BMC Cancer



Research article Open Access

Early onset lung cancer, cigarette smoking and the SNP309 of the murine double minute-2 (MDM2) gene

Kirstin Mittelstrass*1, Wiebke Sauter¹, Albert Rosenberger², Thomas Illig¹, Maria Timofeeva³, Norman Klopp¹, Hendrik Dienemann⁴, Eckart Meese⁵, Gerhard Sybrecht⁶, Gabi Woelke¹, Mathias Cebulla⁻, Maria Degen⁶, Harald Morr⁶, Peter Drings⁴, Andreas Groeschel⁶, Karsten Grosse Kreymborg¹⁰, Karl Haeußinger¹¹, Gerd Hoeffken¹², Christine Schmidt¹², Bettina Jilge¹³, Wilhelm Schmidt¹³, You-Dschun Ko¹⁴, Dagmar Taeuscher¹⁵, Jenny Chang-Claude³, Heinz-Erich Wichmann¹,¹ſ⁶, Heike Bickeboeller² and Angela Risch³

Address: ¹Helmholtz Center Munich, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Epidemiology, Munich/Neuherberg, Germany, ²Department of Genetic Epidemiology, Georg-August University of Göttingen, Medical School, Göttingen, Germany, ³German Cancer Research Centre (DKFZ), Heidelberg, Germany, ⁴Thoraxklinik Heidelberg GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany, ⁵Institute of Human Genetics, University of the Saarland, Germany, ⁶Institute of Internal Medicine V, Medical School, University of the Saarland, Germany, ⁷Municipal Hospital "Sankt Georg", Leipzig, Germany, ⁸Clinic of Pneumology, Waldhof Elgershausen, Greifenstein, Germany, ⁹Department Internal Medicine-Oncology, Thorax-Clinic Heidelberg GmbH, Heidelberg, Germany, ¹⁰Centre for Internal Medicine, University Hospital Leipzig, Germany, ¹¹Clinic of Pneumology München-Gauting, Munich, Germany, ¹²Clinic Coswig GmbH, Division Internal Medicine, Coswig, Germany, ¹³Chemnitz Hospital, Clinic for Internal Medicine, Chemnitz, Germany, ¹⁴Department of Oncology, University Hospital of Bonn, Germany, ¹⁵Wald-Hospital Gera GmbH, II. Medical Clinic, Gera, Germany and ¹⁶Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Institute of Medical Data Management, Biometrics and Epidemiology, Germany

Email: Kirstin Mittelstrass* - kirstin.mittelstrass@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Wiebke Sauter - wiebke.sauter@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Albert Rosenberger - arosenb@gwdg.de; Thomas Illig - illig@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Maria Timofeeva - m.timofeeva@dkfz-heidelberg.de; Norman Klopp - klopp@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Hendrik Dienemann - hendrik.dienemann@thoraxklinik-heidelberg.de; Eckart Meese - hgemee@med-rz.uni-sb.de; Gerhard Sybrecht - prof.g.w.sybrecht@uniklinikum-saarland.de; Gabi Woelke - woelke@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Mathias Cebulla - mathias.cebulla@sanktgeorg.de; Maria Degen - maria.degen@t-online.de; Harald Morr - harald.morr.waldhof@t-online.de; Peter Drings - peter.drings@thoraxklinik-heidelberg.de; Andreas Groeschel - inagro@med-rz.uni-sb.de; Karsten Grosse Kreymborg - karsten.g.kreymborg@medizin.uni-leipzig.de; Karl Haeußinger - gauting@asklepios.com; Gerd Hoeffken - hoeffken@fachkrankenhasu-coswig.de; Christine Schmidt - schmidt@fachkrnakenhaus-coswig.de; Bettina Jilge - b.jilge@skc.de; Wilhelm Schmidt - e.schmidt@skc.de; You-Dschun Ko - y.ko@jk-bonn.de; Dagmar Taeuscher - d.taeuscher@t-online.de; Jenny Chang-Claude - j.chang-claude@dkfz-heidelberg.de; Heinz-Erich Wichmann - wichmann@helmholtz-muenchen.de; Heike Bickeboeller - hbickeb@gwdg.de; Angela Risch - a.risch@dkfz-heidelberg.de

* Corresponding author

Published: 23 April 2008

BMC Cancer 2008, 8:113 doi:10.1186/1471-2407-8-113

Received: 19 September 2007 Accepted: 23 April 2008

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/8/113

© 2008 Mittelstrass et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

The polymorphism SNP309 (rs2279744) in the promoter region of the *MDM*2 gene has been shown to alter protein expression and may play a role in the susceptibility to lung cancer. The MDM2 protein is a key inhibitor of p53 and several mechanisms of MDM2/p53 interactions are presently known: modulating DNA-repair, cell-cycle control, cell growth and apoptosis.

We used 635 Caucasian patients diagnosed with lung cancer before 51 years of age and 1300 healthy gender and age frequency matched population Caucasian controls to investigate the association between the *MDM2* SNP309 and the risk of developing early onset lung cancer. Conditional logistic models were applied to assess the genotype-phenotype association, adjusted for smoking.

