Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 7797–7822, 2014
www.biogeosciences-discuss.net/11/7797/2014/
doi:10.5194/bgd-11-7797-2014

Biogeosciences Discussions
Open Access

Recurrent winter warming pulses enhance nitrogen cycling and soil biotic activity in temperate heathland and grassland mesocosms

J. Schuerings[1,2], A. Jentsch[1], V. Hammerl[3], K. Lenz[2], H. A. L. Henry[4], A. V. Malyshev[2], and J. Kreyling[2]

[1]Disturbance Ecology, BayCEER, University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany
[2]Biogeography, BayCEER, University of Bayreuth, 95440 Bayreuth, Germany
[3]Research Unit Environmental Genomics, Helmholtz Zentrum München, 85764 Neuherberg, Germany
[4]Biology, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, Canada

Received: 31 March 2014 – Accepted: 9 May 2014 – Published: 3 June 2014

Correspondence to: J. Schuerings (jan.schuerings@uni-bayreuth.de)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Abstract

Winter air temperatures are projected to increase in the temperate zone, whereas snow cover is projected to decrease, leading to more extreme soil temperature variability, and potentially to changes in nutrient cycling. Therefore, we applied six winter warming pulses by infra-red heating lamps and surface heating wires in a field experiment over one winter in temperate heathland and grassland mesocosms. The experiment was replicated at two sites, a colder mountainous upland site with high snow accumulation and a warmer and dryer lowland site.

Winter warming pulses enhanced soil biotic activity for both sites during winter, as indicated by 35 % higher nitrogen (N) availability in the soil solution, 40 % higher belowground decomposition and a 25 % increase in the activity of the enzyme cellobiohydrolase. The mobilization of N differed between sites, and the incorporation of ^{15}N into leaves was reduced by 31 % in response to winter warming pulses, but only at the cold site, with significant reductions occurring for three of four tested plant species at this site. Furthermore, there was a trend of increased N leaching in response to the recurrent winter warming pulses.

Overall, projected winter climate change in the temperate zone, with less snow and more variable soil temperatures, appears important for shifts in ecosystem functioning (i.e. nutrient cycling). While the effects of warming pulses on plant N mobilization did not differ among sites, reduced plant ^{15}N incorporation at the colder temperate site suggests that frost damage may reduce plant performance in a warmer world, with important implications for nitrogen cycling and nitrogen losses from ecosystems.

1 Introduction

Winter soil temperature is an important driver for many ecological and biogeochemical processes, and it can influence the activity of plants and soil biota (Matzner and Borken, 2008; Kreyling, 2010). While microbial activity and nitrogen (N) cycling con-

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

tinue below freezing (Clein and Schimel, 1995; Mikan et al., 2002), higher mean soil temperatures are generally expected to cause exponentially higher soil biotic activity (Rustad et al., 2001; Melillo et al., 2002). Consequently, winter warming can result in increased N mineralization and N availability in the soil solution in the following growing season (Turner and Henry, 2010). Warmer soils over winter increase soil biotic activity, especially towards the end of winter, and can accelerate plant productivity (Schuerings et al., 2013). However, thawing can also lead to N leaching (Boutin and Robitaille, 1995; Brooks et al., 1998; Joseph and Henry, 2008) or gaseous losses of N from soil (Matzner and Borken, 2008). Since plants are capable of winter N uptake (Grogan et al., 2004; Andresen and Michelsen, 2005), their activity could counteract N leaching (Patil et al., 2010). The general effectiveness of plants in taking up N over winter, however, is not fully clear until now. Comparable N uptake rates over winter and summer have been reported for some species (Nasholm et al., 2000; Bardgett et al., 2003), but there is also evidence that cold acclimation reduces the potential for N uptake (Malyshev and Henry, 2012a).

In addition to increased winter air temperatures, snow cover will decrease in many regions of the temperate zone (Christensen et al., 2007; Kreyling and Henry, 2011). Nevertheless, frost events will still occur as frequently in many temperate regions (Kodra et al., 2011), and with less insulating snow cover, winter soil temperatures can become more variable, particularly in cold temperate regions (Henry, 2008; Brown and DeGaetano, 2011). The resulting more extreme soil temperature conditions with frequent soil frost and freeze–thaw cycles (FTC) can affect N cycling. Soil frost and FTC can physically damage plant roots (Tierney et al., 2001), break up soil aggregates (Oztas and Fayetorbay, 2003), and lyse microbial cells (Skogland et al., 1988), thereby affecting N cycling and leading to N losses in dissolved or gaseous forms (Matzner and Borken, 2008). For warmer, lowland temperate regions, however, although soil temperature variability might still increase (Kreyling, 2010), an increase in winter air temperatures could lead to fewer soil FTC due to less frost (e.g. lowland Germany, Kreyling and Henry, 2011). Contrasting effects of winter climate change can therefore

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

be expected for colder vs. warmer temperate regions, and studies of biogeochemical responses to increased soil temperature variability should be designed to account for these differences.

Finally, plant species and vegetation types are known to influence N cycling (Hooper and Vitousek, 1998; Knops et al., 2002). Different plant species further show variability in their reactions to more extreme winter temperature variability in the temperate zone, with grasses appearing more responsive than dwarf shrubs (Kreyling et al., 2010; Schuerings et al., 2014). However, this increased responsiveness can either be beneficial (Kreyling et al., 2008), or detrimental (Schuerings et al., 2014), probably depending on whether the minimum temperatures experienced after warm phases induce frost damage. Moreover, increased N availability over winter can increase the risk of frost damage to plants (Malyshev and Henry, 2012b).

