

Mex3a protects against atherosclerosis: evidence from mice and humans.

Donato Santovito, M.D. Ph.D.^{1,2,3*}, James M. Henderson, Ph.D.^{1,2*}, Kiril Bidzhekov, Ph.D.^{1*}, Vasiliki Triantafyllidou, M.Sc.¹, Yvonne Jansen, M.Sc.¹, Zhifen Chen, Ph.D.^{2,4}, Floriana M. Farina, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Anastasiia Diagel, M.Sc.⁴, Maria Aslani, Ph.D.¹, Xavier Blanchet, Ph.D.¹, Heribert Schunkert, M.D.^{2,4}, Remco T.A. Megens, Ph.D.^{1,2,5}, Yvonne Döring, Ph.D.^{1,2,6}, Michael Sattler, Ph.D.^{7,8}, Christian Weber, M.D.^{1,2,9,10,11}

¹Institute for Cardiovascular Prevention (IPEK), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU), Munich, Germany;

²German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), partner site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany;

³Institute for Genetic and Biomedical Research (IRGB), Unit of Milan, National Research Council, Milan, Italy;

⁴Department of Cardiology, Deutsches Herzzentrum München, Technical University of Munich (TUM), Munich, Germany;

⁵Department of Biomedical Engineering, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, the Netherlands;

⁶Division of Angiology, Swiss Cardiovascular Center, Inselspital, University Hospital of Bern, Switzerland;

⁷Institute of Structural Biology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, Neuherberg, Germany;

⁸Center for Integrated Protein Science Munich at Biomolecular NMR Spectroscopy, Department of Chemistry, Technical University of Munich (TUM), Garching, Germany;

⁹Department of Biochemistry, Cardiovascular Research Institute Maastricht (CARIM), Maastricht University, the Netherlands;

¹⁰Cluster for Nucleic Acid Therapeutics Munich (CNATM), Munich, Germany;

¹¹Munich Cluster for Systems Neurology (SyNergy), Munich, Germany.

* These authors contributed equally.

Correspondence to

Donato Santovito or Christian Weber

Institute for Cardiovascular Prevention (IPEK), Ludwig-Maximilians-University (LMU) of Munich.

Pettenkoferstr. 9, D-80336 München, Germany

Tel.: +49 89 4400 54350; Fax: +49 89 4400 54352

Email: donato.santovito@med.uni-muenchen.de (D.S.); chweber@med.lmu.de (C.W.)

Running title: Mex3a protects against atherosclerosis.

Word count: 800

1 The RNA-binding protein Mex3a is a component of the processing bodies (P-bodies), establishes RNA-
2 dependent interactions with Argonaute proteins, and is involved in post-transcriptional regulation of gene
3 expression and microRNA function. Besides contributing to neurogenesis and cancer cell stemness^{1,2}, Mex3a
4 dampens endothelial cell (EC) apoptosis by licensing the biophysical interaction of miR-126-5p with caspase
5 3 to inhibit its activity.³ Whereas *Mex3a* deletion in mice increased apoptosis of aortic endothelium³, its
6 relevance for vascular pathophysiology and atherosclerosis is unknown.

7 We investigated a mouse model bearing *Mex3a* deletion in an *Apoe*-deficient atherogenic background
8 (*Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-}). The experiments were performed using established protocols^{3,4} and approved by local
9 authorities in compliance with institutional guidelines. All supporting data are available within the article or
10 from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Compared to controls, *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice displayed
11 higher permeability to Evans Blue in the aortic vessel wall but not in kidneys, suggesting a role of Mex3a in
12 preserving macro- rather than microvascular integrity (**Figure A**). Evans Blue extravasation did not differ in
13 the brain, arguing against effects on blood-brain barrier permeability. When challenged with a 12-week
14 Western diet, *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice developed larger plaques in aortic roots and *en face* prepared aortas (**Figure**
15 **B,C**), an effect consistent between males and females. Phenotypic characterization of atherosclerotic lesions
16 in the aortic root did not reveal differences in the content of Mac2-positive macrophages or intraplaque fibrosis
17 (stained by Sirius Red), whereas the content of vascular smooth muscle cells (VSMCs, α -smooth muscle actin-
18 positive) was higher in *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice (**Figure D**). Plasma cholesterol (**Figure E**) and concentrations of
19 IL-6, IL-9, and TNF- α (**Figure F**) were higher in *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice than in controls, possibly reflecting
20 effects on gene expression relevant to lipoprotein metabolism and systemic inflammatory activation, also
21 evidenced by a pro-inflammatory (MHC-II +42.94 \pm 0.18%, $P=0.028$; CD301 -27.78 \pm 0.08%, $P=0.014$) flow
22 cytometric profile of lesional macrophages. Together, our data indicate an atheroprotective role of Mex3a in
23 mice that extends beyond preserving arterial endothelial integrity to potential effects on VSMC abundance and
24 phenotype, endothelial-to-mesenchymal transition, lipid metabolism, and inflammation.