Compared to the GG genotype, the adjusted ORs for the TG and TT genotype were 0.9 (95% CI: 0.7–1.5) and 1.0 (95% CI: 0.7–1.5), respectively. Also no association was found for histological subtypes of lung cancer. The strength of this study is that within young cases the genetic component to develop lung cancer may be greater. Our results indicate that the MDM2 SNP309 is not significantly associated with lung carcinogenesis but point towards gender-specific differences.

Background

Human cells have developed a complex system to protect themselves from genotoxic damage where the *p53* tumor suppressor gene plays an important role in protecting against such insults by serving as an integrator of the signals produced by DNA damage. The MDM2 protein inhibits p53 transactivation activity and promotes its export from the nucleus by binding to the transcriptional activation domain of p53 [1] and acts as an ubiquitin ligase upon p53 pushing fast degradation of the suppressor [2,3].

Recently, a SNP (SNP309, G2580T) at the intronic p53-response promoter of *MDM2* was identified and associated with altered Sp1 binding affinity and expression levels of MDM2 RNA and protein [4]. Contradictory results were reported regarding the *MDM2* SNP309 association with lung cancer [5-8]. In addition the polymorphism was correlated with a decreased age at the time of lung cancer diagnosis in Li-Fraumeni syndrome and sporadic sarcoma patients [4]. Our study is the first report on a large German case-control study of young lung cancer patients (age of onset < 51 years) investigating the *MDM2* SNP 309.

Methods

Study population

The study population consists of 635 lung cancer patients with an onset of disease \leq 50 years of age and 1300 healthy population controls, all Caucasians. Controls were recruited from the KORA S3 + S4 (Cooperative Health Research in the Augsburg Region) surveys, which are large population-based consecutive cross-sectional studies [9,10] and matched by gender and 3-years age groups to cases in a 1:2 matching design. In order to increase the sample size of early onset lung cancer patients we included cases from two existing studies. 472 cases were from the LUCY (LUng Cancer in the Young) study. Additional 163 cases were derived from the hospital-based Heidelberg Lung Cancer (HLC) study from the Thoraxklinik Heidelberg [11].

The LUCY-study is a multicenter study with 31 participating clinics all over Germany. Only newly diagnosed patients with histological or cytological confirmed primary lung cancer entered the study. Detailed epidemiologic data on family history, tobacco and smoking exposure, education and occupational exposure have been collected and blood samples were taken.

The HLC-study is an ongoing hospital based case-control study. The DKFZ has recruited over 1000 LC cases at and in collaboration with the Thoraxklinik Heidelberg. 163 of these LC cases with onset of disease under age 51, recruited between 01/1997 and 12/2003 were included in the analysis. Data on occupational exposure, tobacco smoking and educational status were assessed by a self-administered questionnaire.

The **KORA-study** is a population based epidemiological survey of persons living in or near the city of Augsburg, Southern Germany conducted since 1984. No major population stratification between KORA (Southwest Germany) and two other cohorts from Northern Germany could be detected in an intensive study using a genomic control approach [12].

Informed consent was obtained from all study participants and the studies were approved by the ethical committee of the Bayerische Landesärztekammer, the corresponding local ethics committees of the participating clinics and the ethical committee of the University of Heidelberg.

Genotyping

The detection of the *MDM2* gene polymorphism (rs2279744; G > T; NM_002392.2) was based upon analysis of primer extension products generated from previously amplified genomic DNA using a chip-based MALDITOF (matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of flight) mass spectrometry platform (SEQUENOM, Inc., San Diego, CA) as described in Weidinger et al. (2004) [13]. Genotyping was performed by laboratory personnel blinded to case-control status. Standard genotyping qual-

ity control included 10% duplicate samples, negative and positive samples and checking for Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE).

Statistical analysis

Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) was tested using a log-likelihood test. Conditional logistic regression models were applied to test for a genotype-phenotype association and gene-smoking interaction, with conditioning on the matched variables age groups (\leq 40 years, 41–45 years, 46–50 years) and gender. The amount of smoking expo-

sure (s. table 1 for definition) was considered as a covariate to adjust for a smoking-related increase in lung cancer risk. The overall level of significance was set to 5%. We investigated differences between multiple characteristics (age of onset, gender, LC subtype and *MDM2* SNP390 genotype) of both cases-samples by calculating a propensity score, which is defined as the conditional probability of assignment to a particular case-sample given a vector of observed covariates [14]. We then calculated kappa as measurement of agreement between true and the assigned