In this experiment we tested the effects more extreme winter temperature conditions, i.e. recurrent, short winter warming pulses, on soil biotic and potential extracellular enzyme activity, N availability in the soil solution, and N uptake by plants in different plant communities (grassland, heathland) at two sites with contrasting winter climate (a warm lowland and a cold upland site). We hypothesised that (1) recurrent winter warming pulses would enhance N-cycling (i.e. increased N availability, soil biotic activity and N uptake into plants). (2) We further expected different responsiveness to the recurrent warm spells at the two sites, with stronger frost and therefore frost damage negatively affecting plant N uptake at the colder upland site. (3) Finally, we expected differences among the plant communities in the response of N cycling to the recurrent warm spells, with a higher ability for winter N uptake in grassland than in heathland plants.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

2 Methods

2.1 Experimental design and site description

This research is part of the EVENT IV experiment, testing the effects of winter warming pulses on temperate heath and grassland communities. The effects of the recurrent warming pulses on plant growth (above- and below-ground) are summarized in Schuerings et al. (2014), whereas here we concentrate on nitrogen cycling. The experiment was replicated at two sites: the warm site was located in the Ecological–Botanical Garden of the University of Bayreuth (49°55′36.32″E, 11°34′57.28″N, 358 m a.s.l.) and the cold site was located at the Waldstein mountain in the Fichtelgebirge (50°8′35.81″N, 11°51′50.92″N, 781 m a.s.l.). The cold site generally experiences more precipitation and harsher winter conditions (Table 1).

The experiment consisted of three fully crossed factors: (1) application of winter warming pulses vs. ambient reference conditions, (2) two experimental sites with naturally different winter climate, (3) six different plant communities and an additional bare ground control. The plant communities consisted of three grassland communities (monocultures of the grass *Holcus lanatus* (L.) and the herb *Plantago lanceolata* (L.), and a community with a mix of both species) and three heathland communities (monocultures of the dwarf shrub *Calluna vulgaris* (L.) and the grass *Deschampsia flexuosa* (L.) and a community with a mix of both species). All species present in this experiment are very common perennial species in Central Europe. In addition, there was a bare ground control in every block. Plant communities were blocked and randomly assigned to the winter warming pulses manipulation and ambient reference. Temperature manipulation blocks, and therefore each factorial combination, were replicated five times. This setup was fully replicated at both experimental sites. For the 140 plots, plastic barrels with 0.2 m^2 surface (50 cm diameter) and 80 cm depth were used as mesocosms. Each of the six mesocosms per treatment was placed in a corner of a hexagon, with 30 cm distance between mesocosms and at least 50 cm separation from the hexagon edge. The bare ground control was placed in the middle of the

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

hexagons. All space between the mesocosms was filled with the same substrate as used within the mescosms. The soil substrate was homogenized loamy sand (77 % sand, 16 % silt, 7 % clay) from a nearby sand quarry, with a pH = 7.35 (measured in 1 M KCl) and a total carbon content of 2.37 %. The barrels were attached with outlet hoses at the bottom of each mesocosm, so that the mesocosms functioned as zero tension lysimeters. Sixteen plants per mesocosm were planted in a systematic grid in May 2010. All plants were grown from seed in January 2010, except for the dwarf-shrub *C. vulgaris*, which was obtained as 2 year old individuals in February 2010.

2.2 Warming pulses manipulation

Winter warming pulses were applied with six IR-heating lamps (250 W) located in between the mesocosms at a height of 60 cm and surface heating wires (distance 20 cm, 400 W per block), which resulted in 1900 W per block (7 mesocosms). The ambient reference mesocosms were equipped with dummy lamps. Warming pulses were administered simultaneously for both sites between 15 December 2010 and 28 February 2011 (see Fig. 1). Warming pulses were administered when there was frost and weather forecast predicted further air frost for at least the next 48 h.

Soil temperature (−2 cm; once in every winter warming pulses treatment block) and air temperature (+5 cm; one per winter warming pulses treatment and experimental site) were measured hourly by thermistors (B57863-S302-F40, EPCOS AG, Germany) connected to a datalogger (dl2, Delta-T Devices Ltd, UK). To quantify the effect of the warming pulses treatment on soil temperature, we calculated the coefficient of variation (CV = standard deviation × hourly mean^{-1} × 100; temperatures were converted to K for this). Snow height was measured each morning via a webcam picture of a measuring stick.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

2.3 Response parameters

Plant available N was measured via the resin stick method (Plant-root-simulator (PRS™)-probes; Western Ag Innovations Inc., Canada). Two cation and two anion PRS™-probes were installed vertically with a distance of 20 cm to each other (0–15 cm depth) per mesocosm prior to the warming pulse manipulation on 18 December 2010 and collected on 17 March 2011 after the winter warming pulses treatment. PRS™-probes were cleaned and kept in a fridge until being sent to Western Ag Innovations Inc. (Canada) in a cool box for analysis. For the statistical analysis, nitrate and ammonium were pooled due to low ammonium concentrations.