25 To examine translational relevance for humans, we screened a metanalysis of genome-wide association
26 studies including 210,842 coronary artery disease (CAD) cases among 1,378,170 participants.⁵ The *MEX3A*
27 locus was associated with CAD at a significance level approximating a 1% false discovery rate (**Figure G,H**).
28 To gain insights into directionality, we explored genetically regulated *MEX3A* expression using skin-derived
29 expression quantitative trait loci (eQTL) from the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) database, as the high
30 sample size and *MEX3A* expression in this tissue strengthened statistical power. The CAD risk allele (A) of
31 both lead (rs11264432) and linkage disequilibrium variants (rs10047112) associated with lower *MEX3A*
32 expression (normalized effect size, NES -0.237, $P=7.8\times 10^{-10}$ and NES -0.292, $P=1.9\times 10^{-15}$, respectively).
33 While eQTLs of arterial vessels did not yield significant associations (likely biased by low *MEX3A* reads in
34 bulk sequencing), a weak signal was found for rs10047112(A) in the aorta (NES -0.101, $P=0.04$). Likewise,
35 *MEX3A* expression was lower in the aorta of CAD patients, as compared to controls ($q=7.8\times 10^{-3}$) in the
36 Stockholm-Tartu Atherosclerosis Reverse Networks Engineering Task (STARNET) cohort, reinforcing the
37 notion that lower *MEX3A* expression associates with CAD. In line, rare predicted loss-of-function (pLoF)

38 *MEX3A* variants in the UK Biobank exome-sequence data associated with 158 out of 3579 traits tested with
39 the Genebase browser for a nominal $P < 0.05$ (by Sequence Kernel Association Test, SKAT-O). Besides cystatin
40 C ($\beta = 0.040$, $P = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$), these included plasma C-reactive protein ($\beta = 0.031$, $P = 8.1 \times 10^{-3}$) and total fatty acids
41 ($\beta = 0.027$, $P = 5.7 \times 10^{-3}$), as well as atherosclerosis-associated clinical outcomes, e.g., “cerebral infarction” (odds
42 ratio, OR 1.134, $P = 0.022$) and “vascular/heart problems diagnosed by doctor” (OR 1.098, $P = 0.039$), thus
43 emulating our results in mice.

44 Finally, we aimed to identify the cellular source of *MEX3A* in human atherosclerosis. In a single-cell
45 transcriptome dataset of human carotid atherosclerotic plaques (GSE159677, $n = 51,981$ cells), *MEX3A* showed
46 the highest expression in EC clusters in the Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) but
47 rather moderate expression in VSMCs, macrophages, NK and T cells (**Figure I**). While *MEX3A* did not define
48 a specific EC cluster, it was primarily expressed in arterial ECs positive for *PECAM1*, *GJA4*, and *GJA5* rather
49 than those positive for *ACKR1* or *RGCC*, indicating its relevance in the macro- vs. microvascular environment.
50 Differential gene analysis of *MEX3A*-positive vs. *MEX3A*-negative ECs revealed changes in transcripts
51 involved in vascular development and morphogenesis (**Figure J,K**), further supporting a role of *MEX3A* in
52 processes of arterial integrity.

53 In conclusion, we combined data from transgenic mice with genetic association analyses in large cohorts
54 of CAD patients to reveal a protective function of *Mex3a* in atherosclerosis across mice and humans. While
55 beneficial effects safeguarding endothelial integrity are likely an underlying mechanism, *MEX3A* expression
56 beyond vascular endothelium (e.g., liver, adipose tissue) and our results on inflammatory biomarkers and lipid
57 metabolism imply a broader role in vascular health. Future research using conditional deletion models should
58 dissect such cell-specific contributions in vascular biology. Yet, our study unequivocally establishes that
59 *Mex3a* serves as an important vasoprotective player with possible therapeutic implications.