Table I: Characteristics of lung cancer cases and healthy controls

Category	Group	Cases (Cases (n = 635)		
		LUCY-study (n = 472)	HLC-study (n = 163)	KORA-study (n = 1300)	
gender	men	66% (310)	59% (96)	63% (819)	
	women	34% (162)	41% (67)	37% (481)	
age at diagnosis (mean ± SD)	men	45.4 ± 4.1	45.4 ± 3.7	45.2 ± 4.3	
	women	44.5 ± 4.6	45.1 ± 4.6	44.7 ± 4.7	
age at diagnosis (men)	≤ 40 years	13% (40)	11% (11)	14% (116)	
	41–45 years	32% (99)	33% (32)	31% (251)	
	46-50 years	55% (171)	55% (53)	55% (451)	
age at diagnosis (women)	≤ 40 years	17% (28)	16% (11)	17% (83)	
	41–45 years	31% (51)	25% (17)	30% (144)	
	46-50 years	51% (83)	58% (39)	53% (255)	
LC subtypes (men)	NSCLC-Adenocarcinoma	26% (81)	35% (34)		
	other NSCLC	40% (125)	43% (41)		
	SCLC	26% (81)	20% (19)		
	other types	7% (22)	2% (2)		
LC subtypes (women)	NSCLC-Adenocarcinoma	44% (71)	54% (36)		
	other NSCLC	22% (36)	24% (16)		
	SCLC	25% (41)	16% (11)		
	other types	9% (14)	6% (4)		
smoking exposure (packyears)	minimally exposed (0–10)	12% (57)	13% (21)	54% (712)	
	lightly exposed (11-20)	17% (81)	8% (13)	14% (178)	
	moderately exposed (21-30)	31% (144)	20% (33)	15% (188)	
	highly exposed (31++)	39% (186)	52% (85)	15% (192)	
	unknown	0.9% (4)	7% (11)	2% (30)	
MDM2 SNP309 genotypes*	TT	42% (199)	44% (71)	42% (547)	
	TG	47% (222)	44% (71)	46% (598)	
	GG	10% (49)	13% (21)	12% (149)	
	unknown	0.3% (2)		0.5% (6)	
mean age at diagnosis (95% CI)	ТТ	45.1 (44.5–45.7)	44.8 (43.7–45.8)		
	TG	45.3 (44.7–45.8)	45.6 (44.7–46.5)		
	GG	44.6 (43.3-45.9)	45.9 (44.2-47.6)		

LUCY-study: Lung Cancer in the Young study; HLC-Study: Heidelberg lung cancer study; Other NSCLC = other non small cell lung cancers (NSCLC excluding adenocarcinoma), SCLC = small cell lung cancer, other types = other kinds of lung cancer

membership to a case-sample and performed a McNemar's test for agreement between these.

All analyses were performed with SAS 9.1°. Power analyses for the single marker association was done according to the method of Slager 2001 [15] and for the single marker interaction tests it was accomplished by using Nguery Advisor 4.0.

Results

Population characteristics

There was no statistically significant difference in the distribution of gender (p = 0.13) and age (p = 0.80) between patients and controls. The distribution of the histology subtypes within the cases can be seen in table 1. Although one might see a higher percentage of NSCLC-Adenocarcinoma within HCL-cases, the difference between both case-populations was by far not significant (p = 0.617). In total, there are no major differences between both case-populations. Applying the propensity score approach we found no agreement between the true and the assigned membership to a case-sample (kappa 0.01; 95% CI: -0.1 to 0.1). Thus we have no evidence to assume group differences shown by the multiple characteristics considered (p = 1.00).

Genotyping

The genotyping success rates were above 99.5%. No discordance between the duplicates was found.

Associations of the MDM2 SNP309 with lung cancer

No deviations from HWE were found in the genotype distribution of the MDM2 polymorphism among controls (p = 0.453) or cases (p = 0.474). All but eight samples were successfully genotyped for the MDM2 SNP309. The minor allele frequency (MAF) was 0.34 (CI: 0.35-0.33). Lung cancer was not found to be associated with the MDM2 SNP309 (p = 0.988). Compared to the GG genotype, the smoking adjusted ORs for the TG and TT genotypes were 1.0 (95% CI: 0.7-1.5) and 1.0 (95% CI: 0.7-1.5), respectively. Both case populations compared separately to the controls showed no significant associations (Table 2). Gender related subgroup analysis yielded no association of the MDM2 SNP309 and lung cancer (Table 2, 3, 4). Also no influence in lung cancer risk by the MDM2 SNP309 was observed for the diverse histological subtypes of lung cancer (Table 3, 4, 5).

Furthermore it was investigated whether an interaction existed between the MDM2 SNP309 and the smoking status. We did not find an association between the MDM2 SNP309 and lung cancer for any of the defined smoking exposure level groups (Table 3, 5).

Table 2: Association of MDM2 SNP309 with lung cancer within both case-populations