Soil biotic activity was measured via bait-lamina sticks (terra protecta GmbH, Germany) (Kratz, 1998). One bait-lamina stick containing 16 baits was inserted vertically in the top soil layer of every mesocosm prior to the warming pulses treatment on 18 December. The baits consisted of a mixture of powdered cellulose, bran flakes and active coal. The sticks were collected after the winter warming pulses treatment on 17 March, cleaned, and the number of eaten baits was counted. For the latter, sticks were placed on a light bench and when light shined through the baits they were counted as eaten.

Three soil samples (2 cm diameter, 10 cm depth) per mesocosm were collected and mixed for assays of potential extracellular enzyme activity (PEEA) in soil on 21 February 2011. Soil samples were stored in airtight plastic zip-bags at 4 °C and were analysed within 3 days. PEEA assays were carried out with Methylumbelliferone substrates (MUF) (Pritsch et al., 2004, 2005). Having remixed each soil sample in its plastic bag, 400 mg was weighed into Falcon Tubes™. Subsequently, 40 mL of sterile distilled water were added and put into an overhead shaker for 15 min at room temperature to enable soil particles to dissolve. Further, soil complexes were broken down by putting the suspensions into an iced ultrasonic bath (Ultrasonic Cleaner, VWR) for 3 min. In order to remove large soil particles or plant residues, soil solutions were filtered through a fine Nylon mesh (90 µm mesh width). All four utilized enzyme substrates

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

were 4-methylumbelliferone-labelled fluorogenic substrates. The pairs of MU-substrate (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) and corresponding extracellular enzyme were as followed: MU-β-D-glucopyranoside (MU-G) for β-glucosidase, MU-β-cellobioside (MU-C) for cellobiohydrolase, MU-β-D-xylopyranoside (MU-X) for xylosidase, MU-phosphate (MU-P) for acid phosphatase. Substrates and calibration solutions were prepared as described in Pritsch et al. (2004). Optimal substrate saturation concentrations and incubation times were determined in pre-experiments (data not shown) as follows: MU-G and MU-X each 500 µM incubating for 60 min, MU-C 500 µM incubating for 120 min, MU-P 800 µM incubating for 40 min. The enzyme-substrate reaction was stopped using Tris 1.25 M (pH > 10). The stopping buffer also raises the pH of the solution, because fluorescence is strongest in the alkaline pH range (pH > 9). Enzyme assays were prepared in black 96-well microtiter plates and performed under the protection from light at 21 °C. Each microplate contained incubation wells, negative-control wells for determination of autofluorescence of the substrates and calibration wells. Incubation wells were pipetted with 50 µL soil sample suspension and 100 µL MU-substrate, control wells with 50 µL sterile distilled water as well as 100 µL MU-substrate, and calibration wells with 100 µL of calibration solution diluted to concentrations of 0, 100, 200, 300, 400, 500 pmol MU along with 50 µL of sterile distilled water. For the duration of incubation, the microplates were kept under aluminium foil cover for light protection and left on a microplate shaker. After expiration of the substrate-specific incubation time, reactions were stopped with 100 µL Tris-buffer and centrifuged for 5 min at 2415 rpm at 20 °C. In addition to the control of the substrate-autofluorescence, quenching of the fluorescence signal caused by interfering organic compounds was measured for each sample by carrying out the enzyme assay as outlined before, but with adding 300 pmol concentrated MU instead of substrate to the soil suspension. Fluorescence was detected at an excitation wavelength of 360 nm and an emission wavelength of 450 nm with a Gemini EM Fluorescence Microplate Reader from Molecular Devices, California. Having corrected the measured fluorescence with the substrate-autofluorescence, the corrected value needed to be relativized with the quenching factor. Fluorescence

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

value was then related to soil dry weight [g] and the dilution of 50 µL out of 40 mL soil suspension with 400 mg of soil. Further on, concentrations of released MU were calculated using the gradient of the regression curves based on calibration series of each microplate. Finally, incubation times were taken into account, allowing the expression of potential extracellular enzyme activity as MU release in nmol per gram soil dry weight and hour [nmol g^{-1} h^{-1}].

Prior to the warming pulses treatment (18 December 2010), plots were labelled with 0.02 g Potassium Nitrate-^{15}N (min. 99.19 atom % ^{15}N; Campro Scientific GmbH, Germany), dissolved in 250 mL deionized water, resulting in 0.1 g ^{15}N m^{-2}. Leaf (2–3 medium aged leaves per plot and species, randomly chosen), root (fine roots from a soil sample taken directly next to a randomly chosen plant per mesocosm and species) and soil samples (3 soil samples per plot were mixed; 2 cm diameter, 10 cm depth) were taken on 17 March 2011, after the winter warming pulses treatment. The samples were kept frozen until they were cleaned, dried (48 h at 50 °C) and ball milled. Mass spectroscopy analysis was done at the laboratory of Isotope Biogeochemistry, BayCEER, University of Bayreuth, with a combination of an elemental analyzer (Carlo Erba NC 2500, CE Instruments, Italy) and an isotope mass spectrometer (delta plus, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Germany). Atom % increase values for plant and soil material collected after the winter warming pulses treatment were calculated by comparing to values obtained from unlabelled reference plants (n = 5 per species) and soil material taken prior to the winter warming pulses treatment (n = 3 per experimental site). Due to missing volume readings, the isotopic signature of leachate could only be determined and related to volume of leachate for four mesocosms (*Holcus lanatus* and *Plantago lanceolata* mixed mesocosms at both sites for both winter warming pulses treatments), which were permanently equipped by tipping buckets (7041.3000X, Theodor Friedrichs & Co., Germany). Therefore, no mass balancing of the label was possible, and we report ^{15}N-atom% here.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