60 **Acknowledgments**

61 C.W. is van der Laar-Professor of Atherosclerosis.

62

63 **Funding Sources**

64 This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) SFB1123-A1/A10 to Y.D. and
65 C.W, SFB1123-Z1 to R.T.A.M, SFB1123-B5 to D.S., 403584255 - TRR 267-A2 to C.W. and M.S., TRR 267-
66 B5 to Z.C. and H.S., by the German Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK) 81Z0600202 to C.W., and
67 81Z0600203 and 81X2600269 to D.S.; by LMUexcellent, funded by the Federal Ministry of Education and
68 Research (BMBF) and the Free State of Bavaria under the Excellence Strategy of the Federal Government and
69 the Länder to D.S., and by the BMBF in the framework of the Cluster4Future program (Cluster for Nucleic
70 Acid Therapeutics Munich, CNATM) to M.S. and C.W.

71

72 **Conflict of Interest Disclosures**

73 None.

74

75 **References**

- 76 1. Álvarez-Varela A, Novellademunt L, Barriga FM, Hernando-Momblona X, Cañellas-Socias A, Cano-
77 Crespo S, Sevillano M, Cortina C, Stork D, Morral C, *et al.* Mex3a marks drug-tolerant persister colorectal
78 cancer cells that mediate relapse after chemotherapy. *Nat Cancer.* 2022;3:1052–1070.
- 79 2. Domingo-Muelas A, Duart-Abadia P, Morante-Redolat JM, Jordán-Pla A, Belenguer G, Fabra-Beser J,
80 Paniagua-Herranz L, Pérez-Villalba A, Álvarez-Varela A, Barriga FM, *et al.* Post-transcriptional control
81 of a stemness signature by RNA-binding protein MEX3A regulates murine adult neurogenesis. *Nat*
82 *Commun.* 2023;14:373.
- 83 3. Santovito D, Egea V, Bidzhekov K, Natarelli L, Mourão A, Blanchet X, Wichapong K, Aslani M, Brunßen
84 C, Horckmans M, *et al.* Noncanonical inhibition of caspase-3 by a nuclear microRNA confers endothelial
85 protection by autophagy in atherosclerosis. *Sci Transl Med.* 2020;12:eaaz2294.
- 86 4. Cimen I, Natarelli L, Kichi ZA, Henderson JM, Farina FM, Briem E, Aslani M, Megens RTA, Jansen Y,
87 Mann-Fallenbuchel E, *et al.* Targeting a cell-specific microRNA repressor of CXCR4 ameliorates
88 atherosclerosis in mice. *Sci Transl Med.* 2023;15:eadf3357.
- 89 5. Aragam KG, Jiang T, Goel A, Kanoni S, Wolford BN, Atri DS, Weeks EM, Wang M, Hindy G, Zhou W,
90 *et al.* Discovery and systematic characterization of risk variants and genes for coronary artery disease in
91 over a million participants. *Nat Genet.* 2022;54:1803–1815.