genotype	OR	OR 95% CI	
LUCY cases (n = 4	70) vs. KORA	controls (n = 815)
TG vs. GG	1.18	0.7–1.6 0.7	
TT vs. GG	1.0§	0.7-1.6	0.841
men: LUCY cases (n = 309) vs. K	ORA controls (n =	= 815)
TG vs. GG	1.188	0.7–1.8 0.70	
TT vs. GG	1.1§§	0.7-1.8	0.751
women: LUCY cas	es (n = 161) vs	s. KORA controls	(n = 815)
TG vs. GG	I.0§§	0.5–2.1 0.937	
TT vs. GG	1.0%	0.5-2.1	0.966
gender difference in	genotypic OR	s	0.778
TG vs. GG			2.2.3
1 0 15. 00			
TT vs. GG	1.0§	0.5–1.7	0.906
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n	= 96) vs. KOF	RA controls (n = 4	79)
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG	= 96) vs. KOF	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0	79) 0.648
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG	= 96) vs. KOF 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§}	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7	79) 0.648 0.314
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases	= 96) vs. KOF I.2 ^{§§} I.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479)
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases TG vs. GG	= 96) vs. KOF 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K 0.7 ^{§§}	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n 0.3–1.6	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479) 0.372
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases TG vs. GG TT vs. GG	= 96) vs. KOF 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K 0.7 ^{§§} 0.5 ^{§§}	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n 0.3–1.6 0.2–1.3	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479) 0.372 0.159
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases TG vs. GG	= 96) vs. KOF 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K 0.7 ^{§§} 0.5 ^{§§}	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n 0.3–1.6 0.2–1.3	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479) 0.372
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases TG vs. GG TT vs. GG	= 96) vs. KOP 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K 0.7 ^{§§} 0.5 ^{§§} genotypic OR	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n 0.3–1.6 0.2–1.3	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479) 0.372 0.159 0.083
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases TG vs. GG TT vs. GG gender difference in	= 96) vs. KOP 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K 0.7 ^{§§} 0.5 ^{§§} genotypic OR	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n 0.3–1.6 0.2–1.3	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479) 0.372 0.159 0.083
TT vs. GG men: HLC cases (n TG vs. GG TT vs. GG women: HLC cases TG vs. GG TT vs. GG gender difference in	= 96) vs. KOP 1.2 ^{§§} 1.6 ^{§§} s (n = 67) vs. K 0.7 ^{§§} 0.5 ^{§§} genotypic OR	RA controls (n = 4 0.5–3.0 0.7–3.7 CORA controls (n 0.3–1.6 0.2–1.3	79) 0.648 0.314 = 479) 0.372 0.159 0.083

§ conditional to age/gender-strata (6 groups) and adjusted for smoking exposure§ conditional to age-strata (3 groups) and adjusted for smoking exposure and gender§. Fishers Exact Test

Even though no significant difference between both casepopulations could be stated statistically, we observed twice as many female GG-carriers among HLC-cases (21%) than in the control- or LUCY population (10%) (Table 5). We also observed an enrichment of NSCLCand NSCLC adenocarcinoma-cases in the HLC-sample (78%) compared to the LUCY-sample (66%). Lind et al. (2006) [6] recently reported a gender specific risk disposing effect of the T-allele of MDM2 SNP309 for NSCLC cases. We therefore additionally performed a subgroup analysis restricted to NSCLC-cases and tested for gender differences. Compared to the GG genotype, the smoking adjusted ORs for the TG and TT genotypes for female NSCLC-cases were 0.8 (95% CI: 0.4-1.6) and 0.71 (95% CI: 0.4–4.0), respectively. For men the ORs were 1.2 (95% CI: 0.7-2.8) and 1.2 (95% CI: 0.7-2.9), respectively (Table 4). Although a possible NSCLC risk modification of MDM2 SNP309 seems to be reverse between men and women, we failed to achieve significance for this gender difference (p = 0.237). We also tested a gender specific genetic association within both case-samples separately. Neither within all HLC-cases (p = 0.083) nor within all

Table 3: Association of MDM2 SNP309 with lung cancer

gender	Genotype	OR	95% CI	p-value
men*	TG vs. GG	1.1	0.7 – 2.0	0.630
men*	TT vs. GG	1.2	0.7 - 2.2	0.504
women*	TG vs. GG	0.9	0.5 - 1.6	0.723
women*	TT vs. GG	0.8	0.5 – 1.3	0.565
histology				
NSCLC-Adenocarcinoma**	TG vs. GG	1.0	0.6 – 1.7	0.991
NSCLC-Adenocarcinoma**	TT vs. GG	1.0	0.6 - 1.8	0.890
other NSCLC**	TG vs. GG	1.0	0.6 - 1.8	0.873
other NSCLC**	TT vs. GG	0.9	0.5 - 1.6	0.751
SCLC**	TG vs. GG	1.0	0.5 - 1.9	0.980
SCLC**	TT vs. GG	1.2	0.6 - 2.1	0.637
smoking exposure (packyears)				
lightly exposed (11–20 PY)***	TT vs. GG	1.0	0.6 – 1.8	0.928
lightly exposed (I I-20 PY)***	TG vs. GG	1.0	0.5 - 1.7	0.857
moderately exposed (21–30 PY)***	TT vs. GG	1.0	0.6 - 1.7	0.960
moderately exposed (21–30 PY)***	TG vs. GG	0.9	0.6 - 1.6	0.822
highly exposed (31++ PY)***	TT vs. GG	0.9	0.5 - 1.4	0.595
highly exposed (31++ PY)***	TG vs. GG	0.8	0.5 - 1.3	0.369

^{*}conditional to age-strata (3 groups: \leq 40 years, 41–45 years, 46–50 years), adjusted for smoking exposure; **conditional to age/gender-strata (6 groups) and adjusted for smoking exposure; ***conditional to age/gender-strata (6 groups)

LUCY-cases (p = 0.778) were significant gender differences found (Table 2).