2.4 Data analyses

Linear mixed-effect models combined with analysis of variance (ANOVA) were applied to test for significant winter warming pulses treatment, site and plant community effects. All possible interactions of community or species and site with the warming pulses treatment were included as fixed effects (s. Tables 2 and 3 for all tested interactions). For the analysis of ^{15}N content in plants, species identity was included as a fixed factor instead of community composition, whereas community was included as a random effect. Block identity was set as a random effect in all models, thereby accounting for the blocked design. Before statistical analysis, we tested for normality and homogeneity of variance by examining the residuals vs. fitted plots and the normal qq-plots of the linear models (Faraway, 2005). If conditions were not satisfactorily met, we applied $\log(x)$ – (plant available N; ^{15}N atom% increase of leaves and roots; PEEA of beta-glucosidase, cellobiohydrolase, xylosidase), $\log(x+1)$ – (^{15}N atom% increase in soil), or $\sqrt{x}$ – (PEEA acid phosphatase) transformation. Significance level was set to $p < 0.05$. All statistical analyses were performed using R 2.12.2 (R Development Core Team, 2011) and additional packages nlme (Version 3.1-98, 2011) and sciplot (Version 1.0-9, 2011) for graphical illustrations.

3 Results

The winter warming pulses manipulation successfully decreased snow cover and resulted in more extreme soil temperature variability (Fig. 1). At the warm site, mean soil temperature during the manipulation period (15 December 2010 to 28 February 2011) was increased to 1.8 °C (CV = 0.99) in comparison to 0.1 °C (CV = 0.66) in the reference mesocosms. Minimum temperature reached −4.2 °C and −4.0 °C, respectively. For the cold site, mean soil temperature during the manipulation period increased only to −0.1 °C (CV = 0.68) in comparison to −0.3 °C (CV = 0.43) in the reference mesocosms. However, minimum temperature was considerably lower in the warming pulses

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

mesocosms, reaching −4.7 °C, as compared to −2.6 °C in the reference mesocosms. The number of soil freeze thaw cycles was not altered noticeably at any site (warm site: 7 vs. 8, cold site: 6 vs. 5).

Plant available nitrate and ammonium significantly increased by 34.5 % in response to the winter warming pulses treatment ($F = 14.2$, $p < 0.001$; Table 2, Fig. 2). The cold site had a 48.4 % higher amount of N available than the warm site ($F = 20.2$, $p < 0.001$; Table 2, Fig. 2). Plant community composition also influenced plant available N ($F = 15.4$, $p < 0.001$; Table 2, Fig. 2). Bare ground control mesocosms had the highest N values, followed by the heathland communities and then the grassland communities, with only monocultures of *H. lanatus* reaching levels of the heathland communities. Winter warming pulse effects were not influenced by site or plant community (no significant interactions, Table 2).

Soil biotic activity, i.e. the number of eaten baits, increased by 40 % ($F = 17.5$, $p < 0.001$; Table 2, Fig. 2) due to the winter warming pulses treatment in comparison to reference conditions. Soil biotic activity did not significantly differ between sites or plant communities. The warming pulses effect, however, was influenced by the plant communities ($F = 2.3$, $p = 0.037$), with slightly decreasing activities in monocultures of *P. lanceolata* and mixed communities of *C. vulgaris* and *D. flexuosa* due to the warming pulses (Fig. 2). All other communities showed an increase in soil biotic activity due to the warming pulses. No other interaction with the warming pulses treatment yielded significance for soil biotic activity (Table 2).

Regarding PEEA there was a general trend towards higher values under the winter warming pulses treatment, yet only for cellobiohydrolase was this effect statistically significant ($F = 5.3$, $p = 0.035$). For the other three tested enzymes no significant effect of the winter warming pulses treatment was observed. Generally, there were significantly higher PEEAs at the cold site than at the warm site (Table 2, Fig. 3) and plant community composition effects differed such that, except for acid phosphatase, grassland communities showed higher PEEA than heathland communities (Table 2, Fig. 3). No

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

significant interactions between the warming pulses treatment and site or plant community were observed (Table 2).

The uptake and incorporation of ^{15}N into leaves was significantly reduced by 21.7 % (relative difference) under the winter warming pulses treatment in comparison to reference conditions ($F = 5.9$, $p = 0.016$), whereas for root and soil material no significant winter warming pulse effect was observed (Table 3, Fig. 4). For leachate, no statistical analysis was performed due to the low replication, but for the existing samples ($n = 2$ per winter warming pulses treatment), a clear trend towards increased leaching of the ^{15}N-tracer was observed (Fig. 4). Generally, the cold site showed significantly higher plant ^{15}N incorporation than the warm site (Table 3, Fig. 4). *D. flexuosa* exhibited the highest ^{15}N incorporation, followed by *P. lanceolata*, with the same pattern observed for leaves and roots. Significant decreases in the ^{15}N signal in plant leaves (−30.7 %) in response to warming pulses only occurred at the cold site (winter warming pulses treatment × site interaction: $F = 8.6$, $p = 0.004$; Table 3, Fig. 4). The significant three-way interaction between warming pulses treatment, site, and species identity ($F = 3.4$, $p = 0.004$) indicated that the decrease in ^{15}N values only happened at the cold site and only for three of the four species (*C. vulgaris*, *D. flexuosa* and *H. lanatus*; Fig. 4).