92

93 **Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms**

94 α SMA, alpha-smooth muscle actin

95 CAD, coronary artery disease

96 EC, endothelial cells

97 eQTL, expression quantitative trait loci

98 FDR, false discovery rate

99 GTEx, genotype-tissue expression

100 NES, normalized effect size

101 OR, odds ratio

102 pLOF, predicted loss-of-function

103 SKAT-O, sequence kernel association test O

104 STARNET, Stockholm-Tartu atherosclerosis reverse networks engineering task

105 UMAP, uniform manifold approximation and projection

106 VSMC, vascular smooth muscle cell

107

108 **Figure Legend**

109 **MEX3A deletion exacerbates atherosclerosis in mice and variants in the *MEX3A* locus associate with**
110 **CAD in humans. A**, Representative images of aortic arches, and spectrophotometric quantification of Evans
111 blue extravasation in aortas, kidneys and brains of control *Apoe*^{-/-} and *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice one hour after
112 intravenous injection of Evans blue (n=8-11 animals). Scale bar, 1 mm. **B**, Representative Elastic van Gieson
113 (EvG) staining and quantification of atherosclerotic lesion size in aortic roots of *Apoe*^{-/-} and *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-}
114 mice fed a Western diet (21% crude fat, 0.15% cholesterol, 19.5% protein, Western-style diet food TD.88137,
115 ssniff) for 12 weeks (n=11 animals). **C**, Representative Oil-Red-O staining, and quantification of lesion area
116 in *en face* prepared aortas of *Apoe*^{-/-} and *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice fed a Western diet for 12 weeks (n=6 animals).
117 **D**, Representative immunofluorescent staining for Mac2 (clone M3/38, Cedarlane labs, Cat# CL8942AP), α -
118 smooth muscle actin (α SMA, clone 1A4, Sigma Aldrich Cat# F3777), and Hoechst to counterstain cell nuclei
119 and quantification of the lesional content of Mac2-positive macrophages, α SMA-positive VSMCs, and
120 intraplaque fibrosis (Sirius Red Staining) (n=7-11 animals). Scale bar, 100 μ m. **E**, Plasma cholesterol
121 concentration in *Apoe*^{-/-} and *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice fed a Western diet for 12 weeks, as quantified by enzymatic
122 assays (c.f.a.s. cobas, Roche Diagnostics) (n=11 animals). **F**, Heatmap of mean ranks of inflammatory
123 cytokines in plasma of *Apoe*^{-/-} and *Apoe*^{-/-}*Mex3a*^{-/-} mice as assessed by multiplexed immunoassays
124 (ProcartaPlex EPX010-20440-901, ThermoFisher Scientific). Statistically significant differences for a false
125 discovery rate (FDR) <5% are given. **G**, Regional association plot of the *MEX3A* locus (chr1:156.029.000-
126 156.061.000) based on the summary statistics of the Coronary Artery Disease Genome-Wide Replication and
127 Metanalysis (CARDIoGRAMplusC4D) consortium (1,378,170 individuals).⁵ Dots indicate the genomic
128 position in GRCh37 coordinates (x-axis) and the $-\log_{10}$ (meta-analysis *P* value) (y-axis) of each variant. Colored
129 dots mark rs11264432, the lead variant, and rs10047112, the variant in linkage disequilibrium ($R^2=0.452$,
130 $D'=0.760$). The dashed line delineates the threshold for statistical significance approximating an FDR <1%
131 ($P<2.5\times 10^{-5}$) calculated using the “*q* value” R package to consider all the variants in the genome-wide analysis,
132 as detailed in the derivative meta-analysis.⁵ **H**, Forest plot showing odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals
133 for CAD association of rs11264432 and rs10047112 in Aragam *et al.*⁵ **I**, Expression pattern of *MEX3A* in
134 individual cell clusters visualized using Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) in a dataset
135 of human atherosclerotic plaques (GSE159677). Cells were excluded based on the number of genes (<200 or
136 >4000) and percentage of mitochondrial transcripts (>10%), the 45,826 retained cells were down-sampled to
137 account for an equal cell number across patients in the final dataset (13,267 cells). The dot plot shows the
138 average expression and proportion of cells expressing *MEX3A* in the individual cell clusters in UMAP. Zero-
139 preserving data imputation (ALRA) was applied. **J**, Heatmap showing differentially expressed genes (\log_2 FC
140 >|0.2|, FDR<10%) in ECs positive (*MEX3A*⁺) or negative (*MEX3A*⁻) for *MEX3A* expression in human
141 atherosclerotic plaques. *MEX3A*⁺ cells were defined by an expression >0.5 upon ALRA. Data were analyzed
142 using DESeq2 on pseudobulk expression profiles for each individual created using the AggregateExpression
143 function in Seurat and depicted as *z* scores in the heatmap. **K**, Gene ontology analysis for the differentially
144 expressed genes in **J**. *P* values for enrichment were computed with a hypergeometric test and corrected (i.e.,

145 q values) for multiple comparisons with the Benjamini–Hochberg procedure using MetaScape. The graph
146 depicts enriched terms for $q < 0.05$ (i.e., GO:0001568, 0001525, 0001944, 0048514, 0035239), the dashed line
147 delineates the threshold for statistical significance ($q < 0.05$), and the dot size reflects the proportion of gene
148 overlapping in each term. For the atherosclerosis experiments, *a priori* calculation of power based on previous
149 data in literature and pilot experiments was performed that aimed at 80% statistical power for detecting
150 biological relevant changes (50%) with a two-tailed α -value of 0.05. No randomization was performed due to
151 the case-control study design. Quantification was performed in a blinded manner. Animals were excluded from
152 analyses only in the presence of severe health issues, samples were excluded in case of technical issues during
153 processing. For all experiments, male and female mice were combined in similar proportions. Data in **A-F** are
154 shown as mean and s.e.m. and analyzed using Prism v.10.2 (GraphPad Inc.) by non-parametric Mann-Whitney
155 U test, to avoid assumptions on data distribution. Results in **F** were corrected for FDR with the step-up
156 Benjamini-Hochberg approach. A 2-tailed P value < 0.05 was deemed as statistically significant.