MDM2 SNP309 was recently reported to be associated with an earlier onset of disease [4]. The average age at the time of diagnosis in our cases overall was not significantly different (p = 0.923) between the variant genotypes (Table 1) and can be assumed as homogeneous between both case-samples (p = 0.7157). The mean age of diagnosis of men was 45.2 (median = 46.0 years, range 26.0 – 50.0 years) and of women 44.7 years (median = 46.0 years,

Table 4: Association of MDM2 SNP309 with lung cancer within NSCLC cases

genotype	OR	95% CI	p-value
all: NSCLC cases (n	= 434) vs. coi	ntrols (n = 1295)	
TG vs. GG	1.19	0.7-1.6	0.828
TT vs. GG	1.0§	0.7-1.5	0.841
men: NSCLC cases	(n = 280) vs. 0	controls (n = 815)	
TG vs. GG	1.2§§	0.7-2.9	0.422
TT vs. GG	1.2§§	0.7-2.9	0.515
women: NSCLC ca	ses (n = 154)	vs. controls (n = 4	179)
TG vs. GG	0.8§	0.4–1.6	0.471
TT vs. GG	0.7§§	0.4-4.0	0.323
gender difference in	genotypic OR	s	0.237

 $[\]S$ conditional to age/gender-strata (6 groups) and adjusted for smoking exposure $\S\S$ conditional to age-strata (3 groups) and adjusted for smoking exposure and gender

range 24.0 – 50.0 years). Women, however, with the MDM2 SNP309 were diagnosed at a younger age (8 months) compared to males, but this was not statistically significant (p = 0.059, 95% CI: 0 to 16 months). Within the LUCY-case population this gender difference was 10 months (95% CI: 0.3 to 20 months, p = 0.044), and only 6 months (95% CI: -10 to 22 months, p = 0.496) in the much smaller HLC-case population.

Discussion

Reports have shown an increased risk of lung cancer for the G allele of the MDM2 SNP309 in Korean and Chinese populations [7,16,17]. In contrast, in our study of 635 Caucasian lung cancer patients with age of onset < 51 years and 1300 controls the MDM2 SNP309 was not associated with lung cancer. We did not observe a significant overall association either with the G allele or with the T allele as reported by Li et al. (2006) where they showed an increased lung cancer risk with the T/T genotype in a non-Hispanic white population [18]. We had a 90% power (alpha = 0.05, two sided test) to detect a minimum overall OR of 1.4 for the MDM2 SNP309 T allele. Thus our study had sufficient power to detect an OR of 1.6 (95% CI: 1.1-2.5) and 1.8 (95% CI: 1.5-2.3) reported in other studies [6,7]. The estimated allele frequency (T: 0.66, G: 0.34) agrees well with further reported allele frequencies in Caucasian populations [6,8]. Differences between the two case populations were statistically not palpable (Table 1,

Table 5: MDM2 SNP309 genotypes

Group	MDM2 SNP309 genotype	s	Cases (n	= 635)	Controls	
			LUCY-study (n = 472)	HLC-study (n = 163)	KORA-study (n = 1300)	
gender	men	TT	128 (41%)	47 (49%)	350 (43%)	
6		TG	148 (48%)	42 (44%)	366 (45%)	
		GG	33 (11%)	7 (7%)	99 (12%)	
		unknown	I (0%)	, (, , , ,	3 (0%)	
	women	TT	71 (44%)	24 (36%)	197 (41%)	
	WS.IIIS.II	TG	74 (46%)	29 (43%)	232 (48%)	
		GG	16 (10%)	14 (21%)	50 (10%)	
		unknown	I (0%)	11 (2170)	3 (0%)	
age at diagnosis	≤ 40 years	TT	30 (44%)	II (50%)	85 (43%)	
5 5	•	TG	30 (44%)	8 (36%)	91 (46%)	
		GG	6 (9%)	3 (14%)	21 (11%)	
		unknown	2 (3%)	,	2 (1%)	
	41–45 years	TT	58 (39%)	21 (43%)	172 (44%)	
	7 / 5 7	TG	73 (49%)	23 (47%)	185 (47%)	
		GG	19 (13%)	5 (10%)	37 (9%)	
		unknown	17 (1370)	3 (10/0)	I (0%)	
	46-50 years	TT	111 (44%)	39 (42%)	290 (41%)	
	10-30 years	TG	119 (47%)	40 (43%)	322 (46%)	
		GG	24 (9%)	13 (14%)	91 (13%)	
		unknown	24 (7/6)	13 (17%)	3 (0%)	
smoking exposure level (SEL)	minimally exposed	TT	28 (49%)	7 (33%)	297 (42%)	
5 .	(0-10 PY)	TG	19 (33%)	12 (57%)	332 (47%)	
	,	GG	9 (Ì6%)	2 (10%)	81 (Ì1%)	
		unknown	l (2%)	,	2 (0%)	
	lightly exposed (> 10-20 PY)	TT	29 (36%)	7 (54%)	72 (40%)	
	8 . / /	TG	42 (52%)	5 (38%)	81 (46%)	
		GG	9 (11%)	I (8%)	24 (13%)	
		unknown	I (I%)	. (670)	I (1%)	
	moderately exposed (> 20-30 PY)	TT	65 (45%)	13 (39%)	81 (44%)	
	moderately exposed (* 20-30 i i)	TG	70 (49%)	15 (45%)	86 (46%)	
		GG	9 (6%)	5 (15%)	19 (10%)	
			7 (0%)	3 (13%)	17 (10%)	
	12.11	unknown	77 (419/)	41 (400/)	04 (449/)	
	highly exposed	TT	76 (41%)	41 (48%)	84 (44%)	
	(> 30++ PY)	TG	89 (48%)	33 (39%)	87 (45%)	
		GG unknown	21 (11%)	11 (13%)	21 (11%)	
LC subtypes	NSCLC- Adenocarcinoma	TT	63 (41%)	35 (50%)		
		TG	75 (49%)	23 (33%)		
		GG	13 (9%)	12 (17%)		
		unknown	I (I%)	-= (,0)		
	other NSCLC	TT	68 (43%)	17 (30%)		
		TG	73 (46%)	34 (60%)		
		GG	19 (12%)	6 (11%)		
	SCLC	TT	55 (45%)	15 (50%)		
	JCLC	TG	55 (45%)	13 (30%)		
		GG	13 (11%)	3 (10%)		
	other trace	TT		3 (10%) 4 (40%)		
	other types		13 (27%)			
		TG	19 (39%)	2 (20%)		
		GG	4 (8%)	4 (400/)		
		unknown	13 (27%)	4 (40%)		