4 Discussion

Recurrent winter warming pulses led to more extreme soil temperature variability and to increased N cycling in our experiment. As expected, N availability was increased (+35 %) in the mesocosms which received the winter warming pulses treatment. Increased N availability during winter/early spring is often explained by freeze–thaw events resulting in increased biological and physical decomposition of soil organic matter (SOM) (Matzner and Borken, 2008) and increased N mineralization (Rustad et al., 2001; Melillo et al., 2002). Due to the winter warming pulses, soil biotic activity increased by 40 %. This increase in soil biotic activity is in line with results from other winter warming experiments which measured soil respiration as an index of soil biotic

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

activity (Davidson and Janssens, 2006; Allison and Treseder, 2011). The soil enzymes we examined play a major role in the decomposition of biological material (Marx et al., 2001). We observed significantly increased PEEA for cellobiohydrolase, whereas for the other three tested enzymes the observed increases were not significant. In winter warming experiments, increased N cycling is often attributed to changes in the frequency of soil FTC (Mikan et al., 2002). Despite only small changes in FTC frequency in our mesocosms, however, we observed increased N availability, increased soil biotic and soil potential enzymatic activity. However, for the cold site, while mean soil temperature only increased by 0.2 °C, minimum temperature was considerably lower in the warming pulses mesocosms, reaching −4.7 °C, as compared to −2.6 °C. Freezing intensity is therefore another important determinant of N cycling responses.

We found significantly higher N availability and activity of all four tested potential soil enzymes for the cold site despite lower mean temperatures at the site. Groffman et al. (2009) found the same pattern along an altitudinal gradient in a northern hardwood forest. This suggests that the local climate may have an important influence on the magnitude of N mobilization processes. However, since we found no significant interaction between winter warming pulses treatment and site, the effects of winter warming pulses on N availability, soil biotic activity and potential soil enzymatic activity therefore appear independent of the local climate.

The mobilization of N was influenced by the plant community composition, with the bare ground control showing highest levels of available N. Since the PRS™-probes are in competition with roots for N, this result is not surprising. Regarding plant communities, there was no clear pattern in N availability, although the heathland communities showed higher values than grassland communities with the exception of monocultures of *H. lanatus*, which showed similar values as the heathland communities. The interaction between the warming pulses treatment and plant community indicated that plant species composition influenced soil biotic activity differently under winter warming pulses. However, there was no clear pattern, since all communities showed increased soil biotic activity in response to the winter warming pulses, except for monocultures of

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

P. lanceolata and mixed cultures of *C. vulgaris* and *D. flexuosa*. Soil enzymatic activity was generally higher in grassland mesocosms in comparison to heathland mesocosms, with the exception of acid phosphatase.

^{15}N incorporation by plants was, contrary to our expectations, decreased by the winter warming pulses treatment. Plants can lose their cold hardiness within hours in response to elevated temperatures (Kalberer et al., 2006), and subsequent frost events after a winter warm spell can thus damage plants substantially (Bokhorst et al., 2009). Freezing intensity is also an important determinant of plant frost damage, and while most temperate species can tolerate temperatures at or below freezing, there is often a threshold subfreezing temperature where damage intensifies (Malyshev and Henry, 2012a). Notably, the minimum temperatures reached in the reference mesocosms at the cold site were the least severe, and the greatest ^{15}N incorporation was observed in these plots, whereas minimum soil temperatures of at least −4 °C were reached in the treatment plots at the cold site and in all of the warm site mesocosms, all of which featured relatively low ^{15}N incorporation. Similarly, in other systems, grass ecotypes located at northern sites that are protected from cold air by thick snow cover have developed lower frost tolerance than conspecific ecotypes located in warmer locations that feature less snow cover, because the latter ecotypes experience more intense frost (Dionne et al., 2010).

We also observed significant differences among the tested species in ^{15}N incorporation, which is not surprising, given that species exhibit wide variation in their nutrient uptake capacities (Hooper and Vitousek, 1998; Knops et al., 2002). The interesting point is that the reduction in ^{15}N incorporation only happened at the cold site and only for *C. vulgaris*, *D. flexuosa* and *H. lanatus* (interaction: winter warming pulses treatment × site × species). Above-ground biomass did not change significantly in response to the winter warming pulses treatment for these species (thus effects on atom % ^{15}N would have been proportional to differences in total ^{15}N incorporation), except for *H. lanatus*, which showed a negative response (Schuerings et al., 2014). Such differences among species in frost susceptibility could have important consequences for compet-

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

itive balances and shifts in community composition over the long term (Joseph and Henry, 2008).

