zed: KD Cher	nformer		
Opt: Acc	42.00	86	306.91	79.7	110.35	0.22	1273
Opt: RCS	23.97	47	161.99	74.2	69.95	0.18	736
			Opt	timized: KD Z	Zinc		
Opt: Acc	22.24	44	159.06	77.3	61.65	0.14	608
Opt: RCS	13.36	29	99.03	67.1	36.96	0.13	364

Table A6: **Multi-step retrosynthesis search.** Translating effects of single-step models to multi-step search.