2, 3, 4, 5) but the HLC-sample was enriched with NSCLCcases. According to Steffens et al. 2006 [12] we can assume the German population to be nearly free of population stratification, which may lead to false positive or false negative association findings in genetic case control studies. Differences in ethnicity between our population and those reported by Zhang et al. 2006 [7], Park et al. 2006 [16] and Jun et al. 2007 [19] may provide a partial explanation for the lack of association in our study. On the other hand Hu et al. 2006 [5] also did not observe an association between the MDM2 SNP309 and lung cancer in a Chinese population. Our results were similar to the report of Pine et al. (2006) [8]. They also did not find any overall association between the MDM2 SNP309 and lung cancer in a Caucasian (USA) population. Similarly, we did not observe an interaction between the MDM2 SNP309 and smoking. A combined analysis of the available genotype data of the MDM2 SNP309 showed an increased risk of lung cancer for the GG versus the TT genotype (OR: 1.3, 95% CI: 1.1–1.4) [20]. A main difference between the two study populations is the age of cases. Therefore one possible explanation would be, that the MDM2 variant is only associated with risk of later onset lung cancer. On the other hand a large study of 1787 Caucasian lung cancer patients could also not confirm the results of this metaanalysis. They did not find any significant association of the MDM2 SNP309 and lung cancer [21]. The populations used in the meta-analysis are mostly of Asian origin so possibly pointing to population heterogeneity.

Lind et al. (2006) [6] recently reported a gender specific risk disposing effect of the T allele of MDM2 SNP309 for NSCLC cases. They investigated NSCLC-cases and found female carriers of the GG-genotype associated to LC by an OR of 4.1, while male homozygotes showed a non significant OR of 1.3. Our data slightly tends to confirm this finding, but the corresponding OR for NSCLC women is just 1.4 (1/0.71 of Table 4), which is much smaller and not significant (p = 0.323). In our study none of the gender specific ORs nor a test of gender differences yielded significance. But we noticed discrepancies of such a gender specific genetic association between the two case-samples of our investigation. A gender difference was almost significant (p = 0.08) for the much smaller single center case-sample of the HLC-study. There, the OR for female carriers of the GG-Genotype (corresponding to Lind et al. (2006)) was 1.9 (1/0.54 of Table 4) and for male carriers 0.6 (1/1.56 of Table 4). With n = 67 female and n = 96male cases these subsamples are quite small and the tests for association are underpowered. Thus our investigation provides no significance for such gender specific associations by itself. Comparing our study samples to that of Lind et al. (2006) the major difference is the age of onset of LC. The presented investigation focused on early onset LC cases which are in the mean 20 years younger than

those of Lind et al. (2006). As the population by Lind et al. (2006) is of Norwegian origin there might also be different gene-environmental interactions.

MDM2 SNP309 was reported by Bond et al. 2004 [4] to be associated with an earlier onset of disease for Li-Fraumeni syndrome and sporadic sarcoma patients. We did not observe a lower age of diagnosis in our study, similar findings are also described by Hu et al. 2006 [5] and Pine et al. 2006 [8]. Our sample size is large enough to detect a shift of two years for the time of diagnosis with 90% power (alpha = 0.05) for heterozygous as well as for homozygous allele carriers. Recently Bond et al. 2006 [22] reviewed the possible interaction between the SNP309 and the oestrogen receptor status in women. Within women of the LUCY case population we could observe an earlier onset of disease of 10 months (95% CI: 0.3 to 20 months, p = 0.044), but only 6 months (95% CI: -10 to 22 months, p = 0.496) in the by far smaller HLC-case population. Recent data suggest that the promoter polymorphism in the MDM2 gene may influence the age of cancer onset in a gender specific way [23-25].

Conclusion

Given that lung cancer is a result of gene-environmental interaction, the genetic component may be a particularly strong risk factor among early onset lung cancer patients. In our sample, after controlling for gender and other characteristics, the overall data suggest that the MDM2 SNP309 might not be a sufficient risk factor of lung carcinogenesis not even in young cases where the genetic component might have a larger contribution to the risk of disease. It might modify the time of tumour onset, especially in women, supporting the model, that female-specific hormones, such as oestrogen, could allow the SNP309 to accelerate tumour formation. Our investigation provides no significance for gender specific associations by itself; it points only towards gender specific differences. Therefore further studies have to be conducted to explicitly study these gender-specific effects.