Chronic winter warming can increase above-ground biomass (Hutchison and Henry, 2010; Natali et al., 2012; Schuerings et al., 2013). This additional growth may be fuelled by increased N mobilization in early spring. Pulsed winter warming increasing the risk of frost damage, however, complicates this simple expectation of increased plant growth under winter climate change. The inability of frost-damaged plants to take up the available N in the soil solution might trigger N losses from ecosystems by N leaching or gaseous losses (Ineson et al., 1998). In this experiment we also found species-specific responses in above-ground biomass production due to the winter warming pulses (Schuerings et al., 2014); only *H. lanatus* showed a decrease in above-ground biomass, whereas the other tested species remained unaffected by the winter warming pulses treatment in their above-ground productivity. Taken together, species- or vegetation type-specific responses have to be taken into account when forecasting effects of climate change on N-cycling. Furthermore, regarding winter climate change, pulsed warming events can result in opposing effects on N cycling and biomass accumulation than chronic or continuous warming.

5 Conclusions

Future winters in the temperate zone are expected to be characterized by more variable soil temperatures due to increasing air temperature variability and due to missing insulation by snow. Our experiment implies that more variable soil temperatures enhance nitrogen mobilization in the soil independent from vegetation types and the local climate. Plant performance, however, depended on local climate, with plant ^{15}N immobilization during winter and early spring after exposure to winter warming pulses being reduced at colder sites, probably due to frost damage after the warming pulses. This pattern implies increased risk for nitrogen leaching at colder temperate sites in response to more extreme winter temperature variability. Taken together, our findings

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

emphasize the importance of temperature variability, plant performance, and frost damage in a warmer world for nitrogen cycling and nitrogen losses from ecosystems.

Acknowledgements. This study was funded by the German Science Foundation (DFG JE 282/5-1). The isotope analysis was done by the BayCEER Laboratory of Isotope Biogeochemistry led by Prof. Dr. Gebauer. We thank Elke and Stefan König for installing the field experiment.

References

Allison, S. D. and Treseder, K. K.: Climate change feedbacks tomicrobial decomposition in boreal soils, Fungal Ecol., 4, 362–374, 2011.

Andresen, L. C. and Michelsen, A.: Off-season uptake of nitrogen in temperate heath vegetation, Oecologia, 144, 585–597, 2005.

Bardgett, R. D., Streeter, T. C., and Bol, R.: Soil microbes compete effectively with plants for organic-nitrogen inputs to temperate grasslands, Ecology, 84, 1277–1287, 2003.

Bokhorst, S. F., Bjerke, J. W., Tommervik, H., Callaghan, T. V., and Phoenix, G. K.: Winter warming events damage sub-Arctic vegetation: consistent evidence from an experimental manipulation and a natural event, J. Ecol., 97, 1408–1415, 2009.

Boutin, R. and Robitaille, G.: Increased soil nitrate losses under mature sugar maple trees affected by experimentally induced deep frost, Can. J. Forest Res., 25, 588–602, 1995.

Brooks, P. D., Williams, M. W., and Schmidt, S. K.: Inorganic nitrogen and microbial biomass dynamics before and during spring snowmelt, Biogeochemistry, 43, 1–15, 1998.

Brown, P. J. and DeGaetano, A. T.: A paradox of cooling winter soil surface temperatures in a warming northeastern United States, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 151, 947–956, 2011.

Christensen, J. H., Hewitson, B., Busuioc, A., Chen, A., Gao, X., Held, I., Jones, R., Kolli, R.K., Kwon, W.-T., Laprise, R., Magaña Rueda, V., Mearns, L., Menéndez, C. G., Räisänen, J., Rinke, A., Sarr, A., and Whetton, P.: Regional Climate Projections, in: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2007.

Clein, J. S. and Schimel, J. P.: Microbial activity of tundra and taiga soils at sub-zero temperatures, Soil Biol. Biochem., 27, 1231–1234, 1995.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

Davidson, E. A. and Janssens, I. A.: Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to climate change, Nature, 440, 165–173, 2006.
Dionne, J., Rochefort, S., Huff, D. R., Desjardins, Y., Bertrand, A., and Castonguay, Y.: Variability for freezing tolerance among 42 ecotypes of green-type annual bluegrass, Crop Sci., 50, 321–336, 2010.
Faraway, J. J.: Linear Models with R, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, 2005.
Groffman, P. M., Hardy, J. P., Fisk, M. C., Fahey, T. J., and Driscoll, C. T.: Climate variation and soil carbon and nitrogen cycling processes in a northern hardwood forest, Ecosystems, 12, 927–943, 2009.
Grogan, P., Michelsen, A., Ambus, P., and Jonasson, S.: Freeze–thaw regime effects on carbon and nitrogen dynamics in sub-arctic heath tundra mesocosms, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36, 641–654, 2004.
Henry, H. A. L.: Climate change and soil freezing dynamics: historical trends and projected changes, Climatic Change, 87, 421–434, 2008.
Hooper, D. U. and Vitousek, P. M.: Effects of plant composition and diversity on nutrient cycling, Ecol. Monogr., 68, 121–149, 1998.
Hutchison, J. S. and Henry, H. A. L.: Additive effects of warming and increased nitrogen deposition in a temperate old field: plant productivity and the importance of winter, Ecosystems, 13, 661–672, 2010.
Ineson, P., Taylor, K., Harrison, A. F., Poskitt, J., Benham, D. G., Tipping, E., and Woof, C.: Effects of climate change on nitrogen dynamics in upland soils. 1. A transplant approach, Glob. Change Biol., 4, 143–152, 1998.
Joseph, G. and Henry, H. A. L.: Soil nitrogen leaching losses in response to freeze–thaw cycles and pulsed warming in a temperate old field, Soil Biol. Biochem., 40, 1947–1953, 2008.
Kalberer, S. R., Wisniewski, M., and Arora, R.: Deacclimation and reacclimation of cold-hardy plants: current understanding and emerging concepts, Plant Sci., 171, 3–16, 2006.
Knops, J. M. H., Bradley, K. L., and Wedin, D. A.: Mechanisms of plant species impacts on ecosystem nitrogen cycling, Ecol. Lett., 5, 454–466, 2002.
Kodra, E., Steinhaeuser, K. and Ganguly, A. R.: Persisting cold extremes under 21st – century warming scenarios, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L08705, doi:10.1029/2011GL047103, 2011.
Kratz, W.: The bait-lamina test – general aspects, applications and perspectives, Environ. Sci. Pollut. R., 5, 94–96, 1998.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