Monocyte subsets in cardiovascular disease: a biomarker perspective

Michael Hristov¹, Christian Weber^{1,2}

¹Institut für Prophylaxe und Epidemiologie der Kreislaufkrankheiten (IPEK), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität (LMU), München, Germany

²Deutsches Zentrum für Herz-Kreislauf-Forschung (DZHK), München, Germany

Correspondence to:
Christian Weber, MD
Institut für Prophylaxe und Epidemiologie der Kreislaufkrankheiten (IPEK)
LMU München
Pettenkoferstr. 9, DE-80336 München
Phone: +49-(0)89-5160-4350
Fax: +49-(0)89-5160-4352
Email: christian.weber@med.uni-muenchen.de

Summary

Endothelial dysfunction together with a dysregulated immune response and lipid accumulation are important confounding factors in the onset and chronic development of atherosclerosis. Recently, a large body of data has emerged on the sequential involvement of different immune cell types, including monocytes, in the pathology of this disease.¹ In this condensed review, we aim to highlight some of the recent basic research and clinical findings on monocyte subsets published since our joint ESC consensus document², and reevaluate their potential relevance as surrogate biomarkers in coronary artery disease (CAD).

Monocyte biology

Monocytes are the largest blood leukocytes in adults and have a rapid turnover with relatively short lifespan of only a few days in the bloodstream. As cells of innate immunity they are released from the bone marrow and serve as precursors to tissue macrophages and dendritic cells. Monocytes can secrete inflammatory cytokines and have distinct surface receptors such as integrins, G-protein-coupled and Toll-like receptors (TLRs) that allow adhesion, crawling and migration, as well as direct immune response and phagocytosis.³⁻⁶ In addition to resolving inflammation, monocytes are involved in angiogenesis, tissue remodeling after injury or scavenging apoptotic cells and toxic debris at homeostatic conditions.³⁻⁶

Monocyte heterogeneity: phenotype and function

According to the expression intensity of the lipopolysaccharide (LPS) receptor CD14 and the FcγIII receptor CD16, human monocytes have typically been divided into three major subsets: classical CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻, intermediate CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ and nonclassical CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺.⁷ These subsets differ significantly in phenotype and function.

The CD14⁺⁺CD16⁻ subset (~85% of total monocytes) highly expresses Ccr2, Cxcr4, FcγRI, L-selectin and scavenger receptor class A.^{5,8-10} In contrast, CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺ monocytes (~10%) are smaller and less dense showing higher levels of SLAN, CD31, CD11c and Cx3cr1 but much lower levels of Ccr2 compared to the classical subset.^{5,8-10} The CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ subset (~5%) can be clearly distinguished from the nonclassical by the expression of Ccr2.¹⁰ This lowest subset is strong pro-inflammatory with some unique features that distinguish it from the other two. So far, CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ monocytes are enriched in bone marrow and have highest expression of angiogenic markers, CD163, MHC class II, HLA-DR and miR-6087 of any subset.^{5,8-11} Recent comprehensive data obtained by modern technology like mass cytometry with clustering algorithm and RNA sequencing suggest even higher heterogeneity among each subset with 3 newly identified nonclassical and 4 classical monocytes.¹²

Functionally, classical monocytes are professional phagocytes that generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) and secrete cytokines upon LPS stimulation.⁵ In contrast, nonclassical monocytes do not generate ROS and are weak phagocytes that preferentially take up oxidized LDL (ox-LDL), but secrete substantial amounts of inflammatory cytokines after TLR-dependent activation by viruses and nucleic acids.^{5,6} Thus, nonclassical monocytes may serve as patrolling immune cells that selectively remove virally infected or injured cells and detoxify ox-LDL. The lower phagocytic activity of CD14⁺CD16⁺⁺ compared to classical monocytes has been efficiently exploited to enumerate the number and ratio of both subsets

by their differential uptake of magnetic nanoparticles.¹³ Finally, the intermediate subset does not produce ROS, but shows the highest secretion of TNF- α and IL-1 β in response to LPS.⁶ Monocyte subsets undergo linear maturation kinetics in the periphery that is accompanied by gradual increase in the expression of specific miRNAs.¹¹ Isotope labelling has revealed that they enter the bloodstream as classical, leave it as intermediate and re-enter as nonclassical.¹⁴ In contrast to the circulation, we found that the intermediate subset was highly enriched in the bone marrow.¹⁵ This medullar monocyte pool seems to require Ccr2, Cxcr4 and possibly CD44 for mobilization and may give rise to more specialized peripheral Ccr2⁺ classical monocytes with implication during inflammation and infection whereas nonclassical monocytes are less abundant in the bone marrow.