Abbreviations

CI: confidence interval; HLCS: Heidelberg lung cancer study; KORA: Cooperative Health Research in the Augsburg Region; LC: lung cancer; LUCY: lung cancer in the young; MALDI-TOF: matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of flight; NSCLC: none small cell lung cancer; OR: odds ratio; PY: packyear; SCLC: small cell lung cancer.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

KM carried out the molecular genetic study and drafted the manuscript. WS, NK carried out the molecular genetic study. AR, JCC performed the statistical analysis. HEW, HB, ARi, TI conceived the study, and participated in its design and coordination. GW proband recruitment and data acquisitions. MT data acquisitions. NK, HD, EM, GS, MC, MD, HM, PD, AG, KG, KH, GH, CS, BJ, WS, YK, DT recruited the lung cancer cases in different hospitals. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The computational assistance of G. Fischer, H. Grallert and C. Gieger, Institute of Epidemiology, GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg, Germany is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Rolf Holle, C. Hanrieder and A. Steinwachs, Institute of Epidemiology, GSF-National Research Center for Environment and Health, Neuherberg, Germany for their assistance in data management. We also thank all individuals who participated as cases or controls in this study and the KORA Study Center and their co-workers for organizing and conducting the data collection. The authors wish to thank all patients, their physicians and nurses involved in sample and data collection in the following hospitals: Dr. Heidi Kleen - Aurich, Lungenklinik Heckeshorn - Berlin, Berufsgenossenschaftliche Kliniken Bochum, Medizinische Poliklinik der Universität Bonn, Pneumologische Klinik des Zentralkrankenhaus Bremen Ost, Bereich Innere Medizin des Fachkrankenhaus Coswig GmbH, Fachklinik für Atemwegserkrankungen - Donaustauf, Abt. Pneumologie - Ruhrlandklinik Essen, II. Medizinische Klinik des Wald-Klinikum Gera, Pneumologische Klinik -Greifenstein, Zentrum für Pneumologie und Thoraxchirurgie des Krankenhaus Großhansdorf, Klinik für Pneumologie am Klinikum Hannover Heidehaus, Abt. Innere Medizin-Onkologie der Thoraxklinik – Heidelberg, Innere Medizin V des Universitätsklinik des Saarlandes – Homburg, Fachklinik für Lungenerkrankungen - Immenhausen, Innere Medizin des Klinikum Chemnitz - Krankenhaus Küchwald, Abt. Pneumologie der Universitätsklinik Leipzig, Robert-Koch-Klinik des Städtischen Klinikums St. Georg – Leipzig, Abt. Pneumologie des Klinikum der LMU-München, Pneumologische Klinik, München-Gauting, Lungenklinik Unterstedt des Diakoniekrankenhaus Rotenburg. We are also grateful to the LUCY-Consortium and the KORA Study Group (Head: H.E. Wichmann).

This work was funded in part by the National Genome Research Network (NGFN), the DFG (BI 576/2-I; BI 576/2-2), the Helmholzgemeinschaft (HGF) and the Federal office for Radiation Protection (Bfs: STSch4454). Genotyping was performed in the Genome Analysis Center (GAC) of the GSF Research Center for Environment and Health. The KORA Surveys were financed by the GSF, which is funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education, Science, Research and Technology and the State of Bavaria. Recruitment of the cases in Heidelberg was in part financed by the Deutsche Krebshilfe.

References

- Momand J, Zambetti GP: Mdm-2: "big brother" of p53. J Cell Biochem 1997, 64:343-352.
- Freedman DA, Wu L, Levine AJ: Functions of the MDM2 oncoprotein. Cell Mol Life Sci 1999, 55:96-107.
- Kubbutat MH, Jones SN, Vousden KH: Regulation of p53 stability by Mdm2. Nature 1997, 387:299-303.
- Bond GL, Hu W, Bond EE, Robins H, Lutzker SG, Arva NC, Bargonetti J, Bartel F, Taubert H, Wuerl P, Onel K, Yip L, Hwang SJ, Strong LC, Lozano G, Levine AJ: A single nucleotide polymorphism in the MDM2 promoter attenuates the p53 tumor suppressor pathway and accelerates tumor formation in humans. Cell 2004, 119:591-602.
- Hu Z, Ma H, Lu D, Qian J, Zhou J, Chen Y, Xu L, Wang X, Wei Q, Shen H: Genetic variants in the MDM2 promoter and lung cancer risk in a Chinese population. Int J Cancer 2006, 118:1275-1278.