Kreyling, J.: Winter climate change: a critical factor for temperate vegetation performance, Ecology, 91, 1939–1948, 2010.
Kreyling, J. and Henry, H. A. L.: Vanishing winters in Germany: soil frost dynamics and snow cover trends, and ecological implications, Climate Res., 46, 269–276, 2011.
Kreyling, J., Beierkuhnlein, C., Pritsch, K., Schloter, M., and Jentsch, A.: Recurrent soil freeze–thaw cycles enhance grassland productivity, New Phytol., 177, 938–945, 2008.
Kreyling, J., Beierkuhnlein, C., and Jentsch, A.: Effects of soil freeze–thaw cycles differ between experimental plant communities, Basic Appl. Ecol., 11, 65–75, 2010.
Malyshev, A. V. and Henry, H. A. L.: N uptake and growth responses to sub-lethal freezing in the grass *Poa pratensis* L., Plant Soil, 360, 175–185, 2012a.
Malyshev, A. V. and Henry, H. A. L.: Frost damage and winter nitrogen uptake by the grass *Poa pratensis* L.: consequences for vegetative versus reproductive growth, Plant Ecol., 213, 1739–1747, 2012b.
Marx, M. C., Wood, M., and Jarvis, S. C.: A microplate fluorimetric assay for the study of enzyme diversity in soils, Soil Biol. Biochem., 33, 1633–1640, 2001.
Matzner, E. and Borken, W.: Do freeze–thaw events enhance C and N losses from soils of different ecosystems? A review, Eur. J. Soil Sci., 59, 274–284, 2008.
Melillo, J. M., Steudler, P. A., Aber, J. D., Newkirk, K., Lux, H., Bowles, F. P., Catricala, C., Magill, A., Ahrens, T., and Morrisseau, S.: Soil warming and carbon-cycle feedbacks to the climate system, Science, 298, 2173–2176, 2002.
Mikan, C. J., Schimel, J. P., and Doyle, A. P.: Temperature controls of microbial respiration in arctic tundra soils above and below freezing, Soil Biol. Biochem., 34, 1785–1795, 2002.
Nasholm, T., Huss-Danell, K., and Hogberg, P.: Uptake of organic nitrogen in the field by four agriculturally important plant species, Ecology, 81, 1155–1161, 2000.
Natali, S. M., Edward, A. G., Schuur, G., and Rubin, R. L.: Increased plant productivity in Alaskan tundra as a result of experimental warming of soil and permafrost, J. Ecol., 100, 488–498, 2012.
Oztas, T. and Fayetorbay, F.: Effect of freezing and thawing processes on soil aggregate stability, Catena, 52, 1–8, 2003.
Patil, R. H., Laegdsmand, M., Olesen, J. E., and Porter, J. R.: Effect of soil warming and rainfall patterns on soil N cycling in Northern Europe, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 139, 195–205, 2010.
Pritsch, K., Raidl, S., Marksteiner, E., Blaschke, H., Agerer, R., Schloter, M., and Hartmann, A.: A rapid and highly sensitive method for measuring enzyme activities in single mycorrhizal

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

tips using 4-methylumbelliferone-labelled fluorogenic substrates in a microplate system, J. Microbiol. Meth., 58, 233–241, 2004.
Pritsch, K., Luedemann, G., Matyssek, R., Hartmann, A., Schloter, M., Scherb, H., and Grams, T. E. E.: Mycorrhizosphere responsiveness to atmospheric ozone and inoculation with phytophthora citricola in a phytotron experiment with spruce/beech mixed cultures, Plant Biol., 7, 718–727, 2005.
Rustad, L. E., Campbell, J. L., Marion, G. M., Norby, R. J., Mitchell, M. J., Hartley, A. E., Cornelissen, J. H. C., and Gurevitch, J.: A meta-analysis of the response of soil respiration, net nitrogen mineralization, and aboveground plant growth to experimental ecosystem warming, Oecologia, 126, 543–562, 2001.
Schuerings, J., Beierkuhnlein, C., Grant, K., Jentsch, A., Malyshev, A., Penuelas, J., Sardans, J., and Kreyling, J.: Absence of soil frost affects plant–soil interactions in temperate grasslands, Plant Soil, 371, 559–572, 2013.
Schuerings, J., Jentsch, A., and Kreyling, J.: Winter warming pulses differently affect plant performance in temperate heathland and grassland communities, Ecol. Res., in review, 2014.
Skogland, T., Lomeland, S., and Goksoyr, J.: Respiratory burst after freezing and thawing of soil – experiments with soil bacteria, Soil Biol. Biochem., 20, 851–856, 1988.
Sturm, M., Holmgren, J., König, M., and Morris, K.: The thermal conductivity of seasonal snow, J. Glaciol., 43, 26–41, 1997.
Tierney, G. L., Fahey, T. J., Groffman, P. M., Hardy, J. P., Fitzhugh, R. D., and Driscoll, C. T.: Soil freezing alters fine root dynamics in a northern hardwood forest, Biogeochemistry, 56, 175–190, 2001.
Turner, M. M. and Henry, H. A. L.: Interactive effects of warming and nitrogen deposition on net nitrogen mineralization and leaching losses in a temperate old field: the importance of winter, Oecologia, 162, 227–236, 2010.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