Monocyte subsets in CAD: risk prediction and treatment

Epidemiological data twenty years ago have described leukocytosis as an independent risk factor and predictor of future cardiovascular events.¹⁶ Monocyte counts were also positively correlated with in-stent restenosis.¹⁷ Sequential analysis of monocytes by flow cytometry over the last decade has further refined this knowledge and allowed a more detailed assessment of the prognostic value of each subset.

Recently published data has shown that intermediate and nonclassical monocytes predict major adverse cardiovascular events and poor outcome in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI).¹⁸⁻²⁰ Intermediate monocyte activation, as assessed by CD11c expression, was also positively correlated with peak levels of troponin and creatin kinase in patients with MI.²¹ Beyond MI, CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ monocyte counts were associated with coronary plaque vulnerability in a prospective study of patients with CAD.²² The intermediate subset further predicts severe coronary stenosis in asymptomatic individuals as shown in another retrospective study.²³ Another study revealed a persistent increase in this subset after elective percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) as part of the residual inflammation.²⁴

Collectively, these data are consistent with the first prospective, large cohort study showing that intermediate monocytes are independent predictors of cardiovascular events in risk patients undergoing elective coronary angiography.²⁵ Furthermore, intermediate and nonclassical monocytes were positively correlated to CAD severity and older participants without manifested chronic disease but with high cardiometabolic risk had elevated percentages of only nonclassical monocytes.^{26,27}

In patients with stable CAD on statin treatment high levels of PCSK9 associated with increased classical but decreased nonclassical monocytes while the intermediate subset did not differ.²⁸ The effects of statins on monocyte subsets are elusive and a prospective randomized trial is still missing. In diseases other than CAD, treatment with prednisolone in patients with immune thrombocytopenia reduced the number of intermediate monocytes and attenuated their pro-inflammatory phenotype.²⁹ Beta blockers also blunted the mobilization of nonclassical monocytes after acute exercise in healthy cyclist.³⁰ Further data in healthy donors have shown that classical monocytes generate more angiotensin and express higher levels of both, angiotensin-converting enzyme type 1 (ACE1) and ACE2 than the other subsets.³¹

Finally, in healthy human volunteers under LPS-induced low-grade inflammation, intermediate and nonclassical monocytes showed the highest inflammatory response by their expression of IL-6 and IL-8.³² Given the role of IL-6 and IL-8 in the pathogenesis of cardiovascular disease, this may have implications for risk prediction and potential new treatment options.

Concluding remarks

Differential monitoring, especially of intermediate monocyte counts, appears to predict cardiovascular events and may also be of interest in identifying individuals with subclinical atherosclerosis. In addition, subset-tailored drug targeting could lead to the development of novel and more specific therapeutics. The effects of approved drugs such as glucocorticoids, statins, PCSK9- or ACE-inhibitors and beta blockers could also be re-evaluated in relation to monocyte subsets.

It is still essential to define reference values for monocyte subsets in the general population by means of a standardized flow cytometry protocol. Meanwhile, the common markers such as CD14, CD16, HLA-DR and Ccr2 are available as dried, pre-aliquoted multicolor antibody cocktail and could be used routinely on simple flow cytometers equipped with two lasers. There is also consensus on the gating strategy and the enumeration of absolute counts for each subset.² However, the above reagents are currently licensed for research use only. It is therefore important to work towards having them certified for clinical diagnostic use. While standard instrument equipment and easy-to-use protocols are more useful for clinical routine, expensive, high-performance technology with enhanced resolution, such as multiparameter flow cytometry or even mass cytometry, seems essential and more appropriate for basic research.

Taken together, a comprehensive approach could integrate monocyte subsets, alone or in multiple panels, as novel reliable predictive and diagnostic biomarkers, but also as selective therapeutic targets in cardiovascular precision medicine.