- Lind H, Zienolddiny S, Ekstrom PO, Skaug V, Haugen A: Association
 of a functional polymorphism in the promoter of the MDM2
 gene with risk of nonsmall cell lung cancer. Int J Cancer 2006,
 119:718-721.
- Zhang X, Miao X, Guo Y, Tan W, Zhou Y, Sun T, Wang Y, Lin D: Genetic polymorphisms in cell cycle regulatory genes MDM2 and TP53 are associated with susceptibility to lung cancer. Hum Mutat 2006, 27:110-117.
- Pine SR, Mechanic LE, Bowman ED, Welsh JA, Chanock SC, Shields PG, Harris CC: MDM2 SNP309 and SNP354 are not associated with lung cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2006, 15:1559-1561.
- Holle R, Happich M, Lowel H, Wichmann HE: KORA--a research platform for population based health research. Gesundheitswesen 2005, 67:S19-S25.
- Wichmann HE, Gieger C, Illig T: KORA-gen--resource for population genetics, controls and a broad spectrum of disease phenotypes. Gesundheitswesen 2005, 67 Suppl 1:S26-S30.
 Dally H, Edler L, Jager B, Schmezer P, Spiegelhalder B, Dienemann H,
- Dally H, Edler L, Jager B, Schmezer P, Spiegelhalder B, Dienemann H, Drings P, Schulz V, Kayser K, Bartsch H, Risch A: The CYP3A4*1B allele increases risk for small cell lung cancer: effect of gender and smoking dose. *Pharmacogenetics* 2003, 13:607-618.
- Steffens M, Lamina C, Illig T, Bettecken T, Vogler R, Entz P, Suk EK, Toliat MR, Klopp N, Caliebe A, Konig IR, Kohler K, Ludemann J, Lacava AD, Fimmers R, Lichtner P, Ziegler A, Wolf A, Krawczak M, Nurnberg P, Hampe J, Schreiber S, Meitinger T, Wichmann HE, Roeder K, Wienker TF, Baur MP: SNP-based analysis of genetic substructure in the German population. Hum Hered 2006, 62:20-29.
- Weidinger S, Klopp N, Wagenpfeil S, Rummler L, Schedel M, Kabesch M, Schafer T, Darsow U, Jakob T, Behrendt H, Wichmann HE, Ring J, Illig T: Association of a STAT 6 haplotype with elevated serum IgE levels in a population based cohort of white adults. J Med Genet 2004, 41:658-663.
- Rosenbaum PR, Donald BR: The Central Role of the Propensity Score in Observational Studies for Causal Effects. Biometrika 1983, 70:41-55.
- Slager SL, Schaid DJ: Case-control studies of genetic markers: power and sample size approximations for Armitage's test for trend. Hum Hered 2001, 52:149-153.
- Park SH, Choi JE, Kim EJ, Jang JS, Han HS, Lee WK, Kang YM, Park JY: MDM2 309T>G polymorphism and risk of lung cancer in a Korean population. Lung Cancer 2006, 54:19-24.
- Jun HJ, Park SH, Lee WK, Choi JE, Jang JS, Kim EJ, Cha SI, Kim DS, Kam S, Kim CH, Kang YM, Jung TH, Park JY: Combined effects of p73 and MDM2 polymorphisms on the risk of lung cancer. Mol Carcinog 2007, 46:100-105.
- Li G, Zhai X, Zhang Z, Chamberlain RM, Spitz MR, Wei Q: MDM2 gene promoter polymorphisms and risk of lung cancer: a case-control analysis. Carcinogenesis 2006, 27:2028-2033.
- Jun HJ, Park SH, Lee WK, Choi JE, Jang JS, Kim EJ, Cha SI, Kim DS, Kam S, Kim CH, Kang YM, Jung TH, Park JY: Combined effects of p73 and MDM2 polymorphisms on the risk of lung cancer. Mol Carcinog 2006.
- 20. Wilkening Š, Bermejo JL, Hemminki K: **MDM2 SNP309** and cancer risk: a combined analysis. *Carcinogenesis* 2007, **28**:2262-2267.
- Liu G, Wheatley-Price P, Zhou W, Park S, Heist RS, Asomaning K, Wain JC, Lynch TJ, Su L, Christiani DC: Genetic polymorphisms of MDM2, cumulative cigarette smoking and nonsmall cell lung cancer risk. Int J Cancer 2008, 122:915-918.
- Bond GL, Hirshfield KM, Kirchhoff T, Alexe G, Bond EE, Robins H, Bartel F, Taubert H, Wuerl P, Hait W, Toppmeyer D, Offit K, Levine AJ: MDM2 SNP309 accelerates tumor formation in a genderspecific and hormone-dependent manner. Cancer Res 2006, 66:5104-5110.
- Bond GL, Menin C, Bertorelle R, Alhopuro P, Aaltonen LA, Levine AJ: MDM2 SNP309 accelerates colorectal tumour formation in women. J Med Genet 2006, 43:950-952.
- Galic V, Willner J, Wollan M, Garg R, Garcia R, Goff BA, Gray HJ, Swisher EM: Common polymorphisms in TP53 and MDM2 and the relationship to TP53 mutations and clinical outcomes in women with ovarian and peritoneal carcinomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2007, 46:239-247.
- 25. Hirata H, Hinoda Y, Kikuno N, Kawamoto K, Suehiro Y, Tanaka Y, Dahiya R: MDM2 SNP309 polymorphism as risk factor for sus-

ceptibility and poor prognosis in renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2007, 13:4123-4129.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/8/113/prepub

Publish with **Bio Med Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- \bullet yours you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here: http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