Table 1. Climate characteristics of the two experimental sites, measured on site by the department of Micrometeorology until 2008; University of Bayreuth, T. Foken (Schuerings et al., 2014).

Parameter (Unit; start of measurements warm site/cold site)	Warm site	Cold site
Mean annual temperature (°C; 1998/1994)	8.8	5.0
Mean winter temperature (DJF; °C; 1998/1994)	0.6	−2.0
Mean annual precipitation (mm; 1998/1994)	717	1002
Mean winter precipitation (DJF; mm; 1998/1994)	158	237
Mean # of days with soil frost (−5 cm) (2003/1999)	19	31

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

Table 2. ANOVA-results of all tested main and interaction effects for N mobilization, i.e. N availability in the soil solution (NH_4^+ and NO_3^-), soil biotic activity (bait-lamina test), and the four tested potential soil enzyme activities. Warming pulses: winter warming pulses treatment.

Factor	N availability in soil solution		Soil biotic activity		Beta-glucosidase activity		Cellobiohydrolase activity		Acid phosphatase activity		Xylosidase activity	
	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P	F	P
Warming pulses	**14.2**	**< 0.001**	**17.5**	**< 0.001**	1.8	0.199	**5.3**	**0.035**	2.6	0.127	2.0	0.173
Site	**20.2**	**< 0.001**	0.6	0.441	**67.2**	**< 0.001**	**69.2**	**< 0.001**	**12.6**	**0.003**	**33.6**	**< 0.001**
Community	**15.4**	**< 0.001**	0.3	0.912	**23.5**	**< 0.001**	**16.2**	**< 0.001**	**32.5**	**< 0.001**	**44.5**	**< 0.001**
Warming pulses × Site	0.7	0.415	0.9	0.358	3.2	0.094	1.3	0.266	0.9	0.359	3.8	0.068
Warming pulses × Community	0.3	0.949	**2.3**	**0.037**	1.4	0.213	0.7	0.663	1.1	0.388	0.6	0.694
Warming pulses × Site × Community	0.9	0.547	1.1	0.370	0.7	0.685	1.0	0.400	0.9	0.500	1.4	0.212

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014

Table 3. ANOVA-results of all tested main and interaction effects for the fate of a ^{15}N label (increase in atom % ^{15}N in the compartments leaves, fine roots, and bulk soil). Warming pulses: winter warming pulses treatment.

Factor	^{15}N atom % increase					
	Leaves		Roots		Bulk soil	
	F	P	F	P	F	P
Warming pulses	**5.9**	**0.016**	1.5	0.228	0.9	0.331
Site	**144.5**	**< 0.001**	**19.3**	**< 0.001**	**29.9**	**< 0.001**
Species/Community (Soil)	**7.4**	**< 0.001**	**9.6**	**< 0.001**	1.7	0.134
Warming pulses × Site	**8.6**	**0.004**	2.1	0.153	2.0	0.162
Warming pulses × Species	1.2	0.313	0.5	0.695	0.7	0.647
Warming pulses × Site × Species	**3.4**	**0.004**	1.0	0.422	1.2	0.292

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014



Figure 1. Mean daily air temperature at +5 cm **(a)**, snow depth **(b)** and mean daily soil temperature at −2 cm **(c)** at the two experimental sites for the winter warming pulses treatment (black line) and reference conditions (grey line). Warming pulses (grey boxes) were applied between 15 December 2010 and 28 February 2011 (Schuerings et al., 2014).

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014



Figure 2. (a) Plant available nitrogen (nitrate and ammonium; PRS™-probes) and **(b)** soil biotic activity (bait-lamina test) during the manipulation period (18 December 2010–17 March 2011). Main winter warming pulses treatment, site and community effects and all significant interactions between the winter warming pulses treatment with site and community are shown. Mean (±S.E.) values are shown (n = 140).

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014



Figure 3. Mean potential soil enzymatic activity for the four tested enzymes **(a)** β-glucosidase, **(b)** cellobiohydrolase, **(c)** acid phosphatase and **(d)** xylosidase (all ±S.E.) during the manipulation period (18 December 2010–17 March 2011). Main winter warming pulses treatment, site and community effects are shown. No significant interactions between the winter warming pulses treatment with site and community were detected.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014



Figure 4. Mean increase in atom% values (±S.E.) for leaves (n = 80), roots (n = 80), bulk soil (n = 70) and leachate (n = 2). Before the warming pulses treatment all plots were watered with 0.25 L of water with 0.02 g Potassium Nitrate-^{15}N (min. 99.19 atom % ^{15}N). Main winter warming pulses treatment, site and community effects and all significant interactions between the winter warming pulses treatment with site and community are shown.

BGD
11, 7797–7822, 2014