Acknowledgements

The authors are supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (SFB 1123) and the August-Lenz-Stiftung.

References

1. Weber C, Noels H. Atherosclerosis: current pathogenesis and therapeutic options. *Nat Med* 2011;17:1410-22.
2. Weber C, Shantsila E, Hristov M, et al. Role and analysis of monocyte subsets in cardiovascular disease. Joint consensus document of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Working Groups "Atherosclerosis & Vascular Biology" and "Thrombosis". *Thromb Haemost* 2016;116:626-37.
3. Auffray C, Sieweke MH, Geissmann F. Blood monocytes: development, heterogeneity, and relationship with dendritic cells. *Annu Rev Immunol* 2009;27:669-92.
4. Shi C, Pamer EG. Monocyte recruitment during infection and inflammation. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011;11:762-74.
5. Ruder AV, Wetzels SMW, Temmerman L, Biessen EAL, Goossens P. Monocyte heterogeneity in cardiovascular disease. *Cardiovasc Res* 2023;119:2033-2045.
6. Cros J, Cagnard N, Woollard K, et al. Human CD14^{dim} monocytes patrol and sense nucleic acids and viruses via TLR7 and TLR8 receptors. *Immunity* 2010;33:375-86.
7. Ziegler-Heitbrock L, Ancuta P, Crowe S, et al. Nomenclature of monocytes and dendritic cells in blood. *Blood* 2010;116:e74-80.
8. Wong KL, Tai JJ, Wong WC, et al. Gene expression profiling reveals the defining features of the classical, intermediate, and nonclassical human monocyte subsets. *Blood* 2011;118:e16-31.
9. Zawada AM, Rogacev KS, Rotter B, et al. SuperSAGE evidence for CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ monocytes as a third monocyte subset. *Blood* 2011;118:e50-e61.
10. Shantsila E, Wrigley B, Tapp L, et al. Immunophenotypic characterization of human monocyte subsets: possible implications for cardiovascular disease pathophysiology. *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;9:1056-66.
11. Zawada AM, Zhang L, Emrich IE, et al. MicroRNA profiling of human intermediate monocytes. *Immunobiology* 2017;222:587-596.
12. Hamers AAJ, Dinh HQ, Thomas GD, et al. Human monocyte heterogeneity as revealed by high-dimensional mass cytometry. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2019;39:25-36.
13. Wildgruber M, Lee H, Chudnovskiy A, et al. Monocyte subset dynamics in human atherosclerosis can be profiled with magnetic nano-sensors. *PLoS One* 2009;4: e5663.
14. Tak T, Drylewicz J, Conemans L, et al. Circulatory and maturation kinetics of human monocyte subsets in vivo. *Blood* 2017;130:1474-1477.
15. Mandl M, Schmitz S, Weber C, Hristov M. Characterization of the CD14⁺⁺CD16⁺ monocyte population in human bone marrow. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e112140.
16. Madjid M, Awan I, Willerson JT, et al. Leukocyte count and coronary heart disease: implications for risk assessment. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;44:1945-56.
17. Fukuda D, Shimada K, Tanaka A, Kawarabayashi T, Yoshiyama M, Yoshikawa J. Circulating monocytes and in-stent neointima after coronary stent implantation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004;43:18-23.
18. Shantsila E, Ghattas A, Griffiths HR, Lip GYH. Mon2 predicts poor outcome in ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *J Intern Med* 2019;285:301-316.
19. Abo-Aly M, Shokri E, Chelvarajan L, Tarhuni WM, Tripathi H, Abdel-Latif A. Prognostic significance of activated monocytes in patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Int J Mol Sci* 2023;24:11342.

20. Boidin M, Lip GYH, Shantsila A, Thijssen D, Shantsila E. Dynamic changes of monocytes subsets predict major adverse cardiovascular events and left ventricular function after STEMI. *Sci Rep* 2023;13:48.
21. Foster GA, Gower RM, Stanhope KL, Havel PJ, Simon SI, Armstrong EJ. On-chip phenotypic analysis of inflammatory monocytes in atherogenesis and myocardial infarction. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013;110:13944-9.
22. Yamamoto H, Yoshida N, Shinke T, et al. Impact of CD14(++)CD16(+) monocytes on coronary plaque vulnerability assessed by optical coherence tomography in coronary artery disease patients. *Atherosclerosis* 2018 ;269:245-251.
23. Lo SC, Lee WJ, Chen CY, Lee BC. Intermediate CD14++CD16+ monocyte predicts severe coronary stenosis and extensive plaque involvement in asymptomatic individuals. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;33:1223-1236.
24. Merinopoulos I, Bhalraam U, Holmes T, et al. Circulating intermediate monocytes CD14++CD16+ are increased after elective percutaneous coronary intervention. *PLoS One* 2023;18:e0294746.
25. Rogacev KS, Cremers B, Zawada AM, et al. CD14++CD16+ monocytes independently predict cardiovascular events: a cohort study of 951 patients referred for elective coronary angiography. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2012;60:1512-20.
26. Arnold KA, Blair JE, Paul JD, Shah AP, Nathan S, Alenghat FJ. Monocyte and macrophage subtypes as paired cell biomarkers for coronary artery disease. *Exp Physiol* 2019;104:1343-1352.
27. Markofski MM, Flynn MG. Elevated circulating CD16+ monocytes and TLR4+ monocytes in older adults with multiple cardiometabolic disease risk factors. *Exp Gerontol* 2021;154:111530.
28. Krychtiuk KA, Lenz M, Hohensinner P, et al. Circulating levels of proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9) are associated with monocyte subsets in patients with stable coronary artery disease. *J Clin Lipidol* 2021;15:512-521.
29. Williams EL, Stimpson ML, Lait PJP, et al. Glucocorticoid treatment in patients with newly diagnosed immune thrombocytopenia switches CD14(++) CD16(+) intermediate monocytes from a pro-inflammatory to an anti-inflammatory phenotype. *Br J Haematol* 2021;192:375-384.
30. Graff RM, Kunz HE, Agha NH, et al. beta(2)-Adrenergic receptor signaling mediates the preferential mobilization of differentiated subsets of CD8+ T-cells, NK-cells and non-classical monocytes in response to acute exercise in humans. *Brain Behav Immun* 2018;74:143-153.
31. Rutkowska-Zapała M, Suski M, Szatanek R, et al. Human monocyte subsets exhibit divergent angiotensin I-converting activity. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2015;181:126-32.
32. Thaler B, Hohensinner PJ, Krychtiuk KA, et al. Differential in vivo activation of monocyte subsets during low-grade inflammation through experimental endotoxemia in humans. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:30162.

Table 1: Correlation of different monocyte subsets to CAD and outcomes

Investigators	Subjects (n)	Condition	Target measure	Main outcome
Shantsila et al.	245	STEMI	IMD	↑MACE
Abo-Aly et al.	100	STEMI	IMD	↑MACE
Boidin et al.	245	STEMI	IMD	↑MACE, ↓LVEF
Foster et al.	18	STEMI	CD11c ⁺ IMD	↑troponin, ↑CK, ↑plaque necrosis
Yamamoto et al.	50	stable CAD	IMD	↓fibrous cap thickness
Arnold et al.	40	stable CAD	IMD, NCM	↑CAD severity
Merinopoulos et al.	30	elective PCI	IMD	↑chronic post PCI
Rogacev et al.	951	elective PCI	IMD	↑MACE
Lo et al.	588	asymptomatic	IMD	↑plaque score, ↑coronary stenosis
Markofski et al.	45	older adults	TLR ⁺ CM, CD16 ⁺	↑cardiometabolic risk

CAD, coronary artery disease; CK, creatine kinase; CM, classical monocytes; IMD, intermediate monocytes; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; NCM, nonclassical monocytes; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; STEMI, ST-elevation myocardial infarction; TLR, toll-like receptor

Table 2: The magnitude of the effects of different treatments on monocyte subsets

Investigators	Subjects (n)	Condition	Treatment	Main outcome
Krychtiuk et al.	69	stable CAD	statin	↑PCSK9, ↑CM, ↓NCM
Williams et al.	11	immune thrombocytopenia	prednisolone	↓IMD
Graff et al.	14	exercise	nadolol	↓NCM
Rutkowska-Zapała et al.	4-12	healthy donors	no	↑Ang II, ↑ACE1/2 in CM
Thaler et al.	12	low-grade inflammation	LPS	↑IL-6/8 in IMD and NCM

ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; Ang, angiotensin; CAD, coronary artery disease; CM, classical monocytes; IL, interleukin; IMD, intermediate monocytes; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; NCM, nonclassical monocytes